ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Chairman Compton and Board Members

Board of Supervisors
County of San Luis Obispo, CA

RE: Vote on SLO District Map

Dear SLO County Board Members,

I urge you to adopt the Chamber Map 7570, prepared by the San Luis Obispo Chamber of Commerce, as the superior redistricting plan. I urge that this Board adopts it, as it fully represents the constituents of the County, across political lines. The SLO Chamber Map is part of the County business leaders and constituents, cross-section of voters who represent the interests of their respective area. This map is the one that I hope that you vote for in the final decision to include each voter for the next ten (10) years.

Please consider this best approach and adopt the SLO Chamber Map that will represent our future for the next decade.

Sincerely,

Janine Rands
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please deny the Patton map and support the Chamber map. Our county deserves to have fair voter redistributing.
Thank you, Melinda Forbes

Sent from my iPad
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:02 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Supervisors please make sure the Staff corrects the Agenda Details

From: Luther Johnson
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 7:13 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Supervisors please make sure the Staff corrects the Agenda Details

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

My concern occurred when I read the details in the Nov 30 meeting agenda. Remove the bias observed by the order in which you list the chosen maps. Order does matter. The video link clearly shows that the Patten Map was the first choice. This needs to be reflected in the details of the Agenda for the Nov 30 meeting. VIDEO LINK: https://rumble.com/vpuba8-the-vote-and-clairfication-of-staff-report-for-the-nov-19-2021-redistrictin.html At the beginning of the Nov 30 meeting the corrections need to be online and verbalized at the beginning of the meeting. My choice is still the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Atascadero whole while keeping Cal Poly and most of San Luis Obispo City together. One other important point is to keep communities of interest together such as finally acknowledging these facts: Oceano has these important daily items in common with Grover Beach: 1) grocery shopping, 2) nearest fast food chains, 3) varied restaurants, 4) gas stations, 5) sewer and 6) fire protection. There are more but these stand out. Keep Oceano with Grover Beach as in the Richard Patten Map Rev_1. Again, it is imperative to keep communities of interest together.
-----Original Message-----
From: Jim Black
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 7:15 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Deny the Patten Map Please Select MAP A (or the Chamber Map)

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am writing this morning to express my full support behind NOT making ANY changes to the current districting of San Luis Obispo County. Please do not adopt the Patten Map.

Jim Black
Atascadero
Stop the election steal with your farcicle redistricting map. Use the common sense SLO chamber map.

Public Records Notice: True
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors,

I have been a resident in the county since the 1960s. I am very concerned about the direction of the redistricting conversation.

An extremely partisan map that destroys existing district lines and breaks up established communities of interest is being promoted and will cause great damage. The Patten map is flawed and will alter the future of the county for decades. None of the proposed maps are perfect, but because the growth in our county has not changed much over the last ten years, the existing district lines are adequate as they currently stand and there isn’t a need to adopt a new map.

Please DENY the Patten map and support the SLO Chamber of Commerce map, or Map A or Map B.

Thank you.

Pandora Nash-Karner
From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 7:23 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 305

RedistrictingID 305
Form inserted 11/30/2021 7:22:03 AM
Form updated 11/30/2021 7:22:03 AM
First Name Morgan
Last Name Nolan
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Morro Bay
Zip 93442
Comment I support the Chamber redistricting map.
Public Records Notice True
Security has been disabled by the Redistricting team, so we are unable to view the comment in the redistricting system. We will not vote on these comments until they are reviewed and approved by the Redistricting team.

Please reject the "Patten" map and adopt the "2030" map for the San Luis Obispo County redistricting. Also, please weigh written comments equally to in person spoken comments. Not everyone can be present at the board meeting. Please keep the redistricting process as independent and non-partisan as possible. Thank you.
Reject they patently partisan Patten map. Los Osos and Morro Bay share the shore of Morro Bay and should remain in the same district.
Please make a sensible choice and reject the Patten map plan. I live in Santa Margarita and my children attended High School in Atascadero. Keeping together communities of interest dictates that Santa Margarita and Atascadero should not be in separate districts.
Dear Board of Supervisors,

I have been a resident of Atascadero for 42 years and very concerned with the redistricting process and feel the Patten Map is not representative of our community.
Please approve SLO Chamber of Commerce Map or Map or Map B

Sincerely,

Ron Ayers
-----Original Message-----
From: SLOKIDZ [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:14 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Support SLO Chamber map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am against the Patten map and object to gerrymandering. Keep fair and just elections by approving the SLO Chamber map.
Thanks, Jacquoline Williams

Sent from my iPhone
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:04 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Citizen input on Redistricting in SLO County

From: Julie Dunn
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:18 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Citizen input on Redistricting in SLO County

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I have been a resident of SLO County for over 25 years and am proud to call this county my home. As a resident I am very concerned with the redistricting process you are tasked to go through every 10 years using new census data. The best and most democratic action would be to create a nonpartisan commission to do this process, as it’s critical to ensure all citizens receive equal representation in the drawing of district lines.

I have studied this process in detail and the Patten Map does not do this! It blows up existing legal representation and communities of interest. It most likely will not survive a legal test. It is essential that SLO City, the largest city and hub of our county, be represented by three supervisors. There are three separate communities of interest in SLO City—CAL POLY and its surrounding housing, the business and industrial district, and the large area west of the 101 residential districts.

By breaking our geographical and communities of interest up in the adoption of the Patten Map you will be doing a great disservice to all citizens of this county. Because it does not follow clear state laws it will face legal challenges, not to mention how it will affect your political reputations.

Do the right thing and either approve the SLO Chamber of Commerce Map or Maps A or B developed by your own task force!

Because I am unable to attend the meeting on November 30th, please accept this as my input.

Respectfully,
Julie Dunn

Julie Dunn Fine Art
I oppose Redistricting and using the Patton Map. It serves no purpose to the residents of the north coast communities of Cambria, Cayucos, Morro Bay and Los Osos to split us apart as suggested by the Patton Map. My husband and I have lived in Cayucos for 50 years come 2022. Our coastal communities have strong ties together as north coast beach towns. PLEASE vote no on Redistricting and please DO NOT APPROVE THE PATTON MAP! Sincerely, Kalani Jackson Cayucos
Dear Board of Supervisors,

We would like to urge the Board to approve the SLO County 2030 Redistricting Map. The SLO Chamber of Commerce map meets all the criteria stipulated in the California Election Code, complies with both the US and California Constitutions, and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965. The 2030 map was created by a coalition of non-partisan business leaders from all the districts. The 2030 map takes into account the shared interests of the local communities, and also respects the natural boundaries of the coastal mountains.

The Patten map makes too many radical changes that will cause a significant number of voters in the 2022 election to be disenfranchised, while privileging another group. As residents of Nipomo, we are most concerned with the Patten map's removal of Oceano from District 4. Nipomo and Oceano are both part of the Guadalupe Dunes Complex and should remain together. They contain Oso Flaco and the Ocean Dunes State Vehicular Recreation Area. Nipomo & Oceano share the same concerns for not only air quality, but recreational and business opportunities too. Oceano should remain in District 4.

Again, we urge you to approve for the SLO County 2030 Map. It is the most equitable map that adheres most closely with the stipulated criteria for making changes to the districts.
Thank you for your consideration of our opinions.
Sincerely,
Marcia & Dale Johnson
Hello,

I am a resident of Arroyo Grande in district 4 of SLO county and I am writing today to express my strong support for the Chamber of Commerce district map. The other map makes no sense and will amount into voter suppression exacted by a minority who can't win elections on policy. Cindy Hahn

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 884704

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2876

Form inserted: 11/29/2021 4:58:10 PM

Form updated: 11/29/2021 4:58:10 PM
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:34 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: District 1 Supervisor John Peschong Question/Issue

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 4:59 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: District 1 Supervisor John Peschong Question/Issue

Topic: District 1 Supervisor John Peschong Question/Issue

Your Name: Leslie Donahue

Your Email [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: Please adopt the Richard Patten map. It meets most of the requirements of AB849 which the other map does not.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 257995

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2875

Form inserted: 11/29/2021 4:57:37 PM

Form updated: 11/29/2021 4:57:37 PM
From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:05 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT] Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:35 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT] Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cfcb3e49efb5841b0466308d9b4f51540%7C84c3c7747df40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637739786979167889%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJTBiI6Ik1h
awWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=T0Dz43U0ysij5XJT%2FB0bs7mGpl41Io0%2BHJRMClQ6C9k%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805) 781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: kcpettersen@msn.com
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 5:03 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT] Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board,

Theodore and Kathleen pettersen support the SLO County 2030 redistricting map.

Thank you for your service.

Sincerely, Theodore and Kathleen Pettersen

Sent from my iPhone
Redistricting ID: 310

Form inserted: 11/30/2021 8:34:22 AM
Form updated: 11/30/2021 8:34:22 AM
First Name: Isabel
Last Name: Tellez
Email: [REDACTED]
Phone: [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented: Arroyo Grande
City: Arroyo Grande
Zip: 93420

Comment: I have lived in Arroyo Grande for over 30 years as a home owner. I strongly OPPOSE THE PATTEN MAP and respectfully urge you to not vote it in. I believe it would be a disservice to our community and would cause unfair representation. The Patten map would be unacceptable and would be tear our County apart. I have always been proud to live in this beautiful community and feel that redistricting with the Patten map would be affect our County negatively. Again, I urge you to reject the Patten map.
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

To the Board of Supervisors, SLO County

Do the right thing and choose the SLO County 2030 map! This map meets the criteria specified in the California Election Code and complies with the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965.

It also respects local communities of interest in the north coastal areas of San Simeon, Cambria, Cayucos, Morro Bay and Los Osos as well as the connection between Nipomo and Oceano and the natural boundary of the coastal mountains. The Patten map does not respect these communities of interest.

Let’s not become a county of ONE color OVER the other. Your position is non-partisan. Vote for the SLO County 2030 map and protect your constituents from gerrymandering, which is happening in other parts of our country. SLO County is better than that. Your supporters deserve better than that.

Susan L. Hughes
Grover Beach, CA
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors:

I moved to Cambria in 1975, lived in Morro Bay from 1996 to 1997, and have lived in Cayucos since 1999. The idea that these communities should be split into three different districts (Patten Map): Cambria, Cayucos (and San Simeon) with Atascadero, Morro Bay in one and Los Osos in another makes no logical sense whatsoever. The “North Coast” is comprised of these communities. They share the same coastal environmental and economic issues. The mountain range makes a natural boundary between the coast and inland areas.

The Chamber of Commerce backed 2030 map is logical and appears to follow the criteria set forth in the law. It creates far less voting acceleration (approximately 9,100) and less deferrals (approximately 5,000 mostly on Cal Poly campus) than the Patten map. The Patten map’s radical redistricting lines will prevent approximately 26,000 coastal voters from having the right to choose their Supervisor in 2022 as established, while approximately 29,000 voters in Heritage Ranch, San Miguel, west of Paso Robles and all of Atascadero will get to vote in 2022 rather than 2024. That, in and of itself, is completely unfair, especially because there are other alternatives!
In educating myself about redistricting maps, I cannot see how Patten Map implementation can even be legal. I sure hope you all do the right thing. Choose non-partisanship and good sense, please.

A Registered Voter,
Rebecca Lee Adams
Maia G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:06 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Fw: against Patten map

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:35 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Fw: against Patten map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Peggy C. Papathakis
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 5:15 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Fw: against Patten map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

From: Peggy C. Papathakis
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 5:09 PM
To: redistricting@co.slo.ca.us <redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: against Patten map

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to ask the Board of Supervisors to dismiss the Patten map from consideration and select the remaining map, SLO County 2030, as the map to put in place for our county for the next 10 years.
The county has not grown in population or changed significantly to warrant such a significant change to district boundaries. The Patten map makes disruptive changes that are unnecessary and unfair to the residents of the affected areas. With the Patton map most residents would be separated them from their closest and logical communities of interest. It appears that the Patton map has been designed to favor one political party over another.

An analysis provided by the non-partisan League of Women Voters says, “It is instructive when looking at the deferrals and accelerations created by the Patten map to note the partisan inclination of those voters. 54% of voters who would have their vote accelerated voted “yes” in the September recall election, while only 33% of those having their vote deferred voted “yes”. Accelerated voters are primarily in areas that have voted Republican historically, while deferred voters are in more Democratic leaning areas. This raises the concern that the disparity in voting records points to a potential violation of Elections Code Sec. 21500(d).

If you feel you must change the district map, please accept the SLO County 2030 map.

home address: [Redacted]

Peggy C. Papathakis, PhD, RD (she/her)
Professor of Nutrition, Emeritus
Department of Food Science and Nutrition
California Polytechnic State University
San Luis Obispo, CA 93407

[Redacted]
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:36 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting - please select SLO County 2030 map Plan B

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Jan Kline
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 5:26 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]redistricting - please select SLO County 2030 map Plan B

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of District 4, I’m against the Patten map. In splitting Oceano off from Nipomo and Arroyo Grande, it's severing a long-standing area of common interest. The imbalance in accelerations and deferrals would effectively disenfranchise whole sections of the county. Simple ideals of fair play argue against this. The Patten map has a definite partisan lean; the 2030 map is more neutral.

Please, do the right and fair thing and adopt the much less disruptive 2030 map!

Thank you.

Janice Kline
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Kat Tuculet
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 5:44 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT] Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Re: Redistricting
Dear Supervisors,

My biggest concern is who thought elected officials redrawing the boundaries of their own districts was a good idea? Our SLO Board of Supervisors opted out of a nonpartisan citizen panel that would determine if and how changes would be made. So here we are, watching attempts to chip away at Democracy which is frightening.

I am certain there was no necessity to re-draw the district boundaries neither legally nor operationally. However, maps A and B maps which were discussed at the Nov 19 meeting kept most of the existing boundaries and made the fewest changes. Why were these maps that had widespread support dropped by the Board of Supervisors and left only two options, the revised Patten map and the map from the Chamber of Commerce. Given that choice, I am supporting the Chamber of Commerce.

There are several reasons for my opposition to the Patten map. Here are just a few.

According to the League of Women Voters research, the MAJORITY of voters in the county will now be in a new district and as many as 26,000 will not be eligible to vote in 2022 due to the changes of districts. Those deferred voters (people who would have been able to vote for supervisor in 2022 but cannot if the Patten district lines are put in place) are in Democratic leaning areas. On the other hand, 29,000 accelerated voters (the people who have an opportunity to vote twice for their supervisor in a 4 year cycle with the new Patten district lines) live in areas leaning Republican. Sounds like
gerrymandering to me and a violation of California Election Law 21500 “The board shall not adopt supervisorial district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party”
Besides disenfranchisement, the Patten map separates several communities of interest and groups other communities together that have absolutely nothing in common. Again a violation of California Election Law 21500, On the other hand, the 2030 map submitted by the Chamber of Commerce keeps San Simeon, Cambria, Cayucos, Morro Bay and Los Osos together and also maintains Oceano and Nipomo together.
I urge you to do the right thing for democracy.

Thank you,

Kat Tuculet

Sent from Mail for Windows
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:06 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

Expires: Wednesday, December 29, 2021 12:00 AM

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:36 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Shirley Xavier <arroyo.grande@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 5:48 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Since the population of San Luis Obispo County has only increased by a mere 3K+ over the last decade, it seems absolutely, totally unreasonable and "extremely political" to change or redistrict our county's boundary lines for Supervisors. I attended one of the League of Women Voters' meetings and it was even stated then that the action of adopting the Patten Map is one of the more radical choices being presented. Considering our populace is virtually the same as in 2010, it would seem smarter and certainly less costly to retain the boundaries that have already been in place for the past decade. Big change is NOT necessary. It only makes our county look more polarized than it already is and will generate more anxiety and anger.

Sincerely,
Shirley J. Xavier
Arroyo Grande
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:36 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 5:50 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Russell Hodin

Your Email:

U.S. phone number:

Message: Chairman Compton and Board Members Board of Supervisors County of San Luis Obispo, CA re: final district map November 29, 2021 Honorable Board, I strongly urge you to find the so-called SLO Chamber map, Map 75760, prepared by the San Luis Obispo Chamber of Commerce, to be the superior redistricting plan and that your board adopts it. The SLO Chamber map was prepared by a cross-section of county business leaders and so represents a thoughtful and conservative compromise of competing interests and points of view. It is the only map before you which can make these claims and therefore must be your reasoned and final adopted choice. Please take the conservative approach and adopt the SLO Chamber map before you today. Respectfully, Russell Hodin 1570 Hansen Lane San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 —

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 196090
BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2877

Form inserted: 11/29/2021 5:48:50 PM

Form updated: 11/29/2021 5:48:50 PM
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Friends,

I am writing to request that you vote to support the SLO County 2030 map in Tuesday's meeting. My reasons for this request are as follows:

1. The SLO County 2030 map makes minimal but needed changes and disrupts the fewest number of voters. I believe this is important so few voters will be pushed to new districts and may lose the opportunity to vote until a future election.

2. No map is perfect but the SLO County 2030 map keeps as many communities of interest together as possible. It also ensures that each Supervisor has rural and urban constituents. This is particularly important to ensure that agriculture, one of our leading industries, has a strong voice at the Board of Supervisors.
I realize that there is a lot of messaging around this important topic that will establish our voting districts for the next 10 years. Thank you all for your hard work and dedication on this process and hope you will be able to support my request to vote for the SLO County 2030 redistricting map.

Sincerely,

Jeff Buckingham

--

Jeff Buckingham

President/CCO
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:37 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 5:54 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: wendy ragan

Your Email [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number [REDACTED]

Message: About redistricting: Please keep the districts the same as they are with few changes. Mr Patton’s map appears to be no more than manipulation for political reasons. You are all in office to represent EVERYONE in this county, and to do what is in the best interest of our communities, not just what might get you re-elected.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 677754

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2878

Form inserted: 11/29/2021 5:53:46 PM
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:37 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 SLO County Redistricting meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Christine Parker-Kennedy
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 5:56 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 SLO County Redistricting meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Having attended the Nov 19th Redistricting hearing and studying the remaining two maps up for adoption, I feel informed and knowledgeable about the differences between those choices, and I am strongly in favor of the SLO County 2030 map, and strongly opposed to the Patten map.

Of primary concern to me is the fact that the extensive changes proposed by Mr. Patten are not necessary. The time and effort required to redraw the lines would be disruptive and costly; I think our resources can be put to much better use by making the minor changes proposed by the 2030 map. The fact that approximately 26,000 voters would be deferred in the 2022 election by the Patten map seems grossly unfair. In addition, the voters who would be accelerated by the Patten
changes have historically voted Republican, and this raises questions as to the potential violation of Elections Code Sec. 21500(d), which disallows the adoption of boundaries that could discriminate against any political party.

Please vote for the adoption of the 2030 map to avoid the suggestion of partisan politics. I trust that you will make the wisest decision for our county.

Respectfully,
Christine L. Parker-Kennedy
Paso Robles
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

I am writing to urge the San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors to choose map 2030. I am supporting this plan because it makes fewer changes to the current maps and would be less disruptive. Map 2030 also appears to meet all the criteria in the California Election Code.

I oppose the Patten map because it makes more radical changes to the present district lines.
I have lived in Cambria for 32 years.

Thank you for you close attention to this important matter.

Barbara R. Snyder
Dividing the North Coast into three districts, as the Patten map would do, clearly violates this guideline of keeping together communities of interest. I support the SLO County 2030 map because it best meets this guideline.

Thanks for your consideration.

Vickie Rabourn
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:37 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]In support of SLO County 2030 Map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Erica Castello
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 6:01 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]In support of SLO County 2030 Map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Erica Castello. I moved to Arroyo Grande with my family in 1998 and I currently live in the foothills of Nipomo.

I join the League of Women Voters in supporting the SLO County 2030 Map. The culture and communities of Nipomo and Arroyo Grande are forever tied to Oceano and the Oceano dunes. Kids from Oceano go to Arroyo Grande High School. Residents of the Nipomo mesa are greatly affected by policies that apply to the Oceano Dunes. We want our district to represent the real relationships that exist between our communities and community members. Compared to the Patten Map, the 2030 Map is much less disruptive to the district lines and minimizes the number of voters who would not be able to vote for a supervisor in 2022.

While I believe fully and firmly in non-violent pathways to public safety, I am grateful for the courage and character demonstrated by former Police Chiefs Jim Gardiner and Rick TerBorch in speaking out against the proposed Patten map. I urge the Board to respect and heed their many years of experience with the best - and worst - of what SLO County has to offer.

To each of you on the Board, I trust that God or the Universe (whichever language you prefer) has placed you here for a
reason and that is to faithfully represent not only the will of your constituents but also the spirit of freedom and democracy: a beautiful force which calls all of us to go above and beyond our personal and professional interests to make decisions that truly come from the heart; trusting, for instance, that if we do not win re-election or maintain our public positions of power, it is because greater, sweeter possibilities await us. I know this Board will rise to the occasion.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Erica
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:38 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]November 30 Redistricting meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Vicki Bookless  
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 6:05 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]November 30 Redistricting meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors of San Luis Obispo County

I am NOT in favor of the Patten map. It’s boundary changes are too disruptive to too many of our communities of interest, including mine. However, I am happy with, and support, the San Luis Obispo County 2030 Map.

Thank you for considering my thoughts on this very serious decision you have chosen to make.

Sincerely,
Vicki Bookless
San Luis Obispo
District 2
To the Honorable Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to use the Chamber of Commerce map for SLO County district lines, which are fully compliant with election laws. This map efficiently and fairly balances geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration, unlike the Patton redistricting map, which does not.

Please do the right thing to represent all county residents properly and use the Chamber of Commerce plan, NOT the Patton plan, which does not.

Best regards to you and yours,

Joan Henry
San Luis Obispo County Resident
Dividing the North Coast into three districts, as the Patten map would do, clearly violates the guideline that stipulates communities of interest should be kept together. I support the SLO County 2030 map because it best meets this guideline.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jim Rabourn
Dear SLO Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly support the SLO Chamber map for redistricting. The SLO Chamber map is broadly supported, follows established guidelines on how to fairly draw political districts, and perhaps most importantly at this specific moment, preserves a more neutral status quo. SLO County deserves fairly drawn lines that do not dilute the political power of one group, in this case Democrats.

I understand that no map can ever be drawn perfectly, to the satisfaction of all. If there is true interest in some of the details of how to better draw these lines, I am happy to join a citizen’s committee to look into these issues, to be ready for the next redistricting. I’m confident we can come together and find solutions that work for everybody.

Thank you,
Michael Sumner
Los Osos resident
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:39 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]District Lines

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C38a4680b8066462a803608d9b4f58cd5%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637739788980059050%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Il9uTl9URV0%3D%7C3000&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: THOMAS PAYNE
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 6:25 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Thomas L. Payne <tpayne102@aol.com>
Subject: [EXT]District Lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board, I strongly encourage you to maintain the current district lines, which you may be considering to change. As they are, the current lines fairly and efficiently balance geography, population, communities of interest and political registration.

Thomas L. Payne
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:08 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:39 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C0a7f502104fb4d11783308d9b4f59387%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C637739789090664208%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyjWIjojMC4wLjAwMDA1LCJQIjoiV2luMzlilCJBTi6lk1hawWiLCJcI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=I5piDo9DCvHkWJ8cQ0wXVeIlXPKI547kr73gfQfyM%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Holly Ludwigson <******@
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 6:27 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am against any changes that would affect the current districting map for Cambria, Morro Bay, Los Osos, Cayucos. The district’s population has not grown enough to warrant any changed to current districting.

Sincerely,
Holly G.Ludwigson
Homeowner and full time resident
Cambria

Sent from my iPhone
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:39 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Patten Map is NOT best for SLO County

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C0124df6da443404d84d608d9b4f59952%7C84c3c7747f40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7Cmc6377397891862792205%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMdlTliliLCJBTiI6Ik1h
aWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=7eB1sPMuEtSVDRtuJrkEiE1nVGwn5SIl%2F6xMwtXzO2o%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Ellen Perryess
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 6:30 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: tribune <letters@thetribunenews.com>
Subject: [EXT]Patten Map is NOT best for SLO County

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I ask that you do your best to look beyond party and personal interests and oppose the Patten Map.

I live in Los Osos and the Patten Map would take away my right to vote in the 2022 election and thereby take away my representation; it would also give other county residents greater representation by giving them the opportunity to vote twice in the 4 year election cycle.  This is not democracy, this is not good governance.

Thank you in advance for attending to your true moral compass and opposing the Patten Map.

Sincerely,
Ellen S Perryess
Dear SLO Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong support for the SLO Chamber of Commerce map for redistricting. The SLO Chamber map follows established guidelines on how to fairly draw political districts, and preserves a more neutral status quo that SLO county deserves.

Please do what is best for SLO county and approve the SLO Chamber of Commerce district map.

Thank you,

Mary West
SLO-county born and current Los Osos, CA resident
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Cambrian for 28 years my name is Delphine Vega, I am a home and property owner located in Cambria California and currently within District #2. I intend to stay within District #2 and related Coastal Communities without allowing these 3 supervisors to dilute our vote by forcing Atascadero, an agricultural group, into this coastal district. I want to make it clear there is NO LEGAL way to force The Patten, redistricting gerrymandering map on us without a legal battle in the very near future. Simply put, this so called Patten map is clearly illegal and as such any BOS member trying to force this on our coastal town to further their partisan agenda will be brought to light for such practice.

Please read the article from The Tribune (below) regarding this redistricting power grab and please know - we see you and we know what you are doing

- Lynn Compton
- John Peschong
- Debbie Arnold

Vote: For the reasonable legal plan, The San Luis Obispo Chamber of Commerce Plan.
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:41 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Choose LO County 2030 Map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Ben Charlesworth (Los Osos Resident)
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 6:39 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Choose LO County 2030 Map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please go with the SLO County 2030 Map. I’m concerned that the other option on the table will cause splits in the community I live in. Separating Los Osos, Morro, and Cayucos would be harmful to these beach communities.

Best wishes,

Ben Charlesworth (Los Osos Resident)
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:09 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:41 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Chester Perryess
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 6:41 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I’ve hopes my thoughts regarding redistricting will help as you tackle this thorny problem.

Many citizens have pointed out that both maps being considered have their weaknesses. True. More importantly, though, the Patten Map would take away Los Ososans’ & others’ right to vote in the 2022 election. Additionally, it would skew representation so that a conservative minority in population would result in a conservative majority on the board. That’s not democracy.

I ask that you do your best to look beyond party and personal interests and oppose the Patten Map. The map drawn by the SLO Chamber of Commerce seems the only choice.

Thanks in advance for doing what you know in your heart is right.

Sincerely,
CS Perryess
To our elected representatives as the SLO County Board of Supervisors,

I have lived in San Luis Obispo for 35 years, and was born in Santa Barbara County. I am a California native with deep roots, and also a member of yak tityu tityu yak tiłhini – Northern Chumash Tribe San Luis Obispo County and Region.

I am extremely concerned with the decision that you will be making tomorrow, as it will obviously be impacting our future for years to come. I am asking that you adopt the SLO Chamber plan. The Patten Map has so many issues that have been elaborated and spelled out already. I am making this sweet and simple for you to hear my voice.

I would be there tomorrow, but have conflicting meetings.

I wish you all well and surely hope you do the correct thing.

Sincerely,

Wendy Lucas
San Luis Obispo, CA
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Dear Supervisors,

As a 31 year resident and business leader in San Luis Obispo County, I strongly support the SLO County 2030 Map that was developed with the local Chamber of Commerce. It is appropriate and was created in response to the minor shifts in population the County has recently undergone.

I urge you all to vote for this redistricting map and not the Patten map which seems to be an extreme change from our current district mapping.

Thank you,
Michelle McCovey-Good, P.E.
Principal | CEO

100% Employee Owned

San Luis Obispo • Pasadena • Santa Barbara •
Northern CA
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:42 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT] Opposition to Patten map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

We can’t make the meeting tomorrow. But wanted to let you know we are opposed to the Patten mapping.
Thank you

Diane and Kevin Felgenhauer

Sent from my iPhone
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:42 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cea23edbe2b9545cadd5608d9b4f5ce5%7C84c3c7747fd40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637739790095181272%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJwIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliliLCJBTiI6Ik1hdWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sa=safelinks&rd
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: seaglassnursery (null) [redacted]
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 6:50 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I have lived in the South County if SLO County since 1979 and have been in agriculture for over 40 years. I have been involved with several community organization, many boards and am past president of the Arroyo Grande Lions.

I encourage you to choose staff’s recommendation and not drastically change the district boundries.

I especially am opposed to the Patton version.

Best regards,

Daniel Jones
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:42 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmsgbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C2d70fcacf1d3427f33cd08d9b4f5d3ab%7C84c3c7747f40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C677397901651693357CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=a1G8fHTL8rl9%2FO0AFc2az4X8AAU%2ByyCSYXHswDYx!Sc%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Cynthia Gaulin
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 7:00 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am writing to express my preference for the SLO County 2030 map.

Cynthia A. Gaulin
District 3

Sent from my iPhone
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:10 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Input for Redrawing County Board of Supervisors District Map

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:42 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Input for Redrawing County Board of Supervisors District Map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Judy King
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 7:02 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Input for Redrawing County Board of Supervisors District Map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board Members,

I am a resident of San Luis Obispo County and have been watching the district map selection with some concern. I work full time, and have not been able to attend a meeting, but would like to voice my support for the SLO Count 2030 map, which was presented by the Chamber of Commerce.

This map is the most fair to the county as a whole, and causes the least disruption. I feel that the idea of keeping communities of interest together makes far more sense with this map, than the other option. Specifically, including the North Coast with the inland North County does not make sense at all.

I strongly disapprove of the Patten map. In my opinion, this is a power grab, specifically as an attempt to artificially cement a conservative majority for years to come. With a minimal population change over the last decade, this level of change is unacceptable.

Thank you for considering my input.

Regards,
Judy King
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:11 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]2030 county map

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:42 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]2030 county map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Martine Imboden Balseiro
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 7:15 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]2030 county map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thanks to Molly Kern, I think it makes total sense to approve this map. Thanks a bunch, Martine Imboden
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

How can I put in a word for the county map I’d prefer? As a resident of SLO County since 1993 I feel like I have a good perspective about this, and would like to vote for the SLO County 2030 Map.

Jessica Ray
--
Sent from my clumsy thumbs
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagsbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C7C%73d213306601420c069608d9b4f5f19e%7C84c3c7747df40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C673739790668989095%7CUnknown%7C67FpbGZsb3d8eyjWijoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQjoiV21uMziiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=ycYhhnu%2FfRPFTtnYVZ8Rq10jTPCC7HxspkonpNTRFY0%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the SLO County Board of Supervisors:

I was very disappointed to see that the Patten proposal for redistricting has been selected as one of the two plans being considered for SLO County. I view it as a extremely political plan that does not represent the will of the majority of SLO county citizens.

Because our county has had very little change in the census for our area, I strongly suggest that the decision be made to leave the boundaries as they are. This would be a much more representative and fair for all of our citizens.

Thank you,
Janice Duffy
Pismo Beach, ca
-----Original Message-----
From: Tom Bolton
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:45 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Supporting the Patten map here. Speaking today at BOS.

Sent from my iPhone
-----Original Message-----
From: Admin <admin@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:46 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your information. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maria Brown
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Administrative Office, County of San Luis Obispo
1055 Monterey St., Ste. D430 | San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
Tel: (805) 781-5011 | Fax: (805) 781-5023 Website | Facebook | Twitter | YouTube | LinkedIn

-----Original Message-----
From: Linda Ashworth <lkashworth@mac.com>
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 9:19 PM
To: Admin <admin@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I would appreciate if you would forward this email to all five of the county board of supervisors.

Thank you,
Linda Ashworth
Morro Bay

Dear board member,
I am writing to share my opinion on the current redistricting process here in the county. While I know you all don’t represent me, I do care about how you all will be voting on this important issue.

As a nearly 20 year resident in the county (Los Osos and Morro Bay), it has never really bothered me that SLO had three supervisors representing parts of the city. After all, it does have the largest population.

I do think that different regions of our county share issues. For example, South County, North County, and the coast. And I strongly object to the Patten map that would separate the three closest co-located towns right on the coast: Morro Bay, Los Osos, and Cayucos. Similarly, separating Atascadero from Santa Margarita makes a little sense to me.
Please do not vote for the Patten map. It is a step backwards.

Thank you,
Linda Ashworth
Morro Bay
From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:01 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 311

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RedistrictingID</th>
<th>311</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Form inserted</td>
<td>11/30/2021 9:00:25 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form updated</td>
<td>11/30/2021 9:00:25 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Name</td>
<td>Keith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Evans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Organization Represented</td>
<td>Self</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>San Luis Obispo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip</td>
<td>93405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>The map drawn by the chamber of commerce Map 75760, should be selected because it places communities of interest together.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Records Notice</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
-----Original Message-----
From: Patte Nolen
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 2:06 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Choice 1: plan supported by Republican party (major changes) Choice 2: plan supported by SLO Chamber of Commerce (minor changes)

In the words of the Master Jesus “Choose this day whom you will serve.”

Patricia Nolen
Sent from my iPad
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:16 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 7:26 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Hazel Forrest

Your Email: [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number:

Message: Please appt the Richard Patten map

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 703132

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2879

Form inserted: 11/29/2021 7:25:17 PM

Form updated: 11/29/2021 7:25:17 PM
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

With a big decision looming tomorrow morning over these two redistricting maps, it is very clear that the Patten map is a partisan effort, an extreme deviation from our current district boundaries, and appears to hold no other purpose than to try to orchestrate election results for the next decade.

Based on the census results, it's my understanding that making changes to the boundaries wasn't even necessary, so choosing a radically altered district map is inappropriate and extremely shady. It is shocking to see what looks like an attempt at gerrymandering in my own backyard.

Please do the ethical thing and choose the Chamber of Commerce's nonpartisan map that involved the efforts of citizens from every community/district. It is well thought out, has input from all sides, keeps the current district lines relatively similar, and stays in line with the redistricting laws of maintaining communities of interest over city boundaries.

Thank you for your time and please vote fair and ethically tomorrow by choosing the Chamber map.
Registered voter and San Luis Obispo County resident
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:16 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Marta Peluso
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 7:35 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I urge you to adopt the SLO County 2030 redistricting map and reject the Patten map. The 2030 map respects local communities of interest in the north coastal areas of San Simeon, Cambria, Cayucos, Morro Bay and Los Osos as well as the connection between Nipomo and Oceano. It also respects the natural boundary of the coastal mountains. The Patten map does not respect these communities of interest. Marta Peluso

Marta Peluso
San Luis Obispo, California
To the Board,

I am writing once again to ask you to leave the redistricting maps as is. There is no need to rearrange things in this county at this time. I wrote earlier to Lynn Compton asking her to not vote to make any changes, but never heard her speak that in the last meeting. I am one of her constituents in Oceano and I want the redistricting changes to stop.

I am unable to attend a meeting since I am a teacher and can not make a 9 am meeting, but believe me I would be speaking in person if I could have. Please accept this letter as one who is AGAINST any changes being made to the district maps.

Please listen this time and do the right thing,
Jana Hunstad-Sarver
Oceano
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:16 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cce7d694ada7c4e4374c108d9b4f63c2f%7C84c3c7747fddf0e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637739791930644661%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoIC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1hdXRvIiwiXCi6Ik1hdXRvIiwiXVwiIiwibGFuZ3VhcyI6IkNLVUEiLCJ3aWR0aFwiIiwibG9iX1wiIiwiaXNzIjoiX2NyZWF0aW9uIiwicGxhY2QiOiJpb3YuY29tIiwiaXNzIiwiX2F1dGhvc2giOlsidXJsIiwic3RyaW5ncm91bmQiOlsiY3JvdXQifX0%3D%3D&resv=0

-----Original Message-----
From: Susan Dodd
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 7:44 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear supervisors,

I want to say I do not support the Patten redistricting map. As a homeowner in Cayucos I feel that the needs of my community cannot be coherently considered when separated from Morro Bay and Los Osos. I do however fully support the map drawn up by the SLO County. Thank you for considering my opinion.

Susan Dodd
93430
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,
We have lived in Arroyo Grande for over 28 years, and this is the first time we have written a letter to the Board of Supervisors. We are very dismayed by the proposed Patten redistricting map which separates communities of interest and appears to be blatant gerrymandering. We ask you to support the fairer and more reasonable SLO County 2030 map proposed by the nonpartisan Chamber of Commerce. We urge you to act in the interest of the entire county and avoid partisan politics by voting for the SLO County 2030 map.
Thank you,
Roger and Nancy Vogler
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:17 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 8:01 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Ronda OBrien

Your [redacted]

U.S. phone number: [redacted]

Message: As a resident and Realtor in SLO County, I am urging each board member to adopt the Richard Patten map in the redistricting hearing. I would appreciate your consideration and a response via email. Thank you!

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 324682

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2880

Form inserted: 11/29/2021 8:00:56 PM

Form updated: 11/29/2021 8:00:56 PM
From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:14 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Maps

-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:17 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Maps

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C46971a76334f4f84da6c08d9b4f64bc1%7C84c3c7747f0e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637739792180288355%7CUnknown%7C%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWLjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJCQjoiV2luMziiLCJBTiI6Ik1hdawiLCIXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=%2FDKiBcAWGLL1CBVfSF3ZBcna8c6LN7uiGnd%2FC7bxw%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Lynn Walti
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 8:03 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I want to express my support for leaving the present supervisor districts as they are. There is no need for change at this time. If change is made, please adopt the plan recommended by the Chamber of Commerce. The Patten plan supported by the Republican Party is nonsensical, and an obvious attempt to gerrymander districts to keep Republicans in power. I hope the supervisors do the right things and adopt a plan that benefits all residents of San Luis Obispo County. Thank you. Lynn Janet Walti, Templeton, Ca.

Sent from my iPad
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:14 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:17 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Tom Harrington
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 8:06 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Honorable Supervisors,

I do not support the Patten Plan for redistricting. It is nothing more than a plan to parcel up the County for the advantage of one party, one person. A childish attempt to cheat to win because there is no other way. This is not what the people of this district want.

Please do not cave into this dishonesty.

Tom Harrington
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:18 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C466b551d57a541ff100608d9b4f656ee%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637739792371817187%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eiyJWljoIC4wlAwMDAiiLCJQljoieV2luMziLCJ8Tll6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=P0%2F8270Cv3fzALPk3%2FuZY1%2BbePJvHBFw7Uwo9bbm4M%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Mimi Rice
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 8:25 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Support SLO County 2030 plan.

Joan Rice
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:18 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Maps

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please don't vote for the Patten map. Read the Tribune editorial and vote responsibly.
From: Redistricting  
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:14 AM  
To: Maria G. Brown  
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>  
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:18 AM  
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Marie Estrada  
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential  
Board of Supervisors  
www.slocounty.ca.gov  
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>  
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 8:32 PM  
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>  
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Carolyn Smith

Your Email: [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: I urge the Board to vote for the Patten District map at the November 30, 2021 meeting.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 367424

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2881

Form inserted: 11/29/2021 8:31:23 PM

Form updated: 11/29/2021 8:31:23 PM
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:15 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting - NO ON PATTEN MAP

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:18 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting - NO ON PATTEN MAP

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Satia Widmann
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 8:31 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting - NO ON PATTEN MAP

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To: Board of Supervisors

I am writing this in the interest of myself, my community, my state, and democracy. The consideration of redistricting is based on the following: California Election Law 21500, which says a community of interest is “a population that shares common social or economic interests” and “should be included within a single supervisorial district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates."

The SLO County 2030 Map does not go explicitly against the spirit of this law, whereas the Patten Map clearly does, effectively isolating and disenfranchising communities and voters.

I urge you strongly to vote for the SLO County 2030 map and NOT the Patten Map. Do the Right thing, please.

Sincerely,
Satia Widmann
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:18 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT] Supervisors redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C8cdb41b2281f446f5ae708d9bcf67004%7C84c3c7747f7f40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637739792789556070%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eeyJWljoMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQljoV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXViI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=YNmteTlZ1umDe3I0s5%2FrSYqyiciKQYx%2FTY1yZN3WVm08%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Sandy and John Dunn
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 8:40 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT] Supervisors redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board Members, the so-called Patten map has at least three fatal flaws from the perspective of communities of interest, the fractionalization of the north coast communities, the separation of Oceano from Nipomo, and separating part of Atascadero from other North County jurisdictions. Please don’t do that to our beloved county.

Thank you,

John Dunn

Sent from my iPhone
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:19 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 8:46 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business
Your Name: Tisha Leigh Breda
Your Email: [REDACTED]
U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]
Message: North Coast should be united. Don’t sacrifice us for short term goals. Appreciate what we have - who we are. No to the Patton map.
Public Records Notice: True
Security Check: 976180
BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2882
Form inserted: 11/29/2021 8:44:49 PM
Form updated: 11/29/2021 8:44:49 PM
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:19 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 8:48 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Rita Johnson

Your Email

U.S. phone number:

Message: I know you are voting on a new districting map tomorrow morning. I am president of Morro bay and as such can not imagine a redistricting that would separate Morro Bay and Los Oso.. It appears that the other map drawn by someone in south county is unaware of the geography around here. Please use common sense in voting for the interests of the entire county. The map drawn by the chamber seems most reasonable. Think carefully and considerably. Rita Johnson

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 292650

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2883
Form inserted: 11/29/2021 8:46:47 PM
Form updated: 11/29/2021 8:46:47 PM
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:19 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting comment

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Chelsea Dixon
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 8:48 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting comment

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I’m writing to request we use the “SLO County 2030” map to ensure we are not making major changes to the districts that may result in broken community interest and disenfranchised voters. My understanding is that these maps were drawn without the input of an impartial expert. I do not think it wise or fair to make the drastic changes (that may well affect people’s voting power) in the Patton map without that input.

Thank you,
Chelsea Dixon
93401

Sent from my iPhone
I strongly oppose the proposed Pattern map. The currently proposed Pattern map would radically alter current district lines, creating dubious impacts, especially on SLO & north coast communities. The Pattern map seems clearly designed for only one purpose, to gain partisan advantage. This is against the spirit & letter of California Election Law 21500, which states: The board shall not adopt supervisory district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party. The Pattern map disenfranchises thousands of county voters & by far more Democrats than Republicans. The Pattern map accelerates opportunities for Republicans to vote again in 2022 & requires many Democrats who should be allowed to vote in 2022 to have to wait until 2024. I live in San Luis Obispo, & the Pattern map would reduce the number of SLO districts from three to two, & radically departs from true SLO boundaries. It perpetuates inequality in representation & leaves the SLO district marginalized.

I do support the SLO County 2030 Map, which moderately updates existing boundaries, and is both fair and not partisan.
Please reject the proposed Pattern Map. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ynana Rose Zovich

Ynana Rose Music
Folk/Americana

Award winning songwriter, New Times Music Awards, Dallas Songwriters Association, American Songwriter Magazine

YouTube: Ynana Rose
Instagram: @ynanarose
Facebook: Ynana Rose Music
Spotify: Ynana Rose
Pandora: Click to listen
Twitter: Ynana Rose

"Instructions for living a life: Pay attention. Be astonished. Tell about it." - Mary Oliver
From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:16 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:20 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

We strongly Object to the proposed redistributing of The SLO County map that looks like gerrymandering for the purpose of keeping the Republican majority on the Board of Supervisors. It’s a dirty trick and should not be allowed.
Pete and Kathleen Fisher
Arroyo Grande

Sent from my iPad
From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:16 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]I'm vehemently against the Patten map

-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:20 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]I’m vehemently against the Patten map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C82d13c95e0f94a95f1cf08d9b4f69c1e%7C84c3c7747fd40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637739793548171858%7CUnknwon%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%3D&amp;sdata=GxmQYnhvsbQtKUl%2FLz0Mi1ODn85TzRBo23jiFSOys%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Shane Stoneman
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 8:54 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]I’m vehemently against the Patten map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,
As a resident and homeowner in Los Osos, I commute daily through Morro Bay to my little shop in Cayucos where I work. It seems ludicrous that these three beach communities would be broken up into different districts. I am deeply upset that the Patten plan would do this and instead lump us in with Avila (where we very rarely visit). Also, I am dismayed that you would try to take away our ability to vote for leadership in the next election.

I repeat, I do not want you to take away my right to vote for leadership in 2022. For these reasons I ask you to not use the Patten map. It’s a disgraceful corruption of local government and democracy.

Thank you,
Michael Shane Stoneman

Sent from my iPhone
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the board of supervisors:
I am a Los Osos resident, home owner, local business owner and I send my children to public school.
Please let it be known that I support the SLO County 2030 map and NOT the Patten map.
After reviewing the Patten map, it appears that it does not adhere to Elections Code Sec. 21500(d) and would NOT be a fair redistricting.

Steve Super
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:20 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]NOV 30th BOS Hearing: LEGALITIES OF REDISTRICTING AND VIOLATIONS OF THE PATTON MAP

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Julie Richardson <julie Richardson@email.com>
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 8:56 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; email@ncacslo.org
Subject: [EXT]NOV 30th BOS Hearing: LEGALITIES OF REDISTRICTING AND VIOLATIONS OF THE PATTON MAP

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

TO:
SLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
FROM: JULIE A. RICHARDSON, CAMBRIA, CALIFORNIA
REGISTERED VOTER
RE: NOVEMBER 30TH BOS HEARING: VOTE FOR "SLO COUNTY 2030"


The Patton Map:
- Negates and ignores the very definition of communities of interest;
- Disregards natural geographic boundaries;
- Decimates the voices of local communities of interest;
- Subjugates voters in favor of distant populations;
- In its deferrals and acceleration in voting is a clear violation of the Voting Rights Act of 1965.
The SLO County 2030 Map:
-First and foremost protects the maximum number of voters, regardless of their political affiliation, by ensuring their vote in the next supervisorial election. Quite frankly, No group should be eliminated from the next election.
-Reverses communities of interest and respects their protection under law;
-Recognizes natural geographic boundaries;
-Upholds the geographic compactness guideline of communities and does not subordinate their vote or voice to distant populations.

We stand with you in choosing the SLO County 2030 Map for it most upholds the California Elections Code, US Constitution, California Constitution and the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

Thank you.

Please see below direct comments (in red) on the compliance with the California Election Code (italic).

**ELECTIONS CODE - ELEC**
**DIVISION 21. STATE AND LOCAL REAPPORTIONMENT [21000 - 23004]**

-21500: ...the supervisorial districts shall be substantially equal in population as required by the United States Constitution.
- The existing districts are equal in population per the census.

(2) To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division.

-Grouping the northern and coastal communities with those inland agricultural communities directly compromises the geographic integrity of these communities and is a violation of the above provision.

The definition is clear:
A “community of interest” is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single supervisorial district for purposes of its effective and fair representation....

(4) Supervisorial district boundaries should be easily identifiable and understandable by residents. To the extent practicable, supervisorial districts shall be bounded by natural and artificial barriers, by streets, or by the boundaries of the county.

-The ridge of the coastal range between Paso Robles / Atascadero and the Northern Coastal Communities is a clear geographical boundary.

(5) To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria in this subdivision, supervisorial districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations.

-The Patton Map is a direct violation of this provision subjugating voters on multiple fronts in favor of more distant populations.
The board shall adopt supervisorial district boundaries that comply with the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.).

- It is your responsibility to ensure that there are no orphaned districts and every registered voter in SLO County has not only the right, but also the opportunity to vote in the next County Supervisors election in 2022 or 2024, respectively.
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Ccmagbrown%40slo.co.ca.us%7C6b54b7f7247143842d0208d9b4f66aaf6%7C84c3c7747df40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637739737827292%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1haVwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D&amp;sdata=XGqlw%2F6fzzVRMBhdLadE2T2f9OnkF1mk3zFgk1R3yP0%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please do not change the configuration. It is not necessary and does not serve the voters in any way.
Sent from my iPhone
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Message: I do NOT WANT our county to be redistricted. It is not necessary and smacks of gerrymandering especially since a committee of citizens was not selected to redraw the maps.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 792592

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2884

Form inserted: 11/29/2021 9:12:31 PM

Form updated: 11/29/2021 9:12:31 PM
From: Maria G. Brown
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:17 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:21 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 9:31 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Ellen M Nelson

Your [redacted]

U.S. phone number [redacted]

Message: I am very concerned about the redistricting currently being considered for supervisor districts... the Patten map appears to be highly partisan and clearly violates the law’s requirements to keep communities of interest intact. I live in the coastal town of Los Osos, and we clearly have more in common with Morro Bay, Cayucos and Cambria to the north than with Avila... Our high school students attend Morro Bay High School... It certainly appears to be contrived to split the city of San Luis Obispo into 3 districts. Please try to respect the basic tenets of representative democracy and select the reasonable and fair Chamber of Commerce map. Thank you for your consideration, Ellen Nelson 2249 Inyo St Los Osos CA 93402

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 976670
BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2885

Form inserted: 11/29/2021 9:29:46 PM

Form updated: 11/29/2021 9:29:46 PM
From Board of Supervisors <Boardofsup@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:21 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 9:31 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsup@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear SLO County Supervisors:

I encourage you to support and endorse Plan B among the options related to the county map. I also encourage you to support and adopt the 2030 map among options related to SLO county redistricting.

These options best support the goals of providing fair and representative government for all SLO residents.

Sincerely,

Cornel N. Morton
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Message: I have been a resident of San Luis Obispo County for the last twenty years. I support the Richard Patten redistricting map as is has the most equitable population distribution, keeps the cities whole, and maintains communities of common interest.
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

To: San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors.

There was no real need to change the structure of the districts in San Luis Obispo and yet you are doing it. The question is "why?". The answer is to sweeten the package for the Republican Party. After being a registered Republican for 50 years, I changed my affiliation to No Party Preference. I am neither a Republican or a Democrat but simply an American. At this time, the best plan now is the one created by the Chamber of Commerce. The worst of all the plans considered is the Patten map. It was the worst of all possible maps presented and yet it is under final consideration. Do the right thing. Don't make this about politics. Vote for the plan that best represents all of the people of San Luis Obispo County. Yes on Plan B and No on the Patten map.

Virginia Drew
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:17 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please adopt the SLO Chamber-crafted County 2030 Redistricting Map

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:21 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please adopt the SLO Chamber-crafted County 2030 Redistricting Map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Lynne Biddinger
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 9:36 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Please adopt the SLO Chamber-crafted County 2030 Redistricting Map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Chair Compton and SLO County Board of Supervisors,

As a resident of Atascadero, I urge you to vote for the proposed SLO Chamber-crafted 2030 redistricting map.

This map is built on existing industry clusters, geography and cultural factors that are vital to keep moving our economy forward.

It meets the legal requirements and takes into account the challenges and opportunities faced by each of the unique, vibrant communities throughout our County.

Thank you for considering the business community’s perspectives for the overall health of our community.

Respectfully,
Lynne Biddinger

................................

Lynne Biddinger
From: Maria G. Brown
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:17 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:21 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 9:43 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Ryan Harrison

U.S. phone number: 

Message: I support the Richard Patten redistricting map.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 684673

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2887

Form inserted: 11/29/2021 9:42:43 PM
Form updated: 11/29/2021 9:42:43 PM
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:22 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]SLO County 2030 Map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Ccbb333ae267a47b49ac908d9b4f6cd34%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637739794351154228%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eeyJWljoiMC4wLjAwMDAilLCJQljoiv2luMzliliLCJBTiI6Ik1hcWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;data=AqCKPeXFV1oGoFoHTPEgG5PwPzqC2dAjOHcAFNU4U%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Aden Ferillo [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 9:52 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]SLO County 2030 Map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hi,
As a resident of SLO County, I voice my support for the SLO County 2030 Map.

Thank you
Aden Ferillo
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:22 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Molly Wyer
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 9:52 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello,

As a woman who was born and raised in SLO county and a current resident of San Luis Obispo, I would like to voice my support for the Chamber of Commerce's SLO County 2030 Map. I feel this proposed arrangement has the most insight into our unique communities and groups them together most appropriately.

Thank you,

Molly Wyer
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

In reference to the November 30 redistricting meeting, I live in District 2, and am in support of the "SLO County 2030 map".

I remind you of one of the county's vision statements.

A Healthy Community
The County will strive to ensure that all people in our community enjoy healthy, successful and productive lives, and have access to the basic necessities.

There is one area we can all agree on, requiring full representation -- transportation - "having access". Multiple districts overlapping the City of SLO offer fair representation.

Vote for the "SLO County 2030 map".

Paul Garth
District 2
San Luis Obispo County
-----Original Message-----
From: Kim Lisagor Bisheff 
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 10:05 PM  
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us> 
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

I writing to ask you to support the SLO County 2030 map, the only map under consideration that honors California election law. It respects communities of interest and natural boundaries, and it allows all SLO County voters to participate in the upcoming supervisor election. The Patten map would disenfranchise 26,000 voters, an outcome that was clearly designed for partisan advantage. Let’s keep this process out of the courts. Please make the responsible choice.

Kimberly Bisheff 
San Luis Obispo
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsupvs@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:22 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Concern about the pattern map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Genevieve Smith
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 10:06 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsupvs@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Concern about the pattern map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Genevieve Smith and I am a resident of Los Osos, California. I am very concerned about the potential redistricting under the proposed pattern map. It seems clear to me that the pattern map is designed to gain partisan advantage and that it will disenfranchise thousands of voters, most of which are Democrats.

I also believe that the north coast communities should remain in the same district (D2), due to shared communities of interest. It does not make sense to group Los Osos with Avila, when Los Osos is much closer and has more shared community interest with Morro Bay.

I am not able to attend the meeting tomorrow in San Luis Obispo, but I hope my concerns will be addressed.

Kind regards,
Genevieve Elizabeth Smith
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From:</th>
<th>Board of Supervisors <a href="mailto:Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us">Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sent:</td>
<td>Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:22 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To:</td>
<td>Redistricting <a href="mailto:Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us">Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject:</td>
<td>FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From:</th>
<th>Web Notifications <a href="mailto:webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us">webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us</a></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sent:</td>
<td>Monday, November 29, 2021 10:12 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To:</td>
<td>Board of Supervisors <a href="mailto:Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us">Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject:</td>
<td>Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Kathryn Dauterman

Your [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: I strongly support the redistricting map as proposed by the SLO Chamber of Commerce. The Patten map doesn't appear reasonable to me...splitting up communities that have similar needs and interests. They deserve to be fairly represented and not pawns in a political game. Please uphold your responsibility and oath to best serve the people you represent.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 429339

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2888

Form inserted: 11/29/2021 10:11:53 PM
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:22 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C092af258def24cc3be4c08d9b4f6e428%7C84c3c7747fdef40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C63773739794736555010%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMjIiLCJBTiI6Ik1hdGhjZ21zPSI%7C3000&sdata=qeiH3kUplvMhx6nGYnXz8Fyr0fV1oRkdfOLzhQjliC0%3D&amp;reserved=0

Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Lisa McCann
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 10:41 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I implore you to vote on behalf of reason and select the 2030 map.

The Patten map will have dire consequences for SLO County including the following:
- radically altering current district lines with likely illegal impacts, especially in San Luis Obispo and north coast communities of interest
- would disenfranchise thousands if voters who will have to either vote again in 2022 and be doubly represented or be delayed and have to wait until 2024 and not have effective representation, essentially cresting orphaned districts
- would inappropriately leave districts uneven or inconsistent by leaving some, like SLO, without any unincorporated area or, worse and weirdly, divide the city of SLO into three districts

The Patten map seems designed only to gain partisan advantage.

Please be intelligent and reasonable and vote for the 2030 map and NOT the Patten Map. The health and well-being of our county in all sectors- economic, environmental, climate action- depends on you.
Blessings and peace,

Lisa Horowitz McCann, she/her

“Never, ever be afraid to make some noise and get in good trouble, necessary trouble.”
~ John Lewis
From: Maria G. Brown  
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:18 AM  
To: Maria G. Brown  
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Map Support

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>  
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:23 AM  
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Map Support

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada  
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential  
Board of Supervisors  
www.slocounty.ca.gov  
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Kelly Donohue <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>  
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 11:03 PM  
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>  
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Map Support

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Good evening Board of Supervisors,

I’m emailing to thank you for your time as we navigate the redistricting process as a community. I know this is an intense decision that impacts social, cultural, economic and political issues within our community.

I’m writing to share my vehement support of the SLO County 2030 map. The community that I believe you and I want to see is most accurately represented by that map. A few years ago, several of the supervisors joined me and other leaders on a trip to Denver and Boulder, to “Imagine SLO”. We shared a common goal to see regionalism implemented in our community so that there was quality of life for all, from agriculture to the urban core. I believe SLO County 2030 Map unifies us and encourages growth in that direction. This map fairly represents communities of interests, and provides equitable representation. I believe it puts all resident’s interests first, especially with critical housing issues and looming economic factors like Diablo Canyon’s closure ahead of us. I recognize this process is not an easy one, but I implore the Board of Supervisors to vote for this map based on both the guidelines outlined by our democratic processes and to meet our common regional goals.

Thank you for your time,

Kelly Donohue
From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>  
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:23 AM  
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
Subject: Public Comment - ID 312

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RedistrictingID</th>
<th>312</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Form inserted</td>
<td>11/30/2021 9:22:38 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form updated</td>
<td>11/30/2021 9:22:38 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Name</td>
<td>Sarah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Wade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>[Redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>[Redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Organization Represented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>San Luis Obispo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip</td>
<td>93401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Please consider the Chamber of Commerce map to move forward. This protects marginalized votes from being divided and diluted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Records Notice</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

I support the 2030 map!!! NOT patten map.
Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors,

I have been a resident in the county since the 1960s. I am very concerned about the direction of the redistricting conversation. Andlan defers ion and a chaindool ar oh lsrdeaiws

An extremely partisan map that destroys existing district lines and breaks up established communities of interest is being promoted and will cause great damage. The Patten map is flawed and will alter the future of the county for decades. None of the proposed maps are perfect, but because the growth in our county has not changed much over the last ten years, the existing district lines are adequate as they currently stand and there isn’t a need to adopt a new map,

Please DENY the Patten map and support the SLO Chamber of Commerce map, Which includes Map A or Map B.

Thank you.

Pandora Nash-Karner
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:23 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 12:18 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Sharon Crotzer

Your Email: [redacted]

U.S. phone number: [redacted]

Message: I am against this redistributing plan that will divide my District 5 so that the very popular Bruce Gibson will be at a disadvantage. The same will happen in other districts to other popular Democratic candidates This is NOT what the voters of this county want.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 670195

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2889

Form inserted: 11/30/2021 12:17:34 AM
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Message: I sent an earlier message but noticed I had a typo: Bruce Gibson is in my District 2 (I had a typo that said district 5). Please correct this. I am against the re-districting plan.
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:24 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 4:25 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Linda Campeggi

Your Email: 

​

phone number: 

Message: Since it’s not required to change district map, don’t. Thank you (please do not make public my email or phone number)

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 905335

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2891

Form inserted: 11/30/2021 4:23:54 AM

Form updated: 11/30/2021 4:23:54 AM
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:24 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: District 1 Supervisor John Peschong Question/Issue

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 5:51 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: District 1 Supervisor John Peschong Question/Issue

Topic: District 1 Supervisor John Peschong Question/Issue

Your Name: Joe Pauly

Your message is masked.

Message: I encourage you to please support the chamber of commerce district. We need to bring our county together and not divide us further.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 874346

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2892

Form inserted: 11/30/2021 5:50:10 AM

Form updated: 11/30/2021 5:50:10 AM
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:19 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:24 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Anne youngquist
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 5:57 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am writing to let you know how very opposed I am to your plans to change the district boundaries in SLO county. It is a blatant attempt to gerrymander, and I hope that you will vote for true democracy today.
Anne Youngquist
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cf86871706b94168ed0a08d9b4f72410%7CS84c3c7747df40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C63773979580394289%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1h
aWwiLCJXVCI6Micm0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=OOPH1Yx2MvmYAM%2BNDjEZuxS5juE1VPFyVgkIING8WBYA%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I would like to strongly encourage you to keep the boundaries of the current SLO County districts exactly as they are. There is no compelling reason to change the boundaries other than for political gain. The botrad was elected to serve the people. please do so by leaving the boundaries as they are. The Patten Map does several things that are anti democratic, including, giving republicans the opportunity to vote again in 2022 while a larger number of democrats would have to wait until 2024, create two district in SLO instead of 3 and include no unincorporated areas. There is no legal reason for this change, and certainly not a moral one. Please do your swarm duty and act in a democratic way.

Respectfully,

Richard Mayfield
SLO, CA
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:25 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]SLO County 2030 map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

-----Original Message-----
From: Shannon Klisch
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 6:35 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]SLO County 2030 map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

> Dear Supervisors,
> 
> I writing to ask you to support the SLO County 2030 map, the only map under consideration that honors California election law. The Patten map would disenfranchise 26,000 voters, an outcome that was clearly designed for partisan advantage. Let’s keep this process out of the courts. Please make the responsible choice.
> Thank you,
> Shannon
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I live in Pismo Beach.

Please vote for the SLO Chamber of Commerce map. I think Oceano and Nipomo should be in the same district. They both share the Oceano Dunes, controversy over the State Park and the dust from the dunes.

Thank you.

Jennifer Weaver,
Registered voter in District 3
Dear Honorable Board of Supervisors,

I have been a resident in the county since the 1960s. I am very concerned about the direction of the redistricting conversation.

An extremely partisan map that destroys existing district lines and breaks up established communities of interest is being promoted and will cause great damage. The Patten map is flawed and will alter the future of the county for decades. None of the proposed maps are perfect, but because the growth in our county has not changed much over the last ten years, the existing district lines are adequate as they currently stand and there isn’t a need to adopt a new map.

Please DENY the Patten map and support the SLO Chamber of Commerce map, or Map A or Map B.

Thank you.

Pandora Nash-Karner
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Honorable Supervisors,

You have a difficult and important decision to make regarding redistricting and I respect you for holding public hearings and considering the comments provided. As you think about all you have heard regarding this topic, I hope you stay focused on what problem you are trying to solve and the impacts the decision will have for years to come.

As I understand it, you are required by Elections Code to “adjust the boundaries of any or all supervisorial districts in the county so that the supervisorial districts shall be substantially equal in population” based on the new census data. “Adjust” is key; the definition of which is “alter or move slightly in order to achieve the desired fit, appearance, or result.”

While both maps achieve the objective of supervisorial districts that are substantially equal in population; one, the SLO County 2030 map, does so by adjusting boundaries, while the Patten Map makes drastic alterations to boundaries to achieve required population numbers. As a Morro Bay resident, I am very concerned about the Patten Map. The Patten map puts Cayucos, Morro Bay and Los Osos in three different districts even though they are contiguous, share the coast, highway, Estero Bay, and community of interest.
Most importantly I ask that you consider the consequences of your decision. An analysis done by the League of Women Voters indicates the Patten Map results in significant numbers of voter deferrals or accelerations. I am alarmed at the potential of my own vote for district supervisor being delayed two years if the Patten map is adopted.

Please adopt the SLO County 2030 map, developed by a group of business leaders, with diverse backgrounds that adjusts boundaries and minimizes negative impacts on all.

Monica M. Irons
Morro Bay Resident
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:26 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 7:29 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Lynn Watkins

Your Email

U.S. phone number:

Message: The wise choice for redistricting is the SLO County 2030 map. Please adopt it.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 948368

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2893

Form inserted: 11/30/2021 7:28:34 AM

Form updated: 11/30/2021 7:28:34 AM
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:26 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C9fecbef526344e29116e08d9b4f73c22%7C84c3c7747fd40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637739796230662555%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=tDra8wC6OXbFw7wT9SyNOa3Gs3xjjxF%2Br22X7nu7ecQ%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Alexa Slem [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 7:29 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,
We hope today you will do the non partisan thing and vote for the map submitted by the Chamber of Commerce. With so much strife in our country, can’t SLO county be an example of coming together, listening to all and making the fair decision for our county.
Vote for the Chamber of Commerce map.
Thank you,
Alexa A. Slem
Charles M. Slem

Sent from my iPhone
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Your Name: Suzette Harrison

Your Email: [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: As a long time resident and property owner of Cayucos, I support the Chamber of Commerce Re-districting map.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 092260

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2894

Form inserted: 11/30/2021 7:32:55 AM

Form updated: 11/30/2021 7:32:55 AM
Good morning,

I am writing to you this morning regarding the upcoming vote and discussion on the redistricting of SLO County. I have deep concerns regarding the proposed Patten map.

1. My most important concern is that it may violate our Elections Code Sec. 21500(d), which is that the “Board shall not adopt supervisorial district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.” When reviewing the map, it appears that 54% of the voters who will have their vote accelerated had voted “yes” in the Recall election while only 33% of deferred voters voted the same. Accelerated voters are in areas that primarily vote Republican historically, while those who are being deferred vote primarily Democratic. As an Independent voter, I am deeply against any single party grappling for more control by manipulating maps rather than discussing policy!!!

2. The 2030 map has a smaller number of accelerations and deferrals. The deferrals of the 2030 map could only potentially affect approximately 5,000 voters while the Patten map could potentially defer up to 26,000 voters!!!

3. The Patten map also causes people of several disadvantaged areas to be unable to vote until 2024, while making it possible for the advantaged voters in Heritage Ranch, San Miguel, Paso and Atascadero to vote twice during the 4 year cycle! This is absurd!!!
4. The Patten map doesn’t maintain natural boundaries of Morro Bay, San Simeon, Cambria, Cayucos, and Los Osos while the 2030 map does respect our natural boundaries.

5. Deferrals in the Patten map would create “orphaned” districts with no representation where (as mentioned above) voters wouldn’t be able to vote until 2024. The seats in Districts 2 and 4 are up for re-election in 2022 and, therefore, members of these communities will have no representation for the 2 years following the change.

This blatant attempt at a power grab by the Republican members has me deeply concerned and, frankly, very angry!

I am asking you to please abide by our US Constitution, California Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 and adopt the 2030 map which complies with all of these and reject the Patten map which violates them. At some point, we need to PROTECT DEMOCRACY at our local level in order to hopefully prevent the authoritarian movement that has taken over a large portion of this country.

Thank you,

Jeanie M. Haupt
Registered as Non-partisan
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:26 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Polly Nelson
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 7:34 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I strongly disagree with the Patton redistricting map. Nipomo and Oceano should remain in the southern district. San Luis Obispo/Cal Poly should continue to be represented by 3 supervisors. The North Coast cities are communities of shared interest and should be kept together rather than combined with interior cities.

I support the Chamber of Commerce map.
Pauline A Nelson
Sent from Mail for Windows
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:26 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Vote Today

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Peggy Heathcote
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 7:37 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Vote Today

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

PLEASE vote to support the map presented by the Chamber of Commerce. It is the most FAIR and will most BENEFIT the citizens of SLO County. More importantly it is non-political.

Thank you,

Peggy Heathcote
Nipomo
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I have looked at the proposed redistricting map and strongly encourage you to vote for the 2030 plan, which seems the most fair and reasonable of the two options.

Karen Hicks/Arroyo Grande
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:27 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please Select SLO County 2030

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Chenin Otto
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 7:38 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Please Select SLO County 2030

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear supervisors, and particularly Ms. Arnold as my representative, please select the SLO County 2030 map. This map presents the best district lines for fair representation.
Thank you
Sincerely,
Chenin Otto
Eighty percent of county voters are NOT conservative Republicans, but adoption of the Patten map would most likely insure a four-to-one conservative majority for the next decade. This is a power grab by the current conservative majority of supervisors. I STRONGLY support the adoption of the SLO Chamber of Commerce map, and I even MORE STRONGLY reject the Patten map.

John Burkle
Paso Robles
I am writing to you as a concerned community member of San Luis Obispo. I urge you not to select the new district boundaries that are suggested in the Patten Map. This a is a radical redrawing of the districts, designed only to gain partisan advantage. Please see further reasons not to go with the Patten Map, that I have listed below:

- The Patten map would **radically alter current district lines** creating legally dubious impacts, especially on San Luis Obispo and north coast communities of interest.

- The Patten Map seems clearly **designed for only one purpose, to gain partisan advantage.** This is against the spirit and letter of California Election Law 21500 which states : *The board shall not adopt supervisorial district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.*
The Patten Map disenfranchises tens of thousands of county voters, by far more Democrats than Republicans. The Patten Map accelerates opportunities for Republicans to vote again in 2022 and requires many Democrats who should be allowed to vote in 2022 to have to wait until 2024. This phenomenon is well explained in this full statement from the League of Women Voters, here is a snippet: “The deferrals in the Patten map would create “orphaned” districts in communities where voters would not be able to vote until 2024. Los Osos, Morro Bay, and District 2 voters in San Luis Obispo who are no longer in District 2 and Oceano voters who are no longer in District 4 would not be able to vote for a supervisor in 2022 when those district seats are up for election, leaving these communities with no effective representation on the Board of Supervisors. Meanwhile those communities with accelerated voters would have the opportunity to vote twice and have two elected supervisors to represent them.”

The Patten Map reduces the districts in SLO from three to two – two districts that radically depart from past SLO boundaries, lopping off southern neighborhoods (the Arbors, French Park, Laguna Lake) and lumping them in with a slice of the chopped up north coast. Additionally, this district will include virtually no unincorporated area, leaving other districts with an overwhelming number of unincorporated residents to serve. This “packing” of SLO residents perpetuates inequality in representation and leaves the “SLO district” marginalized, at best.

Thank you for your time and I trust you will make the right decision in not moving forward with the Patten Map. Our County's future depends on your decisions today.

Thank you,

Hannah Kenny
Resident of San Luis Obispo
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Message: Please no not select the Richard Patten redistricting map. This map is a blatant attempt to elect county officials with less than a majority vote of county citizens. This should be illegal!

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 355747

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2895

Form inserted: 11/30/2021 8:05:16 AM

Form updated: 11/30/2021 8:05:16 AM
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:31 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting in SLO County - Adopt the SLO Chamber Map (Map 75760)

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Chris Bersbach
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:16 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting in SLO County - Adopt the SLO Chamber Map (Map 75760)

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the County of San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly encourage each of our County Supervisors to adopt the SLO Chamber Map (Map 75760), which maintains as closely as possible the current district boundaries. Based on the minor changes in population, there is no legal requirement to change any district boundaries. The current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration. If the Supervisors choose to radically alter district boundaries, as represented in the Richard Patten Map (Map 74786), you will be dishonoring your elected commitment to faithfully represent the values of our County and your constituents.

In recent years too many Americans have rejected what should be core patriotic values - collaboration, compromise and the rule of law - and replaced them with a win-at-all-costs mentality. Let us in San Luis Obispo County not be among them. To all our County Supervisors: please honor your promises of openness and transparency by maintaining as closely as possible the existing district boundaries and allowing your constituents to have a meaningful voice in electing their representatives by selecting the SLO Chamber Map (Map 75760).

Respectfully,
Chris Bersbach
Arroyo Grande

--
Chris Bersbach
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:32 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Kathleen;

First I apologize for misspelling your last name in my previous e-mail.

To the topic of redistricting please share my concern with Mrs. Arnold & all of the SLO Co. board members. It is clear, to me, the board has made an error in judgement deciding to creat a new board of supervisor map. I do not believe it is necessary. The board may feel they have gone to far in the redistricting process to reverse course and maintain the status quo. I do not believe so.

Finally if the board chooses to proceed with the two alternatives currently being considered they should approve the SLO Chamber alternative.

Thank you!
John Magorian  
Residence: Santa Margarita  
Sent from my iPad  

On Nov 23, 2021, at 8:26 AM, John Magorian wrote:  

Ms. Globe;  
Continuing to follow up on the redistricting issue. Please encourage Ms. Arnold to support the SLO Chamber redistricting alternative.  
Having just read the recent Tribune article further explaining the Patten map there is no reason to adopt that map. From the perspective of our community, Santa Margarita, the Patten map is ridiculous.  
Ms. Arnold’s responsibility is to the citizens in her current constituency. Our community is directly aligned with the City of Atascadero. Changing that would be harmful and irresponsible.  
There are a multitude of other good reasons to support the SLO Chamber alternative over the unreasonable Patten map but just the concept of community of interest linking our town to the neighboring City of Atascadero should be the major reason for our Supervisor to vote for the SLO Chamber map.  
Thank you  
John  

John Magorian  
Residence: Santa Margarita  
Sent from my iPad  

On Nov 18, 2021, at 6:50 PM, John Magorian wrote:  

Kathleen;  
Please remind Ms. Arnold that members of the community, in her district, do not feel changes to the district boundaries are needed or necessary. Please see my previous mail below.  
John  

On Nov 16, 2021, at 1:00 PM, Kathleen Goble wrote:  
Thank you for taking the time to submit your comments about redistricting. I will be sure to share them with Supervisor Arnold.
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I agree with retired police chiefs Jim Gardiner & Rick TerBorch.

Based on the minor changes in population, there is no legal requirement to change any district boundaries.

Retain the current district lines, which are fully compliant with election laws. The current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration.

There is no need for any change in the board district boundaries. Follow the guidance of these seasoned veterans in our county. Leave well enough alone!

John Magorian

Sent from my iPad
From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:22 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting-NO to Patten Map

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsup@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:33 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting-NO to Patten Map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Isabel Tellez
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:25 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsup@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]redistricting-NO to Patten Map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Board of Supervisors:

I have lived in Arroyo Grande for over 30 years as a home owner. I strongly OPPOSE THE PATTEN MAP and respectfully urge you to not vote it in. I believe it would be a disservice to our community and would cause unfair representation. The Patten map would be unacceptable and would be tear our County apart. I have always been proud to live in this beautiful community and feel that redistricting with the Patten map would be affect our County negatively. Again, I urge you to reject the Patten map.

Sincerely,

Isabel Tellez
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:22 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please vote for the Chamber's version of the redistricting map

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:33 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please vote for the Chamber's version of the redistricting map

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Mark Wright
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:26 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Please vote for the Chamber's version of the redistricting map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Chair Compton and SLO County Board of Supervisors,

As a family and a long time resident of Atascadero and a former Atascadero business owner, I urge you to vote for the proposed SLO Chamber-crafted 2030 redistricting map.

I like this map because it's built on existing industry clusters, geography and cultural factors that are vital to keep moving our economy forward.

It meets the legal requirements and takes into account the challenges and opportunities faced by each of the unique, vibrant communities throughout our County.

Thank you for considering the business community's perspectives for the overall health of our community.

Respectfully,
Mark Wright
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:33 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:29 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: John Flaherty

Your _____________________________

U.S. phone number: ___________________________

Message: I live in Los Osos and strongly support the Chamber of Commerce map for redrawing district boundaries in the County. Cutting up the North Coast area into several districts as the Patten Map does is not only not necessary but breaks up similar communities into different districts.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 472509

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2896

Form inserted: 11/30/2021 8:29:08 AM
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:33 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cd5ea562f3bbd4bf5c5e008d9b4f780ed%7C84c3c7747df40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C63773979736685382%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1hdGhw累了%7CReserv ed=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Admin <admin@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:46 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your information. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Maria Brown
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Administrative Office, County of San Luis Obispo
1055 Monterey St., Ste. D430 | San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
Tel: (805) 781-5011 | Fax: (805) 781-5023 Website | Facebook | Twitter | YouTube | LinkedIn

-----Original Message-----
From: Linda Ashworth [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 9:19 PM
To: Admin <admin@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting
I would appreciate if you would forward this email to all five of the county board of supervisors.

Thank you,
Linda Ashworth
Morro Bay

Dear board member,
I am writing to share my opinion on the current redistricting process here in the county. While I know you all don’t represent me, I do care about how you all will be voting on this important issue.

As a nearly 20 year resident in the county (Los Osos and Morro Bay), it has never really bothered me that SLO had three supervisors representing parts of the city. After all, it does have the largest population.

I do think that different regions of our county share issues. For example, South County, North County, and the coast. And I strongly object to the Patten map that would separate the three closest co-located towns right on the coast: Morro Bay, Los Osos, and Cayucos. Similarly, separating Atascadero from Santa Margarita makes a little sense to me.

Please do not vote for the Patten map. It is a step backwards.

Thank you,
Linda Ashworth
Morro Bay
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Message: I don’t believe we need to re-district at this time but if you must vote for one, please seriously consider and support all the non-partisan interests of North County.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 157811

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2897

Form inserted: 11/30/2021 8:50:49 AM

Form updated: 11/30/2021 8:50:49 AM
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:23 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:34 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Karen S Muschenetz
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:54 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]redistricting

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I have lived in Los Osos for 46 years. My two children were raised here and attended high school in Morro Bay. Our circle of friends comes from the early contact with the parents of children in all of the schools in Los Osos and Morro Bay. Our community is here. I see Morro Rock from my house, not Avila Beach and the Pismo Pier. Those are lovely communities which we visit for shopping and leisure but they not considered in any way my neighborhood community where you live day by day.

You must consider how a community lives on a daily basis for this redistricting proposal. The map should remain the same. Please don’t put anything else into your considerations. Keep us as we feel we are a unit geographically as well as in community spirit.

Thank you,
Karen Muschenetz
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Topic: District 5 Supervisor Debbie Arnold Question/Issue

Your Name: Jane Worthy

Your Email: [REDACTED]

Message: Do not approve the “Patton” redistricting map. The county will be in violation of State law and probably be sued. Remember our County motto: “Not for ourselves alone.”

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 161235

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2898

Form inserted: 11/30/2021 8:54:03 AM

Form updated: 11/30/2021 8:54:03 AM
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:34 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:57 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Donald Weegar

Your [redacted]

U.S. phone number [redacted]

Message: Do not approve the “Patton” redistricting map. The county will be in violation of State law and probably be sued. Remember our County motto: “Not for ourselves alone.”

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 618944

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2899

Form inserted: 11/30/2021 8:56:29 AM

Form updated: 11/30/2021 8:56:29 AM
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:34 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:02 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Dale Ramey

Your Email: [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: Redistricting Map - The population increase does not require new district maps. I request that the board adopt the chamber map or reject both proposals and continue with the current district boundaries.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 611284

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2900

Form inserted: 11/30/2021 9:01:51 AM

Form updated: 11/30/2021 9:01:51 AM
-----Original Message-----
From: Jackie Crane
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:05 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I cannot attend today’s meeting due to prior commitments but wanted to state my opinion. I urge you to support the 2030 map as I feel it is the only map that is truly representational and allows timely and fair voting for all county constituents in the 2022 election cycle. I truly feel that no changes were necessary due to the minor demographic changes reflected in the recent census—but the 2030 map is the one that is legal, fair, creates the least disruption and avoids partisan advantage.

Step up, and listen to ALL the people of this county. Vote for Map 2030.

Jacquelyn Crane
San Luis Obispo
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:35 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C2ee74e7d41494a275cc508d9b4f79e43%7C84c3c7747df40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637739797860215047%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6IiIsImNvRXZlZXIiLCJ0aW1lIjoiaHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuZ29yaWdodGluZ3JhdGlvbi50by8wIn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=pq2ltsP90A4Ib5b7g69zbpvh86zD4nPXRTR%2F6H8bypQ%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Janet Anderson
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:06 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Sent from my iPhone. Please don’t approve of the new redistricting map. It’s too biased. Thank you! Janet Anderson
FROM: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:23 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:35 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

My name is James Pennell. I am a resident of district four. I am unable to attend the Nov. 30 redistricting meeting but want to voice my concerns.

I strongly support the SLO County 2030 map and adamantly oppose the Patten map. I oppose gerrymandering in general and the Patten map is an especially egregious example of gerrymandering and a potential violation of Elections Code Sec. 21500(d).

Thank you,
James Pennell
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Dear Supervisors,

I see no need for changing the boundaries on our current districts. I urge you to keep current boundaries in place in tonight’s vote.

Sincerely,

Debra A.G. Wacker

Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS
| From: Grace Crittenden <gcrittenden1@gmail.com> | Subject: [EXT]Redistricting |
| Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 7:51 AM | |
| To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us> | |

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I would like to see the San Luis Obispo Chamber map used for redistricting for the county supervisors’ districts. It makes more sense to me!
From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:25 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Unnecessary to redistrict!

-----Original Message-----
From: Karen Floyd <karen.floyd.50@icloud.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 10:15 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Unnecessary to redistrict!

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please leave current districts alone. It is unnecessary and politically motivated. No changes please. I support Dawn Ortiz Legg! Bring us together and not divide us.

Karen Floyd
Pismo Beach

Sent from my iPhone
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 10:16 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 10:09 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Charlotte Berney

Your Email [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: There is no reason to redistrict the county. It is being done for political purposes. The Patten plan is too radical a plan. Please don't do this.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 800207

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2901

Form inserted: 11/30/2021 10:07:56 AM

Form updated: 11/30/2021 10:07:56 AM
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805) 781-5498

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The Patten Map is an unfair, politically motivated attempt to change the political map of San Luis Obispo County. I support the SLO County 2030 map, which represents SLO County fairly.

Sincerely,

Tom Weinschenk,
San Luis Obispo
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a long-time SLO county resident and homeowner I believe the new map #74786 would better serve the interests of the residents of this county in a most equitable manner. It makes the most sense to me that the students living in the City of SLO, using the services there and paying well to do so, should have the same and equal voice of their supervisor as the city residents. The two populations are large, diverse and in close proximity, meeting the states criteria. The other four districts then naturally separate geographically, are all approximately equal in population sizes, most share the same weather, industries, and thus common interests and resulting diversity. I believe this option most optimally meets the objectives set forward by the state and encourage our board to adopt this change.

Respectfully,

Mary Barncastle
Hello Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for all the effort and thought you have put into the redistricting process. I am writing to express my desire to see you select and approve the map titled “Plan 74786 Layout”. I believe this is the least disruptive and intrusive proposal of the two you are currently considering. The other map "75760" not only splits apart my town of Atascadero-2 districts, but SLO-3 districts and AG-2 districts, where as map "74786" keeps Atascadero intact and the majority of SLO in 1 district. I understand there needs to be a balance of our population in the districts, but when creating districts it should be the goal to not only find the balance, but ensure that it unites each community as best possible, not divides. Thank you again, Gaven

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 919936
BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2902

Form inserted: 11/30/2021 10:17:38 AM

Form updated: 11/30/2021 10:17:38 AM
I appreciate the comments by Bruce Gibson and SLO City Mayor. The Patton map is political and thus illegal. Please make as little changes as possible or there will be greater political divide in our county and greater mistrust. I was disappointed in the fact that Map A was not chosen as an option. Either make no changes, or if a new map must be chosen, make it the SLO 2030 map. Cambria should stay with their coastal neighbors, and SLO is a diverse city and so should keep its seats. Thank you.
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

My name is Carol Ann Stephens and I live at 2375 El Dorado St in Los Osos. I am grateful for where I get to live. I am writing today as I am very concerned about the redistricting plans for our county. The Patten plan makes no sense for our county - particularly those of us living in unincorporated parts of the county and especially on the coast. I would encourage each of the Board of Supervisors to read the Opinion of the Tribune Editorial Board in the Sunday, November 28, 2021 paper.

Of the maps initially submitted for Board consideration I support Plan B because it made few changes to the current maps and major changes are neither legally nor operationally required. Of the two remaining maps under consideration, I support the SLO County 2030 map because it is less disruptive to voters than the Patten map.

The SLO County 2030 map respects local communities of interest in the north coastal areas of San Simeon, Cambria, Cayucos, Morro Bay and Los Osos as well as the connection between Nipomo and Oceano. It also respects the natural boundary of the coastal mountains. The Patten map does not respect these communities of interest.

I oppose the Patten map because it makes more radical changes to the district lines as evidenced by the number of deferrals and accelerations in voting it would impose. In the coastal communities listed above (I live in Los Osos), deferred voters like me, would number approximately 26,000 people unable to vote for a supervisor in 2022. Meanwhile in the advantaged communities of San Miguel, Heritage Ranch, areas west of Paso Robles, and the entire city of Atascadero approximately 29,000 people who would not have voted in 2022 would be accelerated and granted a vote in 2022. This disenfranchises a significant number of voters while privileging another group with an early vote.
The deferrals in the Patten map would create "orphaned" districts in communities where voters would not be able to vote until 2024. Los Osos, Morro Bay, and District 2 voters in San Luis Obispo who are no longer in District 2 and Oceano voters who are no longer in District 4 would not be able to vote for a supervisor in 2022 when those district seats are up for election, leaving these communities with NO effective representation on the Board of Supervisors. Meanwhile those communities with accelerated voters would have the opportunity to vote twice and have two elected supervisors to represent them.

The SLO County 2030 map has a smaller number of accelerations and deferrals. Because the map splits many existing precincts, the number of affected voters listed below is likely higher than the actual number. The accelerations are mostly in the western part of Atascadero and a portion of District 3 in San Luis Obispo - about 9100 voters. The deferrals include the Cal Poly campus, a portion of District 2 in San Luis Obispo moved to District 5 and an area east of Arroyo Grande moved from District 4 to District 3. The deferrals affect approximately 5000 voters.

It is instructive when looking at the deferrals and accelerations created by the Patten map to note the partisan inclination of those voters. 54% of voters who would have their vote accelerated voted "yes" in the September recall election, while only 33% of those having their vote deferred voted "yes". Accelerated voters are primarily in areas that have voted Republican historically, while deferred voters are in more Democratic leaning areas. This raises the concern that the disparity in voting records points to a potential violation of Elections Code Sec. 21500(d): "The Board shall not adopt supervisorial district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party".

I am concerned about the effect on the voters of the districts whose votes are being deferred and the appearance of the Patten Map not adhering to Elections Code Sec. 21500(d): "The Board shall not adopt supervisorial district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party".

Respectfully,
Carol Ann Stephens
Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Message: Would the individuals on the Board of Supervisors please explain, in cogent terms, the rational for support of the Patten re-districting map. It is clearly in violation of the State of California constitution and election code. Maybe you don't mind the possible county expense of inevitable lawsuits that are clearly indefensible. Personally I would make minimal changes (i.e. Chamber map) and follow the clear guidelines of the State Electoral Code. Please make the right choice by the majority of the county electorate. Thank you.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 927910

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2903

Form inserted: 11/30/2021 10:55:16 AM
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 11:16 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 10:56 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Raymond D. Michael

Your Email: [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: Would the individuals on the Board of Supervisors please explain, in cogent terms, the rational for support of the Patten re-districting map. It is clearly in violation of the State of California constitution and election code. Maybe you don’t mind the possible county expense of inevitable lawsuits that are clearly indefensible. Personally I would make minimal changes (i.e. Chamber map) and follow the clear guidelines of the State Electoral Code. Please make the right choice by the majority of the county electorate. Thank you.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 927910

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2903

Form inserted: 11/30/2021 10:55:16 AM
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From:</th>
<th>Leslie B [REDACTED]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sent:</td>
<td>Tuesday, November 30, 2021 11:32 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To:</td>
<td>Redistricting <a href="mailto:Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us">Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject:</td>
<td>[EXT]Redistricting: Please choose SLO Chamber map</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello,

My name is Leslie Breeze. I own a local business which I opened up 18 years ago, and is still going strong. I am a SLO county resident and have been for the past 25 years, and I love it here. I love the sense of community and feel its vital to maintain.

I am writing you to ask that you keep our community strong and vibrant by voting for the SLO Chamber of Commerce map. I am opposed to the Patten map, which makes no geographical or community sense, and it makes no logical sense to me as a business owner and as a community member. The SLO Chamber of commerce map is logical and sensible and prioritizes our communities.

Please choose the SLO Chamber of Commerce map. Or make no changes at all.

Thank you for your consideration.

Leslie Breeze
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To San Luis Obispo County Supervisors,
Pattens map C is illegal and an assault on our voting rights. With that map you are diluting our democratic votes from our small coastal tourist town and gerrymandering us into an unfitting and very unrelated population which is not even close at all in proximity or mindset. You know what you are doing and so do we. You are not following the guidelines of redistricting and that is illegal. We expect more from elected officials.
Brenda Gale and Gary Gall
Sent from my iPhone
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 12:01 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Cambria redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cd5636a94ae724872a6a908d9b4f82752%7C84c3c7747fd40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637739800159341218%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8euyJWljoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQljoieW1uMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1hawWuiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=gvj7S1IQ8gBFcyBnVnxob5jj%2BB8Ek4H6X1t8LE0PWQ%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Brenda Gale
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 11:44 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Cambria redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To San Luis Obispo County Supervisors,
Pattens map C is illegal and an assault on our voting rights. With that map you are diluting our democratic votes from our small coastal tourist town and gerrymandering us into an unfitting and very unrelated population which is not even close at all in proximity or mindset. You know what you are doing and so do we. You are not following the guidelines of redistricting and that is illegal. We expect more from elected officials.
Brenda Gale and Gary Gall
Sent from my iPhone
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

PLEASE deny the Patten map in favor of the Chamber map(s). We have lived in SLO county since 1962 and are proud of the non-partisan history of our elected officials and the preservation of our most beautiful County. Thank you very much, the Martin family, Cayucos CA
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.
Redistricting is a Republican maneuver and unfair to the people that they represent. The Patten maps surely point this out. The 3 Board of Supervisors that I'm referring to are Lynn Compton, Debbie Arnold and John P. What happened to their principles? The people have power. Vote them out of office.
FW: [EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting: I live in a Community of Interest. Please approve 2030 redistricting plan

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

[EXT]Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting: I live in a Community of Interest. Please approve 2030 redistricting plan

To the Board of Supervisors:

My name is Martha J Fast. I am a resident of Halcyon and strongly oppose the Patten map because this plan will leave us without effective representation. We are an agricultural area with the farming interests of Oceano, AG, and Nipomo, not the tourism interests of Pismo and Morro Bay. This plan is worrisome to me because it is biased towards one party and disenfranchises thousands of voters by deferred elections while allowing other affluent areas to vote twice in 4 years.

The 2030 map reflects the results of the last census, where there were small changes in demographics. I urge you to vote for the 2030 plan.

Sincerely,

Martha J Fast
I have been a resident of SLO County for approximately 14 years. My small farm's address is SLO but is located about 10 miles north of the city limits. Please do NOT adopt the Patten redistricting map. I think it is flawed and does not accurately represent the citizens of San Luis Obispo county.

Yours truly,
Linda C. Mahnken
Public Comment on Redistricting.

- Caleb Mott
  Legislative Assistant District 4
  San Luis Obispo County Supervisor Lynn Compton
  (805) 781-4337
  (800) 834-4636 ext 4337
  District4@co.slo.ca.us
  1055 Monterey St D430
  San Luis Obispo CA 93408
  Visit our Website

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

When one party endorses a particular map, that is an indication that it favors them. In this case, it is the minority party that wants an advantage. Voting for it makes you suspect. Supervisors need to represent all constituents. They should not be partisan. Please support us all by not voting for any plan supported by a political party. If that means you have no choices, leave it the way it is. It does not have to be changed.

Sincerely,
Mary Whitson

Sent from my iPhone
To the Board of Supervisors of SLO County:

Regarding the current redistricting effort, we request that you support the SLO Chamber of Commerce Map, Map A or Map B are poorer solutions, but remain acceptable alternatives.

The majority of County voters understand that the so-called “Patten” map is a highly partisan, anti-democratic, anti-voter, power-grab, and are therefore OPPOSED to the Patten map. Do NOT approve the Patten map.

All eyes are on you …

Bill & Dawn Stokes
From: Maria G. Brown
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:28 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT] Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting: I live in a Community of Interest. Please approve 2030 redistricting plan

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsup @co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 3:00 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT] Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting: I live in a Community of Interest. Please approve 2030 redistricting plan

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Marti Fast <redacted>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 1:11 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsup @co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT] Nov 30 Redistricting Meeting: I live in a Community of Interest. Please approve 2030 redistricting plan

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the Board of Supervisors:

My name is Martha J Fast. I am a resident of Halcyon and strongly oppose the Patten map because this plan will leave us without effective representation. We are an agricultural area with the farming interests of Oceano, AG, and Nipomo, not the tourism interests of Pismo and Morro Bay. This plan is worrisome to me because it is biased towards one party and disenfranchises thousands of voters by deferred elections while allowing other affluent areas to vote twice in 4 years.

The 2030 map reflects the results of the last census, where there were small changes in demographics. I urge you to vote for the 2030 plan.

Sincerely,

Martha J Fast <redacted>
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 3:01 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cb57f3155db2946ef157b08d9b4f86028%7C84c3c7747df40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637739801112146162%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=3XWMgQQiA0z5TXuooqd%2FdehJgaAeVpqr5xNzqiX%2FbdDW0%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: John Blattner [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 2:03 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

My intention had been to come and speak at today's redistricting meeting. This would indicate how important this issue is to me if you knew how much I fear public speaking. But I just now discovered that the meeting was this morning, not this evening. This is what I had prepared to read.

-----

My name is John Blattner. I live in the county, between San Luis and AG, and have been a citizen here for 24 years. This is my first time speaking to the Board of Supervisors.

As a new grandfather, I worry about whether we'll be able to pass on the beauty and kindness that we experience here every day to our children and their children. A foundational key to making that possible is a functioning, even-handed government to make decisions with respect to challenges faced by San Luis Obispo county.
I realize that by their nature, some issues are, and need to be, contentious. But I have faith in their resolution, knowing that no one has their thumb on the scale, and that our elected officials fairly, and proportionally, represent the citizens of San Luis Obispo.

I urge you to keep it that way. I urge you to avoid hyperpartisanship. I urge you to vote for what KSBY calls the "SLO Chamber of Commerce plan".

John Blattner
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:29 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]County redistricting vote

From: Chuck Crotser
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 3:01 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]County redistricting vote

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I've been a resident of SLO County for over 50 years and am fortunate and proud to call this county my home. As a resident I am quite concerned with the redistricting process you are asked to navigate every 10 years using new census data.

Although I would have much preferred that you take action to create a nonpartisan commission to do this process, I believe that ultimately, you need to take action to ensure all citizens receive equal representation in describing district lines.

After reviewing the alternatives, I don't believe that the Patten Map accomplishes this objective. It fractures existing legal representation and communities of interest. Also, it may not likely survive a legal test. It is essential that SLO City, the largest city and hub of our county be represented by three supervisors.

There are three separate communities of interest in SLO City

- CAL POLY and its surrounding housing,
- the business and industrial district, and
- the large residential districts, west of Hwy.101.

By breaking up our geographical and communities of interest in the adoption of the Patten Map it seems you will be doing a great disservice to all citizens of this county. Because it does not follow clear state laws it will likely face legal challenges.

Please do the right thing and either approve the SLO Chamber of Commerce Map or reconsider Maps A or B developed by your own task force.

Because I was unable to attend the meeting today, please accept this as my input.

Sincerely, . . . Chuck

--

Charles Crotser Architect AIA
I strongly support retaining either the current County "District Map" or the one submitted by the SLO Chamber of Commerce. Any major reconfiguration of existing districts will break up existing communities of interest and such blatant gerrymandering will inevitably result in a court challenge. Please don't impose that irresponsible charge on the public.
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

PLEASE DO NOT CHANGE THE DISTRICTING MAPS. LEAVE THE MAPS AS THEY ARE NOW.

“If” THE MAP must be changed, the SLO CHAMBER MAP should be adopted, as it appears to be much more fair and non-partisan.

The coastal areas, from Montana de Oro to Ragged Point, share the same issues for incorporated and unincorporated populations. The North Coast Area should be preserved, and not divided.

Thank you – Karen Perlette
Resident of Los Osos, Business owner in Morro Bay

Karen Perlette, Agent Morro Bay
IMPORTANT NOTICE:
This message may contain confidential information. If you have received this e-mail in error, do not use, copy or distribute it.
Do not open any attachments. Delete it immediately from your system and notify the sender promptly by e-mail that you have done so.

FOR YOUR SECURITY, PLEASE DO NOT SEND PERSONAL INFORMATION VIA EMAIL.
IF THIS INFORMATION IS REQUESTED, PLEASE CALL OUR OFFICE WITH THE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION. THANK YOU!
From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:29 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 316

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 3:45 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 316

RedistrictingID 316
Form inserted 11/30/2021 3:43:56 PM
Form updated 11/30/2021 3:43:56 PM
First Name Leticia
Last Name Perez Grover
Email [redacted]
Phone [redacted]
Name of Organization Represented
City Morro Bay
Zip 93442
Comment Please select SLO Chamber Map 2030. Thank you! Leticia
Public Records Notice True
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

If it’s not broke why mess with it? I am not in favor of the Patton map. Keep the current district boundaries where they are with some minor changes.
Delores Adoff
Please vote in the map that the chamber of commerce has proposed.

We have very little in commune with the coastal communities and more in commune with Paso Robles and Templeton.

Karen Larson, 60-year Atascadero resident
To the Board of Supervisors for San Luis Obispo,
I have been a resident of San Luis Obispo County for 10 years. I want to mention the fact that because the population growth in our county has not changed much over the last ten years, the existing district lines are fine and there is no need to adopt a new map.
Please consider this input from a citizen who lives and works to keep San Luis Obispo the "happy place" that we know as Home!
Sincerely,
Judie Anders
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Supervisors,

Please read and include my letter in the hearing proceedings tomorrow regarding redistricting SLO County. Act legally and adopt the Chamber of Commerce redistricting map.

Thank you for considering the attached legal ramifications of the Patton redistricting proposal.

Claudia Harmon Worthen
Regarding redistricting District 2:

The census, less than 10%, does not warrant any change, especially none so dramatic as including coastal unincorporated towns with inland cities. Redistricting costs unwarranted tax payer dollars, funds that could more wisely be spent on infrastructure in our heavily touristed seaside towns.

Adoption of the “Patton Map” violates several articles in AB 849, please do the fair and legal action and adopt the Chamber of Commerce redistricting map.

Assembly Bill 849
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

(b) The board shall adopt supervisorial district boundaries that comply with the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.).
(c) The board shall adopt supervisorial district boundaries using the following criteria as set forth in the following order of priority:

(1) To the extent practicable, supervisorial districts shall be geographically contiguous. Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous. Areas that are separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.

Cambria, San Simeon and Cayucos are separated by mountains from Paso Robles and Atascadero.

(2) To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division. A “community of interest” is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single supervisorial district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

Coastal communities do not share common social or economic interests with inland cities. Unincorporated areas do not share common interests with cities with independent legislative bodies.

(3) To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of a city or census designated place shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division.
The Patton Map splits the integrity of the coastal towns under jurisdiction of the California Coastal Commission.

(4) Supervisory district boundaries should be easily identifiable and understandable by residents. To the extent practicable, supervisory districts shall be bounded by natural and artificial barriers, by streets, or by the boundaries of the county.

The mountains are natural barriers between the North Coast and Paso Robles and Atascadero, identifiable and understandable by residents. Annexing the coastal towns with inland cities violates this condition of the law.

(5) To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria in this subdivision, supervisory districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations.

The existing District 2 is geographically compact. Splitting up the coastal towns and city of Morro Bay violates this provision of the law.

(d) The board shall not adopt supervisory district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.

District 2 has historically been democratic. Splitting up the existing district and annexing with historically Republican cities is discriminatory against the will of the people.

County counsel lists the five priorities listed above, with one major change: Staff replace the fifth priority with the requirement "not to favor or discriminate against any political party."

The removal of item 5 of Assembly Bill 849 by the County is arbitrary and capricious, constituting an abuse of discretion and is not in accordance with State law and Federal Voting Rights Act of 1965.

There is no need to replace item 5 as (d) is already included in the State law.

21605.

(a) After redistricting or districting pursuant to Section 21601 or 21603, a council shall not adopt new council district boundaries until after the next federal decennial census, except under the following circumstances:

(1) A court orders the council to redistrict.

(2) The council is settling a legal claim that its council district boundaries violate the United States Constitution, the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.), or this article.
As stated by Supervisor Compton at the November 19th public hearing, adoption of the “Patton Map” will provoke legal action.

21607.1.  
(a) Before adopting a final map, the council shall hold at least four public hearings at which the public is invited to provide input regarding the composition of one or more council districts.  
(1) At least one public hearing shall be held before the council draws a draft map or maps of the proposed council boundaries.

The first meeting in July was not advertised in the Tribune Newspaper or properly publicly announced. Announcement of meetings were not, to my knowledge, sent out in Spanish.

Please adopt the Chamber of Commerce redistricting map for SLO County and keep the coastal towns in tact.

Yours Truly,

Claudia Harmon Worthen
From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:03 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: redistricting emails
Attachments: [EXT]Redistricting map; [EXT]map; [EXT]Support for: Richard Patten’s Rev. 1 Citizens’ Map

From: Vicki Janssen <vjanssen@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 7:16 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: redistricting emails
Supervisor Peschong,
Regarding the decision as to which map to chose, I strongly urge you to select the Patten map. It makes sense as it keeps communities of interest together.

Thank you.

Sue Schimandle
Arroyo Grande

Sent from my iPhone
I am a resident of SLO and do not want the redistricting map changed. I oppose the Patten map.

Mark Dragna
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hi Supervisor Compton,

Please do not vote for the Patten map. It is illegitimate and does not serve the best interest of your constituents (like me). It will exacerbate our divides and cause problems in our county. We need healing, not more anger.

Sincerely,

Abigail Haskell
Arroyo Grande

Sent from my iPhone
Public Comment on Redistricting

- Caleb Mott
Legislative Assistant District 4
San Luis Obispo County Supervisor Lynn Compton
(805) 781-4337
(800) 834-4636 ext 4337
District4@co.slo.ca.us
1055 Monterey St D430
San Luis Obispo CA 93408
Visit our Website
Dear Board of Supervisors

As the co-owner of a multi-generational Latino owned business located in Oceano, and employing over 50 south county members, I am in favor of the "Patten map."

Our natural and logical connection is with Grover and Pismo. Even in our south county vernacular, we are considered one of the "Five Cities." Continuing to group our community of Oceano with Nipomo no longer makes sense. We are separated by distance and interests.

Prior to the COVID pandemic, Oceano's dunes was the second most visited site in the county (Hearst Castle being first). The importance of this decision is enhanced by the impending closure of Pier Ave by the Coastal Commission occurring in less than a year, and an end to all vehicle activity on the beach following soon thereafter.

We are a beach community and a tourism destination. Keeping us tethered to Nipomo no longer makes sense. The current district map, and the San Luis Obispo Chamber map under consideration incorrectly aligns our interests with Nipomo and suggests that our path to prosperity is through agriculture. As important as it is, bok choy and broccoli will not replace the loss.

It is more important than ever that we are correctly and fairly included with our closest neighbors to our north who are aligned with tourism, as proposed in the Patten map.

Redistricting presents a unique opportunity to finally have a seat at the table for a community which has been deemed economically disadvantaged. Please don’t deny us that seat.

--

Adam Verdin
Co -Owner, Old Juans's Cantina.

--
Dear supervisors,

I am a business and property owner in Oceano and also a member of VACO (Vitality Advisory Council of Oceano). I employ over 20 individuals, most of them Oceano residents, and it is my privilege to have been doing business in the south county for close to 25 years now. I am writing to you today to show my support of the "Patten map" of the redistricting plan for our county. I would have preferred to be at the meeting in person to show my support but unfortunately due to my business responsibilities I am not able to do so.

Oceano is and always will be a beach community so I strongly believe that Oceano should be kept in the same district as our neighbor Beach cities and be a big part of the tourist attraction that it already has been for many years. Oceano is closer and more business associated with the five cities area and also the Oceano Dunes have the biggest draw of tourist in the area along with a rich history.

With the Coastal Commission threatening to disable Oceano's economy by closing down the Dunes and first the Oceano entrance redistricting is a very important issue for me, most of the Oceano residents and business community so please consider it carefully and support the "Patten map".

Thank you for your time.

Marios Pouyioukkas
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hi Supervisor Compton,

Please do not vote for the Patten map. It is illegitimate and does not serve the best interest of your constituents (like me). It will exacerbate our divides and cause problems in our county. We need healing, not more anger.

Sincerely,

Abigail Haskell
Arroyo Grande

Sent from my iPhone
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:35 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Comment in regards to redistricting maps

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III - Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Sunrise SLONorthCounty
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 5:12 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Comment in regards to redistricting maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello,
Please see below revised comment in regards to redistricting map selection.

Best,
Dakota Corrales
Nov 29, 2021  
*Via electronic mail*

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors 1055 Monterey St. D430  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408  
Boardofsupvs@co.slo.ca.us

Dear San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors,

We are writing to urge you to vote in favor of the SLO Chamber of Commerce map and to oppose the Patten map. The map that the Board of Supervisors chooses will affect individuals living in SLO County, as well as how key issues will be addressed over the next decade. Therefore, it is crucial that the Board of Supervisors chooses the map that will best represent the members of SLO County. Of the two maps, the SLO Chamber of Commerce map is the better choice.

The SLO Chamber of Commerce map was created by a board of community members from multiple districts. This group was largely bipartisan, with members of varying political ideation and professional backgrounds. This map also takes into account geographical landmarks and does not split constituents into districts separated by mountains or lack of roads. This ensures that communities that are tackling issues that are specific to their community are not split into districts that do not face these same issues.

The Patten map is a largely partisan map pushed forth by the San Luis Obispo Republican Party. It aims to dilute progressive votes and split communities in order to serve a narrow agenda. This map does not represent the diverse communities that the SLO County Board of Supervisors is meant to speak for. Instead, it splits these communities in order to stack the Board of Supervisors to represent a specific and narrow group of ideals and values. This map does not aim to provide the best representation of the population of San Luis Obispo County.

On behalf of the below signed organizations, we urge you to vote on behalf of the SLO Chamber of Congress district map.

Sunrise Movement, SLO  
Santa Barbara San Luis Obispo Alliance  
Paso Peoples Action
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 8:36 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Cal Poly Student Leaders Feedback on Redistricting

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Tess Nychay Loarie
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 5:35 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Anders Matthew Bjork <abjork@calpoly.edu>; Varenya Gupta <vgupta12@calpoly.edu>
Subject: [EXT]Cal Poly Student Leaders Feedback on Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello,

Please accept the attached letter regarding student views on redistricting.

Best,
Tess

---

Tess Loarie (she/her/hers)

ASI President

Liberal Arts and Engineering Studies Undergraduate

California Polytechnic University, San Luis Obispo
Cal Poly is in tilhini, the Place of the Full Moon. I gratefully acknowledge, respect, and thank yak tit'yu tit'yu yak tilhini, Northern Chumash Tribe of San Luis Obispo County and Region in whose homelands we all are guests.
Staff of County Board of Supervisors,

My name is Anders Bjork and I am a fourth year Mechanical Engineering major at Cal Poly, I also serve as the Chair of the ASI External Affairs committee and serve as a member of the ASI Board of Directors. I am speaking as an individual and as a student at Cal Poly and registered voter in San Luis Obispo, representing my own views with support from Varenya Gupta and Tess Loarie.

Typically, a matter of this importance would constitute a resolution from the Board of Directors, the official voice of Cal Poly students, however the board is on winter recess. Because many of us at Cal Poly, especially in student government, see the importance of this decision, myself and fellow Student Government members have decided to comment informally as students with our belief that the Cal Poly campus should remain represented by more than a single district for the board of supervisors.

Although grouping Cal Poly into one county district may seem simpler, we are concerned that the long-term consequences of this decision would negatively impact civic participation. First, Cal Poly continues to grow the student body, increasing student representation in San Luis Obispo County both on and off campus. The campus creates a community and promotes the exchange of ideas throughout the region – no single neighborhood can be identified.

Second, we believe the obstacles to voter registration on campus are attributed to the transitional nature of college and a lack of awareness by students – not the complexity of voter registration. Our Flex Your Right initiative, an annual effort coordinated by ASI Student Government and common at other CSU Campuses, trains students to register voters properly. In addition, ASI carries out several events a year to answer questions and help students with voter registration and the nuance of determining which County Supervisor district a student falls into is a small part of this process, for which resources are available.

Furthermore, since students typically move off campus later in their college experience, educating on-campus students about their district representation builds knowledge and prepares them for voting later. Cal Poly managed to register over 3000 students last ballot bowl, best overall, despite the complication of the two districts.

Thank you,

Anders Bjork, Chair, ASI Student Government External Affairs Committee

Varenya Gupta, Secretary of CSU-wide Affairs

Tess Loarie, Associated Students Inc, Student Body President
From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:12 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: redistricting
Attachments: [EXT]Please choose the SLO Chamber Redistricting Map; [EXT]Redistricting

From: Vicki Janssen <vjanssen@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:06 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: redistricting
Supervisor Peschong,

The population of our county has not changed enough to warrant a drastic change to our voting map and the Patten map totally ignores the concept of ‘communities of interest’ mentioned in state law.

I am dismayed by this apparent attempt to guarantee a Conservative majority on the Board of Supervisors.

Please choose the SLO Chamber of Commerce map.

Sincerely,

Meg Evans
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Supporting you and the Patten map here. Speaking today at BOS.
From: Caleb Mott <cmott@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:12 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment on Redistricting

Public Comment on Redistricting.

- Caleb Mott
Legislative Assistant District 4
San Luis Obispo County Supervisor Lynn Compton
(805) 781-4337
(800) 834-4636 ext 4337
District4@co.slo.ca.us
1055 Monterey St D430
San Luis Obispo CA 93408
Visit our Website
Maria G. Brown

From: Maria G. Brown
To: Caleb Mott
Subject: [EXT]RE: Follow Up from Supervisor Compton

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello Caleb,

Thanks for the response. I understand that the Board will be selecting today a new districting map for the county. I have been reading the Tribune's reporting (and editorials) about the final choices and I urge Supervisor Compton to vote in favor of the San Luis Obispo Chamber of Commerce’s “SLO County 2030” map. According to reports, it is very similar to the existing districts – which makes sense to me because the recent census did not reveal significant demographic changes from the last time that the districts were drawn. The “Patten Map,” however, includes major revisions that do not seem justified or reasonable in light of the recent census and the accepted standards and criteria for drawing districts, and gives at least the appearance of gerrymandering to the advantage of a particular political perspective that may not be representative of the people impacted by the changes or the county in general. For those reasons and perhaps others, the Patten Map should be rejected.

Thanks again for your attention.

Steve Howarth

---

From: Caleb Mott <cmott@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 2:44 PM
To: sph21@comcast.net
Subject: Follow Up from Supervisor Compton

Hello Steve,

Thank you for passing your comments on redistricting on to Supervisor Compton. I have printed them out for her to review in advance of Friday’s meeting. She takes all constituent input into account before making a decision. If you have any other questions or concerns, please let me know.

Respectfully,

- Caleb Mott
Legislative Assistant District 4
San Luis Obispo County Supervisor Lynn Compton
(805) 781-4337
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Supervisor Compton,

The population of our county has not changed enough to warrant a drastic change to our voting map and the Patten map totally ignores the concept of ‘communities of interest’ mentioned in state law.

I am dismayed by this apparent attempt to guarantee a Conservative majority on the Board of Supervisors.

Please choose the SLO Chamber of Commerce map.

Sincerely,

Meg Evans
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Supporting you and the Patten map here. Speaking today at BOS.
Sent from my iPhone
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Chairman Compton and Supervisors,

We are sorry we are unable to attend today's Board meeting. This email is a followup to one sent 10/19/21. It is our belief that Redistricting should be handled by an independent, NONPARTISAN commission based on established principles and verifiable changes in the county population per 2020 census data. We appreciate the work the Board of Supervisors does in governing our county but we do not believe any elected official should be involved in the redistricting process.

Thank you for the opportunity for public comment on this issue.

Deb and Tom Sherry
District 4
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Supervisor Compton,

Regarding the selection of a redistricting map, I highly urge you to select the map created by Richard Patten. It makes good sense. The Patten map puts communities of interest together. It keeps the areas which are near one another together. It is an excellent way to give the county districts with commonalities. Please vote for this map.

Thank you.

Regards,
Sue Schimandle
Arroyo Grande

Sent from my iPhone
Dear San Luis Obispo board of supervisors,

I’ve been a County resident since 1986 and with my wife I have started several businesses in SLO County and we still own several businesses in SLO County

I would like to express my strong support for the Richard Patten Map.  
This map makes the most sense.  
It keeps SLO city and Cal Poly together.  
Splitting up SLO city with its unique interests and lumping it in to other districts with dissimilar features does not seem to make much sense  
It recognizes Grover Beach and Oceano as clear areas of similar interest.  
It keeps Atascadero in one district.  

Please vote for the Richard Patten Map.

Thank you for your consideration,

Cees Dobbe
Maria G. Brown

From: Patrick Mullen
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 5:09 PM
To: Lynn Compton; John Peschong; Bruce Gibson; Debbie Arnold; Dawn Ortiz-Legg
Subject: [EXT]Please support "SLO Chamber" Redistricting Map not Patten version

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Chair Compton and County Supervisors:

I urge you to support the SLO Chamber version of the redistricting maps. I worked in the county in the 1980's when our county congressional districts were split horizontally across our county, Kern County and Inyo County. It did not make sense then to divide those districts across dissimilar areas, and it was difficult for the representatives and for the constituents. Those districts were later changed back to be more aligned with related communities. Please do not make that same mistake with our county supervisorial districts.

I urge you to adopt the "SLO Chamber" version of the two maps you are considering. Do not vote for the Patten map.

Thank you for your consideration. It is important for your constituents; and our county residents, businesses and nonprofit organizations.

Sincerely,

Pat Mullen
40 year county resident and Cuesta College Trustee
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please represent all of your constituents! The fact that you would check the party affiliations of those who signed a petition to you, says a great deal about the fact that you are obviously partisan to one party, so you are not there for ALL your constituents. This is a wonderful county. You are now a disappointment to our district.

Mrs. Anne Marie Loftus
Nipomo
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map helps have more voices with the Coastal Commission. No one supervisors should represent only the coast as together the supervisors can be more effective.

Sent from my iPhone
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for bringing back for review and final vote only these two maps. My choice is the Richard Patten Map Rev_1 ID 74786. This map keeps Oceano and Grover Beach together as they shop in Grover Beach and share sewer, fire protection and school district. Your Name City

Sent from my iPhone
From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 10:12 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Message from KM_C368
Attachments: SKM_C36821113010210.pdf

From: Lisa-Marie Estrada <lestrada@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:15 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Message from KM_C368

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: svc-BOS-Konica
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 10:22 AM
To: Lisa-Marie Estrada <lestrada@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Message from KM_C368
Please accept this post card as my endorsement of

Richard Patten's Map Rev_1 ID-74786 for selection as the final redistricting map.

Patt Norris

Atascadero

11/27/21

Please accept this post card as my endorsement of

Richard Patten's Map Rev_1 ID-74786 for selection as the final redistricting map.

Steven A Sweet

Atascadero

11/29/21
Board of Supervisors
Redistricting meeting Nov. 30, 2021
1055 Monterey Street
San Luis Obispo, CA 93406

From a concerned citizen of San Luis Obispo County

Board of Supervisors
Redistricting meeting Nov. 30, 2021
1055 Monterey Street
San Luis Obispo, CA 93406
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:18 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting comments

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.
TO: County of San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors

COPIES: Individual Supervisors, SLO County Staff Advisory Redistricting Committee Redistricting Partners, Advisory Consultant, Rita Neal, County Counsel

FROM: Patrick McGibney/Lindi Doud

RE: SLO County Redistricting – Supervisorial Districts

DATE: November 29, 2021

Board Members, the Staff Committee, Redistricting Partners, and County Council

We’ve been residents of SLO County for almost 50 years and are proud to call this county our home. As residents, we are very concerned about the current redistricting process. Of course, you are tasked to go through this every 10 years using new census data. The key inquiry is whether changes in census data compel the revisiting and possible re-drawing of district boundaries. If census data changes do not require boundary changes, there is no need to make them. If it appears that census changes may require a revisiting and redrawing of district boundaries, the 2019 California Fair Maps Act criteria must be applied, in priority order. Although we would much prefer you take action to create a nonpartisan commission to do this process, we feel strongly that you need to ensure all citizens receive equal representation in your drawing of district lines.

Reviewing the census data, it appears that so little has changed in the last ten years that redistricting hardly seems warranted. However, the Chamber map does incorporate some changes that make sense, but remains conservative and workable. The so-called “Patten Map” is a radical change that makes no sense at all. It blows up existing legal representation and communities of interest. It would be costly, unwieldy, and impractical in the real world to implement, and for what reason? Why should one supervisor have to represent the incongruous needs and geographical disparities of both coastal and interior constituencies? Why should all the contiguous coastal communities that share so many common interests and challenges be separated?

Breaking up our geographical and communities of interest in the adoption of the Patten Map would be doing a great disservice to all citizens of this county. There are constitutional, statutory, and case precedent guardrails for evaluating the legitimacy of the 2021 redistricting process and, because it does not follow clear state laws, it will face legal challenges. Such an unwarranted radical change as adopting the Patten Map most likely will not survive a legal test.
We urge you to take the conservative approach and adopt the SLO Chamber Map, or Maps A or B developed by your own task force, and discard the Patten Map.

Sincerely,

Patrick McGibney
Lindi Doud
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Supervisors,

Please read and include my letter in the hearing proceedings tomorrow regarding redistricting SLO County. Act legally and adopt the Chamber of Commerce redistricting map.

Thank you for considering the attached legal ramifications of the Patton redistricting proposal.

Claudia Harmon Worthen

Claudia Harmon Worthen
LEED AP, CAPS, CID #6727
SLO County Board of Supervisors

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

Regarding redistricting District 2:

The census, less than 10%, does not warrant any change, especially none so dramatic as including coastal unincorporated towns with inland cities. Redistricting costs unwarranted tax payer dollars, funds that could more wisely be spent on infrastructure in our heavily touristed seaside towns.

Adoption of the “Patton Map” violates several articles in AB 849, please do the fair and legal action and adopt the Chamber of Commerce redistricting map.

Assembly Bill 849
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

(b) The board shall adopt supervisorial district boundaries that comply with the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.).
(c) The board shall adopt supervisorial district boundaries using the following criteria as set forth in the following order of priority:

(1) To the extent practicable, supervisorial districts shall be geographically contiguous. Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous. Areas that are separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.

Cambria, San Simeon and Cayucos are separated by mountains from Paso Robles and Atascadero.

(2) To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division. A “community of interest” is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single supervisorial district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

Coastal communities do not share common social or economic interests with inland cities. Unincorporated areas do not share common interests with cities with independent legislative bodies.

(3) To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of a city or census designated place shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division.
The Patton Map splits the integrity of the coastal towns under jurisdiction of the California Coastal Commission

(4) Supervisorial district boundaries should be easily identifiable and understandable by residents. To the extent practicable, supervisorial districts shall be bounded by natural and artificial barriers, by streets, or by the boundaries of the county.

The mountains are natural barriers between the North Coast and Paso Robles and Atascadero, identifiable and understandable by residents. Annexing the coastal towns with inland cities violates this condition of the law.

(5) To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria in this subdivision, supervisorial districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations.

The existing District 2 is geographically compact. Splitting up the coastal towns and city of Morro Bay violates this provision of the law.

(d) The board shall not adopt supervisorial district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.

District 2 has historically been democratic. Splitting up the existing district and annexing with historically Republican cities is discriminatory against the will of the people.

County counsel lists the five priorities listed above, with one major change: Staff replace the fifth priority with the requirement ”not to favor or discriminate against any political party.”

The removal of item 5 of Assembly Bill 849 by the County is arbitrary and capricious, constituting an abuse of discretion and is not in accordance with State law and Federal Voting Rights Act of 1965.

There is no need to replace item 5 as (d) is already included in the State law.

21605.
(a) After redistricting or districting pursuant to Section 21601 or 21603, a council shall not adopt new council district boundaries until after the next federal decennial census, except under the following circumstances:
(1) A court orders the council to redistrict.
(2) The council is settling a legal claim that its council district boundaries violate the United States Constitution, the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.), or this article.
As stated by Supervisor Compton at the November 19th public hearing, adoption of the “Patton Map” will provoke legal action.

**21607.1.**
(a) Before adopting a final map, the council shall hold at least four public hearings at which the public is invited to provide input regarding the composition of one or more council districts.
(1) At least one public hearing shall be held before the council draws a draft map or maps of the proposed council boundaries.

The first meeting in July was not advertised in the Tribune Newspaper or properly publicly announced. Announcement of meetings were not, to my knowledge, sent out in Spanish.

Please adopt the Chamber of Commerce redistricting map for SLO County and keep the coastal towns in tact.

Yours Truly,

Claudia Harmon Worthen
Mike and Deborah Dill left a voice message expressing support for the Richard Patten map.

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Cisco Unity Connection Messaging System <unityconnection@cxn1.co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:37 AM
To: bos.reception@cxn1.co.slo.ca.us
Subject: Message from DILL, M (918057129205)
Mike and Deborah Dill left a voice message expressing support for the Richard Patten map.

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498
Redistricting Correspondence

Thank you,

Sarah Sartain
Legislative Assistant, District 3
Office of Supervisor Dawn Ortiz-Legg
County of San Luis Obispo
1055 Monterey Street, San Luis Obispo, Ca 93408

Phone: 805-781-4336
Email: ssartain@co.slo.ca.us
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Supervisor Ortiz-Legg

Thank you for your response.
Sylvia Dodd

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 29, 2021, at 11:21 AM, Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us> wrote:

Hello Ms. Dodd,

Thank you so much for writing.

There is a lot of information being a shared and it can be confusing. So I wanted to clarify this misconception of District 5 and Cal Poly. Please see the attached that shows CalPoly has been in District 5 for many decades, and actually less in 2010 than in 2000. Isn’t that something?

I thought it would be important for you to know the accurate history.

Thank you again,

Sincerely,
Dawn

<image002.png>
Also, Grover Beach and Ocean communities are more similar in interest and should stay together.

To bring Cal Poly into Supervisor Debbie Arnold district was considered in the past as Gerrymandering and should be corrected currently.

I request your consideration.

Respectfully,

Sylvia Dodd
Grover Beach

<Cal_Poly_Historic_Division.pdf>
Thank you for asking my reasons for preferring Richard Patton’s map. Here are a few of my reasons...
The Chamber Map divides SLO City into 3 districts, having 3 supervisory districts in a single “Community of Interest”. The idea is counter to the rules. Multiple Communities of Interest should be contained in a Single Supervisorial District, not the other way around.

Cal Poly is a Community of Interest. Cal Poly has much more in common with SLO City than beach towns to the North. The chamber map unites Templeton only to divide Atascadero. This makes no sense. Cal Poly is still in District 5. The two are incompatible with the rules. Rural vs. Educational are not "like Social or Economic interests."
Thank you for your time.
Ms. Robinson

Sent from my iPad

On Nov 28, 2021, at 7:09 AM, Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us> wrote:

Thank you for your email, Ms. Robinson,

May I ask what are the specific reasons you support the RP map? I am taking a poll to help gauge the support for each map and why.

Thank you,

Supervisor Dawn Ortiz-Legg
District 3
County of San Luis Obispo
Board of Supervisors
(p) 805-781-4336

<image001.png>
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<image003.png>
To: Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT] SLO County Redistricting Proposed Two Maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisor Ortiz Legg,

As a result of the recent November 19th SLO County Board of Supervisors redistricting meeting, there are now two maps to consider for adoption on November 30th. I respectfully request support for the adoption of Richard Patten’s Rev. 1 Citizens’ Map.

https://www.slocounty.ca.gov/Departments/Administrative-Office/Forms-Documents/Redistricting/Richard-Patten-Rev_1_ID-74786_Detail.pdf

Respectfully,

Rebecca Robinson
Nipomo

Sent from my iPad
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Supervisor Ortiz-Legg, my good neighbors Terri and Steve Belsley said it well. PLEASE stand with us, your folks! Keep our district lines as they are.

Cheers, Tom 😊
Avila Beach, CA 93424

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Terri Belsley
Date: November 29, 2021 at 3:53:47 PM PST
To: district3@co.slo.ca.us
Subject: No on Redistricting

Dear Supervisor Ortiz-Legg:

I am writing to urge you to please maintain current districting within SLO County. The current districts efficiently and fairly balance our geography, population, community interests and party registration. What is being considered is wrong for the citizens of District 3 and SLO County. Please stand w/us, your local constituents and make the right choice. It's time for unity. Together we can make a difference. Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,
Steve and Terri Belsley
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisor Ortiz-Legg:

I am writing to urge you to please maintain current districting within SLO County. The current districts efficiently and fairly balance our geography, population, community interests and party registration. What is being considered is wrong for the citizens of District 3 and SLO County. Please stand w/us, your local constituents and make the right choice. It’s time for unity. Together we can make a difference. Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,
Steve and Terri Belsley
Hello Supervisor Ortiz-Legg,

I am dismayed by this apparent attempt to guarantee a Conservative majority on the Board of Supervisors.

I know you recognize that the population of our county has not changed enough to warrant a drastic change to our voting map and the Patten map totally ignores the concept of ‘communities of interest’ mentioned in state law.

Please choose the SLO Chamber of Commerce map.

Sincerely,

Meg Evans
Dear Supervisor Ortiz-Legg,

Please vote for the Chamber of Commerce version of the district map. It is clearly the best option and the least partisan.

Thank you,
Jerusha Greenwood
District 3 Resident
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, [date]
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 7:05 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Patrick Perry

Your Email [redacted]

U.S. phone number [redacted]

Message: Redistricting.....Okay trumpites....we are coming for you.....This map is ridiculous and exposes what you 3 are all about...just keep looking over your shoulder asslo county becomes much more liberal

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 836199

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2907

Form inserted: 11/30/2021 7:03:53 PM
For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Message: How sad that you care so little for the residents of SLO County. Voting for redistricting just to appease the Republican Party is a disgrace. You should be making the count better for the residents, not the party. You should be ashamed.
From: Maria G. Brown
Sent: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 30, 2021 6:10 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Dan Woodson
Your Email
U.S. phone number
Message: Good job Supervisors. You have succeeded in subverting democracy
Public Records Notice: True
Security Check: 745963
BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2905
Form inserted: 11/30/2021 6:09:23 PM
Form updated: 11/30/2021 6:09:23 PM
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

I'm a constituent from Nipomo. Judging by the 3-2 vote to pass the Patten map, democracy is not important to 3 of you. It's cool that your number one priority for the county is staying in office. Congratulations on your blatant partisan power grab.

Scott Koukol
For your review. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Your Name: Christie Youngdale
Your Email
U.S. phone number
Message: I encourage adoption of the Patten Map for redistricting.
Public Records Notice: True
Security Check: 786567
BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2904
Form inserted: 11/30/2021 6:07:33 PM
Form updated: 11/30/2021 6:07:33 PM