OFFICIAL BALLOT
JUNE 3, 2008 CONSOLIDATED PRIMARY ELECTION
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS: To vote for the candidate of your choice, darken the OVAL to the LEFT of the candidate’s name. In a contest where two or more candidates for the same office are to be elected, darken the OVAL to the LEFT of all candidates of your choice, not to exceed the number of candidates to be elected. To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, darken the OVAL to the LEFT of and write in the candidate’s name on the Write-In line. To vote on a measure, darken the OVAL to the left of the word “Yes” or the word “No”. All distinguishing marks or erasures are forbidden and make the ballot void. If you tear, deface or wrongly mark this ballot, return it and get another. VOTE LIKE THIS: ☐

DEMOCRATIC PARTY

PARTISAN

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE
23rd DISTRICT
Vote for One
☐ LOIS CAPPS
U.S. Representative
☐ Write-in

STATE SENATOR
15th DISTRICT
NO CANDIDATE FILED PAPERS FOR THIS CONTEST
☐ Write-in

MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY
33rd DISTRICT
Vote for One
☐ ROBERT EVAN CUTHBERT
☐ Write-in

NON-PARTISAN

COUNTY

COUNTY SUPERVISOR
3rd DISTRICT
Vote for One
☐ ADAM HILL
Educator
☐ JERRY LENTHALL
County Supervisor
☐ Write-in

MEASURES SUBMITTED TO THE VOTERS

STATE

PROPOSITION 98- EMINENT DOMAIN. LIMITS ON GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.
Bars state and local governments from taking or damaging private property for private uses. Prohibits rent control and similar measures. Eliminates deference to government in property rights cases. Changes condemnation rules. Fiscal Impact: Increased costs to many governments due to the measure’s restrictions. The net statewide fiscal effect, however, probably would not be significant.

☐ YES ☐ NO

PROPOSITION 99- EMINENT DOMAIN. LIMITS ON GOVERNMENT ACQUISITION OF OWNER-OCCUPIED RESIDENCE. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.
Bars use of eminent domain to acquire an owner-occupied residence for conveyance to a private person or business entity. Creates exceptions for public works, public health and safety, and crime prevention. Fiscal Impact: No significant fiscal impact on state or local governments.

☐ YES ☐ NO
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