**Party Preference and Political Party Endorsement**

On the ballot, the political party preference, or no party preference, as indicated on the candidate’s voter registration will be listed next to the candidate’s name. The party designation is shown on the ballot for information to the voters only and it does not constitute or imply an endorsement by the party designated. Parties may provide a list of candidates for voter-nominated offices that they have endorsed. Those candidates who have received the official endorsement of the party for printing in this booklet are listed below.

**PARTY ENDORSEMENTS FOR THE NOVEMBER 6, 2018, ELECTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Contests</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>Governor, Secretary of State, State Controller, State Treasurer, Attorney General, Insurance Commissioner, Board of Equalization, 2nd Dist, U.S. Senator, U.S. Representative, 24th Dist, State Assembly, 35th Dist, Superintendent of Public Instruction</td>
<td>Gavin Newsom, Alex Padilla, Betty T. Yee, Fiona Ma, Xavier Becerra, Ricardo Lara, Malia Cohen, Kevin de Leon, Salud Carbajal, Bill Ostrander, Tony K. Thurmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>Governor, Secretary of State, State Treasurer, Attorney General, Board of Equalization, 2nd Dist, U.S. Representative, 24th Dist, State Assembly, 35th Dist</td>
<td>John H. Cox, Mark P. Meuser, Konstantinos Roditis, Greg Conlon, Steven C. Bailey, Mark Burns, Justin Fareed, Jordan Cunningham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Independent</td>
<td>Governor, Secretary of State, State Treasurer, Attorney General, Insurance Commissioner, Board of Equalization, 2nd Dist, U.S. Representative, 24th Dist, State Assembly, 35th Dist</td>
<td>John H. Cox, Mark P. Meuser, Konstantinos Roditis, Greg Conlon, Steven C. Bailey, Steve Poizner, Mark Burns, Justin Fareed, Jordan Cunningham, Marshall Tuck</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The order of parties follows the Randomized Alphabet Drawing conducted by the County.*
INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS: To vote for or against candidates for Associate Justice of the Supreme Court; Presiding Justice, Court of Appeal; or Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, fill in the OVAL to the right of the word "YES" or "NO," respectively. To vote for any other candidate whose name appears on the ballot, fill in the OVAL to the RIGHT of the candidate’s name. Do not vote for more than the number of candidates allowed. To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write in the candidate's name on the Write-In line and fill in the OVAL to the RIGHT. To vote for a measure, fill in the OVAL to the RIGHT of the word “YES” or the word “NO”. Marking the ballot outside of the designated space to vote for a candidate or measure may compromise the secrecy of the ballot. If you tear, deface, or wrongly mark this ballot, return it and get another. TO VOTE, USE BALLPOINT WITH DARK INK TO FILL IN THE OVAL COMPLETELY LIKE THIS:

VOTER-NOMINATED AND NONPARTISAN OFFICES

All voters, regardless of the party preference they disclosed upon registration, or refusal to disclose a party preference, may vote for any candidate for a voter-nominated or nonpartisan office. The party preference, if any, designated by a candidate for a voter-nominated office is selected by the candidate and is shown for the information of the voters only. It does not imply that the candidate is nominated or endorsed by the party or that the party approves of the candidate. The party preference, if any, of a candidate for a nonpartisan office does not appear on the ballot.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Office</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party Preference</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governor</td>
<td>John H. Cox</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>Businessman/Taxpayer Advocate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieutenant Governor</td>
<td>Ed Hernandez</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>State Senator/Businessman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of State</td>
<td>Alex Padilla</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>Secretary of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller</td>
<td>Mark P. Meuser</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>Election Law Attorney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer</td>
<td>Konstantinos Roditis</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>Businessman/CFO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General</td>
<td>Betty T. Yee</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>California State Controller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer</td>
<td>Greg Conlon</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>Businessman/CPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General</td>
<td>Fiona Ma</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>CPA/Taxpayer Representative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer</td>
<td>Steven C. Bailey</td>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>Retired California Judge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General</td>
<td>Xavier Becerra</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>Appointed Attorney General of the State of California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSURANCE COMMISSIONER</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICARDO LARA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Senator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEVE POIZNER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businessman/Non-Profit Director</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMBER, STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote for One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALIA COHEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President, San Francisco County Supervisors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARK BURNS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Republican</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realtor/Businessman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITED STATES SENATOR</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIANNE FEINSTEIN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Senator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEVIN DE LEON</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Senator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24th District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALUD CARBAJAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member of Congress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUSTIN FAREED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Republican</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Businessman/Rancher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY</td>
<td>Vote for One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35th District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BILL OSTRANDER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Democratic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer/Nonprofit Director</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JORDAN CUNNINGHAM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Party Preference: Republican</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assemblymember/Small Businessman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Sample Ballot

### JUDICIAL
**VOTE YES OR NO FOR EACH OFFICE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OFFICE</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, Carol A. Corrigan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shall Associate Justice be elected to the office for the term provided by law?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, Leondra R. Kruger</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shall Associate Justice be elected to the office for the term provided by law?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL, Victoria G. Chaney</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shall Associate Justice be elected to the office for the term provided by law?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL, Helen Bendix</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shall Associate Justice be elected to the office for the term provided by law?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FOR PRESIDING JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL, Elwood Lui</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shall Presiding Justice be elected to the office for the term provided by law?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL, Victoria M. Chavez</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shall Associate Justice be elected to the office for the term provided by law?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL, Luis A. Lavin</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shall Associate Justice be elected to the office for the term provided by law?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Sample Ballot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Vote 1</th>
<th>Vote 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal 2nd Appellate District, Div. 3</td>
<td>HALIM DHANIDINA</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal 2nd Appellate District, Div. 3</td>
<td>ANNE H. EGERTON</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal 2nd Appellate District, Div. 4</td>
<td>NORA M. MANELLA</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal 2nd Appellate District, Div. 4</td>
<td>THOMAS WILLHITE</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal 2nd Appellate District, Div. 5</td>
<td>DOROTHY C. KIM</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal 2nd Appellate District, Div. 5</td>
<td>CARL H. MOOR</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal 2nd Appellate District, Div. 5</td>
<td>LAMAR W. BAKER</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presiding Justice of the Court of Appeal 2nd Appellate District, Div. 6</td>
<td>ARTHUR GILBERT</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sample Ballot

FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 6
Shall Associate Justice MARTIN J. TANGEMAN be elected to the office for the term provided by law?

YES ☐

NO ☐

FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 7
Shall Associate Justice GAIL R. FEUER be elected to the office for the term provided by law?

YES ☐

NO ☐

FOR ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 7
Shall Associate Justice JOHN L. SEGAL be elected to the office for the term provided by law?

YES ☐

NO ☐

FOR PRESIDING JUSTICE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL 2nd Appellate District, Div. 8
Shall Presiding Justice TRICIA A. BIGELOW be elected to the office for the term provided by law?

YES ☐

NO ☐

SCHOOL

SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

Vote for One

MARSHALL TUCK
Schools Improvement Director

☐

TONY K. THURMOND
Educator/State Legislator

☐

Write-In

☐
## Sample Ballot

TEMPELTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER

Vote for no more than Two

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MATT VIERRA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fire Marshall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAN NIMICK</td>
<td></td>
<td>Appointed Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BARBY LICHTI WUNSCH</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nonprofit Development Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KATRINA V. MERSON</td>
<td></td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write-In</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write-In</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

06-09
# Sample Ballot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Votes Allowed</th>
<th>Candidates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Atascadero Mayor</td>
<td>1 vote</td>
<td>Heather Moreno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Councilmember/CPA/Businesswoman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Write-In</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Atascadero Councilmember</td>
<td>2 votes</td>
<td>Susan Funk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Businesswoman/Management Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mark Dariz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Planning Commissioner/Architect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heather Newsom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parks Commissioner/Businesswoman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Write-In</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Write-In</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Atascadero City Treasurer</td>
<td>1 vote</td>
<td>Gere W. Sibbach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Write-In</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Sample Ballot

#### MEASURES SUBMITTED TO THE VOTERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sample Ballot

5  CHANGES REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTAIN PROPERTY OWNERS TO TRANSFER THEIR PROPERTY TAX BASE TO REPLACEMENT PROPERTY. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Removes certain transfer requirements for homeowners over 55, severely disabled homeowners, and contaminated or disaster-destroyed property. Fiscal Impact: Schools and local governments each would lose over $100 million in annual property taxes early on, growing to about $1 billion per year. Similar increase in state costs to backfill school property tax losses.

YES ☐
NO ☐

6  ELIMINATES CERTAIN ROAD REPAIR AND TRANSPORTATION FUNDING. REQUIRES CERTAIN FUEL TAXES AND VEHICLE FEES BE APPROVED BY THE ELECTORATE. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Repeals a 2017 transportation law’s taxes and fees designated for road repairs and public transportation. Fiscal Impact: Reduced ongoing revenues of $5.1 billion from state fuel and vehicle taxes that mainly would have paid for highway and road maintenance and repairs, as well as transit programs.

YES ☐
NO ☐

7  CONFORMS CALIFORNIA DAYLIGHT SAVING TIME TO FEDERAL LAW. ALLOWS LEGISLATURE TO CHANGE DAYLIGHT SAVING TIME PERIOD. LEGISLATIVE STATUTE. Gives Legislature ability to change daylight saving time period by two-thirds vote, if changes are consistent with federal law. Fiscal Impact: This measure has no direct fiscal effect because changes to daylight saving time would depend on future actions by the Legislature and potentially the federal government.

YES ☐
NO ☐

8  REGULATES AMOUNTS OUTPATIENT KIDNEY DIALYSIS CLINICS CHARGE FOR DIALYSIS TREATMENT. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires rebates and penalties if charges exceed limit. Requires annual reporting to the state. Prohibits clinics from refusing to treat patients based on payment source. Fiscal Impact: Overall annual effect on state and local governments ranging from net positive impact in the low tens of millions of dollars to net negative impact in the tens of millions of dollars.

YES ☐
NO ☐
Sample Ballot

9 Proposition 9 was removed from the ballot by order of the California Supreme Court.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition 10</th>
<th>Expands Local Governments’ Authority to Enact Rent Control on Residential Property. Initiative Statute.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Repeals state law that currently restricts the scope of rent-control policies that cities and other local jurisdictions may impose on residential property. Fiscal Impact: Potential net reduction in state and local revenues of tens of millions of dollars per year in the long term. Depending on actions by local communities, revenue losses could be less or considerably more.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options</td>
<td>YES ☐ NO ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11 Requires Private-Sector Emergency Ambulance Employees to Remain On-Call During Work Breaks. Eliminates Certain Employer Liability. Initiative Statute. Law entitling hourly employees to breaks without being on-call would not apply to private-sector ambulance employees. Fiscal Impact: Likely fiscal benefit to local governments (in the form of lower costs and higher revenues), potentially in the tens of millions of dollars each year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition 12</th>
<th>Establishes New Standards for Confinement of Specifying Farm Animals; Bans Sale of Noncomplying Products. Initiative Statute.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Establishes minimum requirements for confining certain farm animals. Prohibits sales of meat and egg products from animals confined in noncomplying manner. Fiscal Impact: Potential decrease in state income tax revenues from farm businesses, likely not more than several million dollars annually. State costs up to $10 million annually to enforce the measure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options</td>
<td>YES ☐ NO ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COUNTY

G-18 Shall an ordinance be adopted amending the San Luis Obispo County General Plan and County Code to prohibit any new petroleum extraction and all well stimulation treatments, as defined in the full-text of the measure, including hydraulic fracturing and acid well stimulation, on all lands within the unincorporated area of the county?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition G-18</th>
<th>Shall an ordinance be adopted amending the San Luis Obispo County General Plan and County Code to prohibit any new petroleum extraction and all well stimulation treatments, as defined in the full-text of the measure, including hydraulic fracturing and acid well stimulation, on all lands within the unincorporated area of the county?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Shall an ordinance be adopted amending the San Luis Obispo County General Plan and County Code to prohibit any new petroleum extraction and all well stimulation treatments, as defined in the full-text of the measure, including hydraulic fracturing and acid well stimulation, on all lands within the unincorporated area of the county?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options</td>
<td>YES ☐ NO ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Sample Ballot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>CITY OF ATASCADERO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### E-18
Shall the measure be adopted to tax cannabis businesses operating illegally or allowed in the future by the voters, State or City, at annual rates not to exceed $10.00 per canopy square foot for cultivation (adjustable for inflation), 10% of gross receipts for retail cannabis businesses, and 6% of gross receipts for all other cannabis businesses, generating $0-$500,000 annually for unrestricted general revenue purposes, such as police, fire and parks, until ended by the voters?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### J-18
Shall an ordinance be adopted that changes the term of office for the mayor from a two-year term to a four-year term?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
VOTER’S PAMPHLET INFORMATION SECTION

The following pages contain voter information applicable to your ballot which may include any/all of the following items:

- Candidates’ Statements
- Ballot Measure(s)
- Impartial Analysis
- Fiscal Impact/Tax Rate Statement(s)
- Arguments and Rebuttals In Support of or In Opposition To Ballot Measures

This pamphlet section may not contain a statement for each candidate. A complete list of candidates appears on your Ballot/Sample Ballot. Each candidate’s statement in this pamphlet is volunteered by the candidate and is printed at his or her expense. Each candidate’s statement is printed in uniform format as submitted by the candidate.

Please note that all the statements printed in Spanish, at the candidates’ request, follow the English statements for that same contest.

Arguments in support of or in opposition to the proposed laws are the opinions of the authors.

Campaign Finance Reform

Among all state legislative candidates appearing on the ballot in San Luis Obispo County, only the candidate listed below has pledged to abide by campaign spending limits as specified in the California Government Code. Candidates agreeing to the campaign spending limits also have the opportunity to have a Statement of Qualifications printed in the local sample ballot pamphlet, at their own expense.

35th Assembly District Candidate
Bill Ostrander
STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR U.S. REPRESENTATIVE, 24TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

SALUD CARBAJAL
Occupation: Member of Congress

Education and Qualifications: I’m Salud Carbajal. I’m a proud husband, father, and Marine Corps veteran. I was the first in my family to graduate from a University, working two jobs to pay for my education at UCSB. I know what it’s like to struggle and work hard to provide for my family, and I’m committed to making sure other families on the Central Coast have the same opportunities I did to get ahead.

I’ve strived to represent our Central Coast values in my service. I’m proud to have earned endorsements from the Sierra Club and Planned Parenthood for standing up for women’s reproductive rights, preserving our environment, and protecting the integrity of our elections.

As a Member of Congress, I’ve worked with my Democratic and Republican colleagues to find common ground on issues that unite us. I’ve reached across the aisle to move forward bipartisan legislation that helps create new jobs, addresses the disastrous impacts of climate change, supports our nation’s veterans and gold star families, fixes our broken immigration system, and invests in sustainable water infrastructure as our state faces an extended drought.

However, there is more work to be done. I’m willing to tackle the big issues to bring down the cost of health care, not repeal it. I’m fighting to make college more affordable and relieve students of crushing loan debt.

For more information about my campaign, please visit SaludCarbajal.com. I hope to earn your support on November 6th. Thank you.

DECLARACION DEL CANDIDATO PARA REPRESENTANTE DE EE. UU., DISTRITO 24 DEL CONGRESO

SALUD CARBAJAL
Ocupación: Miembro del Congreso

Educación y Competencia: Yo soy Salud Carbajal. Soy un orgulloso esposo, padre y veterano del Cuerpo de Marines de Estados Unidos. Fui el primero de mi familia en graduarse de una Universidad, con dos trabajos para pagar mis estudios en UCSB. Sé lo que es esforzarse y trabajar duro para mantener a mi familia, y me comprometo a asegurarme de que otras familias de la Costa Central tengan las mismas oportunidades que yo tuve para salir adelante.

He luchado para representar los valores de la Costa Central durante mi mandato. Me enorgullece haber obtenido el apoyo de Sierra Club y Planned Parenthood para defender los derechos reproductivos de la mujer, preservar el medioambiente y proteger la integridad de las elecciones.

Como Miembro del Congreso, he colaborado con mis colegas Demócratas y Republicanos para ponemos de acuerdo en problemas que nos unen. Me he unido a mis colegas para proceder con una legislación bipartita para crear nuevos trabajos, abordar el grave impacto del cambio climático, apoyar a los veteranos y a las familias de la "estrella de oro" de nuestro país, arreglar el sistema migratorio arruinado, e invertir en infraestructura sostenible de agua debido a la gran sequía que enfrenta nuestro estado.

Sin embargo, hay mucho trabajo por hacer. Estoy dispuesto a resolver los problemas grandes para reducir el costo de la atención médica, no para revocarlo. Estoy luchando para hacer que la universidad sea más asequible y para liberar a los estudiantes de las devastadoras deudas de préstamos.

BILL OSTRANDER  
**Occupation:** Farmer/Nonprofit Advocate

**Education and Qualifications:** Health care is our right, not a privilege. Taking care of one another is right ethically, and using the bargaining power of California’s 40 million people to negotiate medical costs is the smart thing to do fiscally. Unless you are an insurance company benefiting from a profit-driven healthcare system, this is a win/win.

A fair election process is our right, not something to be bought. We must remove the corruptive influence of using private funds to elect public officials. The insatiable need for campaign funding ruins conversations about governance, focuses politicians on just the wealthiest among us, and prevents us from achieving the legislative outcomes we need.

Access to higher education is our right, and not the privilege of the wealthy. In fact, we declared this in California’s Master Plan for Education and we need to return to that commitment. It is not only right ethically: spending money on universal pre-school, more vocational and art programs for high school and college, internship programs, and affordable education are proven smart investments in our economy.

Access to clean air, water, and food is our right, not the privilege of previous generations. We must use our farming practices to combat global warming by drawing down atmospheric carbon and placing it back in our soil where it can improve fertility and water absorption, reducing our dependence on synthetic fertilizers and chemicals. That makes us all healthier.

This is about all of us. Let’s put our best selves forward.

---

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO PARA  
**MIEMBRO DE LA ASAMBLEA ESTATAL, DISTRITO 35**

BILL OSTRANDER  
**Ocupación:** Granjero/Defensor sin Fines de Lucro

**Educación y Competencia:** La atención médica es un derecho, no un privilegio. Cuidarnos los unos a los otros es éticamente correcto, y utilizar el poder de negociación de los 40 millones de habitantes de California para negociar los costos médicos es astuto a nivel fiscal. Todos ganan a menos que usted sea una empresa aseguradora que se beneficia del sistema de salud con fines de lucro.

Un proceso electoral justo es nuestro derecho, no algo que se compra. Tenemos que eliminar la costumbre corrupta de utilizar fondos privados para elegir a los funcionarios públicos. La necesidad insaciable de fondos para las campañas arruina las conversaciones sobre la gobernación, hace que los políticos se enfocen en los más ricos, y evita que obtengamos los logros legislativos que necesitamos.

El acceso a la educación superior es un derecho y no un privilegio de los ricos. De hecho, establecemos esto en el Plan Maestro de Educación de California, y necesitamos recuperar ese compromiso. No es solo éticamente correcto: el gasto de dinero en educación preescolar universal, más programas vocacionales y artísticos para la escuela secundaria y la universidad, los programas de pasantías, y la educación asequible han demostrado ser inversiones inteligentes en nuestra economía.

El acceso al aire, el agua y la comida limpios es un derecho, no un privilegio de las generaciones anteriores. Debemos utilizar nuestras prácticas agrícolas para combatir el calentamiento global al reducir el carbono en la atmósfera y volver a colocarlo en nuestra tierra, donde puede mejorar la fertilidad y la absorción del agua, reduciendo así la dependencia de fertilizantes sintéticos y químicos. Esto nos hace más sanos a todos.

Esto es para todos. Demos lo mejor de nosotros mismos.

CS-G135-1  
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STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER
Templeton Unified School District

MATTHEW J. VIERRA
Occupation: Fire Marshal

Education and Qualifications: As a life time resident and proud member of our community, I’m running for Templeton Unified school board because I believe in the value of public education. I want to work with teachers, parents and the community to ensure that Templeton students receive the world-class education they deserve. I will get input from teachers, staff, parents, principals, and the public to arrive at the best solution.

Excellent teachers are the key to an outstanding school system. Providing adequate pay and working conditions are important, but we must also ensure we have excellent leadership for our teachers and staff.

I also believe this district must prudently manage and administer our tax dollars allocated to education. I have years of experience developing and managing large budgets within the government and private sectors. I have gained a much broader understanding of the financial challenges that Templeton Unifies School District faces in the coming years and I am willing to help address them.

I will embrace this position with an open mind and objectivity perform all the duties that are required of a school board member. I am willing and eager to devote my time and energy that this community deserves.

I respectfully ask for your vote this November.

JAN NIMICK
Age: 47
Occupation: Director, Electric Utility

Education and Qualifications: As a Trustee on the Board at Templeton Unified School District over the past two years, I have had the opportunity and privilege to work with a diverse and talented set of Trustees and Templeton’s amazing staff to address a number of complex challenges. I look forward to applying the lessons I have learned in that time, in addition to my experiences as a parent, in industry, and in the US Navy to continue to serve our community. As a school district we are facing pressures as our economy changes, society continues to evolve, and technology advances. I believe as we continue to work through these changes it will take active listening, thoughtful consideration of all perspectives, creative problem solving, and sincere mutual respect to be successful. I am proud of how our community has modeled these ideals over the past several years.

You have my commitment I will continue to keep educational quality, student experience, and developing the whole person as the highest priorities as I discharge my responsibilities.

Please vote Jan Nimick for Templeton School Board on November 6.

Thank you.
STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER
Templeton Unified School District

BARBY LICHTI WUNSCH
Occupation: Director of Development & Programs, GRID Alternatives
Age: 42

Education and Qualifications: I, Barby Lichti Wunsch, have resided in Templeton for 30 years and am a Templeton High School graduate. My experience working with the district is extensive: serving as VP of Grants for the Templeton Education Foundation for the past 2 years, serving on the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) committee in 2017/2018, and working closely with Superintendent Koski, the San Luis Obispo County Office of Education, and the California Collaborative for Educational Excellence to pilot a Parent Engagement workshop series for TUSD in an effort to explore methods for engaging more parents in TUSD activities and finding meaningful ways to help parents be more involved in their child’s education. My background as an educator for 10 years and Master’s degree in Education further qualify me for this position. Currently, I am employed as Director of Development for nonprofit, GRID Alternatives, where I have implemented a solar training program for high school students complete with curriculum and hands-on training opportunities to boost solar job training skills and work with Career Technical Education programs. My passion for educational excellence, building local opportunities, and community involvement make me your choice candidate for serving as Governing Board Member of the Templeton Unified School District.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER
Templeton Unified School District

KATRINA V. MERSON
Occupation: Incumbent, Insurance Agent
Age: 55

Education and Qualifications: Providing every possible opportunity in public education to equip any student achieve their goals, whatever their dream, is essential in making our world a better place for everyone. While working within the restraints of California’s budget, if you explore every avenue and think outside the box, it can be accomplished. In the last four years as a TUSD board member, I have always done my homework to ensure I make informed decisions based on how we can best serve Templeton students and keep our district competitive while wisely managing your tax dollars. I feel it is vital to maintain integrity and transparency in the decision-making process, collaborating with all the stakeholders involved and remaining accessible to parents, teachers and students. It has been my privilege to serve as a trustee for the Templeton Unified School District. I ask for your vote in November so that I may continue to support the amazing students, staff and citizens of Templeton.

From my first year as PTA president in 1999, I have served and supported the following organizations: Templeton Education Foundation, Templeton Unified School District, Santa Lucia ROP School Board, Templeton High School Mock Trial, Templeton High School Drama, Templeton High School ASB and as a parent to my amazing daughters, THS graduates.
STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
MAYOR
City of Atascadero

HEATHER MORENO
Age: 50
Occupation: Councilmember, CPA, Business Owner

Education and Qualifications: I received my Bachelor’s degree in Accounting from CSU, Fullerton and subsequently earned my CPA license. I’ve served on the Atascadero City Council since 2012. I also own a small business. On Council I’ve served as Chair of the Finance Committee, Director on the California Joint Powers Insurance Authority board, as Council liaison to the Economic Vitality Corporation and on the Design Review Committee. With your support I will continue to advocate for a strong local economy, fiscal responsibility and protecting local control:

Since 2012 we’ve seen greater investment in Atascadero, more businesses locate here and a new co-working space open downtown. I will build on this growth to bring greater balance to our local economy. The City’s money is your money and as a CPA I will uphold the wise use of taxpayer dollars.

We’ve streamlined City regulations to make it easier for residents and business owners to get things done and I’ll continue to assess regulations to ensure they make sense. I’ll work to maintain local control and foster environmental stewardship, which are critical to preserving the uniqueness of Atascadero.

It’s a privilege serving our City. I’d be honored to have your vote. Serving community. Prospering together. www.heatherforatascadero.com

DECLARACIÓN DE CANDIDATA PARA
ALCALDESA
Ciudad de Atascadero

HEATHER MORENO
Edad: 50
Ocupación: Concejal Municipal, CPA, Propietaria de Negocio

Eduación y Competencia: Obtuve la licenciatura en Contabilidad en CSU, Fullerton y, posteriormente, mi licencia para ejercer como Contadora Pública y Auditora (CPA). Me he desempeñado en el Concejo Municipal de Atascadero desde 2012. También soy propietaria de un negocio en pequeña escala. En el Concejo, ocupé el puesto de Presidente del Comité de Finanzas, Directora de la Junta de Autoridad de Seguros de los Poderes Conjuntos de California, como coordinadora del Concejo para la Corporación de Vitalidad Económica y en el Comité de Revisión de Diseño. Con su apoyo, continuará abogando por una sólida economía local, por la responsabilidad fiscal y por la protección del control local:

Desde 2012, hemos sido testigos de una mayor inversión en Atascadero; más negocios se ubican aquí y hay un nuevo espacio abierto para trabajo conjunto en el centro de la ciudad. Aprovecharé este crecimiento para aportar mayor equilibrio a nuestra economía local. El dinero de la Ciudad es su dinero y, como Contadora Pública y Auditora, ratifico el uso sensato de los recursos monetarios que aportan los contribuyentes.

Hemos simplificado las normas de la Ciudad para hacerlas más fáciles para los residentes y propietarios de negocios con el fin de obtener resultados y seguiré evaluando las normas para garantizar que tengan sentido. Trabajaré por mantener el control local y fomentar la vigilancia medioambiental, aspectos que son vitales para preservar la singularidad que caracteriza a Atascadero.

Es un privilegio servir a nuestra Ciudad. Me sentiría honrada de contar con su voto. Al servicio de la comunidad. Prosperamos juntos. www.heatherforatascadero.com
STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
MEMBER OF CITY COUNCIL
City of Atascadero

SUSAN FUNK
Age: 60
Occupation: Small Business Owner, Management Consulting

Education and Qualifications: Understanding citizen hopes and concerns is essential to good city government. To prepare for office, I’ve attended City Council meetings regularly for a year. When I decided to run, I created a citizen survey to gather more public input. Those responses shaped my goals: I want to build a vibrant downtown that’s the center of Atascadero’s economy and community life, while keeping the small town feel we cherish. And I want everyone to have a voice in creating our city’s future.

I’m ready to serve. For 25 years, I’ve owned a small business doing management consulting, helping healthcare clients to lower costs while improving quality. It’s the kind of win-win problem solving Atascadero needs.

At the same time, I’m a committed community volunteer. Whether I’m fundraising to benefit AHS drama students or serving meals at ECHO, I believe in working together to create a healthier community.

Our city government needs a fresh perspective. If elected, I’ll work hard for you. I’ll bring my MBA degree and business experience, openness to others, and my dedication to city leadership. I’ll work to build a stronger city where everyone is represented, and everyone can succeed. For more information, please visit www.FunkForCityCouncil.com.

Thank you.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR
MEMBER OF CITY COUNCIL
City of Atascadero

MARK DARIZ
Age: 51
Occupation: Architect, Planning Commissioner

Education and Qualifications: I earned my Bachelor of Architecture degree from Cal Poly, SLO in 1996 and have been employed in this field since. I was appointed to the Atascadero Planning Commission in 2011 and elected Chairperson in 2015. I’ve also served on the City’s Design Review Committee and the County’s Regional Transportation Advisory Committee.

As an architect, and wheelchair user for more than 30 years, I brought valuable perspective to the Planning Commission; I’ve worked to improve the quality of life for all Atascadero residents.

I’m a member of Atascadero’s Kiwanis Club, where I’ve served as President and currently serve as Treasurer. I’ve seen first-hand the value of volunteer work helping children, the homeless and elderly. I will continue to support the vibrant volunteer spirit in our community.

As a Councilmember I will: Model open and transparent public communication and work cohesively and respectfully with my Council colleagues. We will work with other community leaders to bring jobs and business to Atascadero to further enhance our economy and maintain our infrastructure. I’ll use my experience as an architect to help guide development in a direction to strengthen the well-being and economy of our City.

I would be honored to have your vote.
HEATHER NEWSOM
Occupation: Parks Commissioner/Businesswoman
Age: 41

Education and Qualifications: I am running for City Council to make a difference in our community and help shape the future of Atascadero for generations to come.

As a working mom, living in a family-oriented community is important to me; I want to maintain that character. I moved to Atascadero in 2015 and immediately fell in love with this city. I’ve been involved in the community ever since serving as an Atascadero Parks and Recreation Commissioner, Treasurer of the Atascadero Greyhound Foundation, Secretary of the Atascadero Kiwanis Club, and volunteer coach at Atascadero High School.

As an accounting business owner, I have helped other businesses for 16 years; I understand the challenges businesses face. I will collaborate with businesses in our community to integrate their values and experience into our everyday procedures and implement policies that support opportunities for their success with the ultimate goal of enhancing our entire community’s well-being. We must support our emergency services, our parks and recreational resources and all of the basic services that make life safe and enjoyable for our community.

I would be honored to have your vote in November.

---

SUSAN FUNK
Edad: 60

Ocupación: Propietaria de Negocio Pequeño, Consultoría en Gestión

Educación y Competencia: Comprender las esperanzas y las inquietudes de los ciudadanos es esencial para un buen gobierno municipal. Con el fin de prepararme para el cargo, he asistido periódicamente a reuniones del Concejo Municipal durante un año. Cuando decidí postularme, creé una encuesta ciudadana para recabar más opiniones del público. Esas respuestas dieron forma a mis objetivos: deseo crear un centro de la ciudad dinámico que sea el centro de la economía y de la vida comunitaria de Atascadero, al mismo tiempo que conserve ese aire de pueblo que tanto apreciamos. Y deseo que todos expresen su opinión para forjar el futuro de nuestra ciudad.

Estoy lista para servir. Durante 25 años, he sido propietaria de un negocio pequeño al encargarme de consultorías en gestión, ayudar a clientes de atención médica a reducir sus costos al mismo tiempo que mejoramos la calidad. Lo que necesita Atascadero es un enfoque para la resolución de los problemas donde todos ganemos.

Al mismo tiempo, estoy comprometida con el trabajo de voluntariado en la comunidad. Sea que me toque recaudar fondos en beneficio de los estudiantes de arte dramático en AHS o servir comidas en ECHO, creo en el trabajo en equipo para crear una comunidad más saludable.

Nuestro gobierno municipal necesita una perspectiva actualizada. Si soy electa, trabajare arduamente por usted. Aportaré mi experiencia en los negocios y la que me confiere mi título de MBA, además de un enfoque de apertura a los demás y mi dedicación hacia el liderazgo municipal. Trabajare por crear una ciudad más sólida en donde todos tengan representación y cada uno pueda alcanzar el éxito. Para obtener más información, visite www.FunkForCityCouncil.com.

Gracias.
COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO
IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEASURE G-18

This measure proposes the adoption of an ordinance through the initiative process. If 51% of qualified voters vote to approve the measure, an ordinance will be adopted amending the San Luis Obispo County General Plan, including the Local Coastal Program, and Title 22 and Title 23 of the San Luis Obispo County Code, to prohibit well stimulation treatments and any new petroleum extraction on all land within the unincorporated area of the county. The intended purpose of the measure is to protect county land, water, and quality of life.

Some impacts of the measure are uncertain because it is not known to what extent the banned or limited well stimulation activities are either utilized or necessary to the recovery of oil or gas in the county. The measure bans any new petroleum extraction but permits as a "nonconforming use" any petroleum extraction existing on the effective date of the measure (10 days after the vote approving the measure is declared by the board of supervisors [Elec. Code, § 9122]; hereafter “the effective date”). Such extraction may not be enlarged, increased, or otherwise expanded or intensified after the effective date. The measure prohibits all well stimulation treatments, even those currently existing on the measure’s effective date. Well stimulation treatments include fracking and acidizing (acid well stimulation), which can be used, where effective, to enhance recovery of petroleum.

The legality of the measure is uncertain. To the extent the measure regulates drilling operations underground, it may be preempted by state or federal law. San Luis Obispo County retains land use regulation on the surface, but the validity of local land use regulations that impact how wells are operated below ground is not clear. In addition, the measure’s regulatory restrictions on certain oil extraction without compensation may result in claims of an unconstitutional “taking” of mineral rights. In order to avoid an unconstitutional taking and county liability, the measure provides authority for the county to grant a limited exemption to the regulations imposed by the measure based on substantial evidence of facts constituting a taking. The procedures for evaluating evidence of a taking and determining the extent of any exemption are not prescribed by the measure. The necessity of utilizing such procedures prior to bringing suit against the county is unknown. Any exemption determination would be subject to judicial review.

The measure requires the county to defend the measure. If the measure is approved, litigation is anticipated. Litigation would likely include claims of preemption and an unlawful taking of private property rights. County resources would be necessary to defend against such claims, and to process taking exemption claims and vested rights claims made by holders of mineral rights.

The measure has been placed on the ballot by the requisite number of voters who signed the initiative petition for the measure. A "yes" vote on the measure is a vote in favor of the measure. A "no" vote is a vote against its adoption.

s/ RITA L. NEAL
County Counsel

The above statement is an impartial analysis of Measure G-18. If you desire a copy of the Ordinance or related materials, you can visit the Election website at www.slovote.com, or you can call the Election’s office at 805-781-5228 and a copy will be made available at no cost to you.
FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT FOR MEASURE G-18

The fiscal impact of Measure G-18 to County revenue and expenditures is inherently difficult to predict due to its intersection with the variable oil market, changing technologies, and potential secondary effects of restricting oil production.

If approved, Measure G-18 prohibits new petroleum extraction and “well stimulation treatment;” existing operations would be allowed to continue as long as they are not expanded or intensified. As stated in Measure G-18, the impacts of petroleum extraction will decrease over time as production from existing wells declines. Additionally, the value of active oil businesses and mineral rights will likely decrease if Measure G is approved, which could impact tax revenue as described below.

Although there may be financial impacts to the County, it is impossible to predict with any certainty what they will be or the net amount. The following fiscal areas would likely be affected if oil extraction decreases:

- Property Tax: The assessment of property tax for mineral-producing properties is based on a calculation of oil reserves that the mineral rights holder can access as well as the market price of oil. Restricting the owner’s ability to expand will decrease the value of the mineral rights and thereby decrease taxes.
- Unitary Tax: This is similar to property tax except the assessment is calculated by the State rather than the local Assessor. Unitary tax is applied to businesses whose assets cross county boundaries such as transmission lines or pipelines. A decrease in oil production may decrease unitary taxes.
- In Fiscal Year 2017/2018, the oil industry was assessed $2,304,232 in secured, unsecured and unitary taxes. This represents 0.43% of all assessed taxes for 2017/2018. The taxes were apportioned as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Percent of Total Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schools -</td>
<td>$ 1,514,626</td>
<td>(0.283%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County General Fund -</td>
<td>$ 603,596</td>
<td>(0.113%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Districts -</td>
<td>$ 121,543</td>
<td>(0.023%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cities -</td>
<td>$ 20,211</td>
<td>(0.004%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDA Successor Agencies -</td>
<td>$ 44,255</td>
<td>(0.008%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are other potential financial impacts to the County General Fund which are impossible to estimate, including but not limited to:

- The cost of any environmental damage caused by the oil extraction process. The oil industry is regulated by multiple agencies including the Department of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Regulation, Environmental Protection Agency, Regional Water Quality Control Board, Air Pollution Control, and the County of San Luis Obispo Planning Department. Although infrequent, even with regulation, oil spills have occurred;
- Any impact to approximately 32 to 76 local oil-industry jobs (source EDD) and the cascading effect to the local economy, including the related consumer spending and associated sales tax;
- County costs for processing applications for exemptions to Measure G-18, including exemptions to avoid unconstitutional takings of property and where there are “vested rights” which may be offset by existing fees;
- Litigation costs defending or opposing exemption approvals or denials;
Potential litigation costs regarding claims of unconstitutional “takings” of property and/or vested rights. Costs could include monetary awards for damages (the County is not insured against court judgments for “takings” damages).

s/ James P Erb, CPA
Auditor-Controller-Treasurer-Tax Collector
County of San Luis Obispo
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE G-18

Beautiful San Luis Obispo County has a bright and prosperous future if we choose to protect our water, agriculture, and tourism. Fracking, acidizing, and expanded petroleum extraction put that future at risk.

Measure G lets the people decide, not the oil companies.
- The oil industry has plans to expand drilling in San Luis Obispo County, if we let them.
- They use risky, energy-intensive oil extraction techniques.
- Oil operations, as well as fracking and acidizing, often require transportation and use of toxic chemicals.
- Fracking and expanded drilling pose risks to San Luis Obispo County’s water, agricultural heritage, and rural character, and undermine our clean energy future.

Measure G protects our water quality and quantity.
- It bans fracking, acidizing, and expanded drilling, which endanger our groundwater, creeks and soil.
- Fracking uses large quantities of fresh water. Our limited water supplies should be conserved for local farmers and residents, not sacrificed to the oil industry.

Measure G protects our health and safety.
- Studies reveal toxic chemicals used in fracking and acidizing – and pollution from oil extraction – can cause illnesses such as cancer, birth defects, and asthma.
- Scientists have shown fracking and injection can trigger earthquakes – and we live in an already seismically active area.

Measure G protects our economic future.
- A safe and reliable water supply is essential for a healthy economy. Reports show:
  - Agriculture produced $732 million in crops, contributed $1.87 billion to the local economy, and supported over 20,000 local jobs in 2011. 2017 crop values totaled nearly $925 million.
  - Tourism generated $75 million in local tax revenue and provided another 20,000 jobs in 2017 alone.

Measure G is fair and balanced.
- It prohibits expanded drilling but allows existing oil operations to continue.
- It gives the County flexibility to protect property rights.

Vote YES on Measure G: Protect Our Water, Our Health, and Our Future.

s/ Natalie Risner, Small Business Owner
s/ Charles Varni, Retired College Teacher
s/ Karen Merriam, Retired Clinical Social Worker
s/ Dale Smith, Retired Veterinarian

ARGUMENTS AND REBUTTALS CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE
As citizens who proudly live in San Luis Obispo County, we care deeply about our County’s future and strongly oppose Measure G.

At this time, when our County faces adverse effects due to the closure of Diablo Canyon, Measure G would create more economic uncertainty, hurt our community, and increase our dependence on foreign oil.

Measure G would shut down EXISTING oil and gas production.

- The promoters of this proposition are using scare tactics about fracking to try to pass Measure G. But, there is no hydraulic fracturing in San Luis Obispo County and no plans to do so.
- Measure G is so broadly written that practices safely used for over a century—and which are needed for existing production to continue—would be banned by Measure G.

Measure G would put the County and its taxpayers at risk for millions of dollars in liability that the County is not insured for.

- San Luis Obispo County would be on the hook to pay millions of dollars to property owners whose mineral rights would be violated by Measure G—thus facing drastic reductions in vital public services.
- A state judge already held that a similar measure in Monterey County was illegal and resulted in Monterey being exposed to massive legal liability.

Even the County’s own impartial analysis cited the risk of litigation resulting from the measure (7/20/2018).

We need a balanced approach as we transition to a clean energy economy, not the drastic energy shutdown caused by Measure G.

Vote NO on G

/s/ Tom J. Bordonaro, Jr., Assessor, San Luis Obispo County
/s/ Chris Darway, 4th Generation Family Farmer, San Luis Obispo County
/s/ Dr. Dan Howes, Ph.D., Water Engineer, Cal Poly
/s/ Doralyn Henry, Teacher
/s/ James Mulhall, Retired Commander, San Luis Obispo County Sheriff's Department
ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE G-18

Measure G is a costly ballot initiative that would result in another major employer shutting down in our County-hurting local workers and their families and resulting in new economic problems for our County.

Measure G would shut down existing oil and gas production.
- Practices that have been safely used for over a century and are needed for existing production to continue would be banned by Measure G.
- As a result, Measure G would shut down existing oil and gas production in San Luis Obispo County.

Measure G would result in more economic uncertainty.
- Already, the closure of Diablo Canyon is going to put a heavy economic strain on our County budget.
- If oil and gas production is shut down, the county would lose even more tax revenue, which could result in deep budget cuts to public safety and schools.

Measure G would harm our families and our community.
- Hundreds of workers would be at risk of losing their jobs.
- Millions in annual state and local tax revenues would be lost.

Measure G would expose the County and its taxpayers to massive financial liability.
- The County would be required to defend itself against lawsuits over Measure G, which could cost the County millions of dollars in legal fees alone.

Measure G would increase dependence on foreign oil.
- California produces less than 35% of what is needed to meet the demand of gasoline for our drivers.
- Oil that we do not produce locally would have to be imported from somewhere else, including countries that have poor environmental regulations and terrible human rights standards like Saudi Arabia, Iraq and Russia.
- We should allow local producers to help meet our energy needs in the responsible way, under the strictest environmental regulations in the world.

Vote NO on G.

/s/ George Donati, 4th Generation Family Farmer, San Luis Obispo County
/s/ Dr. Dan Howes, Ph.D., Water Engineer, Cal Poly
/s/ Dr. Rene Bravo, M.D., Children's Health Specialist
/s/ Dee Santos, Lucia Mar Unified School District Trustee
/s/ William Andersen, Firefighter
REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE G-18

Big Oil is spending big money to protect their profits while they threaten our water, economy, and health. Know the facts:

The “Oil and Gas Shut Down” is a Lie

- Measure G allows San Luis Obispo County’s existing oil operations to continue (see Section 2B of the Initiative).

Big Oil is Risking the Heart of San Luis Obispo County’s Economy

- The County Auditor says oil provides fewer than 80 local jobs and only 0.43% of County-assessed taxes.
- Reports show agriculture and tourism together contribute over 40,000 jobs and billions of dollars to the local economy.
- Agriculture and tourism depend on clean and plentiful water. Groundwater contamination could cause significant economic harm.

Big Oil is Bullying Voters with Threats of Lawsuits

- Courts have confirmed local governments have the power to ban new drilling. If we choose to do that, then Big Oil should back off.
- The measure gives the County flexibility to avoid liability for “taking” anyone’s property rights.

Oil Companies Don’t Care About Our Energy Independence

- They convinced Congress to lift the ban on oil exports to maximize their profits. They also consistently lobby against clean energy measures that would reduce our reliance on overseas fossil fuels.
- San Luis Obispo County oil is refined and sold throughout California and internationally, not just for local consumption.

Measure G stops oil companies from passing the risks of fracking and expanded oil production on to the people of San Luis Obispo County. Vote YES on Measure G.

s/ Ruth Madocks, Organic Farmer
s/ Elizabeth Demsetz, Physics Lecturer, Cal Poly
s/ Sherri Stoddard, RN
s/ Janine Rands, Retired Social Worker
s/ Douglas Timewell, Retired Farmer

END OF ARGUMENTS FOR MEASURE G-18
CITY OF ATASCADERO
IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEASURE E-18

Measure E-18 seeks to establish a tax on cannabis businesses operating illegally at any time or operating legally should such businesses be permitted in the future.

If adopted by the voters, Measure E-18 adds a new Chapter 3-17 to the Atascadero Municipal Code to implement a Cannabis Business Tax.

Measure E-18 would tax cannabis businesses at annual rates not to exceed $10.00 per canopy square foot for cultivation (adjustable for inflation after January 1, 2022), 10% of gross receipts for retail cannabis businesses, 2.5% for testing laboratories, 3% for distribution businesses, and 6% of gross receipts for all other cannabis businesses.

Cannabis businesses are defined broadly to include all persons and businesses that cultivate, possess, manufacture, process, store, test, label, transport, distribute, deliver, or sell cannabis or cannabis products for commercial purposes and that require a state issued license for operation.

Personal cannabis cultivation, as defined by state law, will not be subject to this tax.

The Measure also outlines procedures for collecting, enforcing, and appealing violations related to the Cannabis Business Tax.

A “yes” vote is a vote to adopt the Cannabis Business Tax, effective January 1, 2019.

A “no” vote is a vote against adopting the Cannabis Business Tax, and there would be no tax imposed on potential commercial cannabis activities of cannabis businesses within the City.

Pursuant to Article XIII C of the Constitution, this measure requires approval by a majority of those casting ballots on the measure unless another threshold is otherwise required by law.

Because the Cannabis Business Tax is a general tax, the revenues from the tax would be placed in the City’s general fund and used for unrestricted general revenue purposes, including, but not limited to, police, fire, parks, and other community services.

/s/ Brian A. Pierik,
City Attorney for the City of Atascadero

The above statement is an impartial analysis of Measure E-18. If you desire a copy of the Measure, please call the elections official’s office at (805) 470-3400 and a copy will be mailed or e-mailed at no cost to you. The full text of Measure E-18 is available on the City of Atascadero’s website at: https://www.atascadero.org/
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE E-18

The passage of Proposition 64 legalized recreational cannabis in California and gave local governments the responsibility to provide oversight and regulation within their communities. The City of Atascadero does not currently allow any cannabis business activity other than deliveries to residences. Cannabis activities such as retail outlets or facilities for growing, manufacturing, or testing could be authorized by a future City Council, the voters, or directly by the State.

If other cannabis business activities are eventually permitted in the City, or if illegal activities are identified, a tax mechanism on such activities is needed. Such a tax requires approval by the City’s voters.

If cannabis business activities became a reality in Atascadero, they would require increased enforcement, education, and other related services. Without a tax measure, the impact of cannabis business activities would detract from other City services.

The tax revenue from this measure on any future cannabis activity would go into the City’s General Fund, which could be used to pay for police, fire, code enforcement, or unrestricted general revenue purposes. Both the starting tax rates and maximum tax rates proposed in this measure are in line with the rates approved in June 2018 by SLO County voters for the unincorporated areas of SLO County.

Whether or not you supported Proposition 64, or support any cannabis business activity in the City of Atascadero, it is important to ensure that any future cannabis business activity that might occur, be fairly taxed, and not take away from existing vital programs and services.

Please join us in supporting this common sense measure.

s/ Charles Bourbeau, Councilmember
s/ Brian Sturtevant, Councilmember
s/ Robert “Grigger” Jones
s/ Gere Sibbach, City Treasurer
s/ Ronald L. DeCarli

NO ARGUMENTS AGAINST MEASURE E-18 WERE SUBMITTED
In some cities, the Mayor is not elected by the voters, but is a Council Member who is appointed to serve as Mayor by the City Council.

The City of Atascadero has a different system where the Mayor is elected by the voters. The existing law is set forth in the City of Atascadero’s Municipal Code, and provides that the Mayor of Atascadero is elected separately from the City Council, for a term of two years.

In contrast, the term for a City Council Member, who is elected, is four years.

As a result of the difference in the Mayor’s term of office, there must be an election for the Mayor position every two years, instead of every four years compared to a Council Member.

Measure J-18 seeks to amend the City’s Municipal Code concerning the elected Mayor’s term from two years to four years, similar to the four year term for a City Council Member. This change will be effective as of the November 2020 General Municipal Election.

A “yes” vote on Measure J-18 would be a vote to change the term for an elected Mayor from two years to four years, effective as of the November 2020 General Municipal Election.

A “no” vote on Measure J-18 would be a vote against changing the existing law, and the current two year term for an elected Mayor would remain the same.

Pursuant to Elections Code section 9217, this measure requires a yes vote by a majority of the voters voting on this measure before it is approved.

s/ Brian A. Pierik,  
City Attorney for the City of Atascadero

The above statement is an impartial analysis of Measure J-18. If you desire a copy of the Measure, please call the elections official’s office at (805) 470-3400 and a copy will be mailed or e-mailed at no cost to you. The full text of Measure J-18 is available on the City of Atascadero’s website at: https://www.atascadero.org/
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE J-18

Measure J-18 is a common-sense reform to Atascadero’s city government. Measure J-18 does one simple thing: change the term of Mayor from 2 years to 4 years.

A four-year term gives the Mayor time to set goals and plan for the City’s needs, and it provides continuity of leadership to keep city government both stable and responsive to the voters.

The current term structure creates an electoral imbalance by requiring one member of the governing body to run for a seat twice during the same four-year period, while the other members of the City Council are required to run only once. Additionally, the Mayor serves on a number of countywide commissions and committees where longevity in service on these boards, as well as stability in representation by the same individual, ensures that the needs and expectations of the City of Atascadero are consistently voiced.

Requiring a mayor to run every two years, means a significant amount of that person’s time and attention is on running for election as opposed to the job of running the City. This does not serve the public’s best interest. A four-year term allows a mayor time to execute vision and agenda, allowing voters to assess accomplishments in the next election.

This suggested change does not impact the Mayor’s responsiveness to the people. Instead, it promotes stability and equality among all voting members of the City Council, and ensures continuity of representation on countywide boards.

Vote “Yes” on Measure J-18 for common-sense reform in Atascadero.

s/ Tom O’Malley, Mayor
s/ Roberta Fonzi, Mayor Pro Tem
s/ Bob Wilkins
s/ Zoe Zappas
s/ Kim Croft

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE J-18

Measure J-18 is far from a common-sense reform. The original argument in 2010 for electing a mayor instead of rotating that responsibility annually among city council members was “we need to be like other cities in SLO County!” Since then we are exactly like 6 out of 7 cities in our county- Elected Mayor, 2-year term.

Why fix something that’s not broken? The problems Measure J-18 is supposed to solve do not actually exist. In the past 8 years there has been no instability of leadership or continuity of representation in countywide governing bodies. In fact, we have had only one Mayor in the past 8 years, repeatedly re-elected. A 2-year term for Mayor has not been an identified problem in any other city. Atascadero voters already chose a 2-year term even when the 4-year term was an option in past elections.

Voting "No" retains the current 2-year term for Mayor, so that 3 of 5 positions on the Council are up for re-election every election cycle. This system means your vote every 2 years has the power the change the direction of the city’s agenda. It gives voters more reason to participate in every election.

A "Yes" vote on Measure J-18 transfers power from voters to politicians. We believe Atascadero is better served by a government that listens to voters. Why would voters want to make this change just when the incumbent Mayor is retiring? Vote "No."

s/ Ellen Beraud
s/ Christina Asdel Cisneros

ARGUMENTS CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE
ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE J-18

In 2010, Atascadero voters chose to elect our Mayor, and specifically chose to have the Mayor serve a 2-year term rather than a 4-year term, 55.7% to 44.3%. Now the first mayor elected under those terms and his colleagues are asking voters to change their minds and extend the mayor’s term to 4 years.

There is no evidence that this change is needed.

- A two-year term keeps our Mayor accountable to voters
- Retaining a 2-year term for the mayor means that voters can choose the Mayor and 2 Council members (3 of 5 votes on the City Council) in every election
- The mayor has more power than other Council members in setting the agenda and shaping the experience of people who come to their City Council to express their concerns
- Six of seven cities in SLO County elect their mayors for 2-year terms
- There has been no instability in governance with a 2-year mayor -- the incumbent mayor has been re-elected repeatedly
- Atascadero is not losing any clout – our Mayor (elected for a 2-year term) currently serves as president of the San Luis Obispo Council of Governments

Atascadero deserves a mayor who serves the whole community and leads a local government that is of, by and for the people. A 2-year term for Atascadero’s Mayor gives voters more control over their government. Who wants a mayor who feels less need to listen to the whole community because they won’t be up for re-election for 4 years?

s/ Susan Funk

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE J-18

Measure J-18 changes the term of the elected Mayor from 2 years to 4 years.

Prior to 2010, the Atascadero City Council annually rotated the position of Mayor between Council Members. In 2010, the voters chose to have an elected Mayor, and established the term of office at two years. Council Members continue to be elected to four-year terms of office.

With a shorter term, if the elected Mayor desires to retain their office to continue to serve the community, provide continuity and complete important projects begun during the term, they are forced to campaign every two years at considerable personal expense.

Election campaigns for any office are expensive. Reaching out to voters and keeping the public informed requires considerable energy and resources. Engaging in an election campaign every two years forces a Mayor to devote an inordinate amount of time and money to a political campaign, instead of focusing on the issues and priorities of the City.

The expense of running campaigns every two years also discourages members of the public and other council members from seeking the office of elected Mayor. This is especially true for people of modest means.

If passed this November, Measure J-18 will not go into effect until the 2020 election. It does not affect the current term or the term of the next elected Mayor, whose term will still be two years ending in 2020.

Vote “Yes” vote on Measure J-18.

s/ Brian Sturtevant, Councilmember  s/ Mary Kay Mills  s/ Ray Johnson
s/ Dawn Scherer Daner  s/ Lon Allan

END OF ARGUMENTS FOR MEASURE J-18
As required by new legislation, the County of San Luis Obispo offers facsimile ballots in the following languages and for only the precincts listed below:

**Spanish**

All Precincts All Polling Places

**Tagalog**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consolidated Precinct</th>
<th>Polling Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CON 407 &amp; 408</td>
<td>Calvary Chapel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON 409</td>
<td>Oak Park Christian Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON 410</td>
<td>Gospel Lighthouse of Arroyo Grande</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON 411</td>
<td>Coastal Community Church</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To determine your Con (precinct), please take a look at the back cover of this pamphlet and it will be listed above your printed name.

Facsimile ballots and instructions will be provided upon request. You can request to view the facsimile ballot for the language that is available at your polling place for the precincts listed above.

**Vote By Mail Voters**

If you are a Vote By Mail Voter in one of these precincts, you can request a facsimile ballot in one of the languages available:

By Phone: 805-781-5228  
By E-Mail: elections@co.slo.ca.us  
By Mail: 1055 Monterey Street, Suite D120  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408  
In Person: At the Clerk-Recorder’s Office at 1055 Monterey Street, D120, San Luis Obispo or 6565 Capistrano Avenue (2nd floor), Atascadero  
Online: www.slocounty.ca.gov/LanguageAssistance
ASISTENCIA DE IDIOMA

Conforme exige la nueva legislación, el Condado de San Luis Obispo ofrece boletas electorales en los siguientes idiomas y solo para los recintos que a continuación se indican:

**Español**

Todos los recintos Todos los lugares de votación

**Tagalo**

Recinto consolidado Lugar de votación

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CON 407 &amp; 408</th>
<th>Calvary Chapel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CON 409</td>
<td>Oak Park Christian Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON 410</td>
<td>Gospel Lighthouse of Arroyo Grande</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON 411</td>
<td>Coastal Community Church</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Para saber cuál es su Con (recinto), vea la portada posterior de este folleto y lo encontrará encima de su nombre.

Se suministrarán, a petición, boletas electorales e instrucciones por facsímil. Usted puede solicitar ver la boleta electoral por facsímil en el idioma que esté disponible en su lugar de votación para los recintos indicados anteriormente.

**Electores que votan por correo**

Si usted es un Elector que Vota Por Correo en uno de estos recintos, puede solicitar una boleta electoral por facsímil en uno de los idiomas disponibles:

Por teléfono: 805-781-5228

Por correo electrónico: elections@co.slo.ca.us

Por correo: 1055 Monterey Street, Suite D120
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Personalmente: En la Oficina del Secretario-Oficial de Registro en 1055 Monterey Street, D120, San Luis Obispo o 6565 Capistrano Avenue (2nd floor), Atascadero

En línea: www.slocounty.ca.gov/LanguageAssistance
TULONG SA WIKA

Ayon sa kinakailangan ng bagong batas na pinagtibay, nagbibigay ang County ng San Luis Obispo ng mga kopya ng balota na nasa mga sumusunod na wika at para lang sa mga presintong nakalista sa ibaba:

**Espanyol**
Lahat ng Presinto Lahat ng Lugar na Botohan

**Tagalog**
Pinagsamang Presintong Lugar na Botohan

| CON 407 at 408 | Calvary Chapel |
| CON 409       | Oak Park Christian Church |
| CON 410       | Gospel Lighthouse of Arroyo Grande |
| CON 411       | Coastal Community Church |

Upang matukoy ang iyong Con (presinto), pakitingnan ang pabalat sa likod ng libritong ito at makikita itong nakalista sa itaas ng pangalan mo.

Magbibigay ng mga kopya ng balota at tagubilin kapag hiniling. Maaari kang humiling na tumingin ng kopya ng balota para sa wikang makukuha sa iyong lugar na botohan para sa mga presintong nakalista sa itaas.

**Mga Botante ng Pagboto sa Pamamagitan ng Koreo**

Kung isa kang Botante ng Pagboto sa Pamamagitan ng Koreo sa isa sa mga presintong ito, maaari kang humiling ng kopya ng balota sa isa sa mga wikang mayroon nito:

Sa Pamamagitan ng Telepono: 805-781-5228
Sa Pamamagitan ng E-Mail: elections@co.slo.ca.us
Sa Pamamagitan ng Koreo: 1055 Monterey Street, Suite D120
Sa Personal: Sa Opisina ng Klerk na Tagapagtala sa 1055 Monterey Street, D120, San Luis Obispo o 6565 Capistrano Avenue (Ika-2 palapag), Atascadero
Online: [www.slocounty.ca.gov/LanguageAssistance](http://www.slocounty.ca.gov/LanguageAssistance)
New Features on the Web

www.slovote.com
Find Your Polling Place Here

Polling Place Search

To locate your polling place and view ballot choices, enter the following information and click “Find polling place”. The required fields are street number, street name, and zip code. If you know your street direction and street type, you may enter them, too. Then click “Find polling place”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Number</th>
<th>Street Direction</th>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>Street Type</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please select</td>
<td></td>
<td>Please select</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Find polling place

View Photos, Directions to the Polls, Accessibility Features for Voters with Disabilities, and Your Sample Ballot

Polling Place Search Results

Note: Showing a polling place for this address does not mean that you are registered to vote. To verify your registration status contact the Clerk-Recorder’s Office at (805) 781-5000 or Elections@slo.ca.us.

To return to the Search page, click here.

Your polling place:
Polling Place: San Luis Obispo Veterans Bldg
Location: 801 Grand Ave - San Luis Obispo
Consolidation Number: 520
Sample Ballot Booklet: BallotType9 (PDF file. Adobe Reader required.)
Accessibility Details: Accessibility Details
Link to map: Map

SBPP
What can the Clerk-Recorder do for you?

You already know us as the Registrar of Voters. The Clerk-Recorder also functions as the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, County Recorder, County Clerk and Commissioner of Civil Marriages.

What other services are offered by the department? Here are just a few…

- File Fictitious Business Name Statements
- Maintain Official Records (land records)
- Issue Marriage Licenses
- Perform Wedding Ceremonies
- Provide Certified Copies of Vital Records for events that occurred in San Luis Obispo County (Births, Deaths and Marriages)
- Watch Board of Supervisor’s meetings online and view associated documents

For more information, visit us on the internet at [www.slovote.com](http://www.slovote.com), contact us by phone (805) 781-5080 and don’t forget to follow us on:

- [http://www.facebook.com/slocountyclerkrec](http://www.facebook.com/slocountyclerkrec)
- [http://twitter.com/slocountyclerk](http://twitter.com/slocountyclerk)
The San Luis Obispo County Medical Reserve Corps (SLOMRC) is a group of healthcare professionals trained to respond to emergencies in San Luis Obispo County.

If you are interested in volunteering through the Medical Reserve Corps, please visit www.slocounty.ca.gov/MRC for more information or contact Denise Yi at (805) 295-8672 or slomrc@aol.com.
REQUESTING A VOTE BY MAIL BALLOT

If you find that for any reason you will be unable to vote in person on Election Day, promptly complete and sign the application for a Vote By Mail ballot printed on the reverse side of this page, detach the post card, apply postage and mail. Your application must reach the office of the Clerk-Recorder not less than 7 days before the day of election. State law now allows a voter to apply for a Vote By Mail ballot by telephone. You may reach the Clerk-Recorder at 805-781-5228. The deadline for the Clerk-Recorder to receive Vote By Mail applications is October 30, 2018.

PERMANENT VOTE BY MAIL QUALIFICATION

Any voter, upon request, may become a Permanent Vote By Mail Voter. You may either check the Permanent Vote By Mail box on the Application for Vote By Mail Ballot or request Permanent Vote By Mail status over the phone and a vote by mail ballot will automatically be sent to you for future elections. Failure to vote in four consecutive statewide general elections may cancel your Permanent Vote By Mail Status and you will need to reapply.

RETURNING YOUR VOTE BY MAIL BALLOT

Voters may return only their own voted vote by mail ballot, in person or by mail, to the Clerk-Recorder’s Office. On Election Day the ballot can be returned to any polling place. To be counted, a ballot must be received no later than the close of the polls at 8:00 p.m. on Election Day. Mailed ballots must be postmarked on or before Election Day and be received in the Clerk-Recorder’s Office no later than three days after Election Day. However, a voter who is unable to return his/her ballot may designate any person to return the ballot to the Clerk-Recorder or any polling place.

VOTE BY MAIL BALLOT LOOK-UP ON THE WEB

Check the status of your returned VBM ballot on the Internet at www.slovote.com

FOR QUESTIONS, CONTACT US

Contact Information on the Front Cover