

# Party Preference and Political Party Endorsement

On the ballot, the political party preference, or no party preference, as indicated on the candidate's voter registration will be listed next to the candidate's name. The party designation is shown on the ballot for information to the voters only and it does not constitute or imply an endorsement by the party designated. Parties may provide a list of candidates for voter-nominated offices that they have endorsed. Those candidates who have received the official endorsement of the party for printing in this booklet are listed below.

## PARTY ENDORSEMENTS FOR THE NOVEMBER 6, 2018, ELECTION

<b>Party</b>	<b>Contests</b>	<b>Candidate</b>
Democratic	Governor Secretary of State State Controller State Treasurer Attorney General Insurance Commissioner Board of Equalization, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Dist U. S. Senator U.S. Representative, 24 <sup>th</sup> Dist State Assembly, 35 <sup>th</sup> Dist Superintendent of Public Instruction	Gavin Newsom Alex Padilla Betty T. Yee Fiona Ma Xavier Becerra Ricardo Lara Malia Cohen Kevin de Leon Salud Carbajal Bill Ostrander Tony K. Thurmond
Republican	Governor Secretary of State State Controller State Treasurer Attorney General Board of Equalization, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Dist U.S. Representative, 24 <sup>th</sup> Dist State Assembly, 35 <sup>th</sup> Dist	John H. Cox Mark P. Meuser Konstantinos Roditis Greg Conlon Steven C. Bailey Mark Burns Justin Fareed Jordan Cunningham
American Independent	Governor Secretary of State State Controller State Treasurer Attorney General Insurance Commissioner Board of Equalization, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Dist U.S. Representative, 24 <sup>th</sup> Dist State Assembly, 35 <sup>th</sup> Dist Superintendent of Public Instruction	John H. Cox Mark P. Meuser Konstantinos Roditis Greg Conlon Steven C. Bailey Steve Poizner Mark Burns Justin Fareed Jordan Cunningham Marshall Tuck

\*The order of parties follows the Randomized Alphabet Drawing conducted by the County.

# Sample Ballot

## OFFICIAL BALLOT

CONSOLIDATED GENERAL ELECTION - NOVEMBER 6, 2018

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

**INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS:** To vote for or against candidates for Associate Justice of the Supreme Court; Presiding Justice, Court of Appeal; or Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, fill in the OVAL to the right of the word "YES" or "NO," respectively. To vote for any other candidate whose name appears on the ballot, fill in the OVAL to the RIGHT of the candidate's name. Do not vote for more than the number of candidates allowed. To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write in the candidate's name on the Write-In line and fill in the OVAL to the RIGHT. To vote for a measure, fill in the OVAL to the RIGHT of the word "YES" or the word "NO". Marking the ballot outside of the designated space to vote for a candidate or measure may compromise the secrecy of the ballot. If you tear, deface, or wrongly mark this ballot, return it and get another. TO VOTE, USE BALLPOINT WITH DARK INK TO FILL IN THE OVAL COMPLETELY LIKE THIS:



### VOTER-NOMINATED AND NONPARTISAN OFFICES

All voters, regardless of the party preference they disclosed upon registration, or refusal to disclose a party preference, may vote for any candidate for a voter-nominated or nonpartisan office. The party preference, if any, designated by a candidate for a voter-nominated office is selected by the candidate and is shown for the information of the voters only. It does not imply that the candidate is nominated or endorsed by the party or that the party approves of the candidate. The party preference, if any, of a candidate for a nonpartisan office does not appear on the ballot.

# Sample Ballot

STATE	
<b>GOVERNOR</b>	Vote for One
<b>JOHN H. COX</b> <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Republican Businessman/Taxpayer Advocate	
<b>GAVIN NEWSOM</b> <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Democratic Lieutenant Governor/Businessman	
<b>LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR</b>	Vote for One
<b>ED HERNANDEZ</b> <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Democratic State Senator/Businessman	
<b>ELENI KOUNALAKIS</b> <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Democratic Businesswoman/Economic Advisor	
<b>SECRETARY OF STATE</b>	Vote for One
<b>ALEX PADILLA</b> <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Democratic Secretary of State	
<b>MARK P. MEUSER</b> <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Republican Election Law Attorney	
<b>CONTROLLER</b>	Vote for One
<b>KONSTANTINOS RODITIS</b> <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Republican Businessman/CFO	
<b>BETTY T. YEE</b> <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Democratic California State Controller	
<b>TREASURER</b>	Vote for One
<b>GREG CONLON</b> <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Republican Businessman/CPA	
<b>FIONA MA</b> <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Democratic CPA/Taxpayer Representative	
<b>ATTORNEY GENERAL</b>	Vote for One
<b>STEVEN C. BAILEY</b> <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Republican Retired California Judge	
<b>XAVIER BECERRA</b> <input type="radio"/>	
Party Preference: Democratic Appointed Attorney General of the State of California	

# Sample Ballot

<b>INSURANCE COMMISSIONER</b>	Vote for One
<b>RICARDO LARA</b> Party Preference: Democratic California Senator	<input type="radio"/>
<b>STEVE POIZNER</b> Party Preference: None Businessman/Non-Profit Director	<input type="radio"/>
<b>MEMBER, STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION 2nd District</b>	Vote for One
<b>MALIA COHEN</b> Party Preference: Democratic President, San Francisco County Supervisors	<input type="radio"/>
<b>MARK BURNS</b> Party Preference: Republican Realtor/Businessman	<input type="radio"/>
<b>UNITED STATES SENATOR</b>	Vote for One
<b>DIANNE FEINSTEIN</b> Party Preference: Democratic United States Senator	<input type="radio"/>
<b>KEVIN DE LEON</b> Party Preference: Democratic California Senator	<input type="radio"/>
<b>UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE 24th District</b>	Vote for One
<b>SALUD CARBAJAL</b> Party Preference: Democratic Member of Congress	<input type="radio"/>
<b>JUSTIN FAREED</b> Party Preference: Republican Small Businessman/Rancher	<input type="radio"/>
<b>MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY 35th District</b>	Vote for One
<b>BILL OSTRANDER</b> Party Preference: Democratic Farmer/Nonprofit Director	<input type="radio"/>
<b>JORDAN CUNNINGHAM</b> Party Preference: Republican Assemblymember/Small Businessman	<input type="radio"/>

# Sample Ballot

<b>JUDICIAL</b>					
<b>VOTE YES OR NO FOR EACH OFFICE</b>					
<b>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT</b> Shall Associate Justice <b>CAROL A. CORRIGAN</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	<table border="1"><tr><td><b>YES</b></td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td><b>NO</b></td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>	<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<b>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT</b> Shall Associate Justice <b>LEONDRA R. KRUGER</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	<table border="1"><tr><td><b>YES</b></td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td><b>NO</b></td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>	<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<b>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 1</b> Shall Associate Justice <b>VICTORIA G. CHANEY</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	<table border="1"><tr><td><b>YES</b></td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td><b>NO</b></td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>	<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<b>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 1</b> Shall Associate Justice <b>HELEN BENDIX</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	<table border="1"><tr><td><b>YES</b></td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td><b>NO</b></td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>	<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<b>FOR PRESIDING JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 2</b> Shall Presiding Justice <b>ELWOOD LUI</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	<table border="1"><tr><td><b>YES</b></td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td><b>NO</b></td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>	<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<b>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 2</b> Shall Associate Justice <b>VICTORIA M. CHAVEZ</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	<table border="1"><tr><td><b>YES</b></td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td><b>NO</b></td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>	<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<b>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 3</b> Shall Associate Justice <b>LUIS A. LAVIN</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	<table border="1"><tr><td><b>YES</b></td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr><tr><td><b>NO</b></td><td><input type="radio"/></td></tr></table>	<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>	<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>				

# Sample Ballot

<p><b>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 3</b>            Shall Associate Justice <b>HALIM DHANIDINA</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?</p>		<p><b>YES</b> <input type="radio"/></p>
		<p><b>NO</b> <input type="radio"/></p>
<p><b>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 3</b>            Shall Associate Justice <b>ANNE H. EGERTON</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?</p>		<p><b>YES</b> <input type="radio"/></p>
		<p><b>NO</b> <input type="radio"/></p>
<p><b>FOR PRESIDING JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 4</b>            Shall Presiding Justice <b>NORA M. MANELLA</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?</p>		<p><b>YES</b> <input type="radio"/></p>
		<p><b>NO</b> <input type="radio"/></p>
<p><b>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 4</b>            Shall Associate Justice <b>THOMAS WILLHITE</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?</p>		<p><b>YES</b> <input type="radio"/></p>
		<p><b>NO</b> <input type="radio"/></p>
<p><b>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 5</b>            Shall Associate Justice <b>DOROTHY C. KIM</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?</p>		<p><b>YES</b> <input type="radio"/></p>
		<p><b>NO</b> <input type="radio"/></p>
<p><b>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 5</b>            Shall Associate Justice <b>CARL H. MOOR</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?</p>		<p><b>YES</b> <input type="radio"/></p>
		<p><b>NO</b> <input type="radio"/></p>
<p><b>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 5</b>            Shall Associate Justice <b>LAMAR W. BAKER</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?</p>		<p><b>YES</b> <input type="radio"/></p>
		<p><b>NO</b> <input type="radio"/></p>
<p><b>FOR PRESIDING JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 6</b>            Shall Presiding Justice <b>ARTHUR GILBERT</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?</p>		<p><b>YES</b> <input type="radio"/></p>
		<p><b>NO</b> <input type="radio"/></p>

# Sample Ballot

<b>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 6</b> Shall Associate Justice <b>MARTIN J. TANGEMAN</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?		<b>YES</b> <input type="radio"/>
		<b>NO</b> <input type="radio"/>
<b>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 7</b> Shall Associate Justice <b>GAIL</b> <b>R. FEUER</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?		<b>YES</b> <input type="radio"/>
		<b>NO</b> <input type="radio"/>
<b>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 7</b> Shall Associate Justice <b>JOHN</b> <b>L. SEGAL</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?		<b>YES</b> <input type="radio"/>
		<b>NO</b> <input type="radio"/>
<b>FOR PRESIDING JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 8</b> Shall Presiding Justice <b>TRICIA</b> <b>A. BIGELOW</b> be elected to the office for the term provided by law?		<b>YES</b> <input type="radio"/>
		<b>NO</b> <input type="radio"/>
<b>SCHOOL</b>		
<b>SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION</b>		
Vote for One		
<b>MARSHALL TUCK</b> Schools Improvement Director	<input type="radio"/>	
<b>TONY K. THURMOND</b> Educator/State Legislator	<input type="radio"/>	
<b>Write-In</b>	<input type="radio"/>	

# Sample Ballot

MEASURES SUBMITTED TO THE VOTERS					
STATE					
<p><b>1</b> <b>AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND SPECIFIED HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS. LEGISLATIVE STATUTE.</b> Authorizes \$4 billion in general obligation bonds for existing affordable housing programs for low-income residents, veterans, farmworkers, manufactured and mobile homes, infill, and transit-oriented housing. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging about \$170 million annually over the next 35 years.</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>YES</b></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="radio"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>NO</b></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="radio"/></td> </tr> </table>	<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>	<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<p><b>2</b> <b>AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND EXISTING HOUSING PROGRAM FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH MENTAL ILLNESS. LEGISLATIVE STATUTE.</b> Amends Mental Health Services Act to fund No Place Like Home Program, which finances housing for individuals with mental illness. Ratifies existing law establishing the No Place Like Home Program. Fiscal Impact: Allows the state to use up to \$140 million per year of county mental health funds to repay up to \$2 billion in bonds. These bonds would fund housing for those with mental illness who are homeless.</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>YES</b></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="radio"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>NO</b></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="radio"/></td> </tr> </table>	<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>	<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<p><b>3</b> <b>AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND PROJECTS FOR WATER SUPPLY AND QUALITY, WATERSHED, FISH, WILDLIFE, WATER CONVEYANCE, AND GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY AND STORAGE. INITIATIVE STATUTE.</b> Authorizes \$8.877 billion in state general obligation bonds for various infrastructure projects. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging \$430 million per year over 40 years. Local government savings for water-related projects, likely averaging a couple hundred million dollars annually over the next few decades.</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>YES</b></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="radio"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>NO</b></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="radio"/></td> </tr> </table>	<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>	<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<p><b>4</b> <b>AUTHORIZES BONDS FUNDING CONSTRUCTION AT HOSPITALS PROVIDING CHILDREN'S HEALTH CARE. INITIATIVE STATUTE.</b> Authorizes \$1.5 billion in bonds, to be repaid from state's General Fund, to fund grants for construction, expansion, renovation, and equipping of qualifying children's hospitals. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging about \$80 million annually over the next 35 years.</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>YES</b></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="radio"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>NO</b></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><input type="radio"/></td> </tr> </table>	<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>	<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>YES</b>	<input type="radio"/>				
<b>NO</b>	<input type="radio"/>				

# Sample Ballot

**5 CHANGES REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTAIN PROPERTY OWNERS TO TRANSFER THEIR PROPERTY TAX BASE TO REPLACEMENT PROPERTY. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE.** Removes certain transfer requirements for homeowners over 55, severely disabled homeowners, and contaminated or disaster-destroyed property. Fiscal Impact: Schools and local governments each would lose over \$100 million in annual property taxes early on, growing to about \$1 billion per year. Similar increase in state costs to backfill school property tax losses.

YES	<input type="radio"/>
NO	<input type="radio"/>

**6 ELIMINATES CERTAIN ROAD REPAIR AND TRANSPORTATION FUNDING. REQUIRES CERTAIN FUEL TAXES AND VEHICLE FEES BE APPROVED BY THE ELECTORATE. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.** Repeals a 2017 transportation law's taxes and fees designated for road repairs and public transportation. Fiscal Impact: Reduced ongoing revenues of \$5.1 billion from state fuel and vehicle taxes that mainly would have paid for highway and road maintenance and repairs, as well as transit programs.

YES	<input type="radio"/>
NO	<input type="radio"/>

**7 CONFIRMS CALIFORNIA DAYLIGHT SAVING TIME TO FEDERAL LAW. ALLOWS LEGISLATURE TO CHANGE DAYLIGHT SAVING TIME PERIOD. LEGISLATIVE STATUTE.** Gives Legislature ability to change daylight saving time period by two-thirds vote, if changes are consistent with federal law. Fiscal Impact: This measure has no direct fiscal effect because changes to daylight saving time would depend on future actions by the Legislature and potentially the federal government.

YES	<input type="radio"/>
NO	<input type="radio"/>

**8 REGULATES AMOUNTS OUTPATIENT KIDNEY DIALYSIS CLINICS CHARGE FOR DIALYSIS TREATMENT. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Requires rebates and penalties if charges exceed limit. Requires annual reporting to the state. Prohibits clinics from refusing to treat patients based on payment source. Fiscal Impact: Overall annual effect on state and local governments ranging from net positive impact in the low tens of millions of dollars to net negative impact in the tens of millions of dollars.

YES	<input type="radio"/>
NO	<input type="radio"/>

# Sample Ballot

**9** Proposition 9 was removed from the ballot by order of the California Supreme Court.

**10 EXPANDS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS' AUTHORITY TO ENACT RENT CONTROL ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Repeals state law that currently restricts the scope of rent-control policies that cities and other local jurisdictions may impose on residential property. Fiscal Impact: Potential net reduction in state and local revenues of tens of millions of dollars per year in the long term. Depending on actions by local communities, revenue losses could be less or considerably more.

YES	<input type="radio"/>
NO	<input type="radio"/>

**11 REQUIRES PRIVATE-SECTOR EMERGENCY AMBULANCE EMPLOYEES TO REMAIN ON-CALL DURING WORK BREAKS. ELIMINATES CERTAIN EMPLOYER LIABILITY. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Law entitling hourly employees to breaks without being on-call would not apply to private-sector ambulance employees. Fiscal Impact: Likely fiscal benefit to local governments (in the form of lower costs and higher revenues), potentially in the tens of millions of dollars each year.

YES	<input type="radio"/>
NO	<input type="radio"/>

**12 ESTABLISHES NEW STANDARDS FOR CONFINEMENT OF SPECIFIED FARM ANIMALS; BANS SALE OF NONCOMPLYING PRODUCTS. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Establishes minimum requirements for confining certain farm animals. Prohibits sales of meat and egg products from animals confined in noncomplying manner. Fiscal Impact: Potential decrease in state income tax revenues from farm businesses, likely not more than several million dollars annually. State costs up to \$10 million annually to enforce the measure.

YES	<input type="radio"/>
NO	<input type="radio"/>

## COUNTY

**G-18** Shall an ordinance be adopted amending the San Luis Obispo County General Plan and County Code to prohibit any new petroleum extraction and all well stimulation treatments, as defined in the full-text of the measure, including hydraulic fracturing and acid well stimulation, on all lands within the unincorporated area of the county?

YES	<input type="radio"/>
NO	<input type="radio"/>

# VOTER'S PAMPHLET INFORMATION SECTION

The following pages contain voter information applicable to your ballot which may include any/all of the following items:

- Candidates' Statements
- Ballot Measure(s)
- Impartial Analysis
- Fiscal Impact/Tax Rate Statement(s)
- Arguments and Rebuttals In Support of or In Opposition To Ballot Measures

This pamphlet section may not contain a statement for each candidate. A complete list of candidates appears on your Ballot/Sample Ballot. Each candidate's statement in this pamphlet is volunteered by the candidate and is printed at his or her expense. Each candidate's statement is printed in uniform format as submitted by the candidate.

Please note that all the statements printed in Spanish, at the candidates' request, follow the English statements for that same contest.

Arguments in support of or in opposition to the proposed laws are the opinions of the authors.

## Campaign Finance Reform

Among all state legislative candidates appearing on the ballot in San Luis Obispo County, only the candidate listed below has pledged to abide by campaign spending limits as specified in the California Government Code. Candidates agreeing to the campaign spending limits also have the opportunity to have a Statement of Qualifications printed in the local sample ballot pamphlet, at their own expense.

35<sup>th</sup> Assembly District Candidate  
Bill Ostrander

**STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR  
U.S. REPRESENTATIVE, 24<sup>TH</sup> CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT**

**SALUD CARBAJAL**

**Occupation:** Member of Congress

**Education and Qualifications:** I'm Salud Carbajal. I'm a proud husband, father, and Marine Corps veteran. I was the first in my family to graduate from a University, working two jobs to pay for my education at UCSB. I know what it's like to struggle and work hard to provide for my family, and I'm committed to making sure other families on the Central Coast have the same opportunities I did to get ahead.

I've strived to represent our Central Coast values in my service. I'm proud to have earned endorsements from the Sierra Club and Planned Parenthood for standing up for women's reproductive rights, preserving our environment, and protecting the integrity of our elections.

As a Member of Congress, I've worked with my Democratic and Republican colleagues to find common ground on issues that unite us. I've reached across the aisle to move forward bipartisan legislation that helps create new jobs, addresses the disastrous impacts of climate change, supports our nation's veterans and gold star families, fixes our broken immigration system, and invests in sustainable water infrastructure as our state faces an extended drought.

However, there is more work to be done. I'm willing to tackle the big issues to bring down the cost of health care, not repeal it. I'm fighting to make college more affordable and relieve students of crushing loan debt.

For more information about my campaign, please visit [SaludCarbajal.com](http://SaludCarbajal.com). I hope to earn your support on November 6th. Thank you.

---

**DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO PARA  
REPRESENTANTE DE EE. UU., DISTRITO 24 DEL CONGRESO**

**SALUD CARBAJAL**

**Ocupación:** Miembro del Congreso

**Educación y Competencia:** Yo soy Salud Carbajal. Soy un orgulloso esposo, padre y veterano del Cuerpo de Marines de Estados Unidos. Fui el primero de mi familia en graduarse de una Universidad, con dos trabajos para pagar mis estudios en UCSB. Sé lo que es esforzarse y trabajar duro para mantener a mi familia, y me comprometo a asegurarme de que otras familias de la Costa Central tengan las mismas oportunidades que yo tuve para salir adelante.

He luchado para representar los valores de la Costa Central durante mi mandato. Me enorgullece haber obtenido el apoyo de Sierra Club y Planned Parenthood para defender los derechos reproductivos de la mujer, preservar el medioambiente y proteger la integridad de las elecciones.

Como Miembro del Congreso, he colaborado con mis colegas Demócratas y Republicanos para ponernos de acuerdo en problemas que nos unen. Me he unido a mis colegas para proceder con una legislación bipartita para crear nuevos trabajos, abordar el grave impacto del cambio climático, apoyar a los veteranos y a las familias de la "estrella de oro" de nuestro país, arreglar el sistema migratorio arruinado, e invertir en infraestructura sostenible de agua debido a la gran sequía que enfrenta nuestro estado.

Sin embargo, hay mucho trabajo por hacer. Estoy dispuesto a resolver los problemas grandes para reducir el costo de la atención médica, no para revocarlo. Estoy luchando para hacer que la universidad sea más asequible y para liberar a los estudiantes de las devastadoras deudas de préstamos.

Para obtener más información sobre mi campaña, visite [SaludCarbajal.com](http://SaludCarbajal.com). Espero tener su apoyo el 6 de noviembre. Gracias.

**STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR  
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY, 35<sup>th</sup> DISTRICT**

**BILL OSTRANDER**

**Occupation:** Farmer/Nonprofit Advocate

**Education and Qualifications:** Health care is our right, not a privilege. Taking care of one another is right ethically, and using the bargaining power of California's 40 million people to negotiate medical costs is the smart thing to do fiscally. Unless you are an insurance company benefiting from a profit-driven healthcare system, this is a win/win.

A fair election process is our right, not something to be bought. We must remove the corruptive influence of using private funds to elect public officials. The insatiable need for campaign funding ruins conversations about governance, focuses politicians on just the wealthiest among us, and prevents us from achieving the legislative outcomes we need.

Access to higher education is our right, and not the privilege of the wealthy. In fact, we declared this in California's Master Plan for Education and we need to return to that commitment. It is not only right ethically: spending money on universal pre-school, more vocational and art programs for high school and college, internship programs, and affordable education are proven smart investments in our economy.

Access to clean air, water, and food is our right, not the privilege of previous generations. We must use our farming practices to combat global warming by drawing down atmospheric carbon and placing it back in our soil where it can improve fertility and water absorption, reducing our dependence on synthetic fertilizers and chemicals. That makes us all healthier.

This is about all of us. Let's put our best selves forward.

---

**DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO PARA  
MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 35**

**BILL OSTRANDER**

**Ocupación:** Granjero/Defensor sin Fines de Lucro

**Educación y Competencia:** La atención médica es un derecho, no un privilegio. Cuidarnos los unos a los otros es éticamente correcto, y utilizar el poder de negociación de los 40 millones de habitantes de California para negociar los costos médicos es astuto a nivel fiscal. Todos ganan a menos que usted sea una empresa aseguradora que se beneficia del sistema de salud con fines de lucro.

Un proceso electoral justo es nuestro derecho, no algo que se compra. Tenemos que eliminar la costumbre corrupta de utilizar fondos privados para elegir a los funcionarios públicos. La necesidad insaciable de fondos para las campañas arruina las conversaciones sobre la gobernación, hace que los políticos se enfoquen en los más ricos, y evita que obtengamos los logros legislativos que necesitamos.

El acceso a la educación superior es un derecho y no un privilegio de los ricos. De hecho, establecimos esto en el Plan Maestro de Educación de California, y necesitamos recuperar ese compromiso. No es solo éticamente correcto: el gasto de dinero en educación preescolar universal, más programas vocacionales y artísticos para la escuela secundaria y la universidad, los programas de pasantías, y la educación asequible han demostrado ser inversiones inteligentes en nuestra economía.

El acceso al aire, el agua y la comida limpios es un derecho, no un privilegio de las generaciones anteriores. Debemos utilizar nuestras prácticas agrícolas para combatir el calentamiento global al reducir el carbono en la atmósfera y volver a colocarlo en nuestra tierra, donde puede mejorar la fertilidad y la absorción del agua, reduciendo así la dependencia de fertilizantes sintéticos y químicos. Esto nos hace más sanos a todos.

Esto es para todos. Demos lo mejor de nosotros mismos.

**COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO  
IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEASURE G-18**

This measure proposes the adoption of an ordinance through the initiative process. If 51% of qualified voters vote to approve the measure, an ordinance will be adopted amending the San Luis Obispo County General Plan, including the Local Coastal Program, and Title 22 and Title 23 of the San Luis Obispo County Code, to prohibit well stimulation treatments and any new petroleum extraction on all land within the unincorporated area of the county. The intended purpose of the measure is to protect county land, water, and quality of life.

Some impacts of the measure are uncertain because it is not known to what extent the banned or limited well stimulation activities are either utilized or necessary to the recovery of oil or gas in the county. The measure bans any new petroleum extraction but permits as a "nonconforming use" any petroleum extraction existing on the effective date of the measure (10 days after the vote approving the measure is declared by the board of supervisors [Elec. Code, § 9122]; hereafter "the effective date"). Such extraction may not be enlarged, increased, or otherwise expanded or intensified after the effective date. The measure prohibits all well stimulation treatments, even those currently existing on the measure's effective date. Well stimulation treatments include fracking and acidizing (acid well stimulation), which can be used, where effective, to enhance recovery of petroleum.

The legality of the measure is uncertain. To the extent the measure regulates drilling operations underground, it may be preempted by state or federal law. San Luis Obispo County retains land use regulation on the surface, but the validity of local land use regulations that impact how wells are operated below ground is not clear. In addition, the measure's regulatory restrictions on certain oil extraction without compensation may result in claims of an unconstitutional "taking" of mineral rights. In order to avoid an unconstitutional taking and county liability, the measure provides authority for the county to grant a limited exemption to the regulations imposed by the measure based on substantial evidence of facts constituting a taking. The procedures for evaluating evidence of a taking and determining the extent of any exemption are not prescribed by the measure. The necessity of utilizing such procedures prior to bringing suit against the county is unknown. Any exemption determination would be subject to judicial review.

The measure requires the county to defend the measure. If the measure is approved, litigation is anticipated. Litigation would likely include claims of preemption and an unlawful taking of private property rights. County resources would be necessary to defend against such claims, and to process taking exemption claims and vested rights claims made by holders of mineral rights.

The measure has been placed on the ballot by the requisite number of voters who signed the initiative petition for the measure. A "yes" vote on the measure is a vote in favor of the measure. A "no" vote is a vote against its adoption.

s/ RITA L. NEAL  
County Counsel

**The above statement is an impartial analysis of Measure G-18. If you desire a copy of the Ordinance or related materials, you can visit the Election website at [www.slovote.com](http://www.slovote.com), or you can call the Election's office at 805-781-5228 and a copy will be made available at no cost to you.**

## FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT FOR MEASURE G-18

The fiscal impact of Measure G-18 to County revenue and expenditures is inherently difficult to predict due to its intersection with the variable oil market, changing technologies, and potential secondary effects of restricting oil production.

If approved, Measure G-18 prohibits new petroleum extraction and “well stimulation treatment;” existing operations would be allowed to continue as long as they are not expanded or intensified. As stated in Measure G-18, the impacts of petroleum extraction will decrease over time as production from existing wells declines. Additionally, the value of active oil businesses and mineral rights will likely decrease if Measure G is approved, which could impact tax revenue as described below.

Although there may be financial impacts to the County, it is impossible to predict with any certainty what they will be or the net amount. The following fiscal areas would likely be affected if oil extraction decreases:

- **Property Tax:** The assessment of property tax for mineral-producing properties is based on a calculation of oil reserves that the mineral rights holder can access as well as the market price of oil. Restricting the owner’s ability to expand will decrease the value of the mineral rights and thereby decrease taxes.
- **Unitary Tax:** This is similar to property tax except the assessment is calculated by the State rather than the local Assessor. Unitary tax is applied to businesses whose assets cross county boundaries such as transmission lines or pipelines. A decrease in oil production may decrease unitary taxes.
- In Fiscal Year 2017/2018, the oil industry was assessed \$2,304,232 in secured, unsecured and unitary taxes. This represents 0.43% of all assessed taxes for 2017/2018. The taxes were apportioned as follows:

	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Percent of Total Funds</u>
○ Schools -	\$ 1,514,626	(0.283%)
○ County General Fund -	\$ 603,596	(0.113%)
○ Special Districts -	\$ 121,543	(0.023%)
○ Cities -	\$ 20,211	(0.004%)
○ RDA Successor Agencies -	\$ 44,255	(0.008%)

There are other potential financial impacts to the County General Fund which are impossible to estimate, including but not limited to:

- The cost of any environmental damage caused by the oil extraction process. The oil industry is regulated by multiple agencies including the Department of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Regulation, Environmental Protection Agency, Regional Water Quality Control Board, Air Pollution Control, and the County of San Luis Obispo Planning Department. Although infrequent, even with regulation, oil spills have occurred;
- Any impact to approximately 32 to 76 local oil-industry jobs (source EDD) and the cascading effect to the local economy, including the related consumer spending and associated sales tax;
- County costs for processing applications for exemptions to Measure G-18, including exemptions to avoid unconstitutional takings of property and where there are “vested rights” which may be offset by existing fees;
- Litigation costs defending or opposing exemption approvals or denials;

- Potential litigation costs regarding claims of unconstitutional “takings” of property and/or vested rights. Costs could include monetary awards for damages (the County is not insured against court judgments for “takings” damages).

s/ James P Erb, CPA  
Auditor-Controller-Treasurer-Tax Collector  
County of San Luis Obispo

## ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE G-18

**Beautiful San Luis Obispo County has a bright and prosperous future** if we choose to protect our water, agriculture, and tourism. Fracking, acidizing, and expanded petroleum extraction **put that future at risk.**

### **Measure G lets the people decide, not the oil companies.**

- The oil industry has plans to expand drilling in San Luis Obispo County, if we let them.
- They use risky, energy-intensive oil extraction techniques.
- Oil operations, as well as fracking and acidizing, often require transportation and use of toxic chemicals.
- Fracking and expanded drilling pose risks to San Luis Obispo County's water, agricultural heritage, and rural character, and undermine our clean energy future.

### **Measure G protects our water quality and quantity.**

- It bans fracking, acidizing, and expanded drilling, which endanger our groundwater, creeks and soil.
- Fracking uses large quantities of fresh water. Our limited water supplies should be conserved for local farmers and residents, not sacrificed to the oil industry.

### **Measure G protects our health and safety.**

- Studies reveal toxic chemicals used in fracking and acidizing – and pollution from oil extraction – can cause illnesses such as cancer, birth defects, and asthma.
- Scientists have shown fracking and injection can trigger earthquakes – and we live in an already seismically active area.

### **Measure G protects our economic future.**

- **A safe and reliable water supply is essential for a healthy economy.** Reports show:
- **Agriculture** produced \$732 million in crops, contributed \$1.87 billion to the local economy, and supported over 20,000 local jobs in 2011. 2017 crop values totaled nearly \$925 million.
- **Tourism** generated \$75 million in local tax revenue and provided another 20,000 jobs in 2017 alone.

### **Measure G is fair and balanced.**

- It prohibits expanded drilling but allows existing oil operations to continue.
- It gives the County flexibility to protect property rights.

**Vote YES on Measure G:** Protect Our Water, Our Health, and Our Future.

s/ Natalie Risner, Small Business Owner  
s/ Charles Varni, Retired College Teacher  
s/ Karen Merriam, Retired Clinical Social Worker  
s/ Dale Smith, Retired Veterinarian

**ARGUMENTS AND REBUTTALS CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE**

## REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE G-18

As citizens who proudly live in San Luis Obispo County, we care deeply about our County's future and strongly oppose Measure G.

**At this time, when our County faces adverse effects due to the closure of Diablo Canyon, Measure G would create more economic uncertainty, hurt our community, and increase our dependence on foreign oil.**

**Measure G would shut down EXISTING oil and gas production.**

- The promoters of this proposition are using scare tactics about fracking to try to pass Measure G. But, there is no hydraulic fracturing in San Luis Obispo County and no plans to do so.
- Measure G is so broadly written that practices safely used for over a century—and which are needed for existing production to continue—would be banned by Measure G.

**Measure G would put the County and its taxpayers at risk for millions of dollars in liability that the County is not insured for.**

- San Luis Obispo County would be on the hook to pay millions of dollars to property owners whose mineral rights would be violated by Measure G—thus facing drastic reductions in vital public services.
- A state judge already held that a similar measure in Monterey County was illegal and resulted in Monterey being exposed to massive legal liability.

Even the County's own impartial analysis cited the risk of litigation resulting from the measure (7/20/2018).

**We need a balanced approach as we transition to a clean energy economy, not the drastic energy shutdown caused by Measure G.**

**Vote NO on G**

/s/ Tom J. Bordonaro, Jr., Assessor, San Luis Obispo County

/s/ Chris Darway, 4th Generation Family Farmer, San Luis Obispo County

/s/ Dr. Dan Howes, Ph.D., Water Engineer, Cal Poly

/s/ Doralyn Henry, Teacher

/s/ James Mulhall, Retired Commander, San Luis Obispo County Sheriff's Department

**ARGUMENTS AND REBUTTALS CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE**

## **ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE G-18**

Measure G is a costly ballot initiative that would result in another major employer shutting down in our County-hurting local workers and their families and resulting in new economic problems for our County.

### **Measure G would shut down existing oil and gas production.**

- Practices that have been safely used for over a century and are needed for existing production to continue would be banned by Measure G.
- As a result, Measure G would shut down existing oil and gas production in San Luis Obispo County.

### **Measure G would result in more economic uncertainty.**

- Already, the closure of Diablo Canyon is going to put a heavy economic strain on our county budget.
- If oil and gas production is shut down, the county would lose even more tax revenue, which could result in deep budget cuts to public safety and schools.

### **Measure G would harm our families and our community.**

- Hundreds of workers would be at risk of losing their jobs.
- Millions in annual state and local tax revenues would be lost.

### **Measure G would expose the County and its taxpayers to massive financial liability.**

- The County would be required to defend itself against lawsuits over Measure G, which could cost the County millions of dollars in legal fees alone.

### **Measure G would increase dependence on foreign oil.**

- California produces less than 35% of what is needed to meet the demand of gasoline for our drivers.
- Oil that we do not produce locally would have to be imported from somewhere else, including countries that have poor environmental regulations and terrible human rights standards like Saudi Arabia, Iraq and Russia.
- We should allow local producers to help meet our energy needs in the responsible way, under the strictest environmental regulations in the world.

### **Vote NO on G.**

/s/ George Donati, 4<sup>th</sup> Generation Family Farmer, San Luis Obispo County

/s/ Dr. Dan Howes, Ph.D., Water Engineer, Cal Poly

/s/ Dr. Rene Bravo, M.D., Children's Health Specialist

/s/ Dee Santos, Lucia Mar Unified School District Trustee

/s/ William Andersen, Firefighter

**ARGUMENTS AND REBUTTALS CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE**

## **REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE G-18**

**Big Oil is spending big money to protect their profits while they threaten our water, economy, and health. Know the facts:**

**The “Oil and Gas Shut Down” is a Lie**

- Measure G allows San Luis Obispo County’s existing oil operations to continue (see Section 2B of the Initiative).

**Big Oil is Risking the Heart of San Luis Obispo County’s Economy**

- The County Auditor says oil provides fewer than 80 local jobs and only 0.43% of County-assessed taxes.
- Reports show agriculture and tourism together contribute over 40,000 jobs and billions of dollars to the local economy.
- Agriculture and tourism depend on clean and plentiful water. Groundwater contamination could cause significant economic harm.

**Big Oil is Bullying Voters with Threats of Lawsuits**

- Courts have confirmed local governments have the power to ban new drilling. If we choose to do that, then Big Oil should back off.
- The measure gives the County flexibility to avoid liability for “taking” anyone’s property rights.

**Oil Companies Don’t Care About Our Energy Independence**

- They convinced Congress to lift the ban on oil exports to maximize their profits. They also consistently lobby against clean energy measures that would reduce our reliance on overseas fossil fuels.
- San Luis Obispo County oil is refined and sold throughout California and internationally, not just for local consumption.

**Measure G stops oil companies from passing the risks of fracking and expanded oil production on to the people of San Luis Obispo County. Vote YES on Measure G.**

s/ Ruth Madocks, Organic Farmer

s/ Elizabeth Demsetz, Physics Lecturer, Cal Poly

s/ Sherrl Stoddard, RN

s/ Janine Rands, Retired Social Worker

s/ Douglas Timewell, Retired Farmer

**END OF ARGUMENTS FOR MEASURE G-18**

# LANGUAGE ASSISTANCE

As required by new legislation, the County of San Luis Obispo offers facsimile ballots in the following languages and for only the precincts listed below:

## Spanish

All Precincts

All Polling Places

## Tagalog

Consolidated Precinct

Polling Place

CON 407 & 408	Calvary Chapel
CON 409	Oak Park Christian Church
CON 410	Gospel Lighthouse of Arroyo Grande
CON 411	Coastal Community Church

To determine your Con (precinct), please take a look at the back cover of this pamphlet and it will be listed above your printed name.

Facsimile ballots and instructions will be provided upon request. You can request to view the facsimile ballot for the language that is available at your polling place for the precincts listed above.

## Vote By Mail Voters

If you are a Vote By Mail Voter in one of these precincts, you can request a facsimile ballot in one of the languages available:

By Phone: 805-781-5228

By E-Mail: [elections@co.slo.ca.us](mailto:elections@co.slo.ca.us)

By Mail: 1055 Monterey Street, Suite D120  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

In Person: At the Clerk-Recorder's Office at 1055 Monterey Street, D120, San Luis Obispo or 6565 Capistrano Avenue (2<sup>nd</sup> floor), Atascadero

Online: [www.slocounty.ca.gov/LanguageAssistance](http://www.slocounty.ca.gov/LanguageAssistance)

# ASISTENCIA DE IDIOMA

Conforme exige la nueva legislación, el Condado de San Luis Obispo ofrece boletas electorales en los siguientes idiomas y solo para los recintos que a continuación se indican:

## Español

Todos los recintos                      Todos los lugares de votación

## Tagalo

Recinto consolidado                      Lugar de votación

CON 407 & 408	Calvary Chapel
CON 409	Oak Park Christian Church
CON 410	Gospel Lighthouse of Arroyo Grande
CON 411	Coastal Community Church

Para saber cuál es su Con (recinto), vea la portada posterior de este folleto y lo encontrará encima de su nombre.

Se suministrarán, a petición, boletas electorales e instrucciones por facsímil. Usted puede solicitar ver la boleta electoral por facsímil en el idioma que esté disponible en su lugar de votación para los recintos indicados anteriormente.

## Electores que votan por correo

Si usted es un Elector que Vota Por Correo en uno de estos recintos, puede solicitar una boleta electoral por facsímil en uno de los idiomas disponibles:

Por teléfono:                              805-781-5228

Por correo electrónico:                elections@co.slo.ca.us

Por correo:                                1055 Monterey Street, Suite D120  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Personalmente:                        En la Oficina del Secretario-Oficial de Registro  
en 1055 Monterey Street, D120, San Luis  
Obispo o 6565 Capistrano Avenue (2<sup>nd</sup> floor),  
Atascadero

En línea:                                    www.slocounty.ca.gov/LanguageAssistance



## **REQUESTING A VOTE BY MAIL BALLOT**

If you find that for any reason you will be unable to vote in person on Election Day, promptly complete and sign the application for a Vote By Mail ballot printed on the reverse side of this page, detach the post card, apply postage and mail. Your application must reach the office of the **Clerk-Recorder** not less than 7 days before the day of election. State law now allows a voter to apply for a Vote By Mail ballot by telephone. You may reach the Clerk-Recorder at 805-781-5228. The deadline for the Clerk-Recorder to receive Vote By Mail applications is October 30, 2018.

## **PERMANENT VOTE BY MAIL QUALIFICATION**

Any voter, upon request, may become a Permanent Vote By Mail Voter. You may either check the Permanent Vote By Mail box on the Application for Vote By Mail Ballot or request Permanent Vote By Mail status over the phone and a vote by mail ballot will automatically be sent to you for future elections. Failure to vote in four consecutive statewide general elections may cancel your Permanent Vote By Mail Status and you will need to reapply.

## **RETURNING YOUR VOTE BY MAIL BALLOT**

Voters may return only their own voted vote by mail ballot, in person or by mail, to the Clerk-Recorder's Office. On Election Day the ballot can be returned to any polling place. To be counted, a ballot must be received no later than the close of the polls at 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. Mailed ballots must be postmarked on or before Election Day and be received in the Clerk-Recorder's Office no later than three days after Election Day. However, a voter who is unable to return his/her ballot may designate any person to return the ballot to the Clerk-Recorder or any polling place.

## **VOTE BY MAIL BALLOT LOOK-UP ON THE WEB**

Check the status of your returned VBM ballot on the Internet at [www.slovote.com](http://www.slovote.com)

## **FOR QUESTIONS, CONTACT US**

### **Contact Information on the Front Cover**