REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE A-18

The argument for Measure A-18 leaves out important facts and gets others wrong, such as:

- Proponents of the parcel tax say that Cambria depends on the CCSD Fire Department (Cambria Fire) for more than just firefighting.

  That's not the whole story. Cambria Fire does not work alone. Agencies not mentioned in the “pro” argument -- Cal Fire, the Cambria Community Healthcare District’s ambulance service, the County Sheriff and the CHP -- also serve Cambria. This well-run emergency system does not need extra firefighters to do its job.

- The “pro” argument points to “national federal and state safety requirements.” But these requirements are already met by Cal Fire and Cambria Fire working together.

- The Grand Jury has not recommended raising Cambria Fire staffing levels. Nor has the CCSD mentioned fire staffing in its responses to the Grand Jury.

- San Luis Obispo County’s Emergency Operations Plan (the correct title) says nothing about needing extra firefighters. A 2014 County document suggests adding professionals “to augment existing volunteer forces,” but Cambria Fire is already fully professionalized.

- The proponents cite “increasing public safety needs,” In fact, fires in Cambria are trending downward and ambulance calls are not increasing.

Moreover, the cost to fund these three extra firefighters begins at $378,000 a year. Along with cost-of-living and “step” increases, taxpayers will face rising pension and healthcare costs for the extra firefighters for decades to come.

We Cambrians support our firefighters. But this tax increase is not necessary. We urge you to vote NO on Measure A-18.
SIGNATURE STATEMENT

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to Division 9 of the California accompanied by the following form statement, to be signed by each proponent different, of the argument. Only the first five signatures will be printed in the Information Pamphlet.

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the □ Argument in Favor of □ Rebuttal to the Argument Against □ Argument Against □ Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of

ballot measure (insert letter) A-18

at the (insert type of election - Primary, General, Special) Primary

Election for the Cambria Community Services District

(insert name of jurisdiction - County, Special District, School District)

to be held on (insert election date) June 5, 2018

argument is true and correct to the best of (insert his, her or their)

Signed □ Tom Gray Date 3-12-18
Print Name Tom Gray

Signed □ □ Date 3-5-18
Print Name □ □

Signed □ □ Date 3/9/18
Print Name □ □

Signed □ □ Date 3/14/18
Print Name □ □

Signed □ □ Date 3/14/18
Print Name □ □

Contact person: ___________________________ Phone # _____

ARGUMENT/PERUTTAL filed by (check any of the following that apply):
SIGNATURE STATEMENT

FORM OF STATEMENT TO BE FILED BY AUTHOR(S) OF PRIMARY ARGUMENTS AND REBUTTALS (§9164, 9167 & 9600)

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to Division 9, Chapter 2 (beginning with §9000) Code shall be accompanied by the following form statement to be signed by each proponent/ponent(s) of the argument:

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the Argument/Rebuttal for the Measure A-18 for the Camarillo Community Services District at the Primary to be held on June 5, 2018 hereby state that the argument is true and correct to the best of (his/her/their) knowledge and belief.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Print Name</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tom Gray</td>
<td></td>
<td>3-12-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print Name</td>
<td>Signature</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igor V. Fedoroff</td>
<td></td>
<td>3-5-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Griffin</td>
<td>Signature</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3/9/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerald Praver</td>
<td>Signature</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3/6/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverly S. Praver</td>
<td>Signature</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3/11/18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>