# 1. Los Osos Valley Road (LOVR)

- a. Bush at LOVR right turn only configuration is a bad idea.
- b. At the Rexall concerns with median preventing left turns into site.
- c. LOVR cross section shows a 14' travel lane which is inconsistent with other goals in the plan.
- d. LOVR is impossible to walk /bike safely.
- e. Difficult to cross (dangerous).
- f. When can we see some improvements from 9<sup>th</sup> to Pine?
- g. Improvements needed all the way to Pecho Road (school access). Response: Projects (bike /pedestrian trail along LOVR) need to be on the Trails Plan in the Local Coastal Plan in order to get built (Dave Flynn).
- Need safe crossing at LOVR and Alexander no signals from Palisades to Doris. And there is poor visibility.
  Response: New signal is proposed at Pine, unsafe to cross now. The right of way is tight
  - in this location (Dave Flynn).
- i. Broderson can be an alternative to Pine for signal /crossing and trail.
- j. Difficult to make a left turn from Pine to LOVR.
- k. A signal is planned for Pine, but Fairchild will have a signal first.
- I. Can you have a light a both Pine and Broderson? Response: Maybe.
- m. Pine and LOVR problems with left turn to go north. Vehicles traveling west bound (on LOVR) enter turn lane (too early) to turn onto Alexander.
- n. A flashing light crosswalk at LOVR and Alexander would be helpful for pedestrians crossing.
- o. Consider a traffic signal at LOVR/Pecho/Pecho Valley Road.
- p. Sidewalks needed all along LOVR.

# 2. General

- a. Need a network of bike/pedestrian trails.
  - Take advantage of unpaved Baywood streets
  - Linear park concept (like El Morro bike path)
- b. Need a bike /trail from Sunset Terrace to Montana de Oro.
- c. Transit Nodes stop near Sunset Terrace, need to be closer to Montana de Oro.
- d. Concerns regarding right of way width on Ravenna/ Ramona for bike lane.
- e. A swooping turn at 4<sup>th</sup> and Pismo would encourage traffic not to go down 4<sup>th</sup> and use 3<sup>rd</sup> instead.
- f. What is the difference between collector and local roads?

Response: Los Osos Circulation Study has cross section.

- g. 3<sup>rd</sup> Street trail to connect Baywood commercial area to Sweet Springs.
- h. The Los Osos Community Advisory Council has a traffic and circulation subcommittee that meets monthly.
- i. Raveena, 4<sup>th</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and Ramona may be a good location for a roundabout.
- j. There is a need for parks and green spaces in the community
- k. There is no safe way from town to Montana de Oro except by motor vehicle.
- I. Concerns regarding (lack of) right of way width on Ravenna and Ramona for bike lanes.

## 3. Bikeways Plan

- a. Should be consistent with Community Plan.
- b. Incorporate 11<sup>th</sup> Street Bike Lane
- c. Incorporate Bikeways Plan into the Community Plan.
- d. Add Bike Blvd. on 10<sup>th</sup> Street to the plan.

## 4. Implementation

- a. What is the implementation schedule? *Response: Depends on the Basin Plan*
- b. Will it happen as development happens? *Response: Yes*
- c. Don't like gaps in sidewalk/discontinuity.
- d. Would like to see an overall plan implemented.
- e. Prioritization on needed infrastructure projects will be part of the Public Facilities Financing Plan. A Preliminary meeting may be scheduled to determine priorities.

## 5. Pedestrian Movement Plan

- a. Lower 40 mph speed limit on LOVR.
- b. Medians get torn out.
- c. Emphasis should be on the pedestrian rather than bike access or traffic (motor vehicle).

## 6. Traffic calming

- a. Invite traffic calming expert Dan Burden to come talk to the community. Traffic calming is included in the plan.
- b. Visual resistance
  - Canopy (tree), stripping
  - Don't see these techniques in the plan speed table, bulb outs, etc.
- c. Need calming improvements at Gateway