Dear Supervisors:

- I urge you to retain current supervisorial district boundaries, which will ensure continuity, keep existing Communities of Interest intact, and meet all statutory requirements. No drastic changes to district boundaries are warranted.

- The new census data do not justify major changes to current supervisor district boundaries. Current districts meet all state requirements for population balance, fairness of representation, and compactness.

Here on the North Coast, our communities share common problems and solutions. We need to be under one roof: one supervisor.

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz
From: DONNA PRISHMONT <dprishmont@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 6:04 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Map A Supporter

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I support Map A. Keep Arroyo Grande, Oceano and Nipomo in District 4.

Sincerely,

Donna Prishmont
Nipomo, CA 93444
-----Original Message-----
From: Lucy Pierson <lucyhuntpierson@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 6:46 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear SLO County Board of Supervisors,

I respectfully submit that if there is no real reason to change the district map as it now stands, that the boundaries remain as they are.

Sincerely, Lucy Hunt-Pierson
Cayucos Resident
To: The County Board of Supervisors, San Luis Obispo, CA

Submitted 11/9/21 for meeting on 11/19/21

From: Cambrians for Preserving District 2

Re: Redistricting in SLO County District 2, and Preventing Gerrymandering

OUR SHARED POSITION

Current census data, traditional redistricting principles, and recently enacted statutory criteria governing decisions about the rebalancing or redrawing of California Supervisorial districts do not support a need for any significant changes to District 2 current boundary.

DISCUSSION

The county’s population growth has been minimal. No reason for change.

The communities of interest that are currently in District 2 have the following common social and economical interests:

* We are all communities with economies that have heavy coastal tourism components. Our communities have a strong interest in protecting the coastal environment, while still promoting accessibility and enjoyment of those who want to enjoy the coast.

**The balance of ecological preservation and economic activity require a different set of considerations than communities that are more agriculturally oriented. Both of these types of communities deserve effective and fair representation. It is not appropriate to have the voice of small coastal communities dampened or muted by larger population interests. We need our own voice related to planning. We have the Santa Lucia Mountain Range separating Cambria and rural North County, which does not meet the contiguous or compactness criteria.

**The coastal communities may face distinct challenges should water levels continue to rise as a result of climate change. These challenges are distinct from the climate-related challenges that might face inland communities.

**The coastal communities have priorities around water access (& preservation of access for residents) that are necessarily different than those of agriculturally-driven communities.
**For these reasons, the coastal communities also need to have a strong dominant voice on the Coastal Commission. We would note that Map C and others would have four of five districts reach the coast. This softens the voice of the people who are actually living and working on the coast daily, as these communities are currently represented by only two districts. There was discussion at the last BOS meeting that there needs to be more supervisors represented on the coast to have more impact on the Coastal Commission. YOU know this is not true! The Coastal Commission is here to protect the coast.

* Several of the communities currently in District 2 are unincorporated, and we therefore have a single voice determining the rules that govern the places we call home...that voice being the rules and regulations of San Luis Obispo County. We do not have city governments that could protect the interests of the residents of this community if the county were to adopt rules that would otherwise be harmful to the interests of our community.

Please respect the geographic integrity of Communities of Interest. Radical change is not justified by the census data. We favor Map A or B.

Lastly, the Board shall not adopt supervisory district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party. Section 21500 (d)

Please, let the Board be transparent, fact-based, and fact-driven. Let it be non-partisan, and protect and preserve the diversity of all communities of interest, and let us be proud of our governmental representatives.

Thank you.

Cambrians for Preserving District 2

David & Karen Pearson      Mike & Sharon Evans        Gerry & Marty Main
Teresa Pilot               Frank Widmann               Barb Buchanan
Tina & John Dickason       David & Tish Breda          Pat Moreno
Tom & Barbara Thompson     Dan & Carolyn Golowka        Janet Cooper
Sharkey & Nancy Warrick   Allan MacKinnon            David & Judy Skartvedt
Elaine & Payam Zamani     Ann Glaser                 Mary & Jim Webb
Marshall & Ilme Hamilton  John & Glenda Hoskins        Evaan & Roland Portillo
Kent & Lisa Delgadillo    Cheryl McDowell             Joseph Sorensen
Marcia & John Rhoades     Joan Cehn                  Greg & Karen Whitney
Ricki Jones                Jim & Michelle Razor      Tess Syrjanen
Jeff Bloom                 Marilyn Staats             Bill & Carol Gerlach
Mahala Burton             Steve & Rita Burton        Brenda Gale
Margaret Mic
Richard & Susan Burchiel  Victoria & Serge Krassensky
Ralph Bookout  John & Diane Hood  Amy & Adrian Taron
Claud & Cheryl Corvino  Sue Kersey  Tom Parsons
David & Cindy Harbeson  Wayne Attoo  Eugene Blanck
Bonnie Parsons  Steve Allen  Linda & Terry Dunn
Greg Stone  Mark Bronson  Stevan & Lori Rosenlind
James Pitton  Darrell Bronson-Means
Collen Juarez  William and Suzanne Hughes
Mike Barnes  Ellen Finnerty  Joseph Crowley
Kathy Barnes  Mel McCulloch  Barbara & Don Dallman
Dave Farmer  Harry Farmer
Lynn Farmer  Dawn Stephey  Loretta & Ken Butterfield
Glen Baldwin  Mike & Jenny Lee
Tom Kennedy  Terry & Thelma Peterson  Eunice Wilson
Zale Shuster  Marylyn Villeneuve  Delphine Vega
Sheri Baldwin  Christine Heinrichs  Richard Beekman
Aaron Linn  Tony & Penny Church  Lisa Tanzman
Art Chapman  Marcelle Bakula  Anthony Tripi
Matt Clevenger  Barbara Crowley  Gail Stevens
Greg Aitkens
Marina Michel  Jim Townsend  Robert Fountain
John Fitzrandolph  Elizabeth Bettenhausen  Robert Reid
John Spiderman  Paul Drughe
Bert Maxted  Claudia Worthen
Matt Peck  Marilyn Fiebelkorn
Carlotta McDonald  Kristina Kangas
Ann Rodgers  Donald Archer
Michele Pezzoli-Kennedy  Russel Read
Connie Brauer  Lucia Capacchione
David Lacey  Leslie Richards
James Covello  John & Joyce Lamb
Liz Covello  Delphina Vega
David Tambeaux  Lori Slater
Bill Walters  Raul Sandoval
Herb Connor  Stephanie Mulroony
Bill Cook  Ted Key
Greg Ziol  John Zinke
Don Berghoff  James Mulroony
Vicki Berghoff  Kathleen Conway
George Lawton  Jim Worthen
Steve Peck  Ronald & Elizabeth Swierk
Dan Himsworth  Ann Pope
Timothy Rich  Jane Mettee
Andrew Goddard  Holly Ludwigson
Joost Teunissen  George & Rian Dom
Tom McMillen  Julian Crocker
Kari Langwassers  Eunice Wilson

This list is made up of actual Cambria residents, and if you want emails or addresses, please let me know. Karen Pearson  konatika@gmail.com
Thankyou.
-----Original Message-----
From: Laurie DeWitt <beachdoxie@charter.net>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 6:48 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please do the right thing, and leave partisan politics out of the redistricting process.

Thank you,
Laurie DeWitt

Sent from my iPhone
Dear Board of Supervisors,

The California Fair Maps Act of 2019 does not require *any change in existing boundaries* if change isn’t justified by Census data! Given the minimal demographic, population, and communities of interest (COI) changes in SLO County between 2010 and 2020, no change in boundaries is warranted.

Draft Map A complies with both the 2019 Fair Maps Act and the Federal Voting Rights Acts, and your own consultant has confirmed this.

Splitting the coastal communities and lumping them into the areas to the east trivializes existing Communities of Interest that have been established for decades. Elections Code Sec. 21500(c)(2) reflects that the geographic integrity local COIs *shall be respected* in a manner that minimizes division; making it clear that splitting these communities is in violation of the elections code.

San Luis Obispo MUST retain its three-district representation. It’s not about what the services the City of San Luis Obispo is responsible for. For purposes of county wide districting, it’s about what the City of San Luis Obispo means to the entire county as the county seat, the population corridor and cultural hub for the county, the county’s regional shopping area, county wide jobs generator, and medical and educational center. Plenty of evidence across the state show that the hub of the county is clearly represented by multiple districts.

Draft Map A is the most economically prudent choice, and would not affect when county voters vote for their supervisors.

Clearly, major or significant change to the current boundaries can only be to gain a political advantage for a political party or an incumbent, both of which purposes are expressly prohibited by the Fair Maps Act! And specifically, with existing District #4 having a 2020 census deviation of just 3.19% -- and otherwise meeting all criteria of the California Fair Maps Act -- what possible legitimate basis would the incumbent supervisor have for wanting to modify district boundaries?

Respectfully submitted,

Dorothy Hines
SLO County resident
Dear Supervisors Arnold, Peschong, Compton, Ortiz-Legg, and Gibson,

I urge you to adhere to the legal, non-partisan requirements concerning the question of redistricting.

Given that there have been very minor changes in population, there is no requirement to fool with changing the district boundaries. Indeed, to do so would reflect poor judgement, your partisan intentions, and your political avarice.

In fact, you’ve already ignored best practices in the process of redistricting by not appointing a nonpartisan citizens’ panel to advise on drawing boundaries. How else are your constituents to read your actions except as a bald ploy to cement partisan advantages for the next 10 years?

In an opinion published in The Tribune (Nov. 16), conservative Republicans and former police chiefs Jim Gardiner and Rick Terborch ask you to “resist ‘radical’ redistricting.” Please listen to them.

Please listen to your better angels, ensure continuity in our County elections, keep our Communities of Interest intact (which means not polarizing the City of San Luis Obispo into one district), and meet the statutory requirements.

With hope,
Amy Hewes
Redistricting

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:05 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 115

RedistrictingID 115
Form inserted 11/16/2021 8:04:06 AM
Form updated 11/16/2021 8:04:06 AM
First Name Mariam
Last Name Shah
Email
Phone
Name of Organization Represented
City
Zip
Comment

There has been no significant change in the population of SLO County that warrants a drastic change to supervisorial districts. I recently heard an expert on redistricting on a League of Women Voters zoom state that even the current districts are arguably politically drawn as the majority of our county is Democrat, yet the majority of the board is not. However, these have been our districts for a decade and people have accepted their representation. Please choose Map A.

Public Records Notice True
Security Check 060500
Dear Esteemed Board Members:

There has been no significant change in the population of SLO County that warrants a drastic change to supervisorial districts. I recently heard an expert on redistricting on a League of Women Voters zoom state that even the current districts are arguably politically drawn as the majority of our county is Democrat, yet the majority of the board is not. However, these have been our districts for a decade, and people have accepted their representation. There is no need to draw increasingly political lines. Please choose Map A.

Sincerely, Mariam Shah
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:14 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Comments for November 19 Hearing #3

From: Martin Akel <akelassoc@earthlink.net>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:14 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Comments for November 19 Hearing #3

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors:

We're residents in SLO County's District #4. Regarding the redistricting issue for our communities -- there's only one reason why significant changes might be considered. It's not to benefit citizens, but to benefit the upcoming election outcomes of the incumbent supervisor ... an election that is only 7 months away!

If the redistricting decision is based on facts rather than political expediency, it's clear that only Draft Plan A (the status quo plan) should be implemented. Consider the facts:

- The district's population size has not changed appreciably since 2010. The 4th District meets every criterion for a functional and fair collection of communities of interest, supporting continuation rather than radical change to existing district boundaries.

- The Oceano community is 50% Latino, and the unincorporated area of Nipomo is 45% Latino. These two concentrations of Latino residents constitute a very significant, united community of interest within the 4th District which should remain intact. That group's opportunities for political representation must not diluted.

- There are an extremely broad range of communities of interest across the current 4th District: air quality; groundwater basin/water sourcing; South County land planning and development; economic development; housing; education; health access; tribal justice; environmental justice; Coastal Act enforcement; Oceano redevelopment and rejuvenation; issues relating to the repurposing of the Phillips 66 property; employment opportunity; agriculture sustainability; and issues that impact a significant portion of the county's Latino population.

One specific example of our "community of interest" is the future of the ODSVRA and the impacts of its future on a broad swath of 4th District residents ... i.e., air pollution, vehicular/ OHV riding, protection of endangered species, etc.

- The entire 4th District overlays the Santa Maria Groundwater Basin. The entire basin was put under the control of a court-appointed Water Master, a situation that will be even more important as we cope with climate change-induced droughts and flooding. Keeping this community's water interests intact is essential for effective groundwater management.

- And, according to the California Fair Maps Act -- the redistricting process **shall not be manipulated** in a way that focuses on or favors incumbents or particular candidates, nor draws districts to the advantage or disadvantage of a political party. The Fair Maps Act is a regulatory/legal fact. Certainly, our County does not wish to challenge that Act in court.
The bottom line -- while alternative options regarding the 4th District can be offered, San Luis Obispo County's own consultant says that no change in boundaries is justified if the Census data supports "no change." And the facts are that Census data between 2010 and 2020 does not support change.

Therefore -- the only reason to significantly alter the 4th District's boundaries (i.e., exclude Oceano's population) might be to benefit the incumbent who is up for re-election against an opponent who lost to her by a mere 61 votes in the last election, and is challenging her again next June.

Let it be known that the citizens of District #4 are well aware of the possibility to realign the population boundaries to help prop up the incumbent's political future. And that action is unequivocally something we do not welcome nor condone.

We support adoption of the status-quo map -- Draft Plan A for District #4.

Please -- as you deliberate redistricting, make decisions based on the supportable facts, not on potential attempts to remain in office.

Sincerely,
Martin Akel & Myra Akel

[Handwritten Signature]

MARTIN AKEL

[Handwritten Signature]
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:14 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]REDISTRICTING

From: Colleen Martin <cmartin@slcusd.org>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:14 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]REDISTRICTING

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear esteemed Board of Supervisors

Because the population has not changed significantly, I believe it is both the county's and YOUR best interest to tweek the map just a smidge to accomodate any growth, but keep the existing districts almost the same. Our county has much more important things to do than rattle the cages of everyone with radical new drawings. Keep the focus on the health and safety of the residents and keep the maps the status quo.

Thank you for your service,
Be well-
Colleen

Colleen Martin

"After a long, long time she reached an important conclusion. She was never going to stand by and say nothing."
Eleanor Estes, The Hundred Dresses 1945

College & Career Center Specialist
San Luis Obispo High School
Redistricting ID 116

Form inserted 11/16/2021 8:22:41 AM
Form updated 11/16/2021 8:22:41 AM
First Name Amy
Last Name Hewes
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City San Luis Obispo
Zip 93405

Please adhere to the legal requirements of redistricting, which means NO change in district boundaries. You’ve already ignored best practices by not appointing a nonpartisan citizens’ panel to advise on drawing boundaries. Your actions appear as a bald ploy to cement partisan advantages for the next 10 years. Please listen to your better angels, do not polarize the City of San Luis Obispo into one district, and meet the statutory requirements.
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

PLEASE go with PLAN A and leave our county as it is — As much as possible.. PLEASE.

Mary K Martin
From: Maria G. Brown
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:15 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]NO on Redistricting from Cambria! Please read at BOS meeting.
Attachments: Letter to BOS signed by Cambrians.pdf

From: Karen Pearson <konatika@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:48 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Bruce Gibson <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>; Blake Fixler <bfixler@co.slo.ca.us>; jpieneng@co.slo.ca.us; Dawn Ortiz-Legg <dortizlegg@co.slo.ca.us>; District 4 <district4@co.slo.ca.us>; BOS_District 5_Web Contact <district5@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]NO on Redistricting from Cambria! Please read at BOS meeting.

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.
From: Daniel Cook <dtcook@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 9:04 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT] Redistricting

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Honorable Supervisors;

Please listen to the Police Chiefs in today’s Tribune commentary, and do not force any radical redistricting on the county. We have enough problems as it is without throwing gas on the fire.

With Respect,
Dan Cook
From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>  
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 9:06 AM  
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
Subject: Public Comment - ID 117

RedistrictingID 117  
Form inserted 11/16/2021 9:05:29 AM  
Form updated 11/16/2021 9:05:29 AM  
First Name Daniel  
Last Name Cook  
Email  
Phone  
Name of Organization Represented  
City  
Zip 93465  
Comment Please DO NOT change the districts maps, they are just fine as they are.  
Public Records Notice True  
Security Check 137744
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear County Representatives,
I have lived in the County since 1981, in an unincorporated area. County government is Very impactful for me and my family. I think the current map A should be upheld, based on old AND new census data. We have a delicate balance in the County, exhibited by the fluctuating make-up of the Board of Supervisors. Let’s not put our fingers on a scale that is not broken.
Sincerely,
Barbara Rosenthal
From: Marie Glavin <marieglavin@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 9:10 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors,

Taking into consideration all the criteria stipulated in state law and the Voting Rights Act, and following the priority criteria as outlined on the SLO County Redistricting website, We urge the Board of Supervisors adopt map A or map B, which are very similar to the current map. According to census, none of the districts in SLO County grew enough to necessitate substantial boundary changes. This was confirmed by the County’s redistricting consultant. Maps A and B ensure continuity, respect the communities of interest, minimize the disruptions to the election cycle, and meet statutory requirements. We support robust democracy in which people feel confident that their voices and votes will be heard. Please vote Maps A or B.

With Regards,
Marie and Tom Glavin

Sent from my iPhone
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:16 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Represent Your Constituents

From: Mari Fedrow <fedrowinca@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 9:10 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Represent Your Constituents

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To: SLO County Board of Supervisors
From: Mari Fedrow, Cambria Resident

You must keep our existing Communities of Interest intact! I urge you to retain current supervisorial district boundaries which will ensure continuity and meet all statutory requirements. NO district changes to district boundaries are warranted.

The new census data do NOT JUSTIFY major changes to current supervisor district boundaries. Current districts meet all state requirements for population balance and fairness of representation.

Do the right thing!

Sincerely,

Mari Fedrow
Hello,

I urge you to retain current supervisorial district boundaries, which will ensure continuity, keep existing Communities of Interest intact, and meet all statutory requirements. No drastic changes to district boundaries are warranted.

The new census data do not justify major changes to current supervisor district boundaries. Current districts meet all state requirements for population balance, fairness of representation, and compactness.

I live in the City of SLO and support its division into three supervisorial districts. The City has ties to all parts of the county, providing jobs, shopping, and education for folks who live in other areas and are connected through our central location and major transportation systems. Those who assert otherwise generally don’t live in the City. Don’t marginalize us into a single district.

While minor updates to the existing boundaries may be required, such changes should be minimal. Please reject the proposed “Patten map” and others that kettle the City of SLO into a single district.

-genae
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please do not tamper with the current Board of Supervisors districts. The notion that the North Coast and inland North County are communities of interest is absurd and to combine them is partisan gerrymandering at its worst. Redistricting should “do no harm.”

Chris Ungar

Sent from my iPad
The new census data do not justify major changes to current supervisor district boundaries. Current districts meet all state requirements for population balance, fairness of representation, and compactness.

I am urging you to keep the district boundaries UNCHANGED. It’s imperative to not be partisan at this time in democracy’s history. I implore you to do the right thing!

Sincerely,

Jacquelyn Crane, San Luis Obispo
The new census data do not justify major changes to current supervisor district boundaries. Current districts meet all state requirements for population balance, fairness of representation, and compactness.
San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors,

I wholly support maintaining the current district boundaries. Please do not create divisiveness with political gerrymandering.

Roberta Weed-Brown
From: Ron Munds <rmunds@losososcsd.org>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 9:34 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT] LOCSD Board of Directors Redistricting Comment Letter

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please find attached a letter from the Los Osos Community Services District Board of Directors commenting on the County’s redistricting maps and process.

Ron

Ron Munds
General Manager
Los Osos Community Services District
November 10, 2021

Members of the Board of Supervisors
1055 Monterey Street, D430
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisor,

On November 4, 2021, the Los Osos Community Services District (CSD) Board of Directors unanimously agreed to submit comments to the Board of Supervisors regarding the County’s redistricting process and potential changes to the boundaries of the five supervisorial districts. Though the CSD Board is primarily concerned with any revisions to the boundary of District 2 which could have a major impact on our representation, maps proposing drastic boundary revisions have been introduced to the Board of Supervisors could have profound regional and legal consequences.

It is the CSD Board’s opinion that there is no compelling case, legal or ethical, for far-reaching revisions as proposed in Maps C, D and maps submitted by the public. Given our county’s relatively small increase in population since the last census (less than 10%), the current district boundaries (Map A) are fully compliant with state law and no changes are legally required or warranted to balance population or other demographic considerations. Minor boundary modifications, as suggested in Map B, would be an acceptable alternative since it would keep the existing communities of interest intact in all five supervisorial districts and moves the Cal Poly campus into one district.

**District 2 Boundary Change Concerns**
The “North Coast” has been part of District 2 for the past 50 years and Los Osos and the other northern coastal communities share many of the same interests and concerns, and are closely linked economically, socially and environmentally. The current District 2 boundary meets every criterion for a functional and fair collection of communities of interest and in keeping this supervisorial region intact should be a major priority.

In particular, the City of Morro Bay and Los Osos have many similarities and common interests. We share the shoreline boundaries of the Morro Bay National Estuary, children from each community attend Los Osos Middle and Morro Bay High Schools, there are strong economic ties between the two communities and may, in the future, share water resources.
For these many reasons Maps C, D and the “Patten” Map should not be considered as they are not consistent with Election Code 21500(c)(2) which explicitly states when referencing a community of interest, that a population that shares common social or economic interests should be included within a single supervisorial district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. The CSD Board urges the Board of Supervisors to follow the California State Elections Code and make only minor refinement to the existing district boundaries. Any other action will not be consistent with the law or the Board’s obligation to fairly represent the interests of all County residents.

Respectfully,

Christine M. Womack

Christine M. Womack
President of the Board
Los Osos Community Services District
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors,

Taking into consideration all the criteria stipulated in state law and the Voting Rights Act, and following the priority criteria as outlined on the SLO County Redistricting website, we urge the Board of Supervisors to adopt map A or map B, which are very similar to the current map. According to the census, none of the districts in SLO County grew enough to necessitate substantial boundary changes. This was confirmed by the County's redistricting consultant. Maps A and B ensure continuity, respect the communities of interest, minimize the disruptions to the election cycle, and meet statutory requirements. We support robust democracy in which people feel confident that their voices and votes will be heard. Please vote Maps A or B.

Regards,
Logan and Elaine Bertolette
Good morning, SLO County Supervisors!

The past two years have been quite the tumult. People so often said and still say, "When will things get back to normal?"
That is an urgent reason to keep the supervisorial districts defined as they are now.

We should not change the districts' lines and thus increase the stressful change in SLO County.
Please do not add more change and stress to the huge stack of

- increasing costs of living,
- shortage of labor in the hospitality industry,
- unusual patterns in weather and seasons,
- masks and new vaccines still getting produced by the 100s of millions,
- shipping blocked by difficulties moving goods,
- children learning to deal with "nothing is the same,"
- "It's spiking again!" in the headlines way too often,
- vacation plans getting frustrated by infectious viruses,
- trust barely surviving on an iffy diet,
- people working 24/7 and finding that helping people can stretch beyond one's own safety,
- and other reasons why headaches, poverty, depression, anxiety, cynicism, and illness are increasing all over the place.

We need to keep the district lines the same in order to represent everyone fairly in SLO County. Keep Cambria in a district along the coast. We would not be adequately represented when many more voters in the new district get normal summer temperatures around 100 degrees Fahrenheit at home. The weather in SLO County shapes perspectives and often creates illusions about other districts. In the summer, many people
from Paso and Atascadero come to Cambria to cool off. When I talk with them on the beach, they often say, "You sure do live in Paradise." Now that's a perspective problem!

I already signed a Cambria petition giving many reasons why the SLO County supervisorial districts should not be redrawn now. I could write up another 4 pages of legal, economic, cultural, and political reasons too (playing the "I've got a Ph.D. game). Instead, my comments here remind me and, I hope you, of the larger context of the question you and all of us face. Are reasons to redraw the districts' lines greater than the need to protect the well-being of people so threatened in many ways now? Please say, "No. Let's keep the supervisorial districts' territory the same in San Luis Obispo County."

Thank you for your public service in these most difficult times.

Elizabeth Bettenhausen, B.A., Ph.D. 😊
I live in Cambria. I am opposed to the proposed redistricting of our town and surrounding small coastal communities with large inland communities like Paso Robles. Our coastal area has unique needs for representation, having nothing in common with Paso or Atascadero. We are all communities with economies that have heavy coastal tourism components. Our communities have a strong interest in protecting the coastal environment, while still promoting accessibility and enjoyment of those who want to enjoy the coast.

Current census data, traditional redistricting principles, and recently enacted statutory criteria governing decisions about the rebalancing or redrawing of California Supervisorial districts do not support a need for any significant changes to District 2 current boundary.

Marilyn Fiebelkorn
Cambria CA

Sent from my iPad
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:17 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Kate Stulberg <kate.stulberg8@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 9:56 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors - I am against changing the districts. Our population has not changed enough to merit redistributing.

Taking into consideration all the criteria stipulated in state law and the Voting Rights Act, and following the priority criteria as outlined on the SLO County Redistricting website, I urge the Board of Supervisors to adopt map A or map B, which are very similar to the current map. According to census, none of the districts in SLO County grew enough to necessitate substantial boundary changes. This was confirmed by the County’s redistricting consultant. Maps A and B ensure continuity, respect the communities of interest, minimize the disruptions to the election cycle, and meet statutory requirements.

Kate Stulberg

Sent from my iPhone
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Regarding the Redistricting:
1. Provide a way online to overlay the current district lines over each compliant submission so we can compare the changes to existing boundaries. What we see currently is not detailed enough to inform us precisely where the new lines run. Without the overlay, it’s impossible to know what the new lines actually mean to us. Additionally, large posters could be displayed in the lobby for at least 3 days before and after the meetings so the public can examine them in detail.
   a. The overlay/proposed map must include enough detail (cities, landmarks like highways, Cal Poly, etc) to evaluate the changes being recommended (for example, is a city being divided into pieces.)
   b. The overlay/proposed map must be available in the maps online, clearly labeled as overlay, so we can carefully examine them BEFORE the meeting.
   c. Require an explanation of the changes from the current district line and how the proposed changes help meet the requirements. Information must be specific and accurate.

2. Each Supervisor must share his/her opinions as to what is acceptable to each of you at the Nov 19 meeting. You represent the entire population of this county and each of us deserves to hear those opinions NOW.

3. Based on those opinions, filter out NOW any maps you find unacceptable and expedite the process so the public has a chance to respond by modifying maps or submitting alternatives. Stop trying to distract the public with multiple plans you have no intention of supporting.

4. Understand that the screen in the BoS Chambers is inadequate for the audience to view details. It’s even more inadequate when Chris is moving the cursor around quickly to explain changes. It’s blurry and sometimes nothing appears at all. While you are all seeing the maps on your personal screens, the audience is not. The videos of the meetings confirm this.

5. Given the inadequacy of the screen in the Chambers, allow questions at the meeting that are only for clarification of explanations of the maps.

6. Consider voting for no changes this cycle. If you cannot express your opinion about the maps by this time, perhaps the reason is that there has not been enough change in the demographics to justify any major changes.

These maps affect us, we are there because we want to participate in the process. We cannot even speak up to tell you that we cannot see what was just obvious to you on your screens. It’s disrespectful to your constituents at best; at worst, it’s offensive and unhelpful to the process and quite possibly will be interpreted as obstructive to the concept of encouraging public participation. It’s already obvious that you did not want a single member of the public involved in the decision-making process when you rejected that idea early on. Your cursory efforts to solicit public input may meet the minimum legal requirements but certainly do not meet voters' needs and, I suspect, will be reported to the state.
A Dillen
Taking into consideration all the criteria stipulated in state law and the Voting Rights Act, and following the priority criteria as outlined on the SLO County Redistricting website, I am urging the Board of Supervisors to adopt map A or map B, which are very similar to the current map. According to census, none of the districts in SLO County grew enough to necessitate substantial boundary changes. This was confirmed by the County’s redistricting consultant. Maps A and B ensure continuity, respect the communities of interest, minimize the disruptions to the election cycle, and meet statutory requirements. I support robust democracy in which people feel confident that their voices and votes will be heard.

Thank You!
Debbie Hrabe
Concerned citizen of SLO County
From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 10:11 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 120

RedistrictingID: 120
Form inserted: 11/16/2021 10:10:58 AM
Form updated: 11/16/2021 10:10:58 AM
First Name: Karen
Last Name: Aguilar
Email: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]
Name of Organization Represented: private citizen
City: [Redacted]
Zip: [Redacted]
Comment:
I like the citizen's map by Richard Patten, it follows the guidelines of AB 849 the best for equal population distribution.
Public Records Notice: True
Security Check: 403919
I am writing regarding the upcoming decision on redistricting. I lived in Paso Robles for 25 years and now in San Luis for 16. I have volunteered as Lead Inspector in several elections in SLO. I would ask the committee to choose either Map A or Map B. Either one would be acceptable.
From: Angie King <akingslo@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 10:23 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I absolutely agree with the position of the Democratic party - leave the existing districts as they are with only the minor tweaks that might be required, DO NOT lump the North coast with the interior parts of our county, and please leave SLO city districts as they are.

It is a pity that (some of) our elected officials do not even listen to the people who elected them.

--
Angie King
San Luis Obispo CA

Letting go gracefully is aging gracefully.
Please keep the current districting guidelines...they serve our common interests well and meet all statutory guidelines. No changes are warranted. Thank you.

Mary Anne Rapuano, Los Osos
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear County Board of Supervisors,

As a Cambria resident I am against redrawing district maps to place Cambria in the same district as Paso Robles.

**North Coast communities should remain in the same district** as we share common interests!

Please do not gerrymander our county districts to suit your political ends!

Brett Blaze
Please enter the attached Letter of Opposition to Proposed Redistricting into the record for the Board of Supervisors' hearing on this matter in future agenda(s).
Thank you.
Robert Reid
Dear Honorable Board Members,

Current census data, traditional redistricting principles, and recently enacted statutory criteria governing decisions about the rebalancing or redrawing of California Supervisorial districts do not support a need for any significant changes to District 2’s current boundary.

1. Research has shown that the facts support this position against redistricting, most notably, the county’s population growth has been minimal, thus no mandate for change.

2. Communities of interest, a defined criteria for districting, that are currently in District 2 have common social and economic interests, including:

   Economies with predominant coastal tourism, and related economic interest in protecting the coastal environment attracting tourism.

   Promoting accessibility and enjoyment of the coastal zone with those who want to enjoy the coast, and advancing diverse access to underserved communities

3. Balancing ecological values and economic benefits require different consideration and decision-making than communities that are more inland and agriculturally oriented (as proposed redistricting would create). While both communities warrant effective and fair representation, it is not appropriate to have the needs of coastal communities dampened by larger disparate population interests.

4. Coastal communities require our own voice related to planning. The Santa Lucia Mountain Range separates Cambria and rural North County SLO, which does not meet the “contiguous or compactness” criteria for redistricting.

5. Coastal communities will face distinctively different challenges of climate change which will need to be addressed in the future. Coastal communities
water security concerns and solutions, differ from the needs of inland agriculture communities.

6. For obvious reasons, coastal communities need to have a strong voice and relationship with the Coastal Commission, who’s very mandate requires coastal community planning and compliance within a clearly defined coastal geography.

7. Several of the communities currently in District 2 are unincorporated, and therefore have a single voice determining the rules that govern our communities and giving us a voice in county-wide issues. We do not have “city” governments to protect the interests of our residents should competing countywide or district-wide issues intentionally or unintentionally override our needs.

I request the board to respect the geographic and demographic integrity of Communities of Interest, as stipulated in redistricting criteria. District changes are simply not justified by current census data. Map A or B options would be the most compliant choices.

Additionally, the Board cannot adopt supervisorial district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party (Section 21500(d)). The Board must remain a transparent, fact-based, and fact-driven decision-making entity acting in a non-partisan fashion for the benefit of the diverse communities it represents and is responsible for protecting and serving. Doing so can only engender pride and respect of local governance, as well as countywide unity, something we need now more than ever.

I and my neighbors, friends, and local businesses here in Cambria and Coastal San Luis Obispo County urge your action against unnecessary redistricting, and its related disruption and cost. Thank you for your consideration and action against this divisive and damaging action.

Sincerely,

Robert Reid
Cambria resident and property owner
From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 10:53 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 121

RedistrictingID 121
Form inserted 11/16/2021 10:52:41 AM
Form updated 11/16/2021 10:52:41 AM
First Name Catherine
Last Name Stephenson
Email
Phone
Name of Organization
Represented
City

I do not support redistricting and favor keeping the districts the same, as they currently are. I base my decision in the interest of voting, fiscal responsibility, economics, infrastructure, and more. It is my understanding that Oceano remains unincorporated and as such, why should Grover and Pismo Beach absorb the responsibility for Oceano?

Public Records Notice True
Security Check 959750
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Honorable Supervisors,

I am writing to you from Michigan, where I am visiting my family.

I urge you to vote for Map A, or Map B.

These maps will continue to ensure that we will continue to represent our county in a fair and equitable way.

Thank you.

Ray Ensing

[Redacted email address]
RedistrictingID 122
Form inserted 11/16/2021 11:05:48 AM
Form updated 11/16/2021 11:05:48 AM
First Name Deborah
Last Name Cleere
Email [redacted]
Phone [redacted]
Name of Organization Represented
City San Luis Obispo
Zip 93401
Comment Stop this ridiculous attempt to change the make-up of our elected supervisors' districts. We are not required under law to actually change ANYTHING since population changes were not significant enough to warrant redistricting. Leave the districts intact.
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

After studying the proposed maps and many comments, I firmly believe that the current supervisorial boundaries are perfectly legal, follow all guidelines and should be maintained. If anything is changed, it should be to use Map B, which puts all the Cal Poly dorms together. Any other change would not make sense. Please act responsibly and choose Map A or Map B.

Ann Robinson
San Luis Obispo
-----Original Message-----
From: susan colgan <irishpolka101@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 11:36 AM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am a resident of SLO county going on 32 years. I am urging the board to retain the current district lines. According to the census, none of the districts in SLO county grew enough to necessitate substantial boundary changes. The current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest and party registration. Please stand up for fairness and good governance by retaining the current district lines.

Thank you,
Susan Colgan
Cambria, Ca.

Sent from my iPad
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to adopt either Map A or B to meet the current requirements with a minimum disruption of communities of interest.

James R. Quesenberry
For your review, this is a District 5 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

I' writing as a concerned Citizen of San Luis Obispo. I am urging you to maintain our current boundaries and avoid gerrymandering that would benefit the board majority's power. Please keep things fair.

I agree with the views two retired police chiefs expressed in the Tribune:

“If the majority opts for radically new district boundaries simply to secure a partisan advantage for themselves, they will be fueling the rage.

Though we are concerned about the current process, we also know this board can be persuaded to do the right thing when confronted by concerned citizens.”

I urge you to do the right thing.

Thank you for your consideration,

Rebecca Brockway
San Luis Obispo, California
For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Message: I am strongly opposed to a redrawing of the district boundaries. Our demographics have not changed appreciably to justify such action, and it will be clearly recognized as a blatantly political move that will exacerbate the already extreme polarization that is crippling the democratic process at all levels of government.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 754517

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2743

Form inserted: 11/16/2021 9:46:27 AM
For your review, I was unable to find this constituent in Voter Reg. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Following the last census there is little change in the distribution of the population of each district. I urge you not to change district lines as a result. Any changes brought about by the Board indicates that they would be made for political reasons only. Please do not do this.

T. Huw Morris MD (Paso Robles)
I urge the board to adopt either Plan A or B. Since the population hasn’t shown significant change, any attempt to change the district boundaries seems unnecessary and overtly partisan. Thank you.
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Message: Dear Supervisors. Thank you for your work and commitment to our community. With the current census information there appears to be no reason to spend time, money, and effort on discussion of redistricting. This topic only fosters negativity and division based on current politics and is unbefitting our local constituents who are influenced based on information and facts, not petty DC trickery. Thank you for showing leadership when we need it and not kindergarten games. Ben Lerner

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 748110

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2716
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:10 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]SLO District Boundaries

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Gail Stevens <stevensg@charter.net>
Sent: Tuesday, November 9, 2021 9:32 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Gail Stevens <stevensg@charter.net>
Subject: [EXT]SLO District Boundaries

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

1. As a 14 year resident of Cambria, I am writing today to urge you to keep the Plan A district map, which is the status quo. There is no good reason to place Cambria in the same district with either Paso Robles or Atascadero. The county must maintain “communities of interest” or those that share common interests and characteristics within districts. Each of these towns are vastly different than the makeup of Cambria.

Respectfully,
2.
For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Message: Hello Board, I am writing to express my opinion on the redistricting issue. As a long time resident of Cambria, I have been so pleased to live in this unique coastal community. I urge you to not let the voice of the coastal communities of San Simeon and Cambria be silenced by clumping us together with Paso Robles. Please, vote to keep Cambria and San Simeon a part of district 2. Thank you, Sally DiMaggio

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 339442

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2717
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

The Board's redistricting decision must, above all, follow the law and be fair and objective. Then COSTS must be a major consideration. This County cannot afford large expenditures to make unnecessary changes to current district boundaries. The Board has already been advised that very small changes are sufficient to rebalance population. For these reasons, I urge the Board to reduce the options under consideration to Plan A and Plan B. These offer enough contrast to lead to thorough, meaningful debate and decision-making. They are also the least costly of any of the proposals.
For your review, this is a District 4 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From Sandy Simon <sandysimon2010@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2021 3:54 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Hearing date - Nov 19, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Luis Obispo County resident, I request that the Board of Supervisors remain with the status quo, Maps A or B, when considering redistricting and refrain from making large changes. There is no basis for adjusting district boundaries beyond minor Census adjustments. Since the population has not shifted significantly, there is no non-political reason to redraw the maps.

As a District 4 (D4) resident of Nipomo, I also urge the Board of Supervisors to ensure that Oceano and Nipomo stay in the same district (D4) due to the “Dunes” planning area plus other COIs present (air quality concerns, groundwater basin, water sourcing, South County land planning and land development; economic development, Coastal Act enforcement, Oceano redevelopment and rejuvenation).

Other existing Communities of Interest in D4 include housing and education; health access; tribal justice; environmental justice issues relating to the repurposing of the Phillips 66 property; employment opportunity; agricultural sustainability; and issues that impact a significant portion of the county’s Latino population.

Therefore, taking into consideration the above criteria and district needs, I request that the Board of Supervisors keep the City of Oceano and the unincorporated town of Nipomo in the same district, namely District 4.

Respectfully,
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:14 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Jeanie Greensfelder <geniegreensfelder@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2021 4:08 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I am very concerned about fair redistricting and hope you will follow the League of Women Voters suggestions below and adopt Plan B staying close to current district lines. Thank you.

The League urges the Board of Supervisors to adopt district maps that:

1. Comply with the criteria stipulated in state law and the Voting Rights Act.
2. Follow a process that is fair and perceived to be fair.
3. Result in fair and balanced districts that do not favor or discriminate against any political party.
4. Avoid radical changes to district lines that might cause confusion or nurture distrust and/or apathy among voters.
5. Respect the communities of interest as voiced by those who share common social and economic interests.
6. Minimize disruption to the election cycle and the number of voters whose ability to vote in an election would be deferred or accelerated.
7. Place Cal Poly student housing in one supervisorial district.
8. Continue to have the City of San Luis Obispo represented by more than one supervisor given its size and importance as a government and major employer site and the negative impacts on communities of interest in other districts should the city become its own district.

Of the maps being considered currently, Plan B most closely accords with the League position.

In addition, we urge the Board to begin the process to establish an independent redistricting commission to manage redistricting going forward.

Jean Greensfelder
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III - Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805) 781-5498

Message: RE redistricting supervisorial maps, meeting planned for November 19 I urge you not to waste taxpayer funds on litigation. SLO county is likely to become embroiled in lawsuits contesting your decision. whether the Board makes no changes or makes significant changes to redistricting that may be perceived as favoring voters who are registered as Republicans, I urge you to do the redistricting in two steps: (1) Make a temporary map to serve through the 2022 election following the advice of the League of Women Voters to retain the existing districts but making the sensible adjustment of moving the new Cal Poly dorms into the Cal Poly district where they naturally belong. (2) Immediately, create an independent commission to create new district maps no later than November 30, 2022, that the Board can authorize for the 2024 election and all following elections until the next census review. The process must proceed without political bias. I see no other way to avoid costly, drawn-out litigation that none of us want. San Luis Obispo County voters deserve to have their votes counted fairly, and the current hasty, confused process is unlikely to provide
fair representation for all voters.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 912841

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2718

Form inserted: 11/10/2021 4:37:54 PM

Form updated: 11/10/2021 4:37:54 PM
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:16 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cbaaaf5c124f2493f46d9089a9ff4169%7C84c3c7747df40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637727736069586020%7CUnknwown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWlioiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=Ai7rzhjj0cm74iDnJKQYEz5TV11JzTPK804zdRGZBhw%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Linda Elder <elderlinda42@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2021 5:05 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I hope you adopt Plan B. It appears to be the best plan, obeys the laws and does make the small changes necessary.

Linda Elder

Sent from my iPad
Dear Board members: Please adopt the Plan B as endorsed by the League of Women Voters of SLO County. It best meets the League's 8 points of interest:

1. Comply with the criteria stipulated in state law and the Voting Rights Act.
2. Follow a process that is fair and perceived to be fair.
3. Result in fair and balanced districts that do not favor or discriminate against any political party.
4. Avoid radical changes to district lines that might cause confusion or nurture distrust and/or apathy among voters.
5. Respect the communities of interest as voiced by those who share common social and economic interests.
6. Minimize disruption to the election cycle and the number of voters whose ability to vote in an election would be deferred or accelerated.
7. Place Cal Poly student housing in one supervisorial district.
8. Continue to have the City of San Luis Obispo represented by more than one supervisor given its size and importance as a government and major employer site and the negative impacts on communities of interest in other districts should the city become its own district.
Thank you.

---

Phil Wagner
San Luis Obispo
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:18 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Re District Changes

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Mary Martin <mary1591@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2021 10:02 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Re District Changes

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please take this opportunity to correct the district lines that were set in 2011. We need the district lines that adhere to state law guidelines but maintain communities that share similar characteristics. Example, Rural or coastal.

Thanks in advance for establishing fair districts for the citizens you represent.

M Martin
District 2
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The best map is the one submitted by Richard Patten Nov 3, 2021. It adheres to the rules and does the best job of keeping San Luis Obispo city as whole as possible. It keeps like aspects of San Luis Obispo City together like no other map ever.

Oceano and Grover Beach together is smart as they share fire protection, school district and most important that famous sewer.

Do the right thing and vote in Richard Patten Rev_1 map.

Laura Mordaunt
San Luis Obispo
Dear Supervisors,

You do not have to change anything as our population has not significantly changed over ten years.

Changing the current boundaries would look like a partisan advantage grab on your part and may well encourage distrust in the process and you.

It is enough that your constituencies only have five weeks to consider this and have their voices heard. Remember, you are there to serve the people, not the other way around.

If you insist on changing the boundaries, I request, along with LWV and most other voters in our county, that you go with Plan B.

There is already enough rage at the Board. Do not incite more anger by being self-serving and not worthy of the trust needed in our elected officials to make democracy work.

Please listen to all of the voices in your county and do the right thing.

I am a member of Supervisor Arnold's district and my family votes.

Marti Jorgensen Lindholm
From: Maria G. Brown
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:21 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]San Luis Obispo Redistricting

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:19 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]San Luis Obispo Redistricting

For your review, this is a District 4 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Belinda Benda <belindaskids@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 11, 2021 10:29 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]San Luis Obispo Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors;

I'm asking you to correct the wrongful district lines established in 2011. To keep the present lines, I am strongly against.

District lines must be according to state guidelines and maintain communities which have similar interests and characteristics.

Dividing SLO and other cities would be placing communities together whose interests and characteristics are not in common.

I'm strongly recommending Richard Patton's map. I'm trusting you will make the right and fair choice for the citizen's who elected you. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Belinda Benda
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsup@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:20 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Nicole Dorfman <nicole@briandorfman.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 11, 2021 10:56 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsup@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear San Luis Obispo County Supervisors,
In the process of redistricting, I believe it is important to keep all of CalPoly in one district with the majority of San Luis Obispo City. CalPoly students are strongly connected with the City of San Luis Obispo and are an integral part of the City culture. They should not be separated off into District 2 or District 5, with which they have little connection.

Thank you,
Nicole Dorfman
Morro Bay

Nicole Dorfman
Dorfman Kinesiology
[Text obscured]
Check out our YouTube channel!
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:21 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Pat & Larry Grimes <lpgrimes1164@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 11, 2021 3:55 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

We are writing to express our concern over the more extreme electoral redistricting maps your Board is considering for adoption.

As county residents for more than 50 years, we see no compelling reason to alter these boundaries so extremely especially since all of them comply with the census number limitations. Our county is blessed with various "regions" of common interests and the current boundaries reflect those differences quite fairly in terms of commerce and geography making the concerns of the residents in each district very similar. (The water problems in North County are really quite different from those in the Coastal Region.) These differences reflect local concerns that can be worked on together as communities. To rejigger the map based on political concerns would result in further politicization of our population resulting in more disagreements rather than in facilitating the work of County Supervisors to meet the needs of their constituents. We do recognize the practical suggestion that all Cal Poly on-campus residents should reside in a single district and it appears that Map B most closely meets all of these needs.
Please keep San Luis Obispo County a congenial place to live where we recognize the importance of making all our voices heard through fair and sensible supervisorial districts and equal access to the right to vote.

Sincerely,

Larry and Patricia Grimes
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:22 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Friday, November 12, 2021 8:18 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Joette Eisengart

Your Email: [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: What time is the redistricting meeting on Friday, 11/19?

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 452642

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2724

Form inserted: 11/12/2021 8:16:58 AM

Form updated: 11/12/2021 8:16:58 AM
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:22 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Norma Wightman <norma.wightman@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 12, 2021 8:23 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

November 12, 2021

To: Members of the Board of Supervisors
Re: Redistricting and Support of Plan B
After consideration of the major proposals for redistricting, I urge the Board of Supervisors to adopt district maps that:

1. Comply with the criteria stipulated in state law and the Voting Rights Act.
2. Result in fair and balanced districts that do not favor or discriminate against any political party.
3. Avoid radical changes to district lines that might cause confusion or nurture distrust and/or apathy among voters.
4. Respect the communities of interest as voiced by those who share common social and economic interests.
5. Minimize disruption to the election cycle and the number of voters whose ability to vote in an election would be deferred or accelerated.
6. Continue to have the City of San Luis Obispo represented by more than one supervisor given its size and importance as a government and major employer site and the negative impacts on communities of interest in other districts should the city become its own district.

Plan B most closely accords with these principles. Please support Plan B.

Norma Wightman
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I urge the Board of Supervisors to create redistricting maps for SLO County that follow a process that is fair and non-partisan. Redistricting for our County should not favor one political party over another. Communities of interest should be respected and not divided for spurious reasons. The city of San Luis Obispo, where I live, should certainly have more than one District representing it since it is such a large part of the overall population of SLO County. To put it in its own District would negatively impact other districts which would have to be ridiculously large to make up in population what they would lose were SLO city to become its own district.

Under current consideration, Plan B appears to be the most fair and equitable map. I urge the Board of supervisors to adopt this Plan B on behalf of all the people of SLO County.

Respectfully,
Edith Lycke
San Luis Obispo
For your review, this is a District 5 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Message: November 19, 2021 Redistricting meeting. After reviewing the redistricting maps being considered by the Board of Supervisors, I find the one submitted by Richard Patten follows all five of the state requirements much better than any of the other maps that have been presented, therefore I feel that map must be selected to define the five districts for the next ten years.
For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cc7magbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C7C50142165f1b74c1de37b08d9a9ff86fc%7C84c3c7747df40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C63772773720209847%7CUUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyjWljoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQjoiV2luMZliLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=OPlsBBiCHCE09PWtjfLQfKMAECIpJf3nyPj226VxxYM%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Here’s my opinion: Leave the districts as they are. They have common interests and it would be folly to break them up in order to try to squeeze a few more votes for one side or the other.

Thank you for your consideration,
Susan Dodd
For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Thank you for reading and seriously considering my letter. Claudia
SLO County Board of Supervisors

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

Regarding redistricting District 2:
- An Independent and non-partisan agency should have been tasked with redistricting SLO County.
- Cambria must be geographically contiguous, a mountain stands between Paso and Cambria.
- Attaching Cambria, San Simeon and Cayucos to inland cities will violate the State and Federal constitution by dividing communities of interest. Cambria is a coastal village and has very little in common with the large inland cities.
- Cambria is compact and contiguous to Cayucos and San Simeon other small coastal towns.
- Cambria is primarily liberal and not compatible with more conservative Paso Robles and Atascadero.
- The census, less than 10%, does not warrant any change, especially none so dramatic as including Cambria with inland cities.
- Cambria is the largest contributor of TOT and sales taxes in the County. As such, it appears the inland towns desire our funding. This appears to discriminate against Cambria for being too rich, a violation of State and Federal constitutions and Federal Fair Maps Act.
- Connecting Cambria with conservative districts diminishes our right to fair voting practices. We could lose our ability to protect our precious coast.
- Redistricting costs unwarranted tax payer dollars, funds that could be more wisely spent on repairing sidewalks, water and sewer systems.

Please consider option A or B for redistricting.

Yours Truly,

Claudia Harmon Worthen
From:       Redistricting
Sent:       Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:22 AM
To:         Maria G. Brown
Subject:    FW: [EXT]November 19 hearing re: redistricting.

-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:27 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]November 19 hearing re: redistricting.

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C7C6c84195ae7d8477db0c008d9a9ff8ae9%7C84c3c7747df4%2Fa59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637727737280477957%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQjoiV2luMzllCiJCJByl6Ik1h
awwiLCJXCl6Mn%3D%7C3000&amp;sdatal4sVzVJGYFEuf0NoQ%2B62pB2n7pfl%2Fyz9nDIO%2BM%2FuEyY%3D&amp;
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Kelly Cannon <joesurf@charter.net>
Sent: Saturday, November 13, 2021 12:07 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]November 19 hearing re: redistricting.

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a 37 year resident of Cambria, I am opposed to any redistricting which separates Cambria from the rest of the coastal corridor. From Los Osos to San Simeon is a community of interest. The hwy 1 corridor, Coastal access, and protection from over development of this pristine area are prime concerns for us.

We have nothing in common with Paso Robles, and an attempt to re-district Cambria with Paso would effectively eliminate any voice Cambrians would have over their future. The current district lines are in compliance with guidelines, even after the census data.

Please leave us be.

Kelly Cannon
CAMbria
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>  
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:28 PM  
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting: Adopt the Plan B map

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada  
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential  
Board of Supervisors  
[www.slocounty.ca.gov](http://www.slocounty.ca.gov)  
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Elie Axelroth <elie.axelroth@gmail.com>  
Sent: Saturday, November 13, 2021 12:24 PM  
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>  
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting: Adopt the Plan B map

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in support of the Plan B Redistricting map. Consistent with criteria laid out in the Fair Maps Act, redistricting needs to be fair and perceived as fair. In addition, maps may not favor one political party. The Plan B map will place Cal Poly in a community of interest but primarily leave other districts the same.

I urge you to adopt the Plan B map.

Elie Axelroth  
San Luis Obispo, CA
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:29 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]11/19 meeting regarding redistricting/ District 2 Concerns.

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Los Osos, Morro Bay and Cambria should remain in the same district as they share the same concerns such as Hwy 1 corridor coastal issues.

Jean Ryan

Sent from my iPad
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:29 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Diane Diamond <dndinslo@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 13, 2021 3:59 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

County Supervisors:

The existing district plan should remain the same or failing that, the only change made should be of in Plan B. Diane Diamond,
For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Message: As a 35 year resident of Cambria, I am opposed to any redistricting which separates Cambria from the rest of the coastal corridor. From Los Osos to San Simeon is a community of interest. The hwy 1 corridor, Coastal access, and protection from over development of this pristine area are prime concerns for us. An attempt to re-district Cambria with Paso would effectively eliminate any voice Cambrians would have over their future. The current district lines are in compliance with guidelines, even after the census data.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 911136
BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2727

Form inserted: 11/14/2021 3:36:23 PM

Form updated: 11/14/2021 3:36:23 PM
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Peggy Sharpe

Your Email: [REDACTED]
U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: I want to support a fair and equitable redistricting of SLO County districts, with the City having at least 2-3 districts. We need fair representation of people with similar interests!

Public Records Notice: True
Security Check: 050148
BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2728
Form inserted: 11/14/2021 6:44:51 PM
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors;

I was appalled to learn that all five districts have little arms and legs extending halfway across the county. Ridiculous and absurd that my district 3 isn’t contained directly in SLO City vicinity where I live!

It is time to switch back to a sane and logical districting! I would like things changed according to the map Richard Patton has presented to you board members, or, it should be put to a county wide vote of the citizenship.

Do the right thing by adopting fair districts for the citizens of each city and the residents directly surrounding those cities in the county’s unincorporated areas.
Sincerely,

David Lichti
San Luis Obispo-3rd District

Privacy Notice: This email may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any review, use, distribution, or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive for the recipient), please contact the sender by reply email and delete all copies of this message.
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

I am asking each of you to represent your constituents ethically by following California State laws and guidelines to adopt fair districts. This includes not splitting up cities and communities. Please adopt the map proposed and submitted by Richard Patton, which meets all of the requirements of the law.

Respectfully,
Ann Lichti
3rd District
30 year resident and contributing community member
Sent from my iPhone
For your review, this is a District 4 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The best map is the one submitted by Richard Patten Nov 3, 2021. It adheres to the rules and does the best job of keeping San Luis Obispo city as whole as possible. It keeps like aspects of San Luis Obispo City together like no other map ever.

Oceano and Grover Beach together is smart as they share fire protection, school district and most important that famous sewer.

Do the right thing and vote in Richard Patten Rev_1 map.

Bill Phillips
Arroyo Grande

Sent from my iPhone
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:34 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]District maps

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C6fb209efa89f46d0d50b08d9a9ff6e9%7C84c3c7747df40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C637727738015654925%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJwIjoimC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMziLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C0%7C0%7C30000&amp;sdata=sFcZXEUpwD5gXuS2jePikaZ28pOrHY1ryAkbOpdwH8U%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: John Wimer <johnswimer@me.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 4:59 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]District maps

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please do not redraw district lines.

John S Wimer
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:34 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Lori Mather <matherlori@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 6:17 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I urge you to retain the current district lines. The current district lines fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest and party registration.

I am a long-time voter and will be watching all of you.

Regards,

Lori Mather

Lori Mather Video Services
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:35 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting
<Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please keep Cambria in District 2

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C05c84805368c4f5c64a408d9a9fffc10d%7C84c3c7747df40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637727738174518423%7CUunknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyjWlioiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJlciIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCQ4bGlkXCI6IjM2NjA1N2E0N2U0ZTczOCIsImNsaWVudCI6IjMyNzc5YjMwMjQ2NTg1ZDk2Y2EwZGFiZjU5YjU2ZmZjODUxMjQ4MDFlZmNkIiwibGFuZ3VhZ2UiOiIyYmQ5NTU4MGE4ZWI5NTk0MDIzMDc4MjE2NWU4OGQwOTBiZjMxZDkifQ%3D%3D&reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The sense of community in Cambria is strong, with a like-minded approach to environmental conservation. The current District 2 aligns with the needs of our coastal community.

Paso Robles is in a growth spurt that does not align with our limited expansion, and a District 5 supervisor not living in a coastal town will not be in a position to address our concerns with the same regard.

It is my sincere hope that we can continue to be a thriving part of District 2.

Thank you.

Tracy Priestley
Cambria, CA
For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

I am writing you to urge you all to retain the current district lines. Please keep these as they are currently.

Thank you,
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please leave the supervisor district boundaries alone, they are fair and correct as they are now and do not require any editing.
Please do the right thing and not bow to the county republican party and the money funding them. Consider each one of your constituents regardless of political persuasion or ability to donate.
The Board of Supervisors is supposed to be non-partisan. It seems to have gotten away from that. Please be the voice of reason in this issue and keep the same boundaries. We need our communities to be represented fairly- grouped by similar geographies/economies....not by their national voting tendencies.

Respectfully,
Sarah Maggelet
Templeton

--
Sarah Taylor Maggelet
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:37 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review, I was unable to find this constituent in Voter Reg. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Donald Marr <donaldmarr@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 7:23 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To: SLO Board of Supervisors

I urge you to retain the current district lines, which are fully compliant with election laws.

The current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration.

Thank you for your consideration.

- Donald

Donald W Marr
From: Redistricting  
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:36 AM  
To: Maria G. Brown  
Subject: FW: [EXT]Public Comment - Redistricting  
Attachments: Redistricting Letter BOS 111621.pdf

From: Lisa Denker <lisadenker@sbcglobal.net>  
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 3:08 PM  
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
Subject: [EXT]Public Comment - Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.
Nov. 16, 2021

To: San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors

Subject: County-wide Redistricting

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a resident of Los Osos I urge you to keep the North Coastal communities from Los Osos on up to San Simeon together as one district. The coastal issues and public policies of these towns warrant the solidarity they have in shared interest and representation. Please do not divide up these Communities of Interest. Map C would not be inline with the redistricting criteria for this process. The recent census data does not support sweeping change in our county, the maps of A and B meet the state requirements.

Lastly, please keep out of this process any self-serving political motive that alters and deteriorates fair representation and good public policy making.

Sincerely,

Lisa Denker
Baywood Park
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>  
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:38 PM  
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistributing

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Marie Estrada  
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential  
Board of Supervisors  
www.slocounty.ca.gov  
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Sterling McBride <sterling.mcb@gmail.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 7:29 AM  
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>  
Subject: [EXT]Redistributing

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please leave district voting boundaries as they are. I believe there’s no need change them to gain some upper-hand or advantage.

Regards,

Sterling McBride  
San Luis Obispo
Dear Esteemed Members of the San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors,

The Board of Supervisors’ decision regarding redistricting in San Luis Obispo County will significantly impact our citizens. Therefore, here is an opportunity for the Board to stand as a Lighthouse guiding the way towards a peaceful resolution of the current political divisiveness in our County. I am a resident living just outside the city of Paso Robles in an unincorporated area of North County. Today, I write to the Board to urge you to retain the current district lines, which, as two former Police Chiefs said, “are fully compliant with election laws. In addition, the current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration.” I have carefully reviewed the proposed alternative plans. I see no benefit to adopting ANY of them beyond advancing political advantage to one political party over another, rather than the needs of a distinct area. I learn by listening to different points of view. America Is a place where all voices have a Constitutional opportunity for representation. I know that maintaining a Board that represents the fantastic diversity of our County will benefit us all.

Sincerely, Lisa Marmon

155 Via Del Salinas
Paso Robles, CA 93446
(805) 400.7486
Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 665729

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2734

Form inserted: 11/16/2021 7:31:27 AM

Form updated: 11/16/2021 7:31:27 AM
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:39 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review, this is a District 5 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:02 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Amy Hewes

Your Email

U.S. phone number:

Message: Dear Supervisors Arnold, Peschong, Compton, Ortiz-Legg, and Gibson, I urge you to adhere to the legal, non-partisan requirements concerning the question of redistricting. Given that there have been very minor changes in population, there is no requirement to fool with changing the district boundaries. Indeed, to do so would reflect poor judgement, your partisan intentions, and your political avarice. In fact, you’ve already ignored best practices in the process of redistricting by not appointing a nonpartisan citizens’ panel to advise on drawing boundaries. How else are your constituents to read your actions except as a bald ploy to cement partisan advantages for the next 10 years? In an opinion published in The Tribune (Nov. 16), conservative Republicans and former police chiefs Jim Gardiner and Rick Terborch ask you to “resist ‘radical’ redistricting.” Please listen to them. Please listen to your better angels, ensure continuity in our County elections, keep our Communities of Interest intact (which means not polarizing the City of San Luis Obispo into one district), and meet the statutory requirements. With hope, Amy Hewes
Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 840639

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2735

Form inserted: 11/16/2021 8:01:37 AM

Form updated: 11/16/2021 8:01:37 AM
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:39 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Fair redistricting looks like Plan B

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C0540bfeff2564bed20a808d9a9ffe1f1%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637727738725642146%7CUnkown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyjWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&sdata=rurFQ4jB4XWFvZXsrzNcmBQw5FWuuzLi51fyQiBSOlO%3D&amp;reserved=0 Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Cathy <cathy@gildea.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:04 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Fair redistricting looks like Plan B

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I strongly urge you to adopt Plan B as the best alternative for redistricting. It is very important that the restricting process and outcome are both fair and perceived by voters as fair. Plan B is the only plan among those proposed that meets all the redistricting criteria, most importantly actual fairness, given that the current boundaries remain in full compliance with the legal criteria. Anything else would be rightly perceived by the public as blatant manipulation for political gain.

Going forward, your leadership is needed to put in place an independent redistricting commission. You as individual supervisors and collectively as the Board are stewards of the people's faith and trust in government; we need you to lead a well timed, thoughtful, and professional consideration of what is in the best interest of everyone in the county, not just those who share your personal point of view.

Cathy Gildea
Grover Beach
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:40 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review, this is a District 4 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Ce76aa5efc9ac4648c77d08d9a9ffe95f%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C637727738863447940%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=Mey7vbc0AOy31198H0p0zTnS1nlC%2F2wDZkrU%2FeE917w%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Margie G <msgayley@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:15 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I urge you to retain the current district lines, which are fully compliant with election laws. The current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration.

Please DO THE RIGHT THING and do not make any changes!

Thank you!

Marjorie Gayley
Arroyo Grande
For your review, this is a District 4 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Good Morning,

Unless there is a compelling reason to redraw district lines I urge you to leave things as they are. There is no place for partisan politics in SLO county, and changing the lines to gain a political advantage is simply unacceptable.

Craig Janson
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:41 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Community input

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C341c808d657a4dd8a86308d9a9fff283%7C84c3c7747fd40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637727739005843618%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1hdWwiLCJQIjoiMjIzMjI1IiwiaXNwIjoiMjIiLCJ5IjoiUSIsInF1dGgiOiJ2YXJpYXRpb24iLCJCaWQiOiJsb3d8eyJWliI6IiJ9&amp;sdata=7Fo3DFV37lwNfgH8Iz8JK%2FV4aOcJe1Su8jd%2B%2Fi6Qi0%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Alisa Piette <alisapiette1@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:29 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Community input

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please retain the current district lines. We need fair balance when it comes to geography, population, communities of interest and party registration. Any changes to this will clearly be partisan and dangerous to our democracy. Please do the right thing and retain the current district lines. I am so concerned for our community becoming even more racist and extremist. Thank you for your consideration of the community

Alisa Piette
Sent from my iPhone
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:41 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting
<Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review, this is a District 5 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmargbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C7C441fde35e284ba36ef708d9a9ff71c%7C84c3c7747f2e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637727739082139109%7CUnknwn%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMAilLCQjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXCI6Mm0%3D%7C3000&amp;data=%2FC04o64dvfrctmgX4fBGtKHo9YgUDtRJ2i7apeZo%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: John Magorian <jtmag@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:30 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Board of Supervisors;

I agree with retired police chiefs Jim Gardiner & Rick TerBorch.

Based on the minor changes in population, there is no legal requirement to change any district boundaries.

Retain the current district lines, which are fully compliant with election laws. The current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration.

There is no need for any change in the board district boundaries. Follow the guidance of these seasoned veterans in our county. Leave well enough alone!
Sent from my iPad
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

I agree with the League of Women Voters opinion on redistricting in SLO county.

I urge you to support plan “B”. It is clear to me that radically new boundaries would secure a partisan advantage and fuel mistrust of government.

Going forward I think the Board of Supervisors should begin the process of establishing an independent redistricting commission.

Respectfully,

Christie Cutter
Redistricting ID 124
Form inserted 11/16/2021 12:41:36 PM
Form updated 11/16/2021 12:41:36 PM
First Name Virginia
Last Name Baker
Email 
Phone 
Name of Organization Represented
City 
Zip 
Comment Please keep existing communities intact. No drastic changes to district supervisory boundaries are needed.
Public Records Notice True
Security Check 462680
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:42 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Retain Current District Lines

For your review, this is a District 1 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmargbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C8f1db9dabb4147011f7908d9a000364%7C84c3c7747df40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637727739287160194%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1hdawiLCJFQiI6Ik1hdawiLCJDb2RyaWFuIjoiV2luMzliIiwiYXV0aFwiOiIwIiwiYWxnIjoiY29va2llcyJ9&amp;sdata=q0SSlKzfsA2kyaKMexl42oWVGVIx8VTzGMxZoX7x8%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Tom Baughman <tbaughman26@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:47 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Retain Current District Lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please vote to retain the current district lines. Our area is already sharply divided and tensions are running very high. No need to fan flames and make it worse. Think of your actions and if you decide to redraw you are basically no better than a hiker smoking a cigarette in a very dry forest. One wrong move and you can forever ruin this great community all of us have built here.

Thanks,

Tom Baughman
Templeton

Sent from my iPhone
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:43 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Comment

For your review, this is a District 4 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors

https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C00fdd1f9e6754c80935b08d9aa00071d%7C84c3c7747df40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637727739353708419%7CUnknown%7C7WFpbgZsb3d8eyJWlioiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQljoiiV2luMzliLCJ8TlI6Ik1hawWwiLCJXCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=hSUrnPUMj5HM5rI9sOUxYmvklGLeG04j4vk7HIlCA%3D&amp;reserved =0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: gngreco@sbcglobal.net <gngreco@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:47 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Comment

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I believe the current district map should be retained. The census indicates there are only minor changes in the county population, and the current maps meet election laws. Your job as supervisors is to be nonpartisan. Thomas Giangreco, Nipomo
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:43 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:51 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Martha Kessler

Your Email: [redacted]

U.S. phone number: [redacted]

Message: There is no pressing need to rebalance the supervisor districts in SLO County. The current districts are balanced, and there is no legal requirement to change them. Your process has been rushed and you did not appoint a panel of citizens to advise you on this issue. I urge you to retain the current district lines for the good of the county.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 395279

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2736

Form inserted: 11/16/2021 8:50:36 AM
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:44 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review, this is a District 4 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:58 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Michael C. Bondello

Your Email

U.S. phone number:

Message: Retain current district lines. I have lived in this county for over 42 years. I know how difficult it has been to try and balance population, communities of interest, geography, and, political, historical and cultural interests. The present district lines reflect a balance, that though not perfect, are workable and better than any of the new plans that have been proposed. I urge you to retain the present alignment and forego any temptation to monkey with it. Please leave well enough alone!

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 478267

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2737
-----Original Message-----
From: George Beardsley <beardsleygeorge@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:59 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]NO to redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Don’t use power politics to continue to polarize our community. Be fair to the community. We agree with the police chiefs article in the Tribune on 11/16/21 and encourage the board to NOT REDISTRICT.

George and Jacki Beardsley
San Luis Obispo, CA.
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:45 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 9:00 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Mary A. Toepke

Your Email: [Redacted]
U.S. phone number: [Redacted]

Message: There is no current legitimate need for redistricting. The proposal appears to be another political and power grab. And again, a waste of public funds and county staff and time. The denial of a nonpartisan citizen panel in this matter calls into question the real motives involved. Rushing the issue as has been done further brings question to the process. The present districts accurately reflect population centers, common interests, and geography centers. This attempt at redistricting at this time seems only to be driven by partisan interests. The last partisan power grab seen during an unnecessary search for a clerk-reporter to fill a temporary position should have been a lesson to stop these political scams. Start listening to SLO County citizens, which is what you were elected to do.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 182605
BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2738

Form inserted: 11/16/2021 8:59:51 AM

Form updated: 11/16/2021 8:59:51 AM
----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:45 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please leave our supervisor real districts alone

For your review, this is a District 5 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C4cd7d834d12e4c3dbffe08d9aa001cd8%7C84c3c7747fd40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C7C637727739730844852%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoiiMC4wLjJwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1hawaWwiLCJXViI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=8LxQgunktytpNSvCl2qMz2auE5MabH4aOzB9G%2Fv8kV4%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

----Original Message-----
From: Carol Maxwell <carolmaxwellmft@icloud.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 9:01 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Please leave our supervisor real districts alone

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am writing as a concerned Citizen of San Luis Obispo. I am urging you to maintain our current boundaries and to avoid gerrymandering that would benefit the board majority's power.
Please keep things fair.

I agree with the views two retired police chiefs expressed in the Tribune. To quote the article:

If the majority opts for radically new district boundaries simply to secure a partisan advantage for themselves, they will be fueling the rage. Though we are concerned about the current process, we also know this board can be persuaded to do the right thing when confronted by concerned citizens.”

I urge you to do the right thing.
Carol Maxwell
San Luis Obispo, California

Read more at: https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.sanluisobispo.com%2Fopinion%2Freaders-opinion%2Farticle255837361.html%23storylink=cpy&data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C4cd7d834d12e4c3dbf708db39a001cd8%7C84c3c7747f0e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637727739730854812%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&data=sdata=dTFk%2BiX6ZeFp8BN9R0wJN7kuALo6HUgXX2otZoOboe8%3D&reserved=0

Sent from my iPhone
For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Your Name: Lisa Woske
Your Email [redacted]
U.S. phone number: [redacted]
Message: I stridently support RETAINING the CURRENT districting lines/map. No redistricting.
Public Records Notice: True
Security Check: 451818
BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2739
Form inserted: 11/16/2021 9:01:10 AM
Form updated: 11/16/2021 9:01:10 AM
For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 9:02 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Carol Greenelsh

Your Email: [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: I am in favor of retaining the current maps in place with no changes. It has worked well in the past and our population has stayed very stable with no large growth in any district.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 388788

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2740

Form inserted: 11/16/2021 9:01:33 AM
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:47 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redrawing District Lines

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Bev Praver <bevjerry@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 9:04 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redrawing District Lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am writing to urge you to retain the current district lines, which are fully compliant with election laws. The current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration.

Bev Praver
Cambria
For your review, I was unable to find this constituent in Voter Reg. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C2b933f534766476f88e08d9aa002ceb%7C84c3c7747df40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637727739984592266%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=G27sq8AqtF13uUkXXOuhW7ZH0BbYpo3mzO4USeGlQSQ%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I urge you as duly elected representatives of our county to read (and reread) Jim Gardiner’s and Rick Terborch’s excellent opinion piece in today’s Tribune.
Do the right thing!
Gini Griffin
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:49 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review, this is a District 5 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 9:23 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Augusta Nimmo

Your Email 

U.S. phone number: 

Message: As a county voter, I urge the board of supervisors to maintain the current voting districts. I’m concerned that our Republican supervisors are willing to destroy comity in our county in pursuit of undemocratic advantage for their radical, partisan agenda.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 060070

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2741

Form inserted: 11/16/2021 9:21:54 AM
-----Original Message-----
From: Maria G. Brown
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:27 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please keep current district lines

-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:51 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Please keep current district lines

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7Cd0e09667f41546938aa308d9aa003912%7C84c3c7747fd40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637727740230972012%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGvbGzsb3d8eyJWjuiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;data=jvwsJBuJQciciHBKvhcFnsUEHFxIL4Gi5I0W40FTaAY%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Lori Parker <lori.parker2@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 9:27 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Please keep current district lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

November 16, 2021

Hello Supervisors,

I am a resident of San Luis Obispo and I am writing to urge you to retain the current district lines in San Luis Obispo County. I believe the districts are balanced in geography, population, communities of interest and party registration. I don't think it's necessary to redraw and redistrict at this time.

Thank you for your continued work in our lovely community.

Lori Parker
-----Original Message-----
From: Marlene Robinson <mhrobinson11@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:51 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

At this time there is not enough reason to begin changing district borders. Please consider how disruptive any changes will be to our communities. Non-partisan and practical decisions are the best correct course for SLO County.

Thank you for providing leadership that is thoughtful and well planned.

Marlene Robinson
For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Dear Supervisors:

Please do not go forward with a partisan redrawing of district boundaries. In the absence of a non-partisan citizen's committee to advise on drawing boundaries, please retain the current district lines. That is the most reasonable and fair approach in the current circumstances.

Thank you,
Linden Nelson
From: Redistricting  
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:27 AM  
To: Maria G. Brown  
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting  

-----Original Message-----  
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>  
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:54 PM  
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting  

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Marie Estrada  
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors  
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C2de55ad6179c4f9352b908d9aa0044fe%7C84c3c7747fd640f2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C7C637727740414397740%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJTIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMjIiLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=OMDEwvnuAHOzgGOy9UOxQFhoDTjQGuVxxOoNMdgRac%3D&amp;reserved=0  
Direct Line: (805)781-5498  

-----Original Message-----  
From: Nancy M. Lacey <brycedl@earthlink.net>  
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 10:22 AM  
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>  
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting  

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am a resident of Cambria and I support plan B.

Nancy Lacey
For your review, I was unable to find this constituent in Voter Reg. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Message: Regarding Redistricting: 1. Provide a way online to overlay the current district lines over each compliant submission so we can compare the changes to existing boundaries. What we see currently is not detailed enough to inform us precisely where the new lines run. Without the overlay, it’s impossible to know what the new lines actually mean to us. Additionally, place large posters displayed in the lobby for 3 days before the meetings so the public can examine them in detail. a. The overlay/proposed map must include enough detail (cities, highways, Cal Poly, etc) to evaluate the changes being recommended (for example, is CalPoly being divided into pieces.) b. The overlay/proposed map must be available in the maps online, clearly labeled as overlay, so we can carefully examine them BEFORE the meeting. c. Require an explanation of the changes from the current district line and how proposed changes help meet the requirements. Information must be specific and accurate. 2. Each Supervisor must share his/her opinions as to what
is acceptable to each of you at the Nov 19 meeting. You represent the entire population of this county and each of us deserves to hear those opinions NOW. 3. Based on those opinions, filter out NOW any maps you find unacceptable and expedite the process so the public has a chance to respond by modifying maps or submitting alternatives. Stop trying to distract the public with multiple plans you have no intention of supporting. 4. Understand that the screen in the BoS Chambers is inadequate for the audience to view details. It’s even more inadequate when Chris is moving the cursor around quickly to explain changes. It’s blurry and sometimes nothing appears at all. While you are all seeing the maps on your personal screens, the audience is not. The videos of the meetings confirm this. 5. Given the inadequacy of the screen in the Chambers, allow questions at the meeting that are only for clarification of explanations of the maps. 6. Consider voting for no changes this cycle. If you cannot express your opinion about the maps by this time, perhaps the reason is that there has not been enough change in the demographics to justify any major changes. These maps affect us, we are there because we want to participate in the process. We cannot even speak up to tell you that we cannot see what was just obvious to you on your screens. It’s disrespectful to your constituents at best; at worst, it’s offensive and unhelpful to the process and quite possibly will be interpreted as obstructive to the concept of encouraging public participation. It’s already obvious that you did not want a single member of the public involved in the decision-making process when you rejected that idea early on. Your cursory efforts to solicit public input may meet the minimum legal requirements but certainly do not meet voters’ needs and, I suspect, will be reported to the state.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 809529

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2744

Form inserted: 11/16/2021 10:22:08 AM

Form updated: 11/16/2021 10:22:08 AM
For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Please enter the attached Letter of Opposition to Proposed Redistricting into the record for the Board of Supervisors’ hearing on this matter in future agenda(s).
Thank you.
Dear Honorable Board Members,

Current census data, traditional redistricting principles, and recently enacted statutory criteria governing decisions about the rebalancing or redrawing of California Supervisorial districts do not support a need for any significant changes to District 2’s current boundary.

1. Research has shown that the facts support this position against redistricting, most notably, the county’s population growth has been minimal, thus no mandate for change.

2. Communities of interest, a defined criteria for districting, that are currently in District 2 have common social and economic interests, including:

   Economies with predominant coastal tourism, and related economic interest in protecting the coastal environment attracting tourism.

   Promoting accessibility and enjoyment of the coastal zone with those who want to enjoy the coast, and advancing diverse access to underserved communities.

3. Balancing ecological values and economic benefits require different consideration and decision-making than communities that are more inland and agriculturally oriented (as proposed redistricting would create). While both communities warrant effective and fair representation, it is not appropriate to have the needs of coastal communities dampened by larger disparate population interests.

4. Coastal communities require our own voice related to planning. The Santa Lucia Mountain Range separates Cambria and rural North County SLO, which does not meet the “contiguous or compactness” criteria for redistricting.

5. Coastal communities will face distinctively different challenges of climate change which will need to be addressed in the future. Coastal communities
water security concerns and solutions, differ from the needs of inland agriculture communities.

6. For obvious reasons, coastal communities need to have a strong voice and relationship with the Coastal Commission, who’s very mandate requires coastal community planning and compliance within a clearly defined coastal geography.

7. Several of the communities currently in District 2 are unincorporated, and therefore have a single voice determining the rules that govern our communities and giving us a voice in county-wide issues. We do not have “city” governments to protect the interests of our residents should competing countywide or district-wide issues intentionally or unintentionally override our needs.

I request the board to respect the geographic and demographic integrity of Communities of Interest, as stipulated in redistricting criteria. District changes are simply not justified by current census data. Map A or B options would be the most compliant choices.

Additionally, the Board cannot adopt supervisorial district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party (Section 21500(d)). The Board must remain a transparent, fact-based, and fact-driven decision-making entity acting in a non-partisan fashion for the benefit of the diverse communities it represents and is responsible for protecting and serving. Doing so can only engender pride and respect of local governance, as well as countywide unity, something we need now more than ever.

I and my neighbors, friends, and local businesses here in Cambria and Coastal San Luis Obispo County urge your action against unnecessary redistricting, and its related disruption and cost. Thank you for your consideration and action against this divisive and damaging action.

Sincerely,

Robert Reid
Cambria resident and property owner
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:57 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 10:38 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Andrea J Swanson

Your Email: [redacted]

U.S. phone number: [redacted]

Message: I live in Cambria and strongly believe voting districts should not be changed. Cambria residents have different concerns and problems than our inland neighbors and we are unincorporated and so rely more on representation that focuses on our needs.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 129823

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2745

Form inserted: 11/16/2021 10:37:40 AM
For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498
I think Cal Poly student housing should be in one supervisorial district to avoid confusion.

Given the size and importance as a government and major employer site, the City of San Luis Obispo should be represented by more than one supervisor. There would be negative impacts on communities of interest in other Districts should the City of SLO be put into its own separate District.

Of all the maps being considered, I strongly support Plan B.

I would urge this Board to immediately begin the process to establish an independent redistricting commission to manga redistricting in the future to avoid the over-politicization of a process that should be free and fair.

Thank you.

Judy Neuhauser
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:57 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Davecor <davec@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 10:51 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I oppose the plan for redistricting as the current district plan is legal and compliant and this effort would be viewed as partisan and would stoke the current climate of division.

Thank you,
David Puro
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:58 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III - Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 10:54 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Claire C Grether

Your Email [REDACTED]
U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: Dear Board of Supervisors: I respectfully ask you to maintain the current supervisorial district boundaries and not redraw the map. I concur with the League of Women Voters and Jim Gardiner and Rick TerBorch. The Board of Supervisors is allegedly a nonpartisan Board but no one who has lived here in the past five years would believe that. Please act in a nonpartisan way for this decision. Thank you. Claire Grether Shell Beach -- The root of joy is gratefulness.

Brother David Steindl-Rast

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 939127

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2746
From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:28 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:59 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C7Cec99df698b074b87452008d9aa00630b%7C84c3c7747f40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C637727740892561132%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eiyIWljoMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1hdWwiLCJhcGlqIjoiV2luMzliLCJhdFwiIiwibmFtZSI6X1Q%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Card <rcard117@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 10:59 AM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To SLO Boardmembers,

For the many reasons outlined by the LWV, please adopt Plan B for the new county districts.

Sincerely,
Susan Card
(resident since 2005)
I am writing in response to the urging of retired police chief Gardiner in his recent opinion piece in the Tribune. Chief Gardiner's concerns reflect my own with regard to what seems to be happening in our county and our country. Like him, I see the efforts to manage elections and put a strangle hold on power as threats, not to one party or another, but to the very existence of our democracy. You may find this concern unfounded. You may think that only your own point of view is valid, and that those who disagree should be silenced and/or pushed aside. This is the kind of thinking that has brought about the demise of many democracies and could do so to ours. We all have the right to be heard and the responsibility to make sure that we are heard and that others are heard. Now is the time for each of you to stand up and be counted as true patriots. Please don't allow yourselves to be seduced by greed or ambition. Cancel this redistricting effort, or at least make sure that it is carried out in a non-partisan manner.
For your review, this is a District 5 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Greetings, Board of Supervisors.

I just finished reading the excellent, well-thought piece by Jim Gardiner and Rick TerBorch, and I wanted to express my support for their suggestion that current district lines remain intact.

As an Atascadero resident, I was disappointed to see some of our representatives on the board seek to dismiss qualified candidates to replace Tommy Gong in what was an obvious attempt to solicit candidates who might cast doubt on future elections. (Note: There was no proof of fraud in the last election. Zero.)

I'm glad the board ultimately agreed on a qualified candidate, but that -- and a failure to support Gong -- was indicative of how local officials can (in one man's words) "rig" the election process. And that is ethically and morally wrong.

Manipulating the district lines would be yet another example of rigging elections.

Thank you.
--Pat Pemberton
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Dear Supervisors,

Please adopt map B.
It is the most fair.

Thank you,
Susan and Tom Stenovec
SLO County
For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant Ill-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

---

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

**Board of Supervisors:**

As a citizen living in Cambria I wanted to stress my opinion to your plans for redistricting. I strongly object to any of the proposals to redistricting, especially the one to join the North Coast with North County. Please make the right decision and do NOT go further with any changes.

Thanks,
Tom Loganbill
-----Original Message-----
From: John Peschong <jpeschong@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 1:02 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Support Richard Patten's Map

VICKI JANSSEN, Legislative Assistant
First District Supervisor John Peschong
1055 Monterey St., D430
San Luis Obispo, CA  93408
(805)781-4491/Fax (805) 781-1350
vjanssen@co.slo.ca.us

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

My husband and I have looked at the maps so far submitted. The one which makes the most sense, and follows state’s guidelines is Richard Patten’s map.

PLEASE SUPPORT the redistricting map from citizen RICHARD PATTEN - REV 1.

Bill and Sharon Hart
As a resident of Atascadero I fail to see what a student going to CAL POLY has in common with our community. I suspect a majority of these students have never even been to our city. I am also concerned that the city of San Luis Obispo has an unfair advantage with the current 3 supervisor representation. I have looked at the maps and find Richard Patton is the only one following the state guidelines and recommend its adoption.
Dear Supervisors,

The current plan to divide San Luis Obispo County goes against the wishes and culture of the vast majority of our citizens. San Luis Obispo County should be kept whole and connected with Northern Santa Barbara County. I speak for myself, my family, and many hundreds of friends, and based on my observations on social media and in-person encounters, thousands of residents. San Luis Obispo county shares more culture, weather, industry, and economics with Northern Santa Barbara county than it does with Monterey County. Many locals live in Santa Maria and drive for work to San Luis Obispo county. For example my own administrative assistant. Our family shops in Santa Maria and San Luis Obispo regularly. We only travel to Monterey for vacations. We consider it a destination, not our community. The culture and economy of coastal Monterey county are different. Monterey is a unique coastal community with tourism as the major industry. Yes, Pismo Beach is similar to that but just a mile or so east and you are deep in an agricultural community including cattle ranches and strawberry and vegetable farms. These farmers and their workers deserve proper representation along with their neighbors in the agricultural support fields. Southern Santa Barbara is also considered by San Luis Obispo natives to be a vacation destination and not a part of our community. The economy there is very different than San Luis Obispo county. I and the other realtors I know consider our turf to be all of San Luis Obispo County and Northern Santa Barbara county. If we get a client looking for Monterey or Santa Barbar we usually refer them to an agent in that area since they will know it best. Please reconsider the decision to split San Luis Obispo County and to divide us
from our close neighbor (physically, culturally, and economically) northern Santa Barbara county.

Grace and Peace,

Shannon Kessler
Broker Associate/Branch Manager

Search the MLS
Instagram
website
Facebook

Beware! Online banking fraud is on the rise.
**If you receive an email containing WIRE TRANSFER INSTRUCTIONS call your escrow officer immediately to verify the information prior to sending funds.**
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 1:11 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Kathleen Oehler <outlook_1F321F8ECF797647@outlook.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:07 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]redistricting

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello to you all,
Please don’t do any redistricting in our county because it seems fair as it is.
Yours truly,
Kathleen Oehler
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 1:11 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting SLO county

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C68b245db45d54ec0cf4808d9aa008dce%7C84c3c7747df40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637727741609258583%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoIMC4wLjIwMDAilCIQljoiVarZlIiI6Ik1hawwiLCJXViI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=nC%2Bg0IEag3uKec3k6xB0RPrNETTr%2BgjjWqcFmayG!M%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Duffy Jan <hikedome@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:10 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: Duffy Jan <hikedome@aol.com>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting SLO county

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the SLO Board of Supervisors

I urge you to NOT change the supervisory districts for SLO county.

The changes in population are small and do not indicate a need for any change. At this time, hyper partisanship has impacted far too many decisions and any drastic changes locally will be as negative and as extremely political. This in turn will erode citizen confidence in our present Board of Supervisors to make logical decisions

Thank you,
Janice Duffy
Pismo Beach, ca
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Rachel Mann <ms.rachel.mann@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:08 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]SLO county redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

I was surprised, but ultimately heartened to read today’s opinion in the SLO Tribune from former Chiefs of Police Jim Gardiner and Rick Terborch regarding the importance to public safety of ensuring our BOS districts remain balanced.

https://www.sanluisobispo.com/opinion/readers-opinion/article255837361.html

I’ve attached a .pdf of the content if you are limited by the paywall. I urge you read carefully and reflect fully on their fair criticisms and concerns, as well as the power entrusted to you.

Consider also that the in-person public comment process for your meetings excludes many working residents. You are not hearing a representative sample of voices in that format.

Please resist to urge to further politicize the redistricting process to favor your own views and re-election. Make what minor changes are needed to balance population along the edges, but keep the districts as is, and keep the peace.
Respectfully

Rachel Mann
SLO County supervisors should keep current district lines | San Luis Obispo Tribune

VIEWPOINTS

Two retired police chiefs warn against ‘radical redrawing’ of SLO County supervisor districts

BY JIM GARDINER AND RICK TERBORCH

NOVEMBER 16, 2021 5:30 AM

The San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors will meet Friday for another hearing on redistricting. Over the four decades we have spent in law enforcement, we have seen our country convulsed time and again by hyper-partisanship, protests-turned-riots and other political mayhem — yet America always regained its footing.

We both have been lifelong conservative Republicans, and believed in the values of collaboration, compromise and the rule of law. But too many Americans have rejected those values and replaced them with a win-at-all-costs mentality.

Now, almost a year after the Jan. 6 insurrection, we fear for the survival of our democracy.

We had hoped that America would regain its balance, that cooler heads would prevail.

But the raging has only grown worse, fueled in large part by too many elected bodies taking actions that will impede our ability to have free and fair elections.

In fact, we fear that conservative scholar Robert Kagan’s concerns about our democracy are spot on. As he wrote recently in the Washington Post, America has “a reasonable chance over the next three to four years of incidents of mass violence, a breakdown of federal authority, and the division of the country into warring red and blue enclaves.”

Even here in our own county, aggressive confrontations and threats of violence are on the rise.

Look no further than the recent disruptions and harassment of local school boards, and abuse of minority groups. Our county elections official, Tommy Gong, was hounded by extremist and racist accusations.

A violent event like the Jan. 6 insurrection could happen anywhere, including here. We are concerned for everyone’s safety, but particularly the safety of law enforcement personnel who will inevitably be called to quell confrontations.

How do we stem the rage?

Every citizen must become more vigilant. Citizens must actively engage in the practice of democracy — not merely voting, but also attending government meetings and making your voices heard in a respectful, civil way. Citizen engagement is the difference between a democracy that works and a democracy in decay.

Staying on the sidelines simply surrenders the field to the extremists.

Where to start? Start with the SLO County Board of Supervisors, who have an immediate opportunity to help quell the rage — or make it worse.
Every 10 years, with respect to the latest census, the supervisors review how the county’s five supervisor districts are drawn. The board majority has promised an open and transparent process, but we are skeptical because:

- Based on the minor changes in population, there is no legal requirement to change any district boundaries.
- The supervisors chose to ignore best practice and did not appoint a nonpartisan citizens’ panel to advise on drawing the district boundaries.
- Even though the current boundaries are fully compliant with election law, a majority appears to favor a radical redrawing of district lines that would lock in partisan advantages for the next 10 years.
- The board has compressed a complicated process, jamming the three most critical public hearings into a five-week span, leaving little time for analysis.
- At a critical point later this month, the board is allowing only three days for expert review of the maps.

If the majority opts for radically new district boundaries simply to secure a partisan advantage for themselves, they will be fueling the rage.

Though we are concerned about the current process, we also know this board can be persuaded to do the right thing when confronted by concerned citizens.

Recently, for example, after a majority of the board indicated it was open to selecting an unqualified and openly partisan person to oversee local elections, hundreds of citizens spoke up in protest. One supervisor reconsidered, and the board ended up selecting a well-qualified elections official.

What can you do?

You can write to the Supervisors at boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us and urge them to retain the current district lines, which are fully compliant with election laws. The current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration.

You can show up at one of these two public hearings at the County Government Building (1055 Monterey St, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408):

9 a.m. Friday, Nov. 19

9 a.m. Tuesday, Nov. 30

By showing up and speaking up, you will be standing up for democracy, fairness and good government. Keep our local politics healthy, and not driven by conspiracies and rage. A centuries-old aphorism remains true: All it takes for evil to triumph is for good people to do nothing. Now is the time. Get informed and get involved. Our democracy depends on all of us.

Jim Gardiner served as chief of police for the city of San Luis Obispo from 1987 to 2002 and is a past president of the California Peace Officers Association.

Rick TerBorch served as chief of Police for the city of Arroyo Grande from 1989 to 2005 and is a past president of the California Police Chiefs Association.
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

No major changes to current supervisorial districts is warranted. I am referring to California Elections Code Section 21500. SLO County should follow the 5 criteria when deciding possible redistricting. It doesn’t make sense to break up North Coastal communities. Remember to keep communities of common interests contiguous & compact, respecting geography.

Also, including parts if the North Coast doesn’t make sense.

The most recent census does not support the need for any significant changes to any of the current 5 district’s boundaries.

Virginia Baker
District 1

Sent from my iPhone
Dear San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors,

Taking into consideration all the criteria stipulated in state law and the Voting Rights Act, and following the priority criteria as outlined on the SLO County Redistricting website, We urge the Board of Supervisors adopt map A or map B, which are very similar to the current map. According to census, none of the districts in SLO County grew enough to necessitate substantial boundary changes. This was confirmed by the County’s redistricting consultant. Maps A and B ensure continuity, respect the communities of interest, minimize the disruptions to the election cycle, and meet statutory requirements. We support robust democracy in which people feel confident that their voices and votes will be heard. Please vote Maps A or B.

With Regards,

Jean and Jeff Pedigo
Dear Supervisor Arnold:

Upon carefully reviewing the criteria established for drawing district lines, and reviewing the currently proposed SLO County Staff Maps A, B, & C to be considered for the County’s redistricting, I have several concerns over what is being proposed. Specifically, none of these maps fully conform to the rules set forth for redistricting; further, in the case of some of the cities, their respective outlined divisions do not make sense.

Richard Patten’s Rev. 1 Citizens’ Map (https://www.slocounty.ca.gov/Departments/Administrative-Office/Forms-Documents/Redistricting/Richard-Patten-Rev_1_ID-74786_Detail.pdf), however, does make sense; it adheres to the criteria set forth for redistricting and is fair and nonpolitical, clearly representing a united County. For these reasons, I strongly recommend that this map be adopted for the County’s redistricting. In this map:

- Communities of interest would be geographically compact—whole, undivided, and populations would be evenly distributed throughout the County (the map represents a census number with a deviation that is closest to being perfect—far below 10%—at 4.86%).
- The City of San Luis Obispo, a single “community of interest,” would not be divided into three districts as represented in SLO County Staff Maps A, B, & C – having more than one supervisorial district in a single “community of interest” violates the criteria set forth for redistricting.
- Cal Poly would logically be included with the City of SLO, both being “communities of interest” that should not be divided; they should both remain together within one supervisorial district. In SLO County Map A, Cal Poly would be divided into three districts, which is against the rules and makes no sense. Additionally, Cal Poly would be included in coastal districts, which is very questionable; why would the college student population be in a coastal district where the No. 1 revenue source is tourism? There doesn’t seem to be much of a common shared interest economically or socially. Having been an integral part of the Cal Poly community (32 years’ employment there), I am keenly aware of Cal Poly’s socio-economic interests which, I believe, strongly tie to the City of San Luis Obispo.
- **Los Osos** would be included with other beach towns in District 5; the population and proximity of Los Osos work well with Avila Beach, Pismo Beach, Grover Beach and Oceano.
- **Templeton** would be kept whole, conforming to the rules, rather than be split as in the current SLO County Staff Map B adopted in 2011.

Thank you for your consideration. Please vote for Richard Patten’s Rev. 1 Citizens’ Map.

Best,

A. Joette Eisengart
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear People,
This is to urge you to not make any changes to districting as none are needed per the law. The radical changes of map C proposed are clearly politically motivated and the impact on my community of Cambria would be very negative. Even the minimal changes of some of the other plans will cause some disruption and presumably cost money to implement.

Thank you for your consideration.

Liane Schaffer
For your review, this is a District 4 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Your Name: Olivia Scholz

Your Email: 

U.S. phone number: 

Message: I totally agree with Jim Gardiner and Rick TerBorch on the redistricting question for the County of San Luis Obispo. The boundaries are fine just the way they are. PLEASE DO NOT CHANGE THEM

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 632092

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2747

Form inserted: 11/16/2021 12:13:19 PM
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting  
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:30 AM  
To: Maria G. Brown  
Subject: FW: [EXT]Community Input

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>  
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 1:29 PM  
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
Subject: FW: [EXT]Community Input

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada  
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential  
Board of Supervisors  
www.slocounty.ca.gov  
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Lori Wise <lo.wise@sbcglobal.net>  
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:16 PM  
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>  
Subject: [EXT]Community Input

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please retain the current district lines. We need fair balance when it comes to geography, population, communities of interest and party registration. Any changes to this will clearly be partisan and dangerous to our democracy. Please do the right thing and retain the current district lines. I am so concerned for our community becoming even more racist and extremist. Thank you for your consideration of the community

Lori Wise  
San Luis Obispo
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 1:29 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]redistricting

For your review, this is a District 1 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: John Cliff <jcliffmail@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:31 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Our current district structure does NOT need to be changed. Leave it alone.
John Cliff

Virus-free. www.avast.com
Dear Supervisor Peschong:

Upon carefully reviewing the criteria established for drawing district lines, and reviewing the currently proposed SLO County Staff Maps A, B, & C to be considered for the County’s redistricting, I have several concerns over what is being proposed. Specifically, none of these maps fully conform to the rules set forth for redistricting; further, in the case of some of the cities, their respective outlined divisions do not make sense.

Richard Patten’s Rev. 1 Citizens’ Map (https://www.slocounty.ca.gov/Departments/Administrative-Office/Forms-Documents/Redistricting/Richard-Patten-Rev_1_ID-74786_Detail.pdf), however, does make sense;
it adheres to the criteria set forth for redistricting and is fair and nonpolitical, clearly representing a united County. For these reasons, I strongly recommend that this map be adopted for the County’s redistricting. In this map:

- Communities of interest would be geographically compact—whole, undivided, and populations would be evenly distributed throughout the County (the map represents a census number with a deviation that is closest to being perfect—far below 10%--at 4.86%).
- The City of San Luis Obispo, a single “community of interest,” would not be divided into three districts as represented in SLO County Staff Maps A, B, & C – having more than one supervisorial district in a single “community of interest” violates the criteria set forth for redistricting.
- Cal Poly would logically be included with the City of SLO, both being “communities of interest” that should not be divided; they should both remain together within one supervisorial district. In SLO County Map A, Cal Poly would be divided into three districts, which is against the rules and makes no sense. Additionally, Cal Poly would be included in coastal districts, which is very questionable; why would the college student population be in a coastal district where the No. 1 revenue source is tourism? There doesn’t seem to be much of a common shared interest economically or socially. Having been an integral part of the Cal Poly community (32 years’ employment there), I am keenly aware of Cal Poly’s socio-economic interests which, I believe, strongly tie to the City of San Luis Obispo.
- Los Osos would be included with other beach towns in District 5; the population and proximity of Los Osos work well with Avila Beach, Pismo Beach, Grover Beach and Oceano.
- Templeton would be kept whole, conforming to the rules, rather than be split as in the current SLO County Staff Map B adopted in 2011.

Thank you for your consideration. Please vote for Richard Patten’s Rev. 1 Citizens’ Map.

Best,

A. Joette Eisengart
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisor Peschong:
Upon carefully reviewing the criteria established for drawing district lines, and reviewing the currently proposed SLO County Staff Maps A, B, & C to be considered for the County’s redistricting, I have several concerns over what is being proposed. Specifically, none of these maps fully conform to the rules set forth for redistricting; further, in the case of some of the cities, their respective outlined divisions do not make sense.

Richard Patten’s Rev. 1 Citizens’ Map (https://www.slocounty.ca.gov/Departments/Administrative-Office/Forms-Documents/Redistricting/Richard-Patten-Rev_1_ID-74786_Detail.pdf), however, does make sense; it adheres to the criteria set forth for redistricting and is fair and nonpolitical, clearly representing a united County. For these reasons, I strongly recommend that this map be adopted for the County’s redistricting. In this map:

- Communities of interest would be geographically compact—whole, undivided, and populations would be evenly distributed throughout the County (the map represents a census number with a deviation that is closest to being perfect—far below 10%--at 4.86%).
- The City of San Luis Obispo, a single “community of interest,” would not be divided into three districts as represented in SLO County Staff Maps A, B, & C – having more than one supervisorial district in a single “community of interest” violates the criteria set forth for redistricting.
- Cal Poly would logically be included with the City of SLO, both being “communities of interest” that should not be divided; they should both remain together within one supervisorial district. In SLO County Map A, Cal Poly would be divided into three districts, which is against the rules and makes no sense. Additionally, Cal Poly would be included in coastal districts, which is very questionable; why would the college student population be in a coastal district where the No. 1 revenue source is tourism? There doesn’t seem to be much of a common shared interest economically or socially. Having been an integral part of the Cal Poly community (32 years’ employment there), I am keenly aware of Cal Poly’s socio-economic interests which, I believe, strongly tie to the City of San Luis Obispo.
- Los Osos would be included with other beach towns in District 5; the population and proximity of Los Osos work well with Avila Beach, Pismo Beach, Grover Beach and Oceano.
- Templeton would be kept whole, conforming to the rules, rather than be split as in the current SLO County Staff Map B adopted in 2011.

Thank you for your consideration. Please vote for Richard Patten’s Rev. 1 Citizens’ Map.

Best,

A. Joette Eisengart
For your review, I was unable to find this constituent in Voter Reg. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Honorable Supervisors,

I write to you on an important topic that you will next be taking up at your special meeting of November 19, 2021 – the maintenance of fair and equitable district boundaries within San Luis Obispo County.

My husband and I have resided in San Luis Obispo County for more than 35 years and closely follow local and regional government pursuits. We work to actively educate ourselves on the various sides of critical issues that you as a governing board must face, and in doing so we strive to set partisan thinking aside. We expect our elected Board of
Supervisors to do the same. The safety, unity, and prosperity of our communities rely on your careful evaluation of issues from the lens of thoughtful and critical thinking, not partisanship.

Based on the minor changes in population distribution in this County, the Board is not legally required to modify current district boundaries. I strongly urge you to maintain the current district boundaries which fairly balance population, communities of interest, and party registration. Alteration of these boundaries, in this current divisive political climate, will send the message that you are willing to promote personal political advantage, at the expense of fair elections and responsible governance.

I will be listening to your deliberations closely. I remain hopeful that you will place community needs above potential political gain.

Respectfully yours,

Meg Williamson
Atascadero Resident / Retired City Administrator
From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:32 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: District 2 Supervisor Bruce Gibson Question/Issue

From: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:32 AM
To: Mei-Lin Gee <mgee@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: District 2 Supervisor Bruce Gibson Question/Issue

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 1:32 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: District 2 Supervisor Bruce Gibson Question/Issue

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:41 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: District 2 Supervisor Bruce Gibson Question/Issue

Topic: District 2 Supervisor Bruce Gibson Question/Issue

Your Name: David McBride

Your Email

U.S. phone number:

Message: I strongly encourage the board to abandon consideration of radical redistricting. This appears to be a blatant attempt to expand the power of a particular group and does not serve the interests of the greater community. The board should devote more time to improving people's lives and less time to their own political pursuits. Thanks for Your
consideration, David L. McBride MD Harmony

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 117397

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2748

Form inserted: 11/16/2021 12:40:21 PM

Form updated: 11/16/2021 12:40:21 PM
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:32 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]County Redistricting Proposals

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 1:32 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]County Redistricting Proposals

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III - Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: vickyvdb11@gmail.com <vickyvdb11@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:44 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: vickyvdb11@gmail.com
Subject: [EXT]County Redistricting Proposals

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am very concerned regarding the several radical proposals for redistricting in our County. Districts have been formed by definition, according to 'equal communities of interest,' a population that shares common social or economic interests.' Continued: 'Supervisory districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in the manner that nearby areas of populations are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations. That all sounds very good, but several of the newly proposed redrawn district maps, such as Option C, do the exact opposite of the above recommendations.

In this proposal Cambria would be separated from its southern neighboring towns including Cayucos and Morro Bay, of which we all share the goals of protecting our coast and encouraging tourism.

By placing Cambria in a suddenly huge district in area from the ocean to the Kern County Line, we would be splitting up our contiguous coastal communities, but placing Cambria with Paso Robles and neighboring agricultural towns. To reach
those towns requires a thirty mile drive on Route 46, over the Santa Lucia Mountains, to these areas which have no relationship to coastal communities.

Cambria and the Paso Robles area have no common economic interests -- Paso Robles is part of a large vital agricultural region, situated over a natural aquifer, while Cambria has a few small farms, and focuses on tourism.

Despite the fact that the Redistricting explanation stresses that it has no political relationship, Option C and its similar new proposals are obviously intent on isolating certain political areas of interest from one another, in order to create weakness.

Vicky van den Berg
-----Original Message-----
From: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:32 AM
To: Mei-Lin Gee <mgee@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]November 19,2021 Redistricting.

-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 1:33 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]November 19,2021 Redistricting.

For your review, this is a District 1 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C7C0740710fbbdd749106e0408d9aa01052e%7C84c3c7747fdf40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0\%7C0%7C637727743637359914%7CUnknown%7CTWfpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoiMC4wLjAwMDAilCJQljoiV2luMzliLCJ8Tii6lk1haWwiLCJVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=JnyewuOJ8rBwuCP%2FpVXhzHKWYa4%2FfDI56t4gup3Dn%2Bf%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Virginia Baker <vbcccoast@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:46 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]November 19,2021 Redistricting.

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please retain current supervisor district boundaries. Current boundaries meet all state requirements for population balance, fairness of representation and compactness. Do not make major changes.
Virginia Baker
Templeton
Sent from my iPhone
Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Donna Leach <divotdiva7@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:54 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear ELECTED Supervisors,
While our country roils with false claims and misrepresentations it is important that each of us weigh in on Voting Rights. You were elected, presumably, by a fair and open election. Now that you have enjoyed your power it might be hard to think of losing it. Trouble is, power based on power alone will eventually collapse on its brokers. We must guard the rights of fair, open elections. Redistricting is sometimes necessary. It should be done at the behest of a citizens group vs. politicians.

NO REDISTRICTING at present is warranted and moreover, seems a likely scheme to stack votes for special interest groups. As Voter my opinion matters and I object to changing our existing districts.
SLO County does not need to be under the thumb of Big Oil and real estate conglomerates.

LEAVE OUR CURRENT DISTRICTS INTACT!

Donna Leach

Get Outlook for iOS
From: Maria G. Brown
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:33 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 1:34 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:57 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Contact Form Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Topic: Board of Supervisors meetings/business

Your Name: Judith Sanner Long

Your Email

U.S. phone number:

Message: I'm writing to persuade you to retain the existing district boundaries. A fair and good Supervisor will understand that the current districts are efficient and fair and do not need to be changed. This is a very important vote and you must do the right thing by voting to retain and not manipulate boundaries for partisan reasons.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 836069

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2749

Form inserted: 11/16/2021 12:56:54 PM
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Esteemed supervisors,

You are no doubt aware of the excellent opinion piece in today’s Tribune by former police chiefs Jim Gardiner and Rick TerBorch which eloquently communicates my concerns and beliefs. I urge you to retain the current district lines, which are fully compliant with election laws. The current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration. You indeed have the immediate opportunity to help restore faith in our democracy through fairness - or increase high levels of citizen distrust and cynicism. As a 41 year resident of San Luis Obispo County, I urge you to retain the current district lines.

Thank you,

Craig Updegrove
San Luis Obispo

https://www.sanluisobispo.com/opinion/readers-opinion/article255837361.html
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Message: Honorable Board of Supervisors: Upon carefully reviewing the criteria established for drawing district lines, and reviewing the currently proposed SLO County Staff Maps A, B, & C to be considered for the County’s redistricting, I have several concerns over what is being proposed. Specifically, none of these maps fully conform to the rules set forth for redistricting; further, in the case of some of the cities, their respective outlined divisions do not make sense. Richard Patten’s Rev. 1 Citizens’ Map (https://www.slocounty.ca.gov/Departments/Administrative-Office/Forms-Documents/Redistricting/Richard-Patten-Rev_1_ID-74786_Detail.pdf), however, does make sense; it adheres to the criteria set forth for redistricting and is fair and nonpolitical, clearly representing a united County. For these reasons, I strongly recommend that this map be adopted for the County’s redistricting. In this map: *Communities of interest would be geographically compact—whole, undivided, and populations would be evenly distributed throughout the
County (the map represents a census number with a deviation that is closest to being perfect—far below 10%—at 4.86%). *The City of San Luis Obispo, a single “community of interest,” would not be divided into three districts as represented in SLO County Staff Maps A, B, & C—having more than one supervisorial district in a single “community of interest” violates the criteria set forth for redistricting. *Cal Poly would logically be included with the City of SLO, both being “communities of interest” that should not be divided; they should both remain together within one supervisorial district. In SLO County Map A, Cal Poly would be divided into three districts, which is against the rules and makes no sense. Additionally, Cal Poly would be included in coastal districts, which is very questionable; why would the college student population be in a coastal district where the No. 1 revenue source is tourism? There doesn’t seem to be much of a common shared interest economically or socially. Having been an integral part of the Cal Poly community (32 years’ employment there), I am keenly aware of Cal Poly's socio-economic interests which, I believe, strongly align with the City of San Luis Obispo. *Los Osos would be included with other beach towns in District 5; the population and proximity of Los Osos work well with Avila Beach, Pismo Beach, Grover Beach and Oceano. *Templeton would be kept whole, conforming to the rules, rather than be split as in the current SLO County Staff Map B adopted in 2011. Thank you for your consideration. Please vote for Richard Patten’s Rev. 1 Citizens’ Map. Best, A. Joette Eisengart Shell Beach (District 3)
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498
Form inserted: 11/16/2021 1:25:32 PM

Form updated: 11/16/2021 1:25:32 PM
Original Message

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 1:38 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]No redistricting!

For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C04%7Cmgbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C7Ca27d9e32cdc44ecdd29d08d9aa012a9c%7C84c3c77477f4df0e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637727744265518309%7CUnknown%7CCTWFpbGzsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAilCJQljoiV2luMzIiLCJBTiI6Ik1h
aWwiLCJXVCIE0%3D%7C3000&amp;data=q3P%2Fb%2FPvqanRZSDt%2FWP4m8x1GK9%2B%2B6dgjNhNqVzGE%3D &amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Original Message

From: Liane Schaffer <lianeschaffer@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 1:27 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]No redistricting!

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear People,
This is to urge you to not make any changes to districting as none are needed per the law. The radical changes of map C proposed are clearly politically motivated and the impact on my community of Cambria would be very negative. Even the minimal changes of some of the other plans will cause some disruption and presumably cost money to implement.

Thank you for your consideration.

Liane Schaffer
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:34 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 126

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 1:55 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 126

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RedistrictingID</th>
<th>126</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Form inserted</td>
<td>11/16/2021 1:55:03 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form updated</td>
<td>11/16/2021 1:55:03 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Name</td>
<td>Kris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Gorsuch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>[Redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>[Redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Organization Represented</td>
<td>self and spouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>San Luis Obispo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip</td>
<td>93401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>We request that you leave the district maps as they currently exist. There is no reason to amend the districts because the population has not changed enough to warrant any boundary changes. Any proposed changes in the district boundaries will be solely based on partisan politics and not facts. Thank you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Records Notice</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Check</td>
<td>850771</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As a 40+ year resident of San Luis Obispo County, I urge the Board of Supervisors to adopt Map A or B, which are very similar to the current map. According to the census, none of the districts in SLO County grew enough to necessitate substantial boundary changes. This was confirmed by the County's redistricting consultant. Maps A and B ensure continuity, respect the communities of interest, minimize the disruptions to the election cycle, and meet statutory requirements. I support robust democracy in which people feel confident that their voices and votes will be heard.

Thank you very much, Sally Rogow
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <boardsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 2:14 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review, this is a District 4 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C0c576476f09487059208d9a013993%7C84c3c7747df40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637727744496585963%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIljoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJ8TlI6Ik1hLWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=5eCORCdzUBvTYFQiIN9z7VVzVenZmyZSZRBIK0%2F0zg%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I am encouraging you to adopt Draft Map A when considering potential changes to county district boundaries.

It is my understanding that the results of the 2020 census do not necessitate redrawing of district lines. Because Draft Map A includes only minor changes to current district boundaries, its adoption will save time and money.

Importantly Redistricting Partners (the impartial consultant under contract by the Board) has confirmed, on record, that Draft Map A complies with all criteria stipulated in California’s Fair Maps Act. In addition, Draft Map A would comply with the federal Voting Rights Act.

Please be fair, efficient and effective by adopting Draft Map A.
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

SLO County Supervisors,

I am a conservative Republican voter who is very concerned about what I see as the unnecessary redrawing of district boundaries. None of the new options seem as reasonable or accurate as leaving the districts exactly as they are, and I do not understand why a redrawing is even being considered.

Please do not denigrate our political party any further by continuing with what seem to be a succession of embarrassing partisan moves. There is room for everyone in a democracy.

Please, do not try to "fix" what is not broken.

Sincerely,

Judith Buonaguidi
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

On behalf of the citizens for an effective, balanced, compliant, legal, apolitical county, do not revise the present county supervisory districts. Sincerely, Dr. and Mrs. E Keller
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I urge your adoption of proposed redistricting map A as it—

. reflects the least change (and no change is required);
. complies with relevant laws, including the Fair Maps Act and the Voting Rights Act;
. is recommended by the BOS’ consultant, a subject matter expert.

As there is no apolitical justification for drastic changes to our current district maps, none should be considered.

Lori Magaro
District 4 Voter
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am writing regarding redistricting plans:

**NO CHANGE IS NEEDED TO THE CURRENT DISTRICTING AND THE COUNTY’S OWN CONSULTANT SAYS THE SAME THING:** The California Fair Maps Act of 2019 does not require *any change in existing boundaries* if change isn’t justified by Census data. Census data between 2010 and 2020 DOES NOT necessitate change.

**DRAFT MAP A COMPLIES WITH THE FEDERAL VOTING RIGHTS ACT.** This too has been confirmed by the county’s expert consultant.

**PLEASE DO NOT VOTE TO MAKE ANY CHANGES.**

Thanks,
Sari H. Dworkin,
A constituent
"I expect to pass through this world but once; any good thing therefore that I can do, or any kindness that I can show to any fellow-creature, let me do it now; let me not defer or neglect it, for I shall not pass this way again." Stephen Grellett (1773-1855).

Sari H. Dworkin, Ph.D.
Dear Supervisors:

I have been following the redistricting process closely and have deep concerns that your current path will lead to unfair and unequal representation if the supervisorial district lines are redrawn drastically. The new census data do not justify major changes to current supervisor district boundaries. As currently drawn, the districts meet all state requirements for population balance, fairness of representation, and compactness.

I therefore urge you to retain the current supervisorial district boundaries, which will ensure continuity, keep existing Communities of Interest intact, and meet all statutory requirements. No drastic changes to district boundaries are warranted.

Finally, I live in the City of San Luis Obispo and support its division into three supervisorial districts. The City has ties to all parts of the county, providing jobs, shopping, and education for folks who live in other areas and are connected through our central location and major transportation systems. Those who assert otherwise generally don’t live in the City. Don’t marginalize us into a single district.
Thank you for considering my input.

Barry Price
San Luis Obispo, CA

Sent from Mail for Windows
To: Board of Supervisors, County of San Luis Obispo

John Peschong; Debbie Arnold; Lynn Compton; Bruce Gibson; Dawn Ortiz-Legg

Re: San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors Meeting, Friday, November 19, 2021

Public comment regarding Redistricting

As residents of District 5, we are concerned with several proposals for redistricting under consideration.

After careful review of all criteria stipulated in state law, the Voting Rights Act, and SLO County Redistricting priorities, we strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to adopt Map A or Map B.

As you know, according to the census – and confirmed by the County’s redistricting consultant—no SLO County district grew enough to require significant boundary changes. Maps A and B, which most closely resemble the current map, meet statutory requirements, ensure continuity, respect communities of interest, and minimize election cycle disruptions.

Furthermore, we are deeply disappointed that this process was undertaken without the Board of Supervisors authorizing an independent commission, resulting in several maps that require massive, unnecessary boundary changes.

We can only deduce that these changes reflect partisan and self-serving goals of the sitting majority members of the Board. Adoption of boundary changes that do not reflect the current and trending future composition of the electorate will only promote further distrust and division within our community.

This is your opportunity to restore faith in free and fair elections and governance throughout SLO County. Please set politics aside and approve minimal to no changes to current district boundaries.

Respectfully,

Cynthia and Vince Marchant
Citizens and taxpayers of San Luis Obispo County

8060 Graves Creek Road | Atascadero | cynandvin@hotmail.com
For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I just finished reading the Tribune article written by former police chiefs in San Luis Obispo and Arroyo Grande, Jim Gardiner and Rich TerBorch, regarding redistricting. If you haven't read it, you should. Their points are well taken and should definitely be considered in any decision that you make to change district boundaries. I have seen the various maps being considered and it is patently apparent that many of the proposals are a political attempt among conservatives to sway local elections in their favor.

It is your duty as an elected official to do the following:

A. Comply with criteria stipulated in state law and the Voting Rights Act.
B. Follow a process that is fair and perceived to be fair.
C. Result in fair and balanced districts that do not favor or discriminate against any political party.
D. Avoid radical changes to district lines that cause distrust or apathy among voters.
E. Minimize disruption to the election cycle and the number of voters whose ability to vote in an election would be deferred or accelerated.
I urge you to retain the current district lines which fairly balance geography, communities of interest and party registration.

Sincerely,
Virginia Sams Drew
Morro Bay
For your review, this is a District 4 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

I urge your adoption of proposed redistricting map A as it—

. reflects the least change (and no change is required);
. complies with relevant laws, including the Fair Maps Act and the Voting Rights Act;
. is recommended by the BOS' consultant, a subject matter expert.

As there is no apolitical justification for drastic changes to our current district maps, none should be considered.

Lori Magaro
District 4 Voter
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 2:26 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review, this is a District 5 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: The Forbes <bforbes488@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 2:24 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Cc: jimgardiner_charter.net <jimgardiner@charter.net>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I would like to firmly and gladly support the points made by Jim Gardener and Rick Terborch, former Police Chiefs in our area, that were in the Tribune opinion page today.

Please rethink the current drift of redistricting our county. The current district lines do not need to be changed, especially not to such a biased version as is currently being considered by the hasty process at the County. The current lines fairly balance the political leanings and other aspects of the county's population. Changing them is both a waste of time and a travesty.

When good citizens like Jim and Rick speak, I would hope the Supervisors would listen. I add my voice of concern to theirs. Please keep the current map. It's important for our County and for our nation.

Thank you,
Bert Forbes
San Luis Obispo
Comment

Leave the current maps alone. There is no reason except for partisanship to do this radical redrawing of the district maps. It will not bring people together.
RedistrictingID 128
Form inserted 11/16/2021 2:45:24 PM
Form updated 11/16/2021 2:45:24 PM
First Name Joel
Last Name Cehn
Email [redacted]
Name of Organization [redacted]
City Cambria
Zip 93428

With respect to re-drawing of supervisors districts currently being considered by the SLO County Board of Supervisors. It make no sense to put Cambria & San Simeon in a supervisorial district separate from other coastal cities. I oppose such a change.
Dear Board of Supervisors -

The attached document contains commentary we would ask you to consider as you proceed with your efforts to undertake the redistricting of the county.

Thank you kindly for your consideration and attention, and for your service,

Mark Bronson
November 16, 2021

Dear Board of Supervisors –

We are writing this letter to provide our comments regarding various plans put forth for the ongoing decennial redistricting effort. We watched the public hearing through zoom back on October 26th, and have since also had the chance to review the citizen’s map that was submitted by Richard Patten which appeared to attract a lot of positive commentary from the people who spoke during the meeting.

We are residents of Cambria (on Chiswick Way). Prior to the meeting on October 26th, we sent an email to the board expressing my concerns over Plan “C,” which would have moved Cambria and San Simeon out of a district comprised of North County coastal communities and in with Paso Robles. In that correspondence, we expressed concerns over the way that Plan C would dilute the coastal voice and would more or less mute Cambria’s input into county legislative and other efforts by pairing us up with a community which is much larger than Cambria in population, and which might have interest that are quite dissimilar to those of coastal communities. Upon further study, the Patten map does the same thing.

Being relatively new residents of the county, and of California more generally (returning after a 14 year absence), we were relieved while watching the meeting to have been made aware of some very sensible requirements that are to be taken into consideration in redistricting efforts.

In particular, we note that the criteria for appropriate redistricting efforts state that “the geographic integrity of any...local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division. A community of interest is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single supervisorial district for purposes of its effective and fair representation.” (emphasis added)

The Patten map and Plan C both have the effect of dividing the North County coastal communities from Los Osos to Ragged Point – a group of communities that are almost certainly a community of interest as described above. Furthermore, the “common social or economic interests” of these communities are necessarily going to be different than those of Paso Robles as a whole (in the case of Plan C) or of Atascadero (in the case of the Patten Map) that would be combined with Cambria, San Simeon, and other coastal communities in the case of the Patten map.

From our perspective, the communities that are currently in District 2 have the following common social and economic interests:

- We are all communities with economies that have heavy coastal tourism components. Our communities have a strong interest in protecting the coastal environment, while still promoting accessibility and enjoyment of those who want to enjoy the coast.
  - The balance of ecological preservation and economic activity require a different set of considerations than communities that are more agriculturally oriented. Both of these types of communities deserve effective and fair representation. It is not appropriate to have the voice of small coastal communities dampened or muted by larger population groups with different economic concerns which would generate different policy interests. We need our own voice related to planning.
November 16, 2021

- The coastal communities may face distinct challenges should water levels continue to rise as a result of climate change. These challenges are likely going to be different from the also significant climate-related challenges that might face inland communities.
- The coastal communities have priorities around water access (and preservation of access for residents) that are necessarily different than those of agriculturally-driven communities.
- For these reasons, the coastal communities also need to have a strong dominant voice on the coastal commission. I would note that maps like Plan C would have four of five districts reach the coast. This necessarily softens the voice of the people who are actually living and working on the coast daily, as these communities are currently being represented by only 2 districts.

- Many of the communities currently in district 2 are unincorporated, and we therefore have a single voice determining the rules that govern the places we call home – that voice being the rules and regulations of San Luis Obispo County. We do not have city governments that could protect the interests of the residents of this community if the county were to adopt rules that would otherwise be harmful to the interests of our community.

Additionally, it is hard to see how the entirety of the districts that would include the north coast communities could be effectively served within a district. Plan C, for instance, includes communities like Ragged Point and Oak Shores that may look geographically close as the crow flies, but which would be quite distant from each other in terms of driving distance and time given the available infrastructure.

As we understand it, the current district boundaries meet the state requirements. Furthermore, there haven’t been major shifts in population that necessitate a radical redrawing. Trying to radically redraw the district boundaries has only one purpose – the gerrymandering of the district lines to try to obtain sustainable political advantage at the cost of the interests of and fair representation of residents of coastal communities. This is not an acceptable reason to redraw the districts.

We would please urge the board of supervisors to adopt a district plan that minimizes the division of the North County coastal communities and which keeps things more or less as they are, and have been for many years. Plan A achieves this end, and it is the plan that should be selected.

Thank you very much for your time and for your service,

Mark Bronson and Darrell Bronson-Means
**Comment**

Please do not redistrict SLO County! The proposed districts make little sense, especially separating the coastal cities. The current districting works just fine, and there have been little demographic changes since 2010 to warrant redistricting the county this drastically. This is a very obvious instance of gerrymandering and the citizens of SLO County will not stand for it.
Your Name: Joe Wittenberg

Your Email: 

U.S. phone number: 

Message: As a resident of District 5, I am concerned with the current inclusion of Cal Poly Student residents within my boundary. Most, if not all on-campus student residents are not from our North County and cannot possibly understand or represent the values or concerns of invested North County residents. They are much more likely to represent the interests of the City of San Luis Obispo. Thank you.

Public Records Notice: True

Security code: 473567

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2644

Form inserted: 10/5/2021 9:14:07 AM

Form updated: 10/5/2021 9:14:07 AM
Upon carefully reviewing the criteria established for drawing district lines, and reviewing the currently proposed SLO County Staff Maps A, B, & C to be considered for the County’s redistricting, I have several concerns over what is being proposed. Specifically, none of these maps fully conform to the rules set forth for redistricting; further, in the case of some of the cities, their respective outlined divisions do not make sense.

Richard Patten’s Rev. 1 Citizens’ Map (https://www.slocounty.ca.gov/Departments/Administrative-Office/Forms-Documents/Redistricting/Richard-Patten-Rev_1_ID-74786_Detail.pdf), however, does make sense; it adheres to the criteria set forth for redistricting and is fair and nonpolitical, clearly representing a united County. For these reasons, I strongly recommend that this map be adopted for the County’s redistricting. In this map:

- Communities of interest would be geographically compact—whole, undivided, and populations would be evenly distributed throughout the County (the map represents a census number with a deviation that is closest to being perfect—far below 10%—at 4.86%).
• The City of San Luis Obispo, a single “community of interest,” would not be divided into three districts as represented in SLO County Staff Maps A, B, & C – having more than one supervisorial district in a single “community of interest” violates the criteria set forth for redistricting.

• Cal Poly would logically be included with the City of SLO, both being “communities of interest” that should not be divided; they should both remain together within one supervisorial district. In SLO County Map A, Cal Poly would be divided into three districts, which is against the rules and makes no sense. Additionally, Cal Poly would be included in coastal districts, which is very questionable; why would the college student population be in a coastal district where the No. 1 revenue source is tourism? There doesn’t seem to be much of a common shared interest economically or socially. Having been an integral part of the Cal Poly community (32 years’ employment there), I am keenly aware of Cal Poly’s socio-economic interests which, I believe, strongly tie to the City of San Luis Obispo.

• Los Osos would be included with other beach towns in District 5; the population and proximity of Los Osos work well with Avila Beach, Pismo Beach, Grover Beach and Oceano.

• Templeton would be kept whole, conforming to the rules, rather than be split as in the current SLO County Staff Map B adopted in 2011.

Thank you for your consideration. Please vote for Richard Patten’s Rev. 1 Citizens’ Map.

Best,

A. Joette Eisengart
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:36 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

From: Jennifer Baltes <baltes.jennifera@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 3:11 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>; Board of Supervisors <Boardofsupse@co.slo.ca.us>; brian@surlinergate.com
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisor Peschong, Supervisor Gibson, Supervisor Ortiz-Legg, Supervisor Compton, and Supervisor Arnold,

I am writing to you to express my family's strong opposition to any map being considered other than Map A. The recent census did not reflect a need for major changes to the current districts, and as such, I request no changes or minimal changes, if absolutely necessary. Map A would make slight changes while keeping together the current communities, and is the only acceptable map.

As a long time resident of Cambria, parent, and local business owner, I believe that it would be harmful to sever our village from the rest of our North Coast neighbors of San Luis Obispo county. Our rural community has very different needs than those of the cities Templeton or Paso Robles, and we are geographically separated from those cities by many miles and hills. Cambria is a small coastal village and has many things in common with the other coastal communities. Any redistricting efforts other than the minimal ones expressed in Map A would go against the wishes of the people, would serve only the political interests of some, and would cause great harm to our community. The coast needs to stick together to preserve our beautiful and unique marine sanctuary.

Also, as someone who has worked at a local nonprofit in the city of San Luis Obispo for 5 years, I do not see any benefits to any changes to the current district lines there either as they currently serve the diverse populations of the city well. Map A would support this and only make minimal changes.

Please listen to the voices of our community. The people who elected you deserve free and equal elections and to be able to protect their interests as they see fit. Do the right thing and if you choose a new map, make it Map A. Thank you.

Best wishes,
Jennifer Baltes and Brian Baumgardner
Redistricting ID 130

Form inserted 11/16/2021 3:12:13 PM
Form updated 11/16/2021 3:12:13 PM

First Name Judythe
Last Name Guarnera
Email
Phone

Name of Organization Represented Private Citizen
City Grover Beach
Zip 93433

Comment

With the small change in population, there is no need to change the districts for political purposes. May A is fine. Preserve a free and fair vote.

Public Records Notice True
Security Check 899158
From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 3:14 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 131

RedistrictingID 131
Form inserted 11/16/2021 3:12:46 PM
Form updated 11/16/2021 3:12:46 PM
First Name Helen
Last Name Manning-Brown
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Atascadero
Zip 93422
Comment Retain the current districting lines.
Public Records Notice True
Security Check 386991
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redis

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Your Name: Sara Mcgrath

Your Email [REDACTED]

U.S. phone number: [REDACTED]

Message: I am very concerned that recent proposals to change district boundaries do not include non partisan review in these turbulent political times all efforts should be made to be non partisan

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 470385

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2752

Form inserted: 11/16/2021 2:47:32 PM

Form updated: 11/16/2021 2:47:32 PM
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Regarding our county's five supervisor districts, I respectfully request that the current district lines be retained. Based on only minor changes in the population, I understand there is no legal requirement to change any district boundaries. It is also my understanding that they are fully compliant with election law. Redrawing them seems to be unnecessary and unwarranted.

Should you still decide to move forward with redrawing the district boundaries, please appoint a nonpartisan citizens' panel to advise on drawing them.

Thank you for your public service and hard work.

Sincerely,
Colette Joyce
San Luis Obispo, Ca. 93401
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

We have been residents of District 2 since 2005. Some of the current proposed redistricting maps are so odd that they make no sense outside of a political consideration. We hope that you see that too, and reject those, as they do not even appear to be lawful in terms of fairness of representation.

The coastal communities of San Simeon, Cambria, Cayucos, Morro Bay and Los Osos all share common interests in terms of our types of populations, our economic interests, and especially our environmental concerns.

Keeping that traditional portion of the City of San Luis Obispo makes sense to city residents as well as it does to we in the northern coastal towns. Our group of small towns rely on San Luis Obispo for a host of reasons; economic, social, medical, cultural, so want our input felt in this hub city.

Map A makes the most sense. Please vote for it.

Thank you for your work on this important and necessary task.
Sincerely,

Lou and Lynette Tornatzky
From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:37 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistributing

-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 3:19 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistributing

For your review, this is a District 4 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C140824831b5b45c6335e08d9aa019347%7C84c3c7747df40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637727745996642411%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoIMC4wLjAsMjAxIiEiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6IlIk1haWwiLCJXCiIsMn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=Ns3qMff4tmdXRIL2P9zKXMClpkl5km4luUyjzJHBs7c%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: candace martin <mrsmole03@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 2:50 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistributing

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello Board of Supervisors,
I’m Candy Martin, I was born and raised in San Luis Obispo and now live in Nipomo. I would like you to keep the current district lines. They are fair.
Sincerely,
Candy Martin

Sent from my iPhone
For your review, this is a District 4 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am in favor of option A or B. Our populations have not deviated that much so I don’t feel there should be much change. I understand some points made about option B allowing for all of Cal Poly Student Housing to be in one district in order to recognize them as a community of interest so that does have some weight for me.

Thank you all for your service to our community! And good vibes and prayers to you all to help you make fair and conscientious decisions for the betterment of our community above all else.

Thank you,

Zachary S. Johnson
REALTOR/Broker-Owner
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:37 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT] please retain the current district lines to maintain proportional representation

From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 3:21 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT] please retain the current district lines to maintain proportional representation

For your review, this is a District 4 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III - Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805) 781-5498

From: Scott Koukol <koukol@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 3:04 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT] please retain the current district lines to maintain proportional representation

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Nipomo, I was disturbed to read an op-ed in The Tribune concerning the Board of Supervisors majority plan to unethically redraw our district boundaries without nonpartisan input. I’m quite upset that the majority appear to be following the Trump plan of consolidating Republican power at state and local levels, gerrymandering to the point where Democrats cannot win offices that they otherwise would.

Please don’t be part of a hostile takeover of democracy in support of authoritarianism. The future of our country rests in not doing what you are currently planning. If Republicans keep making loopholes to chip away at the fairness of our elections, our democracy is already done.

Above all, our districts must “efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest, and party registration” which should be the case in all functioning democracies. You must stop lighting the flag on fire and blaming Democrats or some other scapegoat for it.

Please, please, please retain the current district lines to maintain proportional representation, and not skew the playing field to one side or the other. Everyone deserves to have their say, and plans like these are designed to directly prevent that.
Regards.

Scott Koukol
Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer or mobile app
Click here to join the meeting

Learn More | Meeting options
For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisor Peschong, Supervisor Gibson, Supervisor Ortiz-Legg, Supervisor Compton, and Supervisor Arnold,

I am writing to you to express my family's strong opposition to any map being considered other than Map A. The recent census did not reflect a need for major changes to the current districts, and as such, I request no changes or minimal changes, if absolutely necessary. Map A would make slight changes while keeping together the current communities, and is the only acceptable map.

As a long time resident of Cambria, parent, and local business owner, I believe that it would be harmful to sever our village from the rest of our North Coast neighbors of San Luis Obispo county. Our rural community has very different needs than those of the cities Templeton or Paso Robles, and we are geographically separated from those cities by many miles and hills. Cambria is a small coastal village and has many things in common with the other coastal communities. Any redistricting efforts other than the minimal ones expressed in Map A would go against the wishes of the people, would serve only the political interests of some, and would cause great harm to our community. The coast needs to stick together to preserve our beautiful and unique marine sanctuary.
Also, as someone who has worked at a local nonprofit in the city of San Luis Obispo for 5 years, I do not see any benefits to any changes to the current district lines there either as they currently serve the diverse populations of the city well. Map A would support this and only make minimal changes.

Please listen to the voices of our community. The people who elected you deserve free and equal elections and to be able to protect their interests as they see fit. Do the right thing and if you choose a new map, make it Map A. Thank you.

Best wishes,
Jennifer Baltes and Brian Baumgardner
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email has been forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Message: Re-districting plan-At this point with information from the recent census there is little reason to change the district boundaries. It is also noted that some of the suggested boundaries clearly do not take into account the "community of interest" concept. I feel, only minor adjustments are warranted. Thank you.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 982466

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2753

Form inserted: 11/16/2021 3:13:22 PM
November 16, 2021

To the Board of Supervisors:

Re: Redistricting

We’re longtime residents of San Luis Obispo and currently live in District 5. We’re writing to urge you to make NO major changes to the current districts lines. There has been insufficient population growth or shift since the previous census to require changes, and efforts to radically change the current maps may well be
perceived as attempts to advantage or disadvantage a particular candidate or party. Indeed, they are being seen that way. When people fear that there might be manipulation for partisan gain their confidence in election outcomes wanes and they become disillusioned and apathetic. Divisiveness and polarization increase, and it becomes difficult to discuss issues civilly and to reach compromises. Public trust is essential to effective government, and you risk losing it if the public perceives that political games are being played.

We urge you to support Plan B. It makes few changes to the current district lines and puts Cal Poly student housing in one district. We believe it’s important to have the student housing in one district in order to encourage students to vote and make it easier for them. Dividing this population has caused confusion in the past.

Please use caution and make the wise choice when drawing these lines which will be in place for the next ten years.

Gary and Vallerie Steenson
San Luis Obispo
From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 3:27 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 132

RedistrictingID 132
Form inserted 11/16/2021 3:26:49 PM
Form updated 11/16/2021 3:26:49 PM
First Name Steve
Last Name Gervais
Email
Phone
Name of Organization Represented
City Paso Robles
Zip 93446
Comment I would like you to retain the current supervisorial district boundaries. This will ensure continuity and meet all statutory requirements. No drastic changes to district boundaries are warranted based on new census data.
Public Records Notice True
Security Check 446234
I would like you to retain the current supervisorial district boundaries. This will ensure continuity and meet all statutory requirements. No drastic changes to district boundaries are warranted based on the new census data.
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I cannot be at the redistricting meeting on Friday, November 19, but I do want to ensure that my voice is heard.

I urge you to adopt Map A or Map B at the meeting. These maps will ensure continuity, respect the communities of interest, minimize the disruptions to the election cycle and meet statutory requirements.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Drake
San Luis Obispo
District 3
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:38 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 134

\

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 4:04 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 134

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RedistrictingID</th>
<th>134</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Form inserted</td>
<td>11/16/2021 4:03:54 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form updated</td>
<td>11/16/2021 4:03:54 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Name</td>
<td>Linda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Donnelly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Organization Represented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>Morro Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip</td>
<td>93442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>I like the citizen's map by Richard Patten, it follows the guidelines of AB 849 the best for equal population distribution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Records Notice</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Check</td>
<td>239745</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For your review, this is a District 2 constituent. This email was forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Message: Leave the redistricting alone for District 2 which includes Cambria, San Simeon and Cayucos, Morro Bay and Los Osos. We live in Cambria which has special needs as we are unincorporated, a beach tourist town and we have nothing at all in common with Paso Robles, an agricultural town which is very far away. We need our autonomy. We don't want to be clumped in with Paso Robles as that would leave our coastal communities with no representation and their Republican base stealing our voice.

Public Records Notice: True
Security Check: 254597
BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2754
Form inserted: 11/16/2021 3:45:41 PM
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 4:10 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistsants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistsants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]District Changes

For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email was forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7Cmagbrown%40co.slo.ca.us%7C7Ccf10d94e120345124d0408d9aa01c12a%7C84c3c7747fd40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637727746767184966%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJIWjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6IkV1UlM2MzIiLCJFVEIiLCAieSU3Ij0wLCJFQ0QiLTQwMTc2MDg2LCJFQ0ciIh7aWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=O2CT2JZ6GK6c%2Bgvd5rnFLH3PlkcMYSptHm0R7NNjq4s%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Mike Suddarth <fmsuddarth@charter.net>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 3:48 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]District Changes

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please keep the districts as they are now.

Mike Suddarth
For your review, I was unable to find this constituent in Voter Ref. This email was forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

To: Board of Supervisors, County of San Luis Obispo

John Peschong; Debbie Arnold; Lynn Compton; Bruce Gibson; Dawn Ortiz-Legg

Re: San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors Meeting, Friday, November 19, 2021

Public comment regarding Redistricting

As residents of District 5, we are concerned with several proposals for redistricting under consideration.

After careful review of all criteria stipulated in state law, the Voting Rights Act, and SLO County Redistricting priorities, we strongly urge the Board of Supervisors to adopt Map A or Map B.
As you know, according to the census – and confirmed by the County’s redistricting consultant—no SLO County district grew enough to require significant boundary changes. Maps A and B, which most closely resemble the current map, meet statutory requirements, ensure continuity, respect communities of interest, and minimize election cycle disruptions.

Furthermore, we are deeply disappointed that this process was undertaken without the Board of Supervisors authorizing an independent commission, resulting in several maps that require massive, unnecessary boundary changes.

We can only deduce that these changes reflect partisan and self-serving goals of the sitting majority members of the Board. Adoption of boundary changes that do not reflect the current and trending future composition of the electorate will only promote further distrust and division within our community.

This is your opportunity to restore faith in free and fair elections and governance throughout SLO County. Please set politics aside and approve minimal to no changes to current district boundaries.

Respectfully,

Cynthia and Vince Marchant

Citizens and taxpayers of San Luis Obispo County
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email was forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please keep the current district lines. There is no good reason to change them. Thank you.
For your review, I was unable to find this constituent in Voter Reg. This email was forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

So I have been a resident of SLO county for forty years. A republican for 45 years. A county employee for 20 years. As our supervisor's this nonsense of pushing our duly elected county clerk out of office and then attacking our democracy by installing your inside man to alter our elections or mess with the results is intolerable. Now your looking to restrict the votes of our county residents by some made up redistricting lines only to benefit a political party not the will of the people. This is nothing more then a desperate fascist attempt to destroy our democracy. You need to stop this now. Here's a novel idea, if you want your party to survive try imbracing quality policies that help all citizens of this great county not just your white power buddies.
For your review, this is a District 5 constituent. This email was forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

Your Name: Sheila Vossler

U.S. phone number:

Message: I see no reason to dramatically change the district lines. They are fairly balanced by geography, population, and communities of interest. Please leave them the same as they currently are.

Public Records Notice: True

Security Check: 025217

BoardOfSupervisorsID: 2755
Form inserted: 11/16/2021 4:13:29 PM
Form updated: 11/16/2021 4:13:29 PM
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 4:19 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Supervisors' District Lines

For your review, this is a District 5 constituent. This email was forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Hilliard Wood <hilliardwood@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 4:16 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Supervisors' District Lines

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I urge you to retain the current district lines with minor tweaking, if any.
I am in agreement with the opinion article in today's SLO Tribune:

"Two retired police chiefs warn against 'radical redrawing’ of SLO County supervisor districts"

Thanks for your consideration......
Hilliard Wood
Dear Supervisors

I strongly urge you to resist the temptation to redraw the lines to favor the election of "conservative" supervisors over more liberal or moderate candidates. I understand why you would want to. Gerrymandering has been an American tradition for as long as political parties tracked where their voters lived, but I believe that it is both unamerican and abuses the trust of citizens who expect their vote to count. Please, just because it is done elsewhere, doesn't mean that it is right! I have lived in at least three different supervisorial and representative districts, and although I wasn't...
always happy with the results of an election, I always believed it to be fair.

Please do the right thing: **nothing!**

Michael Morin
-----Original Message-----
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 4:41 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting

For your review, I was unable to find this constituent in Voter Reg. This email was forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential Board of Supervisors
https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.slocounty.ca.gov%2F&amp;data=04%7C01%7C7C38c5f82d24654c19a6ce08d9a01fc82%7C84c3c7747fd40e2a59027b2e70f8126%7C0%7C0%7C637727747770865113%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWlijoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCQjoiV2luMzliLCQJ8Ti6lk1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000&amp;sdata=NImqCsIAwXDtdHIXKZoAyC3oXPqDZCaGZn9OSWHuz7M%3D&amp;reserved=0
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

-----Original Message-----
From: Gmail <jdecosta29@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 4:34 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I firmly believe that this attempt at redistricting San Luis Obispo County is wrong. This is an effort by one political party to gain an unfair advantage in voting outcomes and to circumvent the popular vote. I strongly recommend you cease this attempt. If the republican candidates cannot win based on their own political values, ideals, and actions, then change and align them with the wishes of the majority of voters.
Thank you 🙏 and may God guide you to do what is right.
An Informed Voter.
For your review, this is a District 3 constituent. This email was forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805) 781-5498

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please retain the current district lines.
i fully support mr. patten's map id74786. would love to see slo united and whole!
From: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 4:51 PM
To: BOS_Legislative Assistants Only <BOS_Legislative-Assistants-Only@co.slo.ca.us>; Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: FW: [EXT]Redistricting Hearing Nov 19, 2021

For your review, I was unable to find this constituent in Voter Reg. This email was forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

From: Turko Semmes <turkosemmes@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 4:46 PM
To: Board of Supervisors <Boardofsups@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting Hearing Nov 19, 2021

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to share my opinion on the redistricting map being developed for SLO County. As a citizen for teh last 45 years of San Luis Obispo County I have been very involved in all parts of teh county with my business and my volunteer work.

I have educated myself on the Redistricting rules and regulations and have studied the county communities of interest in detail. I have gone so far as to create map options. After much review it is my opinion that Plan A or B is the right, fair and balanced choice for our county.

Some of the key points I feel are that SLO City should be represented by three supervisors. It is the center and hub of our county and where you all work and meet. I believe the coastal areas should have one representative. I feel that Oceano is connected to the areas to the south and east and should stay that way.

To summarize, please do the right thing for the citizens of this fine county and choose Plan A or B. Thank you for your time.
Sincerely,

Turko Semmes
For your review, this is a District 5 constituent. This email was forwarded to all Supervisors and Redistricting. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Marie Estrada
Administrative Assistant III-Confidential
Board of Supervisors
www.slocounty.ca.gov
Direct Line: (805)781-5498

i fully support mr. patten's map id74786. we need 1 supervisor for each town. united and whole.
Hello, I am a Cal Poly student who lives in Los Osos. I was born and raised here and I feel strongly about this.

I urge you to retain current supervisorial district boundaries, which will ensure continuity, keep existing Communities of Interest intact, and meet all statutory requirements. No drastic changes to district boundaries are warranted. The new census data do not justify major changes to current supervisor district boundaries. Current districts meet all state requirements for population balance, fairness of representation, and compactness. While minor updates to the existing boundaries may be required, such changes should be minimal. Please reject the proposed “Patten map” and others that kettle the City of SLO into a single district.

Sincerely,

Elias Simons
From: Mary Blackler <meblackler@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 5:05 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

**ATTENTION:** This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to encourage you to adopt either Map A or Map B. These maps most closely resemble our current map. Because there has not been much change in our current districts over the past ten years, no alteration is needed. Let's ensure that the people living in this county are fairly represented.

Thank you,
Mary Blackler
San Luis Obispo
-----Original Message-----
From: Tom Martin <politmartin77@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 5:07 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT] Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To the San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to consider Map A or Map B as you deliberate redistricting in SLO County.

The current districts are fairly balanced in terms of political affiliation, geography and population. Since there was not a large population shift according to the 2020 Census, there is no real need to revamp at this time. The boundaries we have comply with election law.

What we need to have continuity and keep certain communities together is Map A or Map B.

Please - hear my voice. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tom Martin
Supervisors,

If something is performing or functioning well enough, **there’s no need to change or interfere with it.** That seems to be true with redistricting in our County. Census numbers are in - they changed very little and the maps from 10 years ago still stand legally. There are no reasons to change the maps. So why do it?

I have lived in San Luis Obispo for 40 years. While I appreciate those from outside the city being very concerned about my City being represented by three Supervisors, I’m not and I don’t know anyone in the City who is. Attempting this “transparent” change, breaks up communities of interest across the other Districts among other things. And that’s not legal.

Don’t fix something that isn’t broken. It costs time, effort and money.

Susan Devine
San Luis Obispo
I am totally in favor of redistricting map A. It joins communities of interest together and has been successful for years. The small tweaks due to population changes are minimal. I am of the mind “If it ain’t broke, don’t fix it!” People should select their leaders rather than the leaders cherry picking the voters.

Roger Ludin, Morro Bay
Comment
Let the voters pick you, don't pick your voters! Establish an independent redistricting commission to manage redistricting going forward. In the meantime, keep Map B, the current districts.
From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 7:28 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 136

Redistricting ID: 136
Form inserted: 11/16/2021 7:27:26 PM
Form updated: 11/16/2021 7:27:26 PM
First Name: pamela
Last Name: werth
Email: [redacted]
Phone: [redacted]
Name of Organization Represented: 
City: San Luis Obispo
Zip: 93401
Comment: Please use map A or B in redistricting. Thank you.
Public Records Notice: True
Security Check: 724187
From: Cynthia Stengel <cynstengel@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 7:28 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear SLO County Board of Supervisors,

I have been a Cambria resident since 2019. I am strongly opposed to changing the current county district boundaries. They already fully comply with election law, keep existing Communities of Interest intact, ensure continuity, and meet all statutory requirements.

I was shocked to read that one of the plans being considered would lump Cambria with Paso Robles. Our communities have very little in common and I fear, as a Cambria resident, that my concerns would be subsumed beneath Paso’s much larger population and very different issues and needs, in effect, giving me no representation at all.

Therefore, I am urging you to **retain the current supervisorial district boundaries**.

Thank you for the opportunity to express my opinion on this important matter.

Cynthia Stengel
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County’s network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear SLO County Board of Supervisors,

I have been a Cambria resident since 2019. I am strongly opposed to changing the current county district boundaries. They already fully comply with election law, keep existing Communities of Interest intact, ensure continuity, and meet all statutory requirements.

I was shocked to read that one of the plans being considered would lump Cambria with Paso Robles. Our communities have very little in common and I fear, as a Cambria resident, that my concerns would be subsumed beneath Paso’s much larger population and very different issues and needs, in effect, giving me no representation at all.

Therefore, I am urging you to retain the current supervisorial district boundaries.

Thank you for the opportunity to express my opinion on this important matter.

Cynthia Stengel
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:42 AM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Another Coastal Resident Against Redistricting

From: Sandy Sveine <enievs@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 7:38 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Another Coastal Resident Against Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear County Board of Supervisors,

As a Cambria resident I am against redrawning district maps to place Cambria in the same district as Paso Robles.

North Coast communities should remain in the same district as we share common interests!

Please do not gerrymander our county districts to suit your political ends!

Sandra Sveine
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

This email is from Judy and Ed Stokely.

After reviewing the proposed redistricting changes urge you to retain current supervisorial district boundaries, which will ensure continuity, keep existing Communities of Interest intact, and meet all statutory requirements. No drastic changes to district boundaries are warranted.

The new census data do not justify major changes to current supervisor district boundaries. Current districts meet all state requirements for population balance, fairness of representation, and compactness.

While minor updates to the existing boundaries may be required, such changes should be minimal. Please reject the proposed “Patten map” and others that kettle the City of SLO into a single district.

We appreciate your consideration and support.

Judy and Ed Stokely
Reject redistricting proposal. I urge you to retain current supervisorial district boundaries, which will ensure continuity, keep existing Communities of Interest intact, and meet all statutory requirements. No drastic changes to district boundaries are warranted. The new census data do not justify major changes to current supervisor district boundaries.
Redistricting

Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:43 AM
Maria G. Brown
FW: Public Comment - ID 138

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:25 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 138

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RedistrictingID</th>
<th>138</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Form inserted</td>
<td>11/16/2021 8:24:37 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form updated</td>
<td>11/16/2021 8:24:37 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Name</td>
<td>Ramona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Phillips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Organization Represented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>Atascadero</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip</td>
<td>93422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Richard Pattons map keeps all cities including San Luis Obispo together under one Supervisor and keeps Cal Poly as a community of interest in San Luis Obispo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Records Notice</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Check</td>
<td>594151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Maria G. Brown

From: Redistricting  
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:43 AM  
To: Maria G. Brown  
Subject: FW: Public Comment - ID 139

From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>  
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:31 PM  
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
Subject: Public Comment - ID 139

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RedistrictingID</th>
<th>139</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Form inserted</td>
<td>11/16/2021 8:30:09 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form updated</td>
<td>11/16/2021 8:30:09 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Name</td>
<td>Andrea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Wasko</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Represented City</td>
<td>Los Osos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip</td>
<td>93402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Any maps beyond maps A&amp;B are illegal. It is important that our elected officials do the right and honest thing. No illegal changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Records Notice</td>
<td>True</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Check</td>
<td>409079</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

As a longtime resident and 27 year educator on the central coast in Cambria, Ca., I must oppose the redistricting proposal of map C and support the redistricting represented on map A. The district of the coastal sections of SLO county require a unique brand of representation that focuses on constituents’ specific needs and environmental concerns. For Cambria to be allocated to district 1 assumes that the needs and representation of the Coastal communities will be represented fairly and align with the needs of Paso Robles and the inner valley’s concerns and focus. This is far from the truth. The coastal community is unique in the areas of tourism, growth, water allocation, agriculture, education, community, environmental concerns and infrastructure. The representation for our voice to be heard requires the redistricting that is represented on map A. Coastal communities need to remain together in order to continue with ongoing collaborative projects, the sharing of community shareholders (Cayucos, Cambria, Morro Bay) and the consistency of the shared vision of the coastal communities.

Please review the choice carefully.

Sherry Aguilar

Sent from my iPad
WHY WOULD EXISTING SUPERVISORS, INCLUDING AN INCUMBENT RUNNING FOR RE-ELECTION IN AN ALREADY HIGHLY COMPETITIVE DISTRICT, WANT TO ALTER A TOTALLY VALID AND COMPLIANT SET OF DISTRICT BOUNDARIES? Any major or significant change to the current boundaries can only be to gain a political advantage for a political party or an incumbent, both of which purposes are expressly prohibited by the Fair Maps Act!
Hello,
I am a resident of SLO county going on 32 years. I am urging the board to retain the current district lines. According to the census, none of the districts in SLO county grew enough to necessitate substantial boundary changes. The current districts efficiently and fairly balance geography, population, communities of interest and party registration. Please stand up for fairness and good governance by retaining the current district lines.

Peggy Walker
Cambria, Ca
From: Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 10:34 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: Public Comment - ID 141

RedistrictingID 141
Form inserted 11/16/2021 10:33:01 PM
Form updated 11/16/2021 10:33:01 PM
First Name Peggy
Last Name Walker
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]
Name of Organization Represented
City Cambria
Zip 93428
Comment I urge the board to adopt Map A or Map B on redistricting. Our democracy relies on fair elections. Every voter should be given access to a valid voting process with equal rights.
Public Records Notice True
Security Check 314598
**From:** Redistricting  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 17, 2021 11:43 AM  
**To:** Maria G. Brown  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment - ID 142

---

**From:** Web Notifications <webnotifications@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 16, 2021 11:44 PM  
**To:** Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>  
**Subject:** Public Comment - ID 142

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RedistrictingID</th>
<th>142</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Form inserted</td>
<td>11/16/2021 11:42:45 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form updated</td>
<td>11/16/2021 11:42:45 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Name</td>
<td>Kathleen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Hurrle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Organization Represented</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>Cambria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip</td>
<td>93428</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I urge you to adopt Plan A and retain current district lines. I am opposed to Plan C. There is a strong community of interest among the current residents of District 2. We share a common concern to safeguard the coast, to work with the Coastal Commission and to effectively utilize Highway 1. I see little community of interest between an unincorporated area with many older retired people compared to primarily younger working residents living in cities in a different climate.
ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.
I urge you, the Board of Supervisors, to adopt map A or map B, which are very similar to the current map. According to census, none of the districts in SLO County grew enough to necessitate substantial boundary changes. This was confirmed by the County's redistricting consultant. Maps A and B ensure continuity, respect the communities of interest, minimize the disruptions to the election cycle, and meet statutory requirements.

Thank you for your consideration.

Regards,
Dorothy Mauger
-----Original Message-----
From: Julia Jones <slojuljones@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 1:50 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Redistricting

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.
To the Board:
Leave the districts as is. Stop the Gerrymandering!
Julia Jones
From: Redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 4:33 PM
To: Maria G. Brown
Subject: FW: [EXT]Comments for Redistricting hearing...November

-----Original Message-----
From: Eric Michielssen <farmere48@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 10:38 PM
To: Redistricting <Redistricting@co.slo.ca.us>
Subject: [EXT]Comments for Redistricting hearing...November

ATTENTION: This email originated from outside the County's network. Use caution when opening attachments or links.
See attached letter from:
Eric Michielssen