OFFICIAL BALLOT JUNE 3, 2008 CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS: To vote for the candidate of your choice, darken the OVAL to the LEFT of the candidate's name. In a contest where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, darken the OVAL to the LEFT of all candidates of your choice, not to exceed the number of candidates to be elected. To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, darken the OVAL to the LEFT of and write in the candidate's name on the Write-In line. To vote on a measure, darken the OVAL to the left of the word "Yes" or the word "No". All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void. If you tear, deface or wrongly mark this ballot, return it and get another. **VOTE LIKE THIS**:

-

DEMOCRATIC PARTY

PARTISAN	PROPOSITION 99- EMINENT DOMAIN.	
UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE 22nd DISTRICT NO CANDIDATE FILED PAPERS FOR THIS CONTEST	LIMITS ON GOVERNMENT ACQUISITION OF OWNER-OCCUPIED RESIDENCE. INITIATIVE	
	CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Bars use of eminent domain to acquire an owner-	
Write-in STATE SENATOR 15th DISTRICT NO CANDIDATE FILED PAPERS FOR THIS CONTEST	occupied residence for conveyance to a private person or business entity. Creates exceptions for public works, public health and safety, and crime prevention. Fiscal Impact: No significant fiscal impact on state or local governments.	
MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY 33rd DISTRICT Vote for One	YES NO	
ROBERT EVAN CUTHBERT		
NON-PARTISAN	-	
	-	
	_	
COUNTY SUPERVISOR 5th DISTRICT Vote for One		
DEBBIE ARNOLD Rancher/Businesswoman JAMES R. PATTERSON County Supervisor, 5th District		
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO THE VOTERS		
STATE	1	
PROPOSITION 98- EMINENT DOMAIN. LIMITS ON GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.		
Bars state and local governments from taking or damaging private property for private uses. Prohibits rent control and similar measures. Eliminates deference to government in property rights cases.	\$ }	
Changes condemnation rules. Fiscal Impact: Increased costs to many governments due to the measure's restrictions. The net statewide fiscal effect, however, probably would not be significant.		
→ YES → NO		