AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION OR ACTION
1. CALL TO ORDER 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	Marshall Ochylski, serving as the Chair, called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m. and led the Pledge of Allegiance.
3. ROLL CALL	Ray Dienzo, acting Clerk, called roll to begin the meeting. Director Bruce Gibson, Director Marshall Ochylski, Director Bill Garfinkel, and Director Mark Zimmer were present.
4. BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS	Director Zimmer provided an update on Golden State Water's current two key projects. The water company is bringing ion exchange treatment to one of their plant site facilities. They have entered into a contract and the equipment is being manufactured off site; they are currently going through the permitting process.
	Director Garfinkel requested that, on the next agenda, the committee discuss forming a JPA in order to obtain the necessary legal status to apply for Proposition 1 and other state grants. Mr. Garfinkel also suggested signing the memorandum to join the Regional Water Management Committee.
	Chair Ochylski informed the Board that the Chromium levels were not exceeded in any of the water delivered to their customers. Mr. Ochylski also expressed concerns by the LOCSD board for the BMC budget approval and discussed the issues to be resolved, namely in kind services and legal consults.
5. CONSENT AGENDA 5a. APPROVAL OF	Public comment
MINUTES FROM January 5, 2016 meeting	Keith Wimer expressed concern over the inaccurate representation of his comments on items not on the agenda regarding conservation; requested more detailed minutes to include BMC member comments and video recording of meetings.
	Lynette Tornatzky requested a correction in the spelling of Karen Venditti's last name.
	Richard Margetson requested corrections be made with respect to his comments from the previous meeting.
	It was clarified that the requests for corrections would be met, and comments on the previous minutes would be corrected as discussed.
	A motion was made by Director Gibson to approve the consent agenda. The motion was seconded by Director Zimmer and carried with the following vote: Ayes: Directors Zimmer, Gibson, Ochylski Nays: None Abstain: Director Garfinkel Absent: None

REPORT   Club's inquiries. He discussed the potential outcomes if infrastructure programs are unable to sto seawater intrusion, stating that the judgment requires parties to evaluate the effectiveness of the pla periodically and implement measures to mitigate seawater intrusion. Such measures may include mandatory reductions in pumping and responses to physical conditions. Mr. Miller encouraged member to read through the responses to the Sierra Club.     Mr. Miller also briefly discussed the County's growth management ordinance process, which goes to the Board of Supervisors on May 17, 2016, and provided an update on the zone of benefit process. Mr. Mille amounced that they are currently evaluating three proposals from prospective grant consultants an inter to be improved and audio of the meeting is available online.     Member Ginson inquired about the zone of benefit proposal timeline and progress, to which Executiv Director Miller provided clarification.     Member Zimmer commented on the audio quality and responses to the Sierra Club's questions. Membe Ochyskis inquired about the come of benefit proposal timeline and progress, to which Executiv Director Miller provided clarification.     Member Zimmer commented on the audio quality and responses to the Sierra Club's questions. Member Zimmer, as well as continuing concern.     Lou Tornatzky requested that the chapter of the Sierra Club be identified and presented with th questions.     Patrick McGibney expressed his concern over the need for more public participation and discusse conservation measures.     Karen Venditti expressed her concern over the lack of funds in the budget to pay for these recordings.     Director Ginkkel and carride with the following voice: Ayes		
Board of Supervisors on May 17, 2016, and provided an update on the zone of benefit process. Mr. Milli announced that they are currently evaluating three proposals from prospective grant consultants an intend to bring back a recommendation at the next meeting. He additionally noted that meeting posting are to be improved and audid of the meetings is available online.     Member Gibson inquired about the zone of benefit proposal timeline and progress, to which Executiv Director Miller provided clarification.     Member Zimmer commented on the audio quality and responses to the Sierra Club's questions. Membe Ochrykki inquired about the components of the annual report, and Mr. Miller stated that the initial repor would primarily consist of background and policy information.     Public comment   Keith Wimer - the Sierra Club is not yet prepared to announce its position and listed their key points or agreement, as well as continuing concern.     Lou Tornatzky requested that the chapter of the Sierra Club be identified and presented with th questions.   Patrick McGibney expressed his concern over the need for more public participation and discusse conservation measures.     Karen Venditti expressed her concern over the lack of mandatory monitoring for agricultural and privat wells.   Lynette Tornatzky commented on the potential issues that may arise in trying to get video recordings of meetings to reach the public, homes need Charter cable to get the video.     Richard Margetson expressed his interest in having video recordings of meetings and proposed that community donations could be used to make up for the lack of funds in the budget to pay for these recordings.     Director Gibson made a point of clarification on the Propositi		
Action/ITEMS   7a. Review and Approve Proposal for Hyprogeologic Services   Net comments   Net compared to the annual report, and Mr. Miller stated that the initial report would primarily consist of background and policy information.     Public comment   Keith Wimer - the Sierra Club is not yet prepared to announce its position and listed their key points of agreement, as well as continuing concern.     Lou Tornatzky requested that the chapter of the Sierra Club be identified and presented with th questions.   Patrick McGibney expressed his concern over the need for more public participation and discusse conservation measures.     Karen Venditti expressed her concern over the lack of mandatory monitoring for agricultural and privat wells.   Lynette Tornatzky commented on the potential issues that may arise in trying to get video recordings of meetings to reach the public; homes need Charter cable to get the video.     Richard Margetson expressed his interest in having video recordings of meetings and proposed that community donations could be used to make up for the lack of funds in the budget to pay for these recordings.     Director Gibson made a point of clarification on the Proposition 84 Grant.   A motion was made by Director Gibson to receive and file the report. The motion was seconded by Director Garifikel and carried with the following vote: Ayes: Directors Garifikel and carried with the following vote: Ayes: None Abstain: None Abstain: None A duestion was posed regarding the groundwater contours. He ais oblicused the costs associate with utting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.     A question was posed regarding the costs in subsequent years and responded that the		Board of Supervisors on May 17, 2016, and provided an update on the zone of benefit process. Mr. Miller announced that they are currently evaluating three proposals from prospective grant consultants and intend to bring back a recommendation at the next meeting. He additionally noted that meeting postings
Action/ITEMS 7a. Review and Approper Optional   Action/ITEMS 7a. Review and Approper Optional   Approve Proposal for Hydrogeologic Nore   Action/ITEMS 7a. Review and Approve Proposal for Hydrogeologic   Action/ITEMS Are None   Action/ITEMS Approve Proposal for Hydrogeologic   Action/ITEMS Are None   Approve Proposal Are None		Member Gibson inquired about the zone of benefit proposal timeline and progress, to which Executive Director Miller provided clarification.
Action/ITEMS     Action/ITEMS     7a. Review and Approve Proposal for Hydrogeologic Services     Mr. Miller discussed the technical aspects of the source and the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a complation or regarding the report of the groundwater available in storage, and the groundwater contours. He also discussed the costs associate with putting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.     Action/ITEMS   Mr. Miller discussed the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a complation of the available in storage, and the groundwater contours. He also discussed the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a cost associate with putting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.     Action/ITEMS   Mr. Miller discussed the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a cost associate with putting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.     Action/ITEMS   Mr. Miller discussed the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a cost associate with putting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.     Approve Proposal for Hydrogeologic   Arest available in storage, and the groundwater contours. He also discussed the costs associate with putting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.     Approve Proposal for Hydrogeologic   Areust may aspect approval and the totest would b proj		Member Zimmer commented on the audio quality and responses to the Sierra Club's questions. Member Ochylski inquired about the components of the annual report, and Mr. Miller stated that the initial report would primarily consist of background and policy information.
Agreement, as well as continuing concern.   Lou Tornatzky requested that the chapter of the Sierra Club be identified and presented with th questions.   Patrick McGibney expressed his concern over the need for more public participation and discusse conservation measures.   Karen Venditti expressed her concern over the lack of mandatory monitoring for agricultural and privat wells.   Lynette Tornatzky commented on the potential issues that may arise in trying to get video recordings or meetings to reach the public; homes need Charter cable to get the video.   Richard Margetson expressed his interest in having video recordings of meetings and proposed that community donations could be used to make up for the lack of funds in the budget to pay for these recordings.   Director Gibson made a point of clarification on the Proposition 84 Grant.   A motion was made by Director Gibson to receive and file the report. The motion was seconded by Director Garfinkel and carried with the following vote: Ayes: Director Gibson, Ochylski, Garfinkel Nays: None Absent: None   Absent: None Mr. Miller discussed the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a compilation or the available data for 2015, such as the analysis of seawater intrusion, information regarding the available data for 2015, such as the analysis of seawater intrusion, information regarding the available data for 2015, such as the analysis of seawater intrusion, information regarding the available data for 2015, such as the analysis of seawater intrusion, information regarding the available in storage, and the groundwater contours. He also discussed the costs associate with putting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.		Public comment
ACTION ITEMS Mr. Miller discussed the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a compilation of the available data for 2015, such as the analysis of seawater intrusion, information regarding the costs in subsequent years and responded that the costs would be root and the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a compilation of the available in storage, and the groundwater contours. He also discussed the costs associate with putting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.   Action ITEMS Mr. Miller discussed the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a compilation of the available in storage, and the groundwater contours. He also discussed the costs associate with putting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.   A question was posed regarding the costs in subsequent years and responded that the costs would be rejected to go down.   Public Comment   Keith Wimer inquired about additional monitoring to fill gaps, and Executive Director Miller agreed that		Keith Wimer - the Sierra Club is not yet prepared to announce its position and listed their key points of agreement, as well as continuing concern.
ACTION ITEMS   7a. Review and   Approve Proposal   for Hydrogeologic   Services		Lou Tornatzky requested that the chapter of the Sierra Club be identified and presented with the questions.
ACTION ITEMS Mr. Miller discussed the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a compilation of the available in storage, and the groundwater contours. He also discussed the costs associate with putting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.   ACTION ITEMS Mr. Miller discussed the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a compilation of the available in storage, and the groundwater contours. He also discussed the costs would be report and the timeline of budget approval.   Action was posed regarding the costs in subsequent years and responded that the costs would be report. The report is to include a compilation of the available in storage, and the groundwater contours. He also discussed the costs associate with putting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.   A question was posed regarding the costs in subsequent years and responded that the costs would be projected to go down.   Public Comment   Keith Wimer inquired about additional monitoring to fill gaps, and Executive Director Miller agreed that		Patrick McGibney expressed his concern over the need for more public participation and discussed conservation measures.
Action ITEMS Mr. Miller discussed the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a compilation of the valiable data for 2015, such as the analysis of seawater intrusion, information regarding the report and the timeline of budget approval.   Action ITEMS Mr. Miller discussed the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a compilation of the report. He also discussed the costs associate with putting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.   Action ITEMS Mr. Miller discussed the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a compilation of the available data for 2015, such as the analysis of seawater intrusion, information regarding the ropic tector do go down.   Public Comment A question was posed regarding the costs in subsequent years and responded that the costs would be rojected to go down.		Karen Venditti expressed her concern over the lack of mandatory monitoring for agricultural and private wells.
ACTION ITEMS Mr. Miller discussed the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a compilation or the available in storage, and the groundwater contours. He also discussed the costs associate with putting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.   ACTION ITEMS Mr. Miller discussed the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a compilation or the available in storage, and the groundwater contours. He also discussed the costs associate with putting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.   A question was posed regarding the costs in subsequent years and responded that the costs would be projected to go down.   Public Comment   Keith Wimer inquired about additional monitoring to fill gaps, and Executive Director Miller agreed that		Lynette Tornatzky commented on the potential issues that may arise in trying to get video recordings of meetings to reach the public; homes need Charter cable to get the video.
A motion was made by Director Gibson to receive and file the report. The motion was seconded by Director Garfinkel and carried with the following vote:   Ayes: Directors Zimmer, Gibson, Ochylski, Garfinkel   Nays: None   Abstain: None   Absent: None   Absent: None   Mr. Miller discussed the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a compilation of the available data for 2015, such as the analysis of seawater intrusion, information regarding the groundwater available in storage, and the groundwater contours. He also discussed the costs associated with putting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.   A question was posed regarding the costs in subsequent years and responded that the costs would be projected to go down.   Public Comment   Keith Wimer inquired about additional monitoring to fill gaps, and Executive Director Miller agreed that		community donations could be used to make up for the lack of funds in the budget to pay for these
by Director Garfinkel and carried with the following vote:   Ayes: Directors Zimmer, Gibson, Ochylski, Garfinkel   Nays: None   Abstain: None   Mr. Miller discussed the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a compilation of the available data for 2015, such as the analysis of seawater intrusion, information regarding the roundwater contours. He also discussed the costs associate with putting together the report and the groundwater contours. He also discussed the costs associate with putting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.   A question was posed regarding the costs in subsequent years and responded that the costs would be projected to go down.   Public Comment   Keith Wimer inquired about additional monitoring to fill gaps, and Executive Director Miller agreed that		Director Gibson made a point of clarification on the Proposition 84 Grant.
7a. Review and Approve Proposal for Hydrogeologic Servicesthe available data for 2015, such as the analysis of seawater intrusion, information regarding th groundwater available in storage, and the groundwater contours. He also discussed the costs associate with putting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.A question was posed regarding the costs in subsequent years and responded that the costs would b projected to go down.Public Comment Keith Wimer inquired about additional monitoring to fill gaps, and Executive Director Miller agreed that		by Director Garfinkel and carried with the following vote: Ayes: Directors Zimmer, Gibson, Ochylski, Garfinkel Nays: None Abstain: None
Services A question was posed regarding the costs in subsequent years and responded that the costs would b projected to go down.   Public Comment   Keith Wimer inquired about additional monitoring to fill gaps, and Executive Director Miller agreed that	7a. Review and Approve Proposal for Hydrogeologic Services	Mr. Miller discussed the technical aspects of the annual report. The report is to include a compilation of the available data for 2015, such as the analysis of seawater intrusion, information regarding the groundwater available in storage, and the groundwater contours. He also discussed the costs associated with putting together the report and the timeline of budget approval.
Keith Wimer inquired about additional monitoring to fill gaps, and Executive Director Miller agreed that		A question was posed regarding the costs in subsequent years and responded that the costs would be projected to go down.
		Public Comment
Lou Tornatzky expressed his support for the proposal as well as his concern over the costs in subsequent years.		

Item 5- APPROVED Minutes of the Meeting of February 17, 2016		
	A motion was made by Director Zimmer to approve the proposal for hydrogeologic services. The motion was seconded by Director Gibson and carried with the following vote: Ayes: Directors Zimmer, Gibson, Ochylski, Garfinkel Nays: None Abstain: Absent: None	
Proposed Basin Boundary Modification Request For Los Osos Groundwater Basin	Carolyn Berg, County Public Works Water Resources Division, provided a brief update since the last discussion and discussed the proposed approach moving forward. The County staff has explored a basin boundary modification and conducted the necessary public processes. The County held a public workshop to discuss the draft technical memorandum and has received initial feedback from DWR. Ms. Berg called the BMC's attention to two major items: (1) When the state is considering basin boundary modifications, it is their responsibility and to their discretion to decide what basins and sub-basins are modified and whether or not certain requests might create new sub-basins; (2) There are slight differences from the final court order plan area, and the County is proposing to seek a basin boundary modification request supported by scientific data, but ask DWR to consider the request within the context of the adjudication.	
	Member Ochylski asked for clarification regarding parcels, to which Carolyn Berg responded and added details on the timeline to send the request to the County Board of Supervisors.	
	Public Comment	
	Lou Tornatzky expressed his support and asked for further details on the timeline for approval. Ms. Berg explained the process and deadlines and stated that the decision should be delivered by the end of the year.	
	A motion was made by Director Gibson to approve the recommendation. The motion was seconded by Director Garfinkel and carried with the following vote: Ayes: Directors Zimmer, Gibson, Ochylski, Garfinkel Nays: None Abstain: Absent: None	
7c. Administrative Draft – Los Osos Creek	Executive Director Rob Miller briefly reviewed the technical aspects of the Los Osos Creek Discharge project. Regulation can follow one of two paths: (1) a method of disposal as part of a wastewater project, or (2) a method of groundwater recharge and replenishment project. The regulation of each of these methods differ under Title 22, and is dependent on travel time, dilution water, and credit in soil for removal of contaminants.	
	Concerns over cost and annual yield, as well as the next steps in the process were discussed. A proposal and recommendation will be brought to the next meeting.	
	Public Comment	
	Jeff Edwards expressed his support for the project, posed some questions and made recommendations.	
	Lou Tornatzky expressed his support for the project. He suggested the BMC also look into grant opportunities to fund the project.	
	Keith Wimer expressed support and suggested the use of blended water as well as the treatment of the project as a replenishment project to ensure that the water reach the wells.	
	Karen Venditti expressed her preference for the creek discharge program over the previously proposed dry farm discharge project. She also commented on concern for costs associated with treating the water.	
	Lynette Tornatzky commented on emerging contaminants.	
	A motion was made by Director Garfinkel to revisit the item on the next meeting's agenda. The motion was seconded by Director Gibson and carried with the following vote: Ayes: Directors Zimmer, Gibson, Ochylski, Garfinkel Nays: None Abstain: Absent: None	

item 5" AFFROVED windles of the meeting of February 17, 2010		
8. PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT APPEARING ON THE AGENDA	Linde Owen requested the cessation of drawing from the basin for outdoor water use. She suggested a long term method to reuse septic tanks or imported water from effluent or upper aquifer. She also urged for no more growth of the water systems' distribution of water.	
	Keith Wimer voiced the Sierra Club and LOSG's commitment to conservation and reuse programs and expressed discontent for the County's efforts with regards to conservation. He requested that the BMC pursue a discussion of conservation and how it can be maximized in the near future as an action item on the agenda for the next meeting.	
	Karen Venditti offered to volunteer for outreach to Los Osos community members regarding the costs associated with repurposing septic tanks. She also expressed concern over the lack of mandatory monitoring of wells.	
	Richard Margetson commented on the water allocations for dry land farmers.	
9. ADJOURNMENT	Request for spending versus budget figures at next meeting on March 16, 2016.	

*I, Ray Dienzo, acting Secretary to the Los Osos Basin Management Committee do hereby certify that the foregoing is a fair statement of the proceedings of the meeting held Wednesday, February 17, 2016 by the Los Osos Basin Management Committee approved these minutes on March 16, 2016.* 

<u>/s/ Ray Dienzo</u> RAY DIENZO Secretary