Party Preference and Political Party Endorsement

On the ballot, the political party preference, or no party preference, as indicated on the candidate’s voter registration will be listed next to the candidate’s name. The party designation is shown on the ballot for information to the voters only and it does not constitute or imply an endorsement by the party designated. Parties may provide a list of candidates for voter-nominated offices that they have endorsed. Those candidates who have received the official endorsement of the party for printing in this booklet are listed below.

**PARTY ENDORSEMENTS FOR THE NOVEMBER 6, 2018, ELECTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Contests</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>Governor, Secretary of State, State Controller, State Treasurer, Attorney General, Insurance Commissioner, Board of Equalization, 2nd Dist, U.S. Representative, 24th Dist, State Assembly, 35th Dist, Superintendent of Public Instruction</td>
<td>Gavin Newsom, Alex Padilla, Betty T. Yee, Fiona Ma, Xavier Becerra, Ricardo Lara, Malia Cohen, Kevin de Leon, Salud Carbajal, Bill Ostrander, Tony K. Thurmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>Governor, Secretary of State, State Treasurer, Attorney General, Board of Equalization, 2nd Dist, U.S. Representative, 24th Dist, State Assembly, 35th Dist</td>
<td>John H. Cox, Mark P. Meuser, Konstantinos Roditis, Greg Conlon, Steven C. Bailey, Mark Burns, Justin Fareed, Jordan Cunningham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Independent</td>
<td>Governor, Secretary of State, State Treasurer, Attorney General, Insurance Commissioner, Board of Equalization, 2nd Dist, U.S. Representative, 24th Dist, State Assembly, 35th Dist, Superintendent of Public Instruction</td>
<td>John H. Cox, Mark P. Meuser, Konstantinos Roditis, Greg Conlon, Steven C. Bailey, Steve Poizner, Mark Burns, Justin Fareed, Jordan Cunningham, Marshall Tuck</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The order of parties follows the Randomized Alphabet Drawing conducted by the County.*
INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS: To vote for or against candidates for Associate Justice of the Supreme Court; Presiding Justice, Court of Appeal; or Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, fill in the OVAL to the right of the word "YES" or "NO," respectively. To vote for any other candidate whose name appears on the ballot, fill in the OVAL to the RIGHT of the candidate’s name. Do not vote for more than the number of candidates allowed. To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write in the candidate's name on the Write-In line and fill in the OVAL to the RIGHT. To vote for a measure, fill in the OVAL to the RIGHT of the word “YES” or the word “NO”. Marking the ballot outside of the designated space to vote for a candidate or measure may compromise the secrecy of the ballot. If you tear, deface, or wrongly mark this ballot, return it and get another. TO VOTE, USE BALLPOINT WITH DARK INK TO FILL IN THE OVAL COMPLETELY LIKE THIS:

VOTER-NOMINATED AND NONPARTISAN OFFICES

All voters, regardless of the party preference they disclosed upon registration, or refusal to disclose a party preference, may vote for any candidate for a voter-nominated or nonpartisan office. The party preference, if any, designated by a candidate for a voter-nominated office is selected by the candidate and is shown for the information of the voters only. It does not imply that the candidate is nominated or endorsed by the party or that the party approves of the candidate. The party preference, if any, of a candidate for a nonpartisan office does not appear on the ballot.
# Sample Ballot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOVERNOR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHN H. COX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Republican</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businessman/Taxpayer Advocate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAVIN NEWSOM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieutenant Governor/Businessman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED HERNANDEZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Senator/Businessman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELENI KOUNALAKIS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businesswoman/Economic Advisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECRETARY OF STATE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALEX PADILLA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARK P. MEUSER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Republican</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Election Law Attorney</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTROLLER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KONSTANTINOS RODITIS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Republican</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businessman/CFO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BETTY T. YEE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Controller</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREASURER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GREG CONLON</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Republican</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businessman/CPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIONA MA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPA/Taxpayer Representative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTORNEY GENERAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEVEN C. BAILEY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Republican</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired California Judge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XAVIER BECERRA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appointed Attorney General of the State of California</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Sample Ballot**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Vote for One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INSURANCE COMMISSIONER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICARDO LARA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Senator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEVE POIZNER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businessman/Non-Profit Director</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMBER, STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote for One</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALIA COHEN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President, San Francisco County Supervisors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARK BURNS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Republican</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realtor/Businessman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITED STATES SENATOR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote for One</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIANNE FEINSTEIN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Senator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEVIN DE LEON</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Senator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24th District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote for One</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALUD CARBAJAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member of Congress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUSTIN FAREED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Republican</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Businessman/Rancher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35th District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote for One</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BILL OSTRANDER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer/Nonprofit Director</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JORDAN CUNNINGHAM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Republican</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assemblymember/Small Businessman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Sample Ballot

### JUDICIAL

**VOTE YES OR NO FOR EACH OFFICE**

**FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT**
Shall Associate Justice **CAROL A. CORRIGAN** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?

- YES □
- NO □

**FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT**
Shall Associate Justice **LEONDRA R. KRUGER** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?

- YES □
- NO □

**FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL** 2nd Appellate District, Div. 1
Shall Associate Justice **VICTORIA G. CHANEY** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?

- YES □
- NO □

**FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL** 2nd Appellate District, Div. 1
Shall Associate Justice **HELEN BENDIX** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?

- YES □
- NO □

**FOR PRESIDING JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL** 2nd Appellate District, Div. 2
Shall Presiding Justice **ELWOOD LUI** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?

- YES □
- NO □

**FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL** 2nd Appellate District, Div. 2
Shall Associate Justice **VICTORIA M. CHAVEZ** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?

- YES □
- NO □

**FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL** 2nd Appellate District, Div. 3
Shall Associate Justice **LUIS A. LAVIN** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?

- YES □
- NO □
### Sample Ballot

| FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 3  
| Shall Associate Justice **HALIM DHANIDINA** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?  |
| YES ☐  | NO ☐ |

| FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 3  
| Shall Associate Justice **ANNE H. EGERTON** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?  |
| YES ☐  | NO ☐ |

| FOR PRESIDING JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 4  
| Shall Presiding Justice **NORA M. MANELLA** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?  |
| YES ☐  | NO ☐ |

| FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 4  
| Shall Associate Justice **THOMAS WILLHITE** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?  |
| YES ☐  | NO ☐ |

| FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 5  
| Shall Associate Justice **DOROTHY C. KIM** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?  |
| YES ☐  | NO ☐ |

| FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 5  
| Shall Associate Justice **CARL H. MOOR** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?  |
| YES ☐  | NO ☐ |

| FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 5  
| Shall Associate Justice **LAMAR W. BAKER** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?  |
| YES ☐  | NO ☐ |

| FOR PRESIDING JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 6  
| Shall Presiding Justice **ARTHUR GILBERT** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?  |
| YES ☐  | NO ☐ |
### FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 6
Shall Associate Justice MARTIN J. TANGEMAN be elected to the office for the term provided by law?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 7
Shall Associate Justice GAIL R. FEUER be elected to the office for the term provided by law?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 7
Shall Associate Justice JOHN L. SEGAL be elected to the office for the term provided by law?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FOR PRESIDING JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 8
Shall Presiding Justice TRICIA A. BIGELOW be elected to the office for the term provided by law?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SCHOOL

#### SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
Vote for One

- MARSHALL TUCK
  - Schools Improvement Director

- TONY K. THURMOND
  - Educator/State Legislator

- Write-In
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MARILYN RODGER</td>
<td></td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVELYN FRAME</td>
<td></td>
<td>Retired Elementary Teacher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTORIA S. DANDURAND</td>
<td></td>
<td>Parent/Teacher/Counselor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIM QUESENBERRY</td>
<td></td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write-In</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write-In</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## CITY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO MAYOR

**Vote for One**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEIDI HARMON</td>
<td>Mayor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONALD E. HEDRICK</td>
<td>Artisan Whale Maker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. KEITH GURNEE</td>
<td>Planner/Urban Designer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Write-In</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## CITY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNCILMEMBER

**Vote for no more than Two**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CARLYN CHRISTIANSON</td>
<td>Council Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAMES LOPES</td>
<td>Retired County Planner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE LINCOLN</td>
<td>Nonprofit Executive Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARAH FLICKINGER</td>
<td>Homemaker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOB VOGLIN</td>
<td>Community Volunteer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEFFERY C. SPECHT</td>
<td>Entrepreneur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERICA A. STEWART</td>
<td>Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Write-In</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Write-In</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Sample Ballot

### MEASURES SUBMITTED TO THE VOTERS

#### STATE

1. **AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND SPECIFIED HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS. LEGISLATIVE STATUTE.** Authorizes $4 billion in general obligation bonds for existing affordable housing programs for low-income residents, veterans, farmworkers, manufactured and mobile homes, infill, and transit-oriented housing. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging about $170 million annually over the next 35 years.

   - **YES**
   - **NO**

2. **AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND EXISTING HOUSING PROGRAM FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH MENTAL ILLNESS. LEGISLATIVE STATUTE.** Amends Mental Health Services Act to fund No Place Like Home Program, which finances housing for individuals with mental illness. Ratifies existing law establishing the No Place Like Home Program. Fiscal Impact: Allows the state to use up to $140 million per year of county mental health funds to repay up to $2 billion in bonds. These bonds would fund housing for those with mental illness who are homeless.

   - **YES**
   - **NO**

3. **AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND PROJECTS FOR WATER SUPPLY AND QUALITY, WATERSHED, FISH, WILDLIFE, WATER CONVEYANCE, AND GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY AND STORAGE. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Authorizes $8.877 billion in state general obligation bonds for various infrastructure projects. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging $430 million per year over 40 years. Local government savings for water-related projects, likely averaging a couple hundred million dollars annually over the next few decades.

   - **YES**
   - **NO**

4. **AUTHORIZES BONDS FUNDING CONSTRUCTION AT HOSPITALS PROVIDING CHILDREN’S HEALTH CARE. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Authorizes $1.5 billion in bonds, to be repaid from state’s General Fund, to fund grants for construction, expansion, renovation, and equipping of qualifying children’s hospitals. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging about $80 million annually over the next 35 years.

   - **YES**
   - **NO**
Sample Ballot

5 CHANGES REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTAIN PROPERTY OWNERS TO TRANSFER THEIR PROPERTY TAX BASE TO REPLACEMENT PROPERTY. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Removes certain transfer requirements for homeowners over 55, severely disabled homeowners, and contaminated or disaster-destroyed property. Fiscal Impact: Schools and local governments each would lose over $100 million in annual property taxes early on, growing to about $1 billion per year. Similar increase in state costs to backfill school property tax losses.

   YES  
   NO  

6 ELIMINATES CERTAIN ROAD REPAIR AND TRANSPORTATION FUNDING. REQUIRES CERTAIN FUEL TAXES AND VEHICLE FEES BE APPROVED BY THE ELECTORATE. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Repeals a 2017 transportation law’s taxes and fees designated for road repairs and public transportation. Fiscal Impact: Reduced ongoing revenues of $5.1 billion from state fuel and vehicle taxes that mainly would have paid for highway and road maintenance and repairs, as well as transit programs.

   YES  
   NO  

7 CONFORMS CALIFORNIA DAYLIGHT SAVING TIME TO FEDERAL LAW. ALLOWS LEGISLATURE TO CHANGE DAYLIGHT SAVING TIME PERIOD. LEGISLATIVE STATUTE. Gives Legislature ability to change daylight saving time period by two-thirds vote, if changes are consistent with federal law. Fiscal Impact: This measure has no direct fiscal effect because changes to daylight saving time would depend on future actions by the Legislature and potentially the federal government.

   YES  
   NO  

8 REGULATES AMOUNTS OUTPATIENT KIDNEY DIALYSIS CLINICS CHARGE FOR DIALYSIS TREATMENT. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires rebates and penalties if charges exceed limit. Requires annual reporting to the state. Prohibits clinics from refusing to treat patients based on payment source. Fiscal Impact: Overall annual effect on state and local governments ranging from net positive impact in the low tens of millions of dollars to net negative impact in the tens of millions of dollars.

   YES  
   NO
### Sample Ballot

#### Proposition 9
Proposition 9 was removed from the ballot by order of the California Supreme Court.

#### Proposition 10
**Expands Local Governments’ Authority to Enact Rent Control on Residential Property. Initiative Statute.**
Repeals state law that currently restricts the scope of rent-control policies that cities and other local jurisdictions may impose on residential property. Fiscal Impact: Potential net reduction in state and local revenues of tens of millions of dollars per year in the long term. Depending on actions by local communities, revenue losses could be less or considerably more.

**YES**

**NO**

#### Proposition 11
**Requires Private-Sector Emergency Ambulance Employees to Remain On-Call During Work Breaks. Eliminates Certain Employer Liability. Initiative Statute.**
Law entitlement hourly employees to breaks without being on-call would not apply to private-sector ambulance employees. Fiscal Impact: Likely fiscal benefit to local governments (in the form of lower costs and higher revenues), potentially in the tens of millions of dollars each year.

**YES**

**NO**

#### Proposition 12
**Establishes New Standards for Confinement of Specified Farm Animals; Bans Sale of Noncomplying Products. Initiative Statute.**
Establishes minimum requirements for confining certain farm animals. Prohibits sales of meat and egg products from animals confined in noncomplying manner. Fiscal Impact: Potential decrease in state income tax revenues from farm businesses, likely not more than several million dollars annually. State costs up to $10 million annually to enforce the measure.

**YES**

**NO**

#### Proposition G-18
Shall an ordinance be adopted amending the San Luis Obispo County General Plan and County Code to prohibit any new petroleum extraction and all well stimulation treatments, as defined in the full-text of the measure, including hydraulic fracturing and acid well stimulation, on all lands within the unincorporated area of the county?

**YES**

**NO**
# Sample Ballot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>CITY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F-18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shall the measure to maintain and improve essential City services, including without limitation: police/fire services; senior, youth and park services; programs to retain/attract local jobs; addressing homelessness; and other general revenue purposes; by establishing a cannabis business tax up to 10% of gross receipts for retail and businesses and up to $10.00 per canopy square foot for cultivation, raising approximately $1,500,000 annually, until ended by voters, with all funds used locally, be adopted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| YES | 0 |
| NO  | 0 |
VOTER’S PAMPHLET INFORMATION SECTION

The following pages contain voter information applicable to your ballot which may include any/all of the following items:

- Candidates’ Statements
- Ballot Measure(s)
- Impartial Analysis
- Fiscal Impact/Tax Rate Statement(s)
- Arguments and Rebuttals In Support of or In Opposition To Ballot Measures

This pamphlet section may not contain a statement for each candidate. A complete list of candidates appears on your Ballot/Sample Ballot. Each candidate’s statement in this pamphlet is volunteered by the candidate and is printed at his or her expense. Each candidate’s statement is printed in uniform format as submitted by the candidate.

Please note that all the statements printed in Spanish, at the candidates’ request, follow the English statements for that same contest.

Arguments in support of or in opposition to the proposed laws are the opinions of the authors.

Campaign Finance Reform

Among all state legislative candidates appearing on the ballot in San Luis Obispo County, only the candidate listed below has pledged to abide by campaign spending limits as specified in the California Government Code. Candidates agreeing to the campaign spending limits also have the opportunity to have a Statement of Qualifications printed in the local sample ballot pamphlet, at their own expense.

35th Assembly District Candidate
Bill Ostrander
STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
U.S. REPRESENTATIVE, 24TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

SALUD CARBAJAL
Occupation: Member of Congress

Education and Qualifications: I’m Salud Carbajal. I’m a proud husband, father, and Marine Corps veteran. I was the first in my family to graduate from a University, working two jobs to pay for my education at UCSB. I know what it’s like to struggle and work hard to provide for my family, and I’m committed to making sure other families on the Central Coast have the same opportunities I did to get ahead.

I’ve strived to represent our Central Coast values in my service. I’m proud to have earned endorsements from the Sierra Club and Planned Parenthood for standing up for women’s reproductive rights, preserving our environment, and protecting the integrity of our elections.

As a Member of Congress, I’ve worked with my Democratic and Republican colleagues to find common ground on issues that unite us. I’ve reached across the aisle to move forward bipartisan legislation that helps create new jobs, addresses the disastrous impacts of climate change, supports our nation’s veterans and gold star families, fixes our broken immigration system, and invests in sustainable water infrastructure as our state faces an extended drought.

However, there is more work to be done. I’m willing to tackle the big issues to bring down the cost of health care, not repeal it. I’m fighting to make college more affordable and relieve students of crushing loan debt.

For more information about my campaign, please visit SaludCarbajal.com. I hope to earn your support on November 6th. Thank you.

DECLARACION DEL CANDIDATO PARA
REPRESENTANTE DE EE. UU., DISTRITO 24 DEL CONGRESO

SALUD CARBAJAL
Ocupación: Miembro del Congreso

Educación y Competencia: Yo soy Salud Carbajal. Soy un orgulloso esposo, padre y veterano del Cuerpo de Marines de Estados Unidos. Fui el primero de mi familia en graduarse de una Universidad, con dos trabajos para pagar mis estudios en UCSB. Sé lo que es esforzarse y trabajar duro para mantener a mi familia, y me comprometo a asegurarme de que otras familias de la Costa Central tengan las mismas oportunidades que yo tuve para salir adelante.

He luchado para representar los valores de la Costa Central durante mi mandato. Me enorgullece haber obtenido el apoyo de Sierra Club y Planned Parenthood para defender los derechos reproductivos de la mujer, preservar el medioambiente y proteger la integridad de las elecciones. Como Miembro del Congreso, he colaborado con mis colegas Demócratas y Republicanos para ponernos de acuerdo en problemas que nos unen. Me he unido a mis colegas para proceder con una legislación bipartita para crear nuevos trabajos, abordar el grave impacto del cambio climático, apoyar a los veteranos y a las familias de la "estrella de oro" de nuestro país, arreglar el sistema migratorio arruinado, e invertir en infraestructura sostenible de agua debido a la gran sequía que enfrenta nuestro estado.

Sin embargo, hay mucho trabajo por hacer. Estoy dispuesto a resolver los problemas grandes para reducir el costo de la atención médica, no para revocarlo. Estoy luchando para hacer que la universidad sea más asequible y para liberar a los estudiantes de las devastadoras deudas de préstamos.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY, 35th DISTRICT

BILL OSTRANDER
Occupation: Farmer/Nonprofit Advocate

Education and Qualifications: Health care is our right, not a privilege. Taking care of one another is right ethically, and using the bargaining power of California’s 40 million people to negotiate medical costs is the smart thing to do fiscally. Unless you are an insurance company benefiting from a profit-driven healthcare system, this is a win/win.

A fair election process is our right, not something to be bought. We must remove the corruptive influence of using private funds to elect public officials. The insatiable need for campaign funding ruins conversations about governance, focuses politicians on just the wealthiest among us, and prevents us from achieving the legislative outcomes we need.

Access to higher education is our right, and not the privilege of the wealthy. In fact, we declared this in California’s Master Plan for Education and we need to return to that commitment. It is not only right ethically: spending money on universal pre-school, more vocational and art programs for high school and college, internship programs, and affordable education are proven smart investments in our economy.

Access to clean air, water, and food is our right, not the privilege of previous generations. We must use our farming practices to combat global warming by drawing down atmospheric carbon and placing it back in our soil where it can improve fertility and water absorption, reducing our dependence on synthetic fertilizers and chemicals. That makes us all healthier.

This is about all of us. Let’s put our best selves forward.

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO PARA
MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 35

BILL OSTRANDER
Ocupación: Granjero/Defensor sin Fines de Lucro

Educación y Competencia: La atención médica es un derecho, no un privilegio. Cuidarnos los unos a los otros es éticamente correcto, y utilizar el poder de negociación de los 40 millones de habitantes de California para negociar los costos médicos es astuto a nivel fiscal. Todos ganan a menos que usted sea una empresa aseguradora que se beneficia del sistema de salud con fines de lucro.

Un proceso electoral justo es nuestro derecho, no algo que se compra. Tenemos que eliminar la costumbre corrupta de utilizar fondos privados para elegir a los funcionarios públicos. La necesidad insaciable de fondos para las campañas arruina las conversaciones sobre la gobernación, hace que los políticos se enfoquen en los más ricos, y evita que obtengamos los logros legislativos que necesitamos.

El acceso a la educación superior es un derecho y no un privilegio de los ricos. De hecho, establecimos esto en el Plan Maestro de Educación de California, y necesitamos recuperar ese compromiso. No es solo éticamente correcto: el gasto de dinero en educación preescolar universal, más programas vocacionales y artísticos para la escuela secundaria y la universidad, los programas de pasantías, y la educación asequible han demostrado ser inversiones inteligentes en nuestra economía.

El acceso al aire, el agua y la comida limpios es un derecho, no un privilegio de las generaciones anteriores. Debemos utilizar nuestras prácticas agrícolas para combatir el calentamiento global al reducir el carbono en la atmósfera y volver a colocarlo en nuestra tierra, donde puede mejorar la fertilidad y la absorción del agua, reduciendo así la dependencia de fertilizantes sintéticos y químicos. Esto nos hace más sanos a todos.

 Esto es para todos. Demos lo mejor de nosotros mismos.
STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER, TRUSTEE AREA NO. 2
San Luis Coastal Unified School District

MARILYN RODGER
Occupation: Incumbent

Education and Qualifications: I am privileged to have served as a trustee of the San Luis Coastal Unified School District for the past 16 years. During my tenure, the district has seen periods of greater and lesser revenues. While navigating these waters, my commitment to student achievement and welfare has remained my top priority. District schools have received state and national recognition and student achievement has continued to rise, year over year, even among our most vulnerable groups.

Successful multi-year contracts with our represented employees have been realized during my tenure and I have supported schools of choice such as Pacheco Elementary School, Teach Elementary School and Pacific Peach High School for parents seeking alternative options. As a trustee, I have been mindful that the public dollars dedicated through our Measure D Facilities Bond be spent wisely and with accountability. Each dollar spent will support 21st century facilities to serve current and future generations of students.

As we approach the changed economic landscape that the closure of Diablo Canyon brings, I am prepared to make budget decisions always viewed through the lens of having least impact on students and classrooms. I take seriously the trust placed in me and I pledge to work diligently and collegially to support the educational opportunities of all students.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER, TRUSTEE AREA NO. 2
San Luis Coastal Unified School District

EVELYN FRAME
Occupation: Retired Elementary Teacher

Education and Qualifications: I retired this June as an elementary classroom teacher having taught for more than 30 years. That current classroom experience is what motivates me to seek this office. It is the knowledge gained in that experience that impels me to work to ensure that student needs are foremost in every board decision.

Our school district is facing difficult budgetary decisions and we must re-frame school excellence. My strong student advocacy platform: local parent input into the identity of each school, classroom teacher expertise front and center, and fiscal transparency to better engage all community stakeholders.

Our community has high expectations for our schools. We all benefit from excellent teachers and strong community support. I will commit to transparent active engagement with parents, teachers, and staff in order to deepen our collective understanding of student needs. We are a better district and a richer community when all truly means all, and all students are challenged and supported to grow as learners and citizens.

25+ Years Elementary Classroom Experience, 6 Years Reading Intervention and English Learning Specialist, Multiple Subject/BCLAD Credentials, Principal and Teacher Interview Committees, Piloted District Curriculum, School Site Council Member
STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER, TRUSTEE AREA NO. 2
San Luis Coastal Unified School District

JIM QUESENBERRY
Occupation: Incumbent, Retired Teacher

Education and Qualifications: I am dedicated to the education of all of our children. Every child has a right to the best public education we can provide. I have pursued this dedication to public education for more than 40 years. I taught middle school and high school for 36 years in San Luis Obispo and the greater Los Angeles area. In addition to my teaching career, it has been my privilege to serve on the San Luis Coastal Unified School District for more than 7 years. As your representative to the school board, I have been honored to serve as board clerk and president. I have the depth of knowledge and experience, sound judgement, and the fervent desire to effectively serve our children and community. Thank you for your continuing support and interest in San Luis Coastal Unified School District.

PLEASE SEE NEXT PAGE FOR SPANISH STATEMENTS FOR THIS CONTEST
DECLARACIÓN DE CANDIDATA PARA
MIEMBRO DE LA JUNTA GUBERNATIVA, ÁREA DE SÍNDICO 2
Distrito Escolar Unificado San Luis Coastal

MARIYLN RODGER
Ocupación: Titular

Educación y Competencia: Tengo el privilegio de haber prestado servicio como síndico del Distrito Escolar Unificado San Luis Coastal por los últimos 16 años. Durante el desempeño de mi cargo, el distrito ha sido testigo de periodos tanto de mayores como de menores ingresos. Mientras hacía frente a estos desafíos, mi compromiso con el logro estudiantil y el bienestar de los estudiantes ha permanecido como mi principal prioridad. Las escuelas del distrito han recibido el reconocimiento tanto estatal como nacional y el logro estudiantil ha seguido elevándose, año tras año, incluso entre nuestros grupos más vulnerables.

Se han concretado contratos de varios años con nuestros empleados representados durante mi periodo y he apoyado a las escuelas consideradas como la mejor elección, tales como la Escuela Primaria Pacheco, la Escuela Primaria Teach y la Escuela Secundaria Pacific Peach para padres que buscan opciones alternas. Como síndico, me he preocupado por que los recursos monetarios públicos que se destinan por medio del Bono a Establecimientos conforme a la Iniciativa de Ley D se inviertan de manera sensata y con responsabilidad. Cada dólar gastado apoyará a los establecimientos del siglo 21 para que sirvan a las actuales y futuras generaciones de estudiantes.

A medida que nos vamos aproximando un panorama económico diferente que trae consigo el cierre de Diablo Canyon, estoy preparada para tomar decisiones presupuestarias siempre bajo el lente de que estas impliquen el menor impacto en los estudiantes y en los salones. Me tomo con seriedad la confianza que depositan en mí y me comprometo a trabajar diligentemente y con sincero interés para apoyar las oportunidades educativas de todos los estudiantes.

---

DECLARACIÓN DE CANDIDATA PARA
MIEMBRO DE LA JUNTA GUBERNATIVA, ÁREA DE SÍNDICO 2
Distrito Escolar Unificado San Luis Coastal

EVELYN FRAME
Ocupación: Maestra de Primaria, Jubilada

Educación y Competencia: Me jubilé el pasado junio como maestra de grado de primaria; di clases durante más de 30 años. Esa experiencia actual en los salones es lo que me motiva a postularme para este puesto. El conocimiento que obtuve en esa experiencia es el que me impulsa a trabajar para garantizar que las necesidades de los estudiantes sean la prioridad en todas las decisiones de la junta. Nuestro distrito está enfrentando difíciles decisiones presupuestarias y debemos volver a replantear la excelencia escolar. Mi sólida plataforma de defensa de los estudiantes: aportes de los padres de familia locales para formar la identidad de cada escuela, conocimientos especializados como maestra de grado entregada en cuerpo y alma y transparencia fiscal para involucrar mejor a todas las partes de interés en la comunidad.

Nuestra comunidad tiene altas expectativas para nuestras escuelas. Todos nos beneficiamos de tener excelentes maestros y del sólido apoyo comunitario. Mi compromiso se enfocará hacia la participación activa y transparente con padres de familia, maestros y el personal, con el fin de profundizar nuestro conocimiento colectivo de las necesidades de los estudiantes. Somos un distrito mejor y una comunidad más enriquecida cuando el término "todos" verdaderamente engloba a "todos" y a todos los estudiantes se les lanza el desafío y se les da el apoyo para crecer como alumnos y como ciudadanos.

Más de 25 años de Experiencia en los Salones del Nivel Primario, seis años como Especialista en Intervención en Lectura y en Aprendizaje del Idioma Inglés, Diversas Credenciales en Asignaturas/BCLAD, Comités de Entrevistas para Directores y Maestros, Currículo Piloto del Distrito, Miembro del Concejo de Sedes Escolares
HEIDI HARMON
Occupation: Mayor
Age: 48

**Education and Qualifications:** As your Mayor, 30-year resident, Cal Poly graduate and mother, I have a deep commitment to creating the city we want while preserving what makes San Luis Obispo special. My proactive, civil, and inclusive approach invites voices from across the political spectrum to devise the best solutions together. I am proud of what we have accomplished together during my first term, and am committed to meaningful engagement with the community as we move forward. I am running for re-election to continue to strengthen the relationship between you and your city.

We have created housing solutions while advocating for local control, strengthened relationships with residents and our regional partners, revitalized the unique culture of our downtown and parks while being leaders in fiscal responsibility. We are building community resilience through energy efficiency and sustainability while we continue to enhance our open space.

We must address the challenges of Diablo's closure, pension liabilities, and our housing crisis while we maximize the opportunities of our beautiful environment, vibrant neighborhoods, and forward-thinking community.

Together, we can ensure a dynamic, inclusive and resilient city. Please join us as we move San Luis Obispo forward. www.heidiharmon.org

---

T. KEITH GURNEE
Occupation: Planner / Urban Designer
Age: 71

**Education and Qualifications:** As a resident of San Luis Obispo for over 40 years, and as the first Cal Poly student ever elected to the City Council, I have a passion for this community, its downtown, and its neighborhoods.

San Luis Obispo needs a Mayor who actually listens to our residents, who will protect our historic neighborhoods, expand and protect our open space greenbelt, hold Cal Poly accountable for its impacts on our community, and bring fiscal responsibility back to City Hall.

We need a Mayor who will strengthen our downtown in keeping with its scale, character, and heritage, who has extensive experience in public planning and urban design, and who will lead with compassion and competence. I’ll be committed to pursuing a vision that respects our high quality of life while representing all our residents rather than a select few.

In recent years, our city governance has lost its way. We need a serious change of leadership to take us into the future as the small-town we’ve always wanted to be. It’s time to bring common sense and a respect for our town back to City Hall.

As your Mayor, I pledge to do just that. Please vote Gurnee for Mayor for our neighborhoods!
DECLARACION DE LA CANDIDATA PARA
ALCALDESA
Ciudad de San Luis Obispo

HEIDI HARMON
Ocupación: Alcaldesa
Edad: 48

Educación y Competencia: Como su Alcaldesa, residente por 30 años, egresada de Cal Poly y madre, estoy profundamente comprometida con la creación de la ciudad que queremos mientras preservamos lo que hace de San Luis Obispo un lugar especial. Mi enfoque proactivo, civil e incluyente invita a las voces de todo el espectro político a que encontremos juntos las mejores soluciones. Me siento orgullosa de lo que logramos juntos en mi primer período y le apuesto a un compromiso significativo con la comunidad a medida que vayamos avanzando. Me postulo a la reelección para seguir consolidando la relación entre usted y su ciudad.

Creamos soluciones habitacionales mientras abogamos por el control local, fortalecimos las relaciones con los residentes y nuestros socios regionales, revitalizamos la cultura única del centro de nuestra ciudad y nuestros parques, siendo al mismo tiempo líderes en materia de responsabilidad fiscal. Estamos construyendo la resiliencia comunitaria mediante la eficiencia y la sostenibilidad energéticas, mientras seguimos mejorando nuestros espacios abiertos.

Debemos abordar los retos del cierre de Diablo, las obligaciones de pensiones y nuestra crisis de vivienda, mientras maximizamos las oportunidades de nuestro hermoso ambiente, vecindarios vibrantes y comunidad progresista.

Juntos podemos asegurar que tengamos una ciudad dinámica, incluyente y resiliente. Acompáñenos a llevar a San Luis Obispo adelante. www.heidiharmon.org
CARLYN CHRISTIANSON  
Occupation: City Council Member

Education and Qualifications: I’m grateful to be serving on the Council. Since voters entrusted this seat to me in 2013, I’ve delivered on my promises: integrity, transparency, and a balanced and steady approach to our city’s challenges—such as housing, roads, financial responsibility, climate action, water, homelessness—and safeguarding our beautiful greenbelt and improving our neighborhoods, including our cherished Downtown.

A resident for 29 years and mother of two sons, besides a career in healthcare I’ve been a dedicated community volunteer, always promoting the connection between a healthy environment and a healthy economy. I remain deeply committed to San Luis Obispo’s fundamental values of environmental protection, cultural and historical richness, economic vitality and fair, sensible government.

I’ve passionately supported open space acquisition and protection, and ensured our water supply is secure. I’ve strongly championed housing solutions for all our people, and encouraged neighborhood quality efforts and multimodal transportation investment. I’ve explored new initiatives such as community choice energy and cannabis.

San Luis Obispo is thriving but we have more work ahead. Listening respectfully to all residents, I believe my extensive business and Council experience provide the deep familiarity, wide-ranging experience and practical skills needed to make future critical decisions.

I ask for your vote. Contact carlynpc@gmail.com.

JAMES LOPES  
Occupation: Retired County Planner

Education and Qualifications: Aren’t we fortunate to live in this unique, relatively unspoiled area and city? We can all understand how fragile our setting is becoming, facing large-scale growth. We can carry forward this sense of place which history and the Morros provide, while spinning variations on it. I want a city government which ensures that this sense of place resonates within our plans and ordinances and in development, commerce and recreation. I want the City to think, speak and work for all of us, not just “customers,” to listen and respond first to residents and neighborhoods, and to uphold and defend our city standards and guidelines. I want the City to reset priorities toward quality of life and respect for each other in neighborhoods, downtown and on our streets. I want to balance job growth with more affordable housing for all incomes, mixed together in urban villages within commercial zones, shopping centers, and near downtown, without looming high over it or existing neighborhoods. Cal Poly should build on-campus villages for all undergraduates. We need a balanced community for families, with better and more parks, bikeways, walkways and recreation while protecting and preserving our open spaces. Please join me moving toward this vision.
STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
MEMBER OF CITY COUNCIL
City of San Luis Obispo

ABE LINCOLN
Age: 48
Occupation: Executive Director SLO Noor Foundation

Education and Qualifications: 30 years ago, I came to San Luis Obispo as a Cal Poly freshman and fell in love with the area at first sight.

Today, I am the Executive Director of the SLO Noor Foundation, which provides free healthcare to our neighbors without health insurance.

I believe San Luis Obispo is an incredibly unique city with unlimited potential that can lead the state and country in so many different ways.

I am a champion for the environment, healthcare for all, women's rights, LGBTQIA, immigration reform, ethnic diversity, labor unions, public education, increased minimum wage, rent control and helping the underserved.

If you believe that San Luis Obispo can not only be better, but be the best, I would appreciate your vote.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
MEMBER OF CITY COUNCIL
City of San Luis Obispo

SARAH FLICKINGER
Age: 38
Occupation: Homemaker, Community Advocate, Coach

Education and Qualifications: As San Luis Obispo grows and changes, I feel it is increasingly important to listen to the many, diverse perspectives offered by community members, so that together we can move toward a balanced vision of social, economic and environmental sustainability for the well-being of all, including the land we’re entrusted to steward.

How we change matters. Small adjustments can make a big difference to improve the daily lives of people, businesses and the environment. As a Council Member I will be a strong voice for the right changes—big or small—with thoughtful, thorough review and diligent care put into every consideration.

I grew up in Los Osos, attending local public schools before moving to SLO in 1998 to attend Cal Poly. I received a Journalism degree and spent my career in public relations, the wine industry and media before becoming a stay-at-home mom. I spend time as an engaged, active citizen in the community, contributing through public comments, volunteering at local schools and in service to local and national organizations. I’ve served on multiple Boards and committees and dedicated countless hours to community service and neighborhood advocacy.

It would be an honor to serve this city, its place and people. www.sarahflickinger.com
ROBERT “BOB” VOGLIN
Occupation: Community Volunteer / Retired Salesman

Education and Qualifications: I have been a 27 year resident of San Luis Obispo and truly love this town. I would like to see our town slow down and try to recapture the quaintness and charm which I feel were getting away from. This has been illustrated over the past 5 to 10 years with the approval of large housing developments and oversized buildings, without corresponding improvements with traffic management and disregard for people who need their cars for work or play. I’m also concerned with the constant challenge our community has for water and wastewater management and other environmental issues.

I would like to see our town work on recapturing our village atmosphere and quaint character instead of the larger cities atmosphere.

I have worked as a salesman for many years in our community and for the past 7 years have been retired and the Director of a non-profit in our community which raises money for people going through cancer.

We need to be fiscally responsible as well as very aware of how to keep our charm. I will work hard as city council to slow SLO down and help restore our happy town.


JEFFERY SPECHT
Occupation: Entrepreneur

Education and Qualifications: I am running for the San Luis Obispo City Council because I care about our community, I care about our residents and I care about justice. I want to stop the culture of waste, corruption and bloated government oozing out of City Hall.

Our council ignores the public while bowing down to city staff and special interests. Our police officers harass the mentally ill and homeless which has resulted in an even larger population of unhoused and unsupported mentally ill people sleeping on our streets.

Our council is supporting large developers who are building atrocities that block our scenic views and a bike riding coalition focused on forcing people onto bicycles by removing parking.

I promise to serve the will of the people. I am a lifelong San Luis Obispo resident who loves our city.
ERICA A. STEWART
Occupation: Administrator
Age: 45

Education and Qualifications: I have been a resident of the SLO community for over 20 years. I’m a mother of two teenage boys, a wife, and both an employee and alumna of Cal Poly. I have volunteered extensively, including vice president of SLO County’s Civil Service Commission, Women’s Legacy Fund Advisory Committee member, SLO Chamber’s Legislative Action Committee, and several homeless service organizations. My work experience spans from human resources and healthcare, to nonprofit management and the restaurant industry.

I know it can be hard for everyone to have their voice heard. As a San Luis Obispo city council member, I will increase opportunities for honest, respectful, and open communication to solve some of the upcoming challenges facing our community.

I will expand civic education and participation. I will collaborate with neighboring cities and the county to responsibly manage our natural and financial resources. I’ve worked on many regional projects to improve the lives of all residents, and as a former small business owner, I understand that we must support a variety of businesses throughout the city to ensure a vibrant economy.

PLEASE SEE NEXT PAGE FOR SPANISH STATEMENTS FOR THIS CONTEST
DECLARACIÓN DE LA CANDIDATA PARA
MIEMBRO DEL CONCEJO MUNICIPAL
Ciudad de San Luis Obispo

CARLYN CHRISTIANSON
Ocupación: Miembro del Concejo Municipal

Educación y Competencia: Estoy agradecida por poder servir el Concejo. Puesto que los votantes confiaron en mí para ocupar este asiento en el año 2013, cumplí con mis promesas: integridad, transparencia y un enfoque equilibrado y constante para poder afrontar los desafíos que se presentan en nuestra ciudad—como viviendas, carreteras, responsabilidad financiera, acciones climáticas, el agua, la situación de la gente sin hogar—además de la protección de nuestro hermoso cinturón verde y la mejora de nuestros vecindarios, incluido nuestro querido Centro de la Ciudad.

Soy residente de la Ciudad desde hace 29 años y madre de dos hijos. Además de mi experiencia profesional en el área del cuidado de la salud, también he trabajado de manera voluntaria en la comunidad, fomentando siempre la conexión entre un entorno sano y una economía saludable. Estoy profundamente comprometida con los valores fundamentales de la protección del medio ambiente, la riqueza cultural e histórica, la vitalidad económica, y el gobierno justo y razonable de San Luis Obispo.

He apoyado apasionadamente la adquisición y protección de espacios abiertos y me he asegurado de que nuestro suministro de agua sea seguro. He luchado enérgicamente para brindar soluciones de vivienda a toda nuestra gente, y he fomentado los esfuerzos para tener vecindarios de calidad y las inversiones en el transporte multimodal. He explorado iniciativas nuevas como el uso de una energía elegida por la comunidad y el cannabis.

San Luis Obispo es una ciudad próspera pero tenemos mucho trabajo por delante. Al escuchar respetuosamente a todos los residentes, creo que gracias a mi amplia experiencia empresarial y como miembro del Concejo, poseo una amplia formación y los conocimientos prácticos necesarios para tomar decisiones futuras importantes.

Le pido su voto. Comuníquese con carlynpc@gmail.com.

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO PARA
MIEMBRO DEL CONCEJO MUNICIPAL
Ciudad de San Luis Obispo

ABE LINCOLN
Edad: 48
Ocupación: Director Ejecutivo de la Fundación Noor de SLO

Educación y Competencia: Hace 30 años, vine a San Luis Obispo para estudiar en Cal Poly y me enamoré de la zona a primera vista.

Actualmente, soy el Director Ejecutivo de la Fundación Noor de SLO, que brinda servicios gratuitos de atención de la salud a nuestros vecinos que no cuentan con seguro médico.

Creo que San Luis Obispo es una ciudad increíblemente única, con un potencial ilimitado, y que puede liderar el estado y el país de muchas maneras diferentes.

Soy un defensor del medio ambiente, de la posibilidad de que todos puedan acceder a la atención médica, los derechos de la mujer, de los LGBTQIA, de la reforma migratoria, de la diversidad étnica, de los sindicatos, de la educación pública, del aumento del salario mínimo, del alquiler controlado y de ayudar a las personas de bajos recursos.

Si cree que San Luis Obispo no solo puede mejorar, sino que puede llegar a ser la mejor ciudad, le agradecería su voto.
DECLARACIÓN DE LA CANDIDATA PARA
MIEMBRO DEL CONCEJO MUNICIPAL
Ciudad de San Luis Obispo

SARAH FLICKINGER
Edad: 38
Ocupación: Ama de Casa, Defensora de la Comunidad, Entrenadora

Educación y Competencia: A medida que San Luis Obispo crece y evoluciona, siento que cada vez es más importante escuchar a las muchas y diversas perspectivas que ofrecen los miembros de la comunidad, para que juntos podamos avanzar hacia un enfoque equilibrado de sustentabilidad social, económica y medioambiental por el bien de todos, incluso de la tierra que se nos ha confiado.

Es importante cómo elegimos cambiar. Los pequeños ajustes pueden hacer una gran diferencia para mejorar la vida diaria, los negocios y el medio ambiente. Como Miembro del Concejo, levantaré mi voz para lograr los cambios correctos—grandes o chicos—asegurándome de realizar una evaluación cuidadosa y exhaustiva respecto a cada uno de los temas puesto a consideración.

Crecí en Los Osos y asistí a diferentes escuelas públicas locales antes de mudarme a SLO en el año 1998 para inscribirme en Cal Poly. Obtuve un título en Periodismo y desarrollé mi carrera en relaciones públicas, la industria del vino y los medios de comunicación antes de decidir quedarme en casa y convertirme en mamá a tiempo completo. Dedico gran parte de mi tiempo a ser una ciudadana comprometida y activa en la comunidad, a través de comentarios públicos, voluntariados en escuelas locales y servicio en organizaciones locales y nacionales. Trabajé en varias Juntas y comités, y he dedicado incontables horas al servicio comunitario y a la defensa de nuestros vecindarios.

Sería un honor servir a esta ciudad y a su gente. www.sarahflickinger.com

---

DECLARACIÓN DE LA CANDIDATA PARA
MIEMBRO DEL CONCEJO MUNICIPAL
Ciudad de San Luis Obispo

ERICA A. STEWART
Edad: 45
Ocupación: Administradora

Educación y Competencia: He vivido en la comunidad de SLO durante más de 20 años. Soy mamá de dos varones adolescentes, esposa, y empleada y alumna de Cal Poly. He realizado muchos trabajos de manera voluntaria, como vicepresidente de la Comisión de Servicio Civil del Condado de SLO, miembro del Comité Asesor del Fondo del Legado de las Mujeres, miembro del Comité de Acción Legislativa de la Cámara de SLO y miembro de muchas organizaciones al servicio de las personas sin hogar. Mi experiencia laboral abarca diferentes áreas, desde recursos humanos y cuidados de la salud, hasta la administración de organizaciones sin fines de lucro y de la industria gastronómica.

Sé que puede ser difícil que se escuchen las opiniones de todos. Como miembro del Concejo Municipal de San Luis Obispo, aumentaré las oportunidades de establecer una comunicación honesta, respetuosa y abierta para resolver algunos de los desafíos inminentes que enfrenta nuestra comunidad.

Ampliaré la educación y la participación ciudadana. Colaboraré con las ciudades cercanas y con el condado para administrar de manera responsable nuestros recursos naturales y financieros. Trabajé en muchos proyectos regionales para mejorar las vidas de todos los residentes y, como ex dueña de un pequeño negocio, entiendo que debemos apoyar distintos emprendimientos en toda la ciudad para garantizar una economía dinámica.
COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO
IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEASURE G-18

This measure proposes the adoption of an ordinance through the initiative process. If 51% of qualified voters vote to approve the measure, an ordinance will be adopted amending the San Luis Obispo County General Plan, including the Local Coastal Program, and Title 22 and Title 23 of the San Luis Obispo County Code, to prohibit well stimulation treatments and any new petroleum extraction on all land within the unincorporated area of the county. The intended purpose of the measure is to protect county land, water, and quality of life.

Some impacts of the measure are uncertain because it is not known to what extent the banned or limited well stimulation activities are either utilized or necessary to the recovery of oil or gas in the county. The measure bans any new petroleum extraction but permits as a “nonconforming use” any petroleum extraction existing on the effective date of the measure (10 days after the vote approving the measure is declared by the board of supervisors [Elec. Code, § 9122]; hereafter “the effective date”). Such extraction may not be enlarged, increased, or otherwise expanded or intensified after the effective date. The measure prohibits all well stimulation treatments, even those currently existing on the measure’s effective date. Well stimulation treatments include fracking and acidizing (acid well stimulation), which can be used, where effective, to enhance recovery of petroleum.

The legality of the measure is uncertain. To the extent the measure regulates drilling operations underground, it may be preempted by state or federal law. San Luis Obispo County retains land use regulation on the surface, but the validity of local land use regulations that impact how wells are operated below ground is not clear. In addition, the measure’s regulatory restrictions on certain oil extraction without compensation may result in claims of an unconstitutional “taking” of mineral rights. In order to avoid an unconstitutional taking and county liability, the measure provides authority for the county to grant a limited exemption to the regulations imposed by the measure based on substantial evidence of facts constituting a taking. The procedures for evaluating evidence of a taking and determining the extent of any exemption are not prescribed by the measure. The necessity of utilizing such procedures prior to bringing suit against the county is unknown. Any exemption determination would be subject to judicial review.

The measure requires the county to defend the measure. If the measure is approved, litigation is anticipated. Litigation would likely include claims of preemption and an unlawful taking of private property rights. County resources would be necessary to defend against such claims, and to process taking exemption claims and vested rights claims made by holders of mineral rights.

The measure has been placed on the ballot by the requisite number of voters who signed the initiative petition for the measure. A "yes" vote on the measure is a vote in favor of the measure. A "no" vote is a vote against its adoption.

s/ RITA L. NEAL
County Counsel

The above statement is an impartial analysis of Measure G-18. If you desire a copy of the Ordinance or related materials, you can visit the Election website at www.slovote.com, or you can call the Election’s office at 805-781-5228 and a copy will be made available at no cost to you.
FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT FOR MEASURE G-18

The fiscal impact of Measure G-18 to County revenue and expenditures is inherently difficult to predict due to its intersection with the variable oil market, changing technologies, and potential secondary effects of restricting oil production.

If approved, Measure G-18 prohibits new petroleum extraction and “well stimulation treatment;” existing operations would be allowed to continue as long as they are not expanded or intensified. As stated in Measure G-18, the impacts of petroleum extraction will decrease over time as production from existing wells declines. Additionally, the value of active oil businesses and mineral rights will likely decrease if Measure G is approved, which could impact tax revenue as described below.

Although there may be financial impacts to the County, it is impossible to predict with any certainty what they will be or the net amount. The following fiscal areas would likely be affected if oil extraction decreases:

- **Property Tax:** The assessment of property tax for mineral-producing properties is based on a calculation of oil reserves that the mineral rights holder can access as well as the market price of oil. Restricting the owner’s ability to expand will decrease the value of the mineral rights and thereby decrease taxes.

- **Unitary Tax:** This is similar to property tax except the assessment is calculated by the State rather than the local Assessor. Unitary tax is applied to businesses whose assets cross county boundaries such as transmission lines or pipelines. A decrease in oil production may decrease unitary taxes.

- **In Fiscal Year 2017/2018,** the oil industry was assessed $2,304,232 in secured, unsecured and unitary taxes. This represents 0.43% of all assessed taxes for 2017/2018. The taxes were apportioned as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Percent of Total Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schools -</td>
<td>$ 1,514,626</td>
<td>(0.283%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County General Fund -</td>
<td>$ 603,596</td>
<td>(0.113%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Districts -</td>
<td>$ 121,543</td>
<td>(0.023%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cities -</td>
<td>$ 20,211</td>
<td>(0.004%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDA Successor Agencies -</td>
<td>$ 44,255</td>
<td>(0.008%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are other potential financial impacts to the County General Fund which are impossible to estimate, including but not limited to:

- The cost of any environmental damage caused by the oil extraction process. The oil industry is regulated by multiple agencies including the Department of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Regulation, Environmental Protection Agency, Regional Water Quality Control Board, Air Pollution Control, and the County of San Luis Obispo Planning Department. Although infrequent, even with regulation, oil spills have occurred;

- Any impact to approximately 32 to 76 local oil-industry jobs (source EDD) and the cascading effect to the local economy, including the related consumer spending and associated sales tax;

- County costs for processing applications for exemptions to Measure G-18, including exemptions to avoid unconstitutional takings of property and where there are “vested rights” which may be offset by existing fees;

- Litigation costs defending or opposing exemption approvals or denials;
- Potential litigation costs regarding claims of unconstitutional “takings” of property and/or vested rights. Costs could include monetary awards for damages (the County is not insured against court judgments for “takings” damages).

s/ James P Erb, CPA  
Auditor-Controller-Treasurer-Tax Collector  
County of San Luis Obispo
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE G-18

Beautiful San Luis Obispo County has a bright and prosperous future if we choose to protect our water, agriculture, and tourism. Fracking, acidizing, and expanded petroleum extraction put that future at risk.

Measure G lets the people decide, not the oil companies.
- The oil industry has plans to expand drilling in San Luis Obispo County, if we let them.
- They use risky, energy-intensive oil extraction techniques.
- Oil operations, as well as fracking and acidizing, often require transportation and use of toxic chemicals.
- Fracking and expanded drilling pose risks to San Luis Obispo County’s water, agricultural heritage, and rural character, and undermine our clean energy future.

Measure G protects our water quality and quantity.
- It bans fracking, acidizing, and expanded drilling, which endanger our groundwater, creeks and soil.
- Fracking uses large quantities of fresh water. Our limited water supplies should be conserved for local farmers and residents, not sacrificed to the oil industry.

Measure G protects our health and safety.
- Studies reveal toxic chemicals used in fracking and acidizing – and pollution from oil extraction – can cause illnesses such as cancer, birth defects, and asthma.
- Scientists have shown fracking and injection can trigger earthquakes – and we live in an already seismically active area.

Measure G protects our economic future.
- A safe and reliable water supply is essential for a healthy economy. Reports show:
  - Agriculture produced $732 million in crops, contributed $1.87 billion to the local economy, and supported over 20,000 local jobs in 2011. 2017 crop values totaled nearly $925 million.
  - Tourism generated $75 million in local tax revenue and provided another 20,000 jobs in 2017 alone.

Measure G is fair and balanced.
- It prohibits expanded drilling but allows existing oil operations to continue.
- It gives the County flexibility to protect property rights.

Vote YES on Measure G: Protect Our Water, Our Health, and Our Future.

s/ Natalie Risner, Small Business Owner
s/ Charles Varni, Retired College Teacher
s/ Karen Merriam, Retired Clinical Social Worker
s/ Dale Smith, Retired Veterinarian
As citizens who proudly live in San Luis Obispo County, we care deeply about our County’s future and strongly oppose Measure G.

At this time, when our County faces adverse effects due to the closure of Diablo Canyon, Measure G would create more economic uncertainty, hurt our community, and increase our dependence on foreign oil.

Measure G would shut down EXISTING oil and gas production.

- The promoters of this proposition are using scare tactics about fracking to try to pass Measure G. But, there is no hydraulic fracturing in San Luis Obispo County and no plans to do so.
- Measure G is so broadly written that practices safely used for over a century—and which are needed for existing production to continue—would be banned by Measure G.

Measure G would put the County and its taxpayers at risk for millions of dollars in liability that the County is not insured for.

- San Luis Obispo County would be on the hook to pay millions of dollars to property owners whose mineral rights would be violated by Measure G—thus facing drastic reductions in vital public services.
- A state judge already held that a similar measure in Monterey County was illegal and resulted in Monterey being exposed to massive legal liability.

Even the County’s own impartial analysis cited the risk of litigation resulting from the measure (7/20/2018).

We need a balanced approach as we transition to a clean energy economy, not the drastic energy shutdown caused by Measure G.

Vote NO on G

/s/ Tom J. Bordonaro, Jr., Assessor, San Luis Obispo County
/s/ Chris Darway, 4th Generation Family Farmer, San Luis Obispo County
/s/ Dr. Dan Howes, Ph.D., Water Engineer, Cal Poly
/s/ Doralyn Henry, Teacher
/s/ James Mulhall, Retired Commander, San Luis Obispo County Sheriff's Department
ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE G-18

Measure G is a costly ballot initiative that would result in another major employer shutting down in our County-hurting local workers and their families and resulting in new economic problems for our County.

Measure G would shut down existing oil and gas production.
- Practices that have been safely used for over a century and are needed for existing production to continue would be banned by Measure G.
- As a result, Measure G would shut down existing oil and gas production in San Luis Obispo County.

Measure G would result in more economic uncertainty.
- Already, the closure of Diablo Canyon is going to put a heavy economic strain on our county budget.
- If oil and gas production is shut down, the county would lose even more tax revenue, which could result in deep budget cuts to public safety and schools.

Measure G would harm our families and our community.
- Hundreds of workers would be at risk of losing their jobs.
- Millions in annual state and local tax revenues would be lost.

Measure G would expose the County and its taxpayers to massive financial liability.
- The County would be required to defend itself against lawsuits over Measure G, which could cost the County millions of dollars in legal fees alone.

Measure G would increase dependence on foreign oil.
- California produces less than 35% of what is needed to meet the demand of gasoline for our drivers.
- Oil that we do not produce locally would have to be imported from somewhere else, including countries that have poor environmental regulations and terrible human rights standards like Saudi Arabia, Iraq and Russia.
- We should allow local producers to help meet our energy needs in the responsible way, under the strictest environmental regulations in the world.

Vote NO on G.
/s/ George Donati, 4th Generation Family Farmer, San Luis Obispo County
/s/ Dr. Dan Howes, Ph.D., Water Engineer, Cal Poly
/s/ Dr. Rene Bravo, M.D., Children's Health Specialist
/s/ Dee Santos, Lucia Mar Unified School District Trustee
/s/ William Andersen, Firefighter

ARGUMENTS AND REBUTTALS CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE
Big Oil is spending big money to protect their profits while they threaten our water, economy, and health. Know the facts:

The “Oil and Gas Shut Down” is a Lie
- Measure G allows San Luis Obispo County’s existing oil operations to continue (see Section 2B of the Initiative).

Big Oil is Risking the Heart of San Luis Obispo County’s Economy
- The County Auditor says oil provides fewer than 80 local jobs and only 0.43% of County-assessed taxes.
- Reports show agriculture and tourism together contribute over 40,000 jobs and billions of dollars to the local economy.
- Agriculture and tourism depend on clean and plentiful water. Groundwater contamination could cause significant economic harm.

Big Oil is Bullying Voters with Threats of Lawsuits
- Courts have confirmed local governments have the power to ban new drilling. If we choose to do that, then Big Oil should back off.
- The measure gives the County flexibility to avoid liability for “taking” anyone’s property rights.

Oil Companies Don’t Care About Our Energy Independence
- They convinced Congress to lift the ban on oil exports to maximize their profits. They also consistently lobby against clean energy measures that would reduce our reliance on overseas fossil fuels.
- San Luis Obispo County oil is refined and sold throughout California and internationally, not just for local consumption.

Measure G stops oil companies from passing the risks of fracking and expanded oil production on to the people of San Luis Obispo County. Vote YES on Measure G.

s/ Ruth Madocks, Organic Farmer
s/ Elizabeth Demsetz, Physics Lecturer, Cal Poly
s/ Sherrl Stoddard, RN
s/ Janine Rands, Retired Social Worker
s/ Douglas Timewell, Retired Farmer

END OF ARGUMENTS FOR MEASURE G-18
Measure F-18 is a cannabis business tax measure placed on the ballot by unanimous vote of the San Luis Obispo City Council. If approved by a majority of City voters, the Measure would enact a tax charged upon persons engaging in commercial cannabis cultivation, retail sales, and other cannabis business activities. Under current City law, all commercial cannabis activities are prohibited within the City, personal cultivation is limited, and there is no City tax specifically applicable to cannabis businesses. The proposed tax would apply only to cannabis businesses.

The City Council previously adopted Ordinance No. 1647 (2018 Series) to permit and regulate commercial cannabis businesses. However, that ordinance provides that no permits shall be issued, and no commercial cannabis business operations shall be allowed in the City, unless City voters approve a cannabis business tax.

This Measure provides that "The Cannabis Business Tax is a general tax enacted solely to raise revenue for general governmental purposes... ", meaning that all revenue from the tax can be used for any City projects, services or operations, without limitation. Revenues from the Measure would be deposited into the City's general fund with expenditures determined by the City Council.

Measure F-18 would approve an ordinance to be codified as Chapter 5.10 of the City's Municipal Code to define cannabis businesses and activities subject to the tax; establish administration, payment and compliance procedures; and impose the tax on commercial cultivation and cannabis business activities. The Measure would impose a tax not to exceed $10 per square foot of plant canopy grown on commercial cannabis cultivation and not to exceed 10% of gross receipts on retail sales. Taxes would also be imposed on testing labs, manufacturing, processing and distribution businesses at maximum rates between 2.5% and 4% of gross receipts. Uncompensated personal cultivation consistent with State and City law would not be taxed. The Measure provides for a phased imposition of taxes on all cannabis businesses, establishing initial rates, beginning January 1, 2019, below the authorized maximum rates. The initial rate for commercial cultivation is fixed through January 1, 2021. After January 1, 2022, the maximum commercial cultivation tax rate will increase based on a specified Consumer Price Index. For all other cannabis business types, the City Council can, by resolution or ordinance, raise rates up to the specified maximums for the business type at any time after January 1, 2019. The Measure authorizes the Council to eliminate taxes or reduce tax rates at any time. The tax cannot be increased above the maximum amounts specified without additional voter approval.

A "yes" vote on Measure F-18 means the City will be authorized to impose and collect the tax and the City will begin permitting cannabis businesses that comply with State and City laws after January 1, 2019. A "no" vote means that the City will not be authorized to impose and collect the tax and the City will not permit or allow any cannabis business operations in the City.

J. Christine Dietrick,
City Attorney, City of San Luis Obispo

The above statement is an impartial analysis of Measure F-18. If you desire a copy of the measure, please call the elections official's office at 805-781-7100 and a copy will be mailed at no cost to you.
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF F-18

With the passage of Proposition 64, which legalized adult-use cannabis, local governments were given the responsibility to provide oversight and regulate the legalization of cannabis business within their communities.

In the City of San Luis Obispo, Proposition 64 passed by 67%, indicating our community's support for bringing adult-use cannabis out of the shadows and into a regulated, safety-focused business for those who choose to participate. Establishing a tax on the cultivation, production and sale of cannabis is a common-sense component of any such regulation.

Earlier this year, after a robust public process, the City Council unanimously adopted an ordinance that allows the City to ensure public safety while overseeing this growing industry. To prioritize safety and visibility, the local ordinance will create buffer zones around schools, parks and residential neighborhoods, and establish limits on locations and size. The ordinance to legalize cannabis businesses will not take effect unless and until this tax proposal is approved by the voters of San Luis Obispo.

The tax is an important part of the City’s ongoing commitment to fiscal responsibility. It was carefully crafted with input from stakeholders in public forums to find the right balance between generating the revenue needed while addressing certainty for this emerging industry. Funds collected will be directed toward priorities set by residents such as maintaining long-term financial health, supporting police and fire services, maintaining parks and open space, retaining local jobs, and addressing homelessness.

Measure F-18 will provide additional funding to support the quality of life for our residents and our city. Please join your entire City Council and vote YES on Measure F-18.

s/ Dan Rivoire, Council Member
s/ Andy Pease, Council Member

NO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE F-18 SUBMITTED
As required by new legislation, the County of San Luis Obispo offers facsimile ballots in the following languages and for only the precincts listed below:

**Spanish**

All Precincts All Polling Places

**Tagalog**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consolidated Precinct</th>
<th>Polling Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CON 407 &amp; 408</td>
<td>Calvary Chapel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON 409</td>
<td>Oak Park Christian Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON 410</td>
<td>Gospel Lighthouse of Arroyo Grande</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON 411</td>
<td>Coastal Community Church</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To determine your Con (precinct), please take a look at the back cover of this pamphlet and it will be listed above your printed name.

Facsimile ballots and instructions will be provided upon request. You can request to view the facsimile ballot for the language that is available at your polling place for the precincts listed above.

**Vote By Mail Voters**

If you are a Vote By Mail Voter in one of these precincts, you can request a facsimile ballot in one of the languages available:

By Phone: 805-781-5228

By E-Mail: elections@co.slo.ca.us

By Mail: 1055 Monterey Street, Suite D120
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

In Person: At the Clerk-Recorder’s Office at 1055 Monterey Street, D120, San Luis Obispo or 6565 Capistrano Avenue (2nd floor), Atascadero

Online: www.slocounty.ca.gov/LanguageAssistance
ASISTENCIA DE IDIOMA

Conforme exige la nueva legislación, el Condado de San Luis Obispo ofrece boletas electorales en los siguientes idiomas y solo para los recintos que a continuación se indican:

**Español**

Todos los recintos Todos los lugares de votación

**Tagalo**

Recinto consolidado Lugar de votación

| CON 407 & 408 | Calvary Chapel |
| CON 409 | Oak Park Christian Church |
| CON 410 | Gospel Lighthouse of Arroyo Grande |
| CON 411 | Coastal Community Church |

Para saber cuál es su Con (recinto), vea la portada posterior de este folleto y lo encontrará encima de su nombre.

Se suministrarán, a petición, boletas electorales e instrucciones por facsímil. Usted puede solicitar ver la boleta electoral por facsímil en el idioma que esté disponible en su lugar de votación para los recintos indicados anteriormente.

**Electores que votan por correo**

Si usted es un Elector que Vota Por Correo en uno de estos recintos, puede solicitar una boleta electoral por facsímil en uno de los idiomas disponibles:

Por teléfono: 805-781-5228

Por correo electrónico: elections@co.slo.ca.us

Por correo: 1055 Monterey Street, Suite D120
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Personalmente: En la Oficina del Secretario-Oficial de Registro en 1055 Monterey Street, D120, San Luis Obispo o 6565 Capistrano Avenue (2nd floor), Atascadero

En línea: www.slocounty.ca.gov/LanguageAssistance
TULONG SA WIKA

Ayon sa kinakailangan ng bagong batas na pinagtibay, nagbibigay ang County ng San Luis Obispo ng mga kopya ng balota na nasa mga sumusunod na wika at para lang sa mga presintong nakalista sa ibaba:

**Espanyol**
Lahat ng Presinto: Lahat ng Lugar na Botohan

**Tagalog**
Pinagsamang Presintong Lugar na Botohan

| CON 407 at 408 | Calvary Chapel |
| CON 409 | Oak Park Christian Church |
| CON 410 | Gospel Lighthouse of Arroyo Grande |
| CON 411 | Coastal Community Church |

Upang matukoy ang iyong Con (presinto), pakitingnan ang pabalat sa likod ng librito ang ito at makikita itong nakalista sa itaas ng pangalan mo.

Magbibigay ng mga kopya ng balota at tagubilin kapag hiniling. Maaari ka humiling na tumingin ng kopya ng balota para sa wikang makukuha sa iyong lugar na botohan para sa mga presintong nakalista sa itaas.

**Mga Botante ng Pagboto sa Pamamagitan ng Koreo**

Kung isa ka ng Botante ng Pagboto sa Pamamagitan ng Koreo sa isa sa mga presintong ito, maaari ka humiling ng kopya ng balota sa isa sa mga wikang mayroon nito:

Sa Pamamagitan ng Telepono: 805-781-5228

Sa Pamamagitan ng E-Mail: elections@co.slo.ca.us

Sa Pamamagitan ng Koreo: 1055 Monterey Street, Suite D120 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Sa Personal: Sa Opisina ng Klerk na Tagapagtala sa 1055 Monterey Street, D120, San Luis Obispo o 6565 Capistrano Avenue (Ika-2 palapag), Atascadero

Online: www.slocounty.ca.gov/LanguageAssistance
REQUESTING A VOTE BY MAIL BALLOT

If you find that for any reason you will be unable to vote in person on Election Day, promptly complete and sign the application for a Vote By Mail ballot printed on the reverse side of this page, detach the post card, apply postage and mail. Your application must reach the office of the Clerk-Recorder not less than 7 days before the day of election. State law now allows a voter to apply for a Vote By Mail ballot by telephone. You may reach the Clerk-Recorder at 805-781-5228. The deadline for the Clerk-Recorder to receive Vote By Mail applications is October 30, 2018.

PERMANENT VOTE BY MAIL QUALIFICATION

Any voter, upon request, may become a Permanent Vote By Mail Voter. You may either check the Permanent Vote By Mail box on the Application for Vote By Mail Ballot or request Permanent Vote By Mail status over the phone and a vote by mail ballot will automatically be sent to you for future elections. Failure to vote in four consecutive statewide general elections may cancel your Permanent Vote By Mail Status and you will need to reapply.

RETURNING YOUR VOTE BY MAIL BALLOT

Voters may return only their own voted vote by mail ballot, in person or by mail, to the Clerk-Recorder’s Office. On Election Day the ballot can be returned to any polling place. To be counted, a ballot must be received no later than the close of the polls at 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. Mailed ballots must be postmarked on or before Election Day and be received in the Clerk-Recorder’s Office no later than three days after Election Day. However, a voter who is unable to return his/her ballot may designate any person to return the ballot to the Clerk-Recorder or any polling place.

VOTE BY MAIL BALLOT LOOK-UP ON THE WEB

Check the status of your returned VBM ballot on the Internet at www.slovote.com

FOR QUESTIONS, CONTACT US

Contact Information on the Front Cover