Hydrologic Unit Name	Water Planning Area	Acreage	Flows to	Groundwater Basin(s)	Jurisdictions
Estero Bay &	South	33,205	Pacific	Santa Maria	County of San Luis Obispo,
Santa Maria	Coast	acres	Ocean	Valley	Town of Nipomo
HU 10 & 12	WPA 7				





Description:

The Santa Maria River Watershed is located in southern San Luis Obispo County and northern Santa Barbara County. The watershed includes the major tributaries of the Cuyama and Sisquoc Rivers as well as a number of smaller tributaries. The Santa Maria River (downstream of the confluence with Cuyama and Sisquoc Rivers) rises to a maximum elevation of approximately 390 feet and flows to the Pacific Ocean. Drainage in the watershed is linked to the soils and geology with a dune lake complex, Black Lake Canyon slough, Oso Flaco Creek and portions of the Santa Maria River within the County of San Luis Obispo.

The watershed is dominated by residential and agricultural land uses including ranches, row crops, greenhouses and orchards. Other land uses include recreation and oil refinery.

Watershed Plans:

Santa Maria River Estuary Enhancement and Management Plan (Dunes Center, 2004)

Characteristics:

Physical Setting	
Rainfall	15 – 17 inches (NRCS Precipitation 1981-2010)
Air Temperature	Summer Range (August 1981-2010): 54°- 73°F Winter Range (December 1981-2010): 39°- 63°F At Santa Maria Public Airport, CA. (NOAA National Climatic Data Center, viewed 2013)
Geology Description	Santa Maria River, Black Lake Canyon and Oso Flaco Creek watersheds consist of flat highly infiltrative Quaternary headwaters – category #3. (Bell, personal communication, 2013) The watershed lies at the boundary of two geomorphic regions – the Coast Ranges and the Transverse Ranges – both highly influenced by right-lateral movement along the San Andreas Fault Zone. The lithology of the watershed is characterized as young, weakly consolidated marine and some non-marine sedimentary rocks composing the valley bottoms. The and Santa Maria valleys
	are the two principal depositional basins in the watershed and support the watershed's two main groundwater basins. It has been estimated that each basin has a maximum thickness of sediments reaching 2.0 and 2.9 km, respectively that has been filling continuously over the past 4 million years. (Stillwater Sciences, 2012) The Paso Robles Formation is water bearing (Morro Group, 1996).
	The Watershed in underlain by an ancient sheet of Windblown sand (Morro Group, 1996). The Nipomo Mesa west of U.S. 101 is basically its own watershed, having no watercourses entering from outside. With the exception of certain portions of Black Lake Canyon, the Mesa's undulating terrain creates a series of contiguous, undrained basins having ponding potentials (Lawrance, Fisk & McFarland, Inc 1987).
Hydrology	
Stream Gage	No; USGS 11141600 Los Berros C Nr Nipomo Ca (1968-1978, discontinued); USGS 11141000 Santa Maria R A Guadalupe (1941 - 1987, discontinued)
	Limited water quality data with instantaneous discharge was collected at USGS 350146120352501,Little Oso Flaco Lake Near Guadalupe CA (years unknown, active); USGS 350121120351301 Unnamed Trib To Oso Flaco Creek Near Guadalupe Ca (2008-08- 06,active); USGS 350059120351501 Oso Flaco CA Oso Flaco Lake Rd Near Guadalupe Ca (2008-08-06, active); USGS 345945120341301 Watershed Management Plan Phase 1

	Oso Flaco C A Hwy 1 Near Guadalupe Ca (2008-08-06,active); USGS 345955120330901, Oso Flaco C 1.0 Mi Us Of Hwy 1 Near Guadalupe
	Ca (dates unknown, active); USGS 350001120261101,Nipomo CA Hwy 101 Bridge Ca (1975-02-12,inactive)
	Limited data for major creeks.
Hydrologic Models	Yes; for Santa Maria River Estuary (Dunes Center, 2004).
	Limited data for major creeks.
Peak Flow	No source identified for Black Lake Canyon.
	Overall average annual discharge [for Oso Flaco Creek] measured
	over rain years 2009, 2010, 2011 is 2,062.25 million gallons for Site
	OFC 20. The highest monthly average flow was 17.46 cfs. (A&M, 2012)
	Limited data for major mode
 Base Flow	No source identified for Black Lake Canyon.
	Overall average annual discharge [for Oso Flaco Creek] measured
	Over rain years 2009, 2010, 2011 is 2,062.25 million gallons for Site
	OFC20. (A&M, 2012).
	The Guadalupe gage (USGS 11141000) [on the Santa Maria River]
	record from 1941–1987 reported periods every year of continuous
	zero discharge, some up to three years in duration (Stillwater
	Sciences, 2012).
	Limited data for major creeks.
Flood Reports	Yes; Nipomo Drainage and Flood Control Study (SLO County, 2004);
	Maria River areas.
	The [Nipomo] Mesa's undulating topography creates numerous
	depressions, including low spots having no outflow drainage paths,
	which lead to a high incidence of localized ponding (SLO County
	FCWCD, 2009).
	Large portions of the Oso Flaco Creek subwatershed are within the
	FEMA 100 year flood zone; connecting to the Santa Maria River in
	Iarge events. Flood risk is localized in the Black Lake Canyon area.
Riological Satting	Limited data for major creeks.
Vegetation Cover	Primarily agricultural land and coastal beaches and dunes with

	some central co coast live oak fo land. (SLO Cour	some central coastal scrub (sagebrush and heather goldenbush), coast live oak forest, coastal and valley freshwater marsh and urban land. (SLO County, vegetation shapefile, 1990)							
	Grassland, coastal dune scrub/chaparral, riparian/freshwater marsh, cypress/eucalyptus (Morro Group, 1996).								
	Dune wetlands and riparian vegetation are present in backdunes and along dune lakes in this watershed. (Althouse and Meade, 2013)								
	Limited spatial data.	No allian	ice level v	egetation	n mapping	g was avai	lable for the entire County.		
invasive species	cress, bull thist	cress, bull thistle, non-native grasslands. (Dunes Center, 2004)							
	Limited data.								
Special Status Wildlife and Plants	 Limited data. Special status plant taxa observed include California spineflower, sand almond, Gambel's watercress, marsh sandwort (Morro Group, 1996). Special status wildlife for which appropriate habitat is present include silver legless lizard, southwestern pond turtle, California red-legged frog, Cooper's hawk, sharp-shinned hawk, golden eagle, prairie falcons, Peregrine falcon and monarch butterfly. (Morro Group, 1996) <i>Key:</i> FE - Federal endangered, FT - Federal threatened, SE - State endangered, ST - State threatened, SSC - State Species of Special Concern; FP- Fully Protected, SA – Special Animal, CRPR – CA rare plant rank (CNDDB, viewed August, 2013) Locations listed refer to USGS 7.5' quadrangle names. Only the portion overlapping the watershed boundary was considered. 								
	Limited by the type o	f data co	ollected in	the CA N	latural Di	versity Da	tabase.		
		IADALUPE	омо	EANO	INT SAL	NTA MARIA			
Common Name	Status	ВU	Ī	00	Ы	SA			
	Animals								
American badger	SSC			Х					
arroyo chub	SSC				Х				
burrowing owl	SSC (Burrow sites, some wintering sites)				x				
California black rail	ST			Х					
California least tern	FE; SE			х	х				

Common Name	Status	GUADALUPE	OMOdin	DCEANO	POINT SAL	SANTA MARIA
California red-legged						
frog	FI	х	х	х		x
California tiger salamander	FT; ST					
coast horned lizard	SSC	х		х	х	х
globose dune beetle	SA			х		
mimic tryonia (=California brackish water snail)	SA			x		
monarch butterfly	SA			х		х
Morro Bay blue butterfly	SA			x		
Oso Flaco flightless moth	SA			х		
Oso Flaco patch butterfly	SA			х		
Oso Flaco robber fly	SA			х		
prairie falcon	SA (Nesting)		х	х		
sandy beach tiger beetle	SA			х		
sharp-shinned hawk	SA (Nesting)		х	х		
silvery legless lizard	SSC	х		х	х	
steelhead - south/ central California coast DPS	FT		x	x		
tidewater goby	FE			х	х	
two-striped garter snake	SSC				x	
western pond turtle	SSC			х		
western snowy plover	FT			х	x	
western spadefoot	SSC		х			Х
white sand bear scarab beetle	SA			x	x	
	Plants					
beach spectacle-pod	ST			х	х	
Blochman's leafy daisy	CRPR 1B.2			х	х	
California saw-grass	CRPR 2B.2			х		
coast woolly-heads	CRPR 1B.2			х		
coastal goosefoot	CRPR 1B.2	х		х	х	

٦

Common Name	Status	BUADALUPE	VIPOMO	DCEANO	OINT SAL	ANTA MARIA
crisp monardella	CRPR 1B.2	 	~	 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Davidson's saltscale	CRPR 1B.2	х				
dune larkspur	CRPR 1B.2			х		x
Gambel's water cress	FE; ST			x		
Hoover's bent grass	CRPR 1B.2			х		
Kellogg's horkelia	CRPR 1B.1			х		
La Graciosa thistle	FE; ST; CRPR 1B.1	x		x	х	
marsh sandwort	FE; SE			х		
Miles' milk-vetch	CRPR 1B.2		х			
Nipomo Mesa lupine	FE; SE			х		
Pismo clarkia	FE; SR			Х		
San Bernardino aster	CRPR 1B.2			х		
San Luis Obispo monardella	CRPR 1B.2			х	х	
sand mesa manzanita	CRPR 1B.2	Х	Х	Х		Х
Santa Margarita manzanita	CRPR 1B.2		х	х		
San Luis Obispo County lupine	CRPR 1B.2		х			
short-lobed broomrape	CRPR 4.2			х	x	
surf thistle	ST; CPRR 1B.2			x	x	
Steelhead Streams	Santa Maria Ri	ver (NN	ИFS. 20)05)		

Steelhead Streams	Santa Maria River (NMFS, 2005)
Stream Habitat	No source identified.
Inventory	
Fish Passage	Road Crossing Unnamed tributary to Santa Maria River, Unknown
Barriers	Status, PAD # 731125; Black Lake Canyon and Hwy 1 Culvert,
	Unknown Status, PAD # 731671. (CDFW Passage Assessment
	Database, 2013)
Designated Critical	Yes; La Graciosa thistle (A&M, 2012); Western snowy plover
Habitat	(USFWS Critical Habitat Portal, viewed 2013); Steelhead trout
	(NMFS, 2005)
Habitat	None. (USFWS Critical Habitat Portal, viewed 2013)
Conservation Plans	
Other	Guadalupe Dunes Complex, Coastal Zone, Oso Flaco Lake Natural

Environmental	Reserve, Nipomo Dunes, Dune Lakes, Black Lake Canyon and					
 Resources	wetlands (freshwater marsh, peat bog, riparian)					
Land Use						
Jurisdictions &	Nipomo Community Services District					
Local Communities						
% Urbanized	27% (22.6% residential, 4.39% commercial, industrial and public					
33,205.3	facility) (SLO County LUC)					
% Agricultural	37.2% (SLO County LUC)					
% Other	35.9% (2.31% open space, 27.48% recreation, 6.07% rural lands)					
	(SLO County LUC)					
Planning Areas	South County Inland, South County Coastal					
Potential growth	Nipomo Mesa					
areas						
Facilities Present	Private wells and septic systems; small water companies include					
	Rural Water Company, Mesa Dunes Mobile home Estates, La Mesa					
	Water Company, Las Flores Water Company, Troesh Recycling and					
	others.					
	Limited data					
Commercial Uses	Proposed oil processing facilities, agriculture including greenhouses.					
	row crops, cattle grazing, recreation					
	Limited data					
 Demographics						
Demographics Population	13,720 in watershed (U.S. Census Block, 2010)					
 Demographics Population Race and Ethnicity	13,720 in watershed (U.S. Census Block, 2010) 63.9% Caucasian (8,775), 2.5% Asian (349), 30.1% Latino (4,128),					
Demographics Population Race and Ethnicity	13,720 in watershed (U.S. Census Block, 2010) 63.9% Caucasian (8,775), 2.5% Asian (349), 30.1% Latino (4,128), 3.5% Other (U.S. Census Block, 2010)					
Demographics Population Race and Ethnicity Income	13,720 in watershed (U.S. Census Block, 2010) 63.9% Caucasian (8,775), 2.5% Asian (349), 30.1% Latino (4,128), 3.5% Other (U.S. Census Block, 2010) MHI \$56,538 (U.S. Census Tract, 2010)					
Demographics Population Race and Ethnicity Income	13,720 in watershed (U.S. Census Block, 2010) 63.9% Caucasian (8,775), 2.5% Asian (349), 30.1% Latino (4,128), 3.5% Other (U.S. Census Block, 2010) MHI \$56,538 (U.S. Census Tract, 2010)					
Demographics Population Race and Ethnicity Income Disadvantaged	 13,720 in watershed (U.S. Census Block, 2010) 63.9% Caucasian (8,775), 2.5% Asian (349), 30.1% Latino (4,128), 3.5% Other (U.S. Census Block, 2010) MHI \$56,538 (U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No: 7% of individuals are below poverty in the watershed (U.S. 					
Demographics Population Race and Ethnicity Income Disadvantaged Communities	 13,720 in watershed (U.S. Census Block, 2010) 63.9% Caucasian (8,775), 2.5% Asian (349), 30.1% Latino (4,128), 3.5% Other (U.S. Census Block, 2010) MHI \$56,538 (U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of individuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census Tract, 2010) 					
Demographics Population Race and Ethnicity Income Disadvantaged Communities	 13,720 in watershed (U.S. Census Block, 2010) 63.9% Caucasian (8,775), 2.5% Asian (349), 30.1% Latino (4,128), 3.5% Other (U.S. Census Block, 2010) MHI \$56,538 (U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of individuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census Tract, 2010) 					
Demographics Population Race and Ethnicity Income Disadvantaged Communities	 13,720 in watershed (U.S. Census Block, 2010) 63.9% Caucasian (8,775), 2.5% Asian (349), 30.1% Latino (4,128), 3.5% Other (U.S. Census Block, 2010) MHI \$56,538 (U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of individuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of individuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. 					
Demographics Population Race and Ethnicity Income Disadvantaged Communities Water Supply	13,720 in watershed (U.S. Census Block, 2010) 63.9% Caucasian (8,775), 2.5% Asian (349), 30.1% Latino (4,128), 3.5% Other (U.S. Census Block, 2010) MHI \$56,538 (U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of individuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract, 2010) Census tract, 2010) Census tract, 2010)					
Demographics Population Race and Ethnicity Income Disadvantaged Communities Water Supply	13,720 in watershed (U.S. Census Block, 2010) 63.9% Caucasian (8,775), 2.5% Asian (349), 30.1% Latino (4,128), 3.5% Other (U.S. Census Block, 2010) MHI \$56,538 (U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of individuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds.					
Demographics Population Race and Ethnicity Income Disadvantaged Communities Water Supply Water	13,720 in watershed (U.S. Census Block, 2010) 63.9% Caucasian (8,775), 2.5% Asian (349), 30.1% Latino (4,128), 3.5% Other (U.S. Census Block, 2010) MHI \$56,538 (U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of individuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of modividuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. Nipomo Community Services District; Rural Water Company;					
Demographics Population Race and Ethnicity Income Disadvantaged Communities Water Supply Water Management	13,720 in watershed (U.S. Census Block, 2010) 63.9% Caucasian (8,775), 2.5% Asian (349), 30.1% Latino (4,128), 3.5% Other (U.S. Census Block, 2010) MHI \$56,538 (U.S. Census Tract, 2010) census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of individuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census Tract, 2010) census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of modividuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census Tract, 2010) census tract crosses multiple watersheds. Nipomo Community Services District; Rural Water Company; Golden State Water Company; Woodlands Water Company; about					
Demographics Population Race and Ethnicity Income Disadvantaged Communities Water Supply Water Management Entities	13,720 in watershed (U.S. Census Block, 2010) 63.9% Caucasian (8,775), 2.5% Asian (349), 30.1% Latino (4,128), 3.5% Other (U.S. Census Block, 2010) MHI \$56,538 (U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of individuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of individuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. Nipomo Community Services District; Rural Water Company; Golden State Water Company; Woodlands Water Company; about 29 small purveyors are on the Nipomo Mesa (LAFCO, 2010)					
Demographics Population Race and Ethnicity Income Disadvantaged Communities Water Supply Water Management Entities	13,720 in watershed (U.S. Census Block, 2010) 63.9% Caucasian (8,775), 2.5% Asian (349), 30.1% Latino (4,128), 3.5% Other (U.S. Census Block, 2010) MHI \$56,538 (U.S. Census Tract, 2010) census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of individuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census Tract, 2010) census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of individuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. Nipomo Community Services District; Rural Water Company; Golden State Water Company; Woodlands Water Company; about 29 small purveyors are on the Nipomo Mesa (LAFCO, 2010)					
Demographics Population Race and Ethnicity Income Disadvantaged Communities Water Management Entities Groundwater	13,720 in watershed (U.S. Census Block, 2010) 63.9% Caucasian (8,775), 2.5% Asian (349), 30.1% Latino (4,128), 3.5% Other (U.S. Census Block, 2010) MHI \$56,538 (U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of individuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of individuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. Nipomo Community Services District; Rural Water Company; Golden State Water Company; Woodlands Water Company; about 29 small purveyors are on the Nipomo Mesa (LAFCO, 2010) Limited data. Yos: alluvial and Santa Maria Biver Valley (SLO County, 2012)					
Demographics Population Race and Ethnicity Income Disadvantaged Communities Water Supply Water Management Entities Groundwater	13,720 in watershed (U.S. Census Block, 2010) 63.9% Caucasian (8,775), 2.5% Asian (349), 30.1% Latino (4,128), 3.5% Other (U.S. Census Block, 2010) MHI \$56,538 (U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of individuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census Tract, 2010) Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. No; 7% of individuals are below poverty in the watershed.(U.S. Census tract crosses multiple watersheds. Nipomo Community Services District; Rural Water Company; Golden State Water Company; Woodlands Water Company; about 29 small purveyors are on the Nipomo Mesa (LAFCO, 2010) Limited data. Yes; alluvial and Santa Maria River Valley (SLO County, 2012)					

Imported Water	Planned; supplemental water from Santa Maria which is blended state water and groundwater (Douglas Wood & Ass., 2009).
Recycled/ Desalinated Water	Yes; Woodlands Wastewater Treatment Plant for irrigation of golf course; Desalinated water is not currently used but is being explored. (LAFCO, 2010)
Infiltration Zones	Seepage of river flows through the river bed along the Santa Maria River and along the lower reaches of the Cuyama and Sisquoc Rivers is the primary source of recharge to the Santa Maria Groundwater Basin. Percolation of river flows through unconsolidated, permeable alluvial deposits account for approximately 75-85% of the average annual recharge to the groundwater basin. A significant portion of the groundwater recharge attributable to river bed seepage is due to the operation of the Twitchell Dam. (SLO County & SB County, 1998)
Water Budget	None to date. Santa Maria Basin is adjudicated. The Nipomo Valley Sub-basin is part of the Santa Maria Valley Groundwater Basin as defined by DWR but outside of the adjudicated basin area (SLO County, Master Water Plan, 2012). Limited data.
Water Uses	
Beneficial Uses	Dunes Lakes – Ground Water Recharge (GWR), Freshwater Replenishment (FRSH), Water Contact Recreation (REC-1), Non- Contact Water Recreation (REC-2), Commercial and Sport Fishing (COMM), Warm Fresh Water Habitat (WARM), Wildlife Habitat (WILD), Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species (RARE), Spawning, Reproduction, and/or Early Development (SPWN).
	Oso Flaco Creek – Municipal and Domestic Supply (MUN), Agricultural Supply (AGR), Ground Water Recharge (GWR), Freshwater Replenishment (FRSH), Water Contact Recreation (REC- 1), Non-Contact Water Recreation (REC-2), Commercial and Sport Fishing (COMM), Warm Fresh Water Habitat (WARM), Wildlife Habitat (WILD), Preservation of Biological Habitats of Special Significance (BIOL), Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species (RARE), Spawning, Reproduction, and/or Early Development (SPWN).
	Oso Flaco Lake– Municipal and Domestic Supply (MUN), Ground Water Recharge (GWR), Freshwater Replenishment (FRSH), Water Contact Recreation (REC-1), Non-Contact Water Recreation (REC-2), Commercial and Sport Fishing (COMM), Warm Fresh Water Habitat (WARM), Wildlife Habitat (WILD), Preservation of Biological Habitats of Special Significance (BIOL), Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species (RARE), Spawning, Reproduction, and/or Early
	Watershed Management Plan Phase 1 Santa Maria River Watershed, Section 3.2.4.8, page 360

	Development (SPWN).
	Santa Maria River – Municipal and Domestic Supply (MUN), Agricultural Supply (AGR), Industrial Service Supply (IND), Ground Water Recharge (GWR), Freshwater Replenishment (FRSH), Water Contact Recreation (REC-1), Non-Contact Water Recreation (REC-2), Commercial and Sport Fishing (COMM), Warm Fresh Water Habitat (WARM), Cold Fresh Water Habitat (COLD), Wildlife Habitat (WILD), Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species (RARE), Migration of Aquatic Organisms (MIGR),
	Santa Maria River Estuary – Ground Water Recharge (GWR), Freshwater Replenishment (FRSH), Water Contact Recreation (REC- 1), Non-Contact Water Recreation (REC-2), Commercial and Sport Fishing (COMM), Warm Fresh Water Habitat (WARM), Preservation of Biological Habitats of Special Significance (BIOL), Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species (RARE), Migration of Aquatic Organisms (MIGR), Spawning, Reproduction, and/or Early Development (SPWN).(RWQCB, 2011)
Other Unique Characteristics	
Historic Resources	No source identified.
Archeological Resources	There are a number of archaeological sites in the [Nipomo] area which are large but of a low density (Morro Group, 1996).
Other	Limited data. No source identified.
Other Climate Change Considerations	Limited data. No source identified.
Other Climate Change Considerations	Limited data. No source identified. State climate change maps show sea level inundation at the Oso Flaco Creek and Santa Maria River Estuaries (USGS,Cal-Adapt, viewed 2013).
Other Climate Change Considerations	Limited data. No source identified. State climate change maps show sea level inundation at the Oso Flaco Creek and Santa Maria River Estuaries (USGS,Cal-Adapt, viewed 2013). See IRWMP, 2014 Section H. Climate Change

Watershed Codes

Calwater /		Hydrologic		Hydrologic			
DWR		Area		Sub-area	SWRCB	CDF Super	CDF Watershed
Number	HA	Name	HSA	Name	Number	Planning Area	Name
		Arroyo		Nipomo			
3310.320000	3	Grande	2	Mesa	310.32	undefined	undefined
						Santa Maria	
3312.100300	1	Guadalupe	0	undefined	312.10	Valley	Santa Maria Valley
Source: Excerpt	from	California Inter	agency	Watershed Map	of 1999, Ca	lwater 2.2.1 (CA Re	source Agency, 2004
Update)							

Major Changes in the Watershed

- Nipomo Creek, during the Pliocene Epoch, flowed to the north joining Los Berros Creek and Arroyo Grande Creek. During the Quaternary period of the Holocene Epoch, rapid melting of glaciers caused changes in sea levels and rapid migration of shoreline dunes inland blocking the flow of Nipomo Creek. The blockage created shallow lakes which broke thought the dunes of the Nipomo Mesa creating Black Lake Canyon. Further encroachment of sand eventually blocked this direct seaward exist of Nipomo. The subsequent build up of water in Nipomo valley found its weakest point to exit through a southern route becoming a tributary of the Santa Maria watershed (Ardoin/Bishop, 2004)
- 9,000 years. Most of the recorded cultural sites occur on the bluff of the mesa overlooking several creeks and in the foothills near larger tributaries. Sites on the Nipomo Mesa did not support as dense a population as neighboring coastal areas, and represent temporary occupations or small villages (Wheeler, 2005).
- In 1772, a mission was established in San Luis Obispo.
- A portion of the watershed is part of the Rancho Nipomo Mexican Land Grant awarded to Captain William Dana in 1835 bringing cattle and sheep to the area.
- In 1878, the Pacific Coast Railway was granted land.
- The 1890's brought growth to the area with expanding agriculture and an influx of immigrant families to work the land.
- In 1936, Dorthea Lange chronicled the dire poverty of the migrant "pea pickers" in Nipomo, taking the iconic photo of the depression, Migrant Mother.
- The three largest fires of the last half-century were the 1966 Wellman fire, the 2007 Zaca fire, and the 2009 LaBrea fire.
- Between 1980 2000, Nipomo experienced dramatic population growth at a total growth rate of 140% (Biorn, 2005).

Watershed Health by Major Tributary

Tributary Name	Ephemeral / Perennial	303d Listed/ TMDLs	Pollution Sources NP (non-point) MP (Major Point)	Environmental Flows	
Oso Flaco Creek	Perennial	Yes on 303d list for Ammonia, Chloride, Fecal Coliform, Nitrate, Sediment Toxicity, Sodium, Unknown Toxicity. TMDL estimated date of completion 2013. (SWRCB, 2010)	Agriculture, Natural, Groundwater Loading, Unknown (SWRCB, 2010)	No source identified.	
Little Oso Flaco Creek	Perennial	Yes on 303d list for Fecal Coliform, Nitrate, Sediment Toxicity, Unknown Toxicity. TMDL estimated date of completion 2013. (SWRCB, 2010)	Agriculture, Groundwater Loading, Unknown (SWRCB, 2010)	No source identified.	
Black Lake Canyon	Isolated	Not assessed. (SWRCB, 2010)	Undetermined.	No source identified.	
Santa Maria River	Ephemeral	Yes on 303d list for Chloride, Chlorpyrifos, DDT, Dieldrin, Endrin, E. coli, Fecal Coliform, Nitrate, Sediment Toxicity, Sodium, Toxaphene, Turbidity, Unknown Toxicity. TMDL estimated date of completion 2013. (SWRCB, 2010)	Agriculture, Natural, Grazing Related, Natural, Onsite Waste- water Systems (Septic), Urban Runoff Unknown(SWRCB, 2010)	No source identified.	

Groundwater Basin	Estimated Safe Yield	Water Availability Constraints	Drinking Water Standard	Water Quality Objective
			Exceedance	Exceedance
Santa Maria Valley	No existing yield.	Physical	No. (SLO County,	No objective for
– Nipomo Valley	(SLO County,	limitations and	Master Water	the basin.
Subbasin	Master Water	water quality. (SLO	Report, 2012)	(RWQCB, Table 3-
	Report, 2012)	County, Master		8, 2011)
		Water Report,		
		2012)		
Santa Maria	4,800-6,000 AFY	Physical	No. (SLO County,	Yes. (RWQCB,
Valley- Nipomo	(SLO County,	limitations, water	Master Water	2011)
Mesa	Master Water	quality, and water	Report, 2012)	
Management Area	Report, 2012)	rights. (SLO		
		County, Master		
		Water Report,		
		2012)		

Watershed Health by Major Groundwater Basin

Groundwater Quality Description:

Nipomo Valley subbasin: Water quality is variable across the sub-basin, and the available data set does not distinguish between older alluvial wells and fractured rock wells, although most of the water represented is from the fractured rock reservoirs. Groundwater samples collected from 22 wells between 1962 and 2000 displayed the following characteristics: TDS concentrations ranged from 750 mg/L to 1,300 mg/L; sulfate concentrations between 200 and 340 mg/L; chloride concentrations between 64 and 130 mg/L; and nitrate concentrations from non-detect to 3.4 mg/L. Groundwater is classified as suitable to marginal under water quality guideline for irrigated agriculture (DWR 2002).

Nipomo Mesa Management Area: Water quality varies in general mineral character across the Nipomo Mesa. The median TDS in 35 wells sampled between 1990 and 2000 was approximately 500 mg/L. Nitrate has been detected in excess of the drinking water standard in relatively few wells (DWR 2002; NMMA Technical Group, 2009). According to the database maintained by the California Department of Public Health (CDPH), production wells used for public drinking and industrial use in the NMMA met drinking water quality standards in 2008. One of the ConocoPhillips production wells had a reported value of 1,000 mg/L TDS, the highest reported to the CDPH within the NMMA; the well is used for industrial processing (NMMA Technical Group, 2009). (SLO County, Master Water Report, 2012)

Primary Issues

Effects of Cattle grazing UnknownLimited StudyDunes Center, 2004Impaired surface water qualityGrazing, crop landDunes Center, 2004; Althouse and Meade, 2012; RWQCB, 2012 and 2013.Potential for incidental take of endangered or threatened speciesNoneDunes Center, 2004Lack of data on plant and wildlife species.Limited studyDunes Center, 2004Vegetation in the channel concentrates and diverts flows, and cause grosion and flooding of low-lying areas.Vegetation in the channelDunes Center, 2004Land use practices on [Santa Maria River] study reach and dune parcels may be incompatible with plan goals.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Presence of levees that restrict or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors.Levees along Santa Maria River partices in plant speciesDunes Center, 2004Invasive riparian plant species that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjecent habitatsInvasive riparian plantsDunes Center, 2004Invasive arcretion in the fastata spread into adjecent habitatsInvitchell dam changes to purple adjecent habitatsDunes Center, 2004	Issue	Potential Causes	Referenced from
grazing UnknownImpaired surface water qualityGrazing, crop landDunes Center, 2004; Althouse and Meade, 2012; RWQCB, 2012 and 2013.Potential for incidental take of endangered or threatened speciesNoneDunes Center, 2004Lack of data on plant and wildlife species.Limited studyDunes Center, 2004Vegetation in the channel concentrates and diverts flows, and causes erosion and flooding of low-lying areas.Vegetation in the channelDunes Center, 2004Land use practices on [Santa Maria River] study reach and dune parcels may be incompatible with plan goals.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Presence of levees that restrict or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors.Levees along Santa Maria River study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvasive riparian plant species that restrict adjacent habitatsInvasive riparian plant species transport corridors.Dunes Center, 2004	Effects of Cattle	Limited Study	Dunes Center, 2004
Impaired surface water qualityGrazing, crop landDunes Center, 2004; Althouse and Meade, 2012; RWQCB, 2012 and 2013.Potential for incidental take of endangered or threatened speciesNoneDunes Center, 2004Lack of data on plant and wildlife species.Limited studyDunes Center, 2004Vegetation in the channel concentrates and diverts flows, and causes erosion and flooding of low-lying areas.Vegetation in the channelDunes Center, 2004Land use practices on [Santa maria River] study reach and dune parcels may be incompatible with plan goals.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Presence of levees that restrict corridors.Levees along Santa Maria River or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors.Levees along Santa Maria River or other interfamily flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors.Dunes Center, 2004Invasive riparian plant species that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvasive riparian plantsDunes Center, 2004Sediment accretion in the [Santa spread into adjacent habitatsTwitchell dam changes toDunes Center, 2004	grazing Unknown		
and Meade, 2012; RWQCB, 2012 and 2013.Potential for incidental take of endangered or threatened speciesNoneDunes Center, 2004Lack of data on plant and wildlife species.Limited studyDunes Center, 2004Vegetation in the channel concentrates and diverts flows, and causes erosion and flooding of low-lying areas.Vegetation in the channelDunes Center, 2004Land use practices on [Santa Maria River] study reach and dune parcels may be incompatible with plan goals.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Presence of levees that restrict corridors.Levees along Santa Maria River or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors.Levees along Santa Maria River or therwise riparian plant speciesDunes Center, 2004Invasive riparian plant species flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvasive ripares to partice bartaDunes Center, 2004	Impaired surface water quality	Grazing, crop land	Dunes Center, 2004; Althouse
Potential for incidental take of endangered or threatened speciesNoneDunes Center, 2004Lack of data on plant and wildlife species.Limited studyDunes Center, 2004Vegetation in the channel concentrates and diverts flows, and causes erosion and flooding of low-lying areas.Vegetation in the channelDunes Center, 2004Land use practices on [Santa Maria River] study reach and dune parcels may be incompatible with plan goals.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Presence of levees that restrict or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors.Levees along Santa Maria River levees along Santa Maria RiverDunes Center, 2004Invasive riparian plant species that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvitchell dam changes toDunes Center, 2004			and Meade, 2012; RWQCB, 2012
Potential for incidental take of endangered or threatened speciesNoneDunes Center, 2004Lack of data on plant and wildlife species.Limited studyDunes Center, 2004Vegetation in the channel concentrates and diverts flows, and causes erosion and flooding of low-lying areas.Vegetation in the channelDunes Center, 2004Land use practices on [Santa Maria River] study reach and dune parcels may be incompatible with plan goals.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Presence of levees that restrict or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transportLevees along Santa Maria River levees along Santa Maria RiverDunes Center, 2004Invasive riparian plant species that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvitchell dam changes toDunes Center, 2004			and 2013.
endangered or threatened speciesLimited studyDunes Center, 2004Lack of data on plant and wildlife species.Limited studyDunes Center, 2004Vegetation in the channel concentrates and diverts flows, and causes erosion and flooding of low-lying areas.Vegetation in the channelDunes Center, 2004Land use practices on [Santa dune parcels may be incompatible with plan goals.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Presence of levees that restrict or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors.Levees along Santa Maria River partial plant species that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvative ripartes to partial blattsDunes Center, 2004	Potential for incidental take of	None	Dunes Center, 2004
speciesLack of data on plant and wildlife species.Limited studyDunes Center, 2004Vegetation in the channel concentrates and diverts flows, and causes erosion and flooding of low-lying areas.Vegetation in the channelDunes Center, 2004Land use practices on [Santa dune parcels may be incompatible with plan goals.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Presence of levees that restrict corridors.Levees along Santa Maria River or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors.Dunes Center, 2004Invasive riparian plant species that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvitchell dam changes toDunes Center, 2004	endangered or threatened		
Lack of data on plant and wildlife species.Limited studyDunes Center, 2004Vegetation in the channel concentrates and diverts flows, and causes erosion and flooding of low-lying areas.Vegetation in the channelDunes Center, 2004Land use practices on [Santa Maria River] study reach and dune parcels may be incompatible with plan goals.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Presence of levees that restrict corridors.Levees along Santa Maria River to rotherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors.Dunes Center, 2004Invasive riparian plant species that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvitchell dam changes toDunes Center, 2004	species		
species.Vegetation in the channelDunes Center, 2004Vegetation in the channelDunes Center, 2004concentrates and diverts flows, and causes erosion and flooding of low-lying areas.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Land use practices on [Santa Maria River] study reach and dune parcels may be incompatible with plan goals.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Presence of levees that restrict corridors.Levees along Santa Maria River Levees along Santa Maria RiverDunes Center, 2004Invasive riparian plant species that establish in the [Santa Maria agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvasive riparian plant species twitchell dam changes toDunes Center, 2004	Lack of data on plant and wildlife	Limited study	Dunes Center, 2004
Vegetation in the channel concentrates and diverts flows, and causes erosion and flooding of low-lying areas.Vegetation in the channelDunes Center, 2004Land use practices on [Santa Maria River] study reach and dune parcels may be incompatible with plan goals.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Presence of levees that restrict or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors.Levees along Santa Maria River nvasive riparian plant species that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvitchell dam changes toDunes Center, 2004	species.		
concentrates and diverts flows, and causes erosion and flooding of low-lying areas.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Land use practices on [Santa Maria River] study reach and dune parcels may be incompatible with plan goals.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Presence of levees that restrict or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors.Levees along Santa Maria River or that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvasive ripares to pare to the [SantaDunes Center, 2004	Vegetation in the channel	Vegetation in the channel	Dunes Center, 2004
and causes erosion and flooding of low-lying areas.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Maria River] study reach and dune parcels may be incompatible with plan goals.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Presence of levees that restrict or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors.Levees along Santa Maria RiverDunes Center, 2004Invasive riparian plant species that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvitchell dam changes toDunes Center 2004	concentrates and diverts flows,		
flooding of low-lying areas.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Maria River] study reach and dune parcels may be incompatible with plan goals.Limited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Presence of levees that restrict or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors.Levees along Santa Maria RiverDunes Center, 2004Invasive riparian plant species that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvitchell dam changes toDunes Center, 2004	and causes erosion and		
Land use practices on [SantaLimited land available for enhancementDunes Center, 2004Maria River] study reach and dune parcels may be incompatible with plan goals.enhancementDunes Center, 2004Presence of levees that restrict or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors.Levees along Santa Maria RiverDunes Center, 2004Invasive riparian plant species that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvitchell dam changes toDunes Center, 2004	flooding of low-lying areas.		
Maria River] study reach and dune parcels may be incompatible with plan goals.enhancementPresence of levees that restrict or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors.Levees along Santa Maria RiverDunes Center, 2004Invasive riparian plant species that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvitchell dam changes toDunes Center, 2004	Land use practices on [Santa	Limited land available for	Dunes Center, 2004
dune parcels may be incompatible with plan goals.Levees along Santa Maria RiverDunes Center, 2004Presence of levees that restrict or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors.Levees along Santa Maria RiverDunes Center, 2004Invasive riparian plant species that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvitchell dam changes toDunes Center, 2004	Maria River] study reach and	enhancement	
Incompatible with plan goals.Levees along Santa Maria RiverDunes Center, 2004Presence of levees that restrict or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors.Levees along Santa Maria RiverDunes Center, 2004Invasive riparian plant species that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvitchell dam changes toDunes Center, 2004	dune parcels may be		
Presence of levees that restrict Levees along Santa Maria River Dunes Center, 2004 or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport Dunes Center, 2004 corridors. Invasive riparian plant species Invasive riparian plants Dunes Center, 2004 Invasive riparian plant species Invasive riparian plants Dunes Center, 2004 that establish in the [Santa Maria Invasive riparian plants Dunes Center, 2004 flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitats Twitchell dam changes to Dunes Center, 2004	incompatible with plan goals.		
or otherwise modify flows, flow channels and sediment transport corridors. Invasive riparian plant species that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitats Sediment accretion in the [Santa Twitchell dam changes to Dunes Center, 2004	Presence of levees that restrict	Levees along Santa Maria River	Dunes Center, 2004
Channels and sediment transport corridors.Dunes Center, 2004Invasive riparian plant species that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsInvasive riparian plantsSediment accretion in the [SantaTwitchell dam changes toDunes Center, 2004	or otherwise modify flows, flow		
corridors.Invasive riparian plant speciesInvasive riparian plantsDunes Center, 2004that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitatsDunes Center, 2004Sediment accretion in the [SantaTwitchell dam changes toDunes Center, 2004	channels and sediment transport		
Invasive riparian plant species Invasive riparian plants Dunes Center, 2004 that establish in the [Santa Maria River] study reach may impede File flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitats Twitchell dam changes to Dunes Center, 2004	corridors.		D
River] study reach may impede flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitats Sediment accretion in the [Santa Twitchell dam changes to Dunes Center, 2004	Invasive riparian plant species	Invasive riparian plants	Dunes Center, 2004
flood flows, interfere with agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitats Sediment accretion in the [Santa Twitchell dam changes to Dunes Center, 2004	that establish in the [Santa Maria		
agricultural operations, cause ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitats Sediment accretion in the [Santa Twitchell dam changes to Dunes Center 2004	Riverj study reach may impede		
ecological degradation, and spread into adjacent habitats Sediment accretion in the [Santa Twitchell dam changes to Dunes Center 2004	agricultural operations, cause		
spread into adjacent habitats Sediment accretion in the [Santa Twitchell dam changes to Dunes Center 2004	agricultural operations, cause		
Sediment accretion in the [Santa Twitchell dam changes to Dunes Center 2004	spread into adjacent habitats		
	Sediment accretion in the Santa	Twitchell dam changes to	Dunes Center 2004
Maria River] study reach and sediment transport	Maria River] study reach and	sediment transport	Duries Center, 2004
erosion along the shoreline	erosion along the shoreline		
Run-off from urban areas	Run-off from urban areas	Urban rural runoff legacy	Dunes Center 2004
contributes nitrates and other groundwater	contributes nitrates and other	groundwater	
pollutants into the [Santa Maria	pollutants into the [Santa Maria	Bioditandici	
River1 study reach.	River] study reach		
DDT and dieldrin Undetermined, sediment Davis, 2010 and RWOCB TMDI	DDT and dieldrin	Undetermined, sediment	Davis, 2010 and RWOCB TMD

The issues described above are in no way an exhaustive list but were identified by entities working in the watershed. Additional research would be needed to flush out all the issues facing the watershed. Issues were vetted by the community to various degrees based on the individual document. There was no countywide vetting process to identify the relative priority of each issue.

Bibliography

Althouse and Meade, Inc. 2013. Published and unpublished field notes.

- Althouse and Meade. (2012). Final Report for the Oso Flaco Creek Non-Point Source Pollution Assessment (County Environmental Determination No. ED95-038).
- Ardoin, C. (2004). *A Natural History of the Nipomo Mesa Region, pp 41-59.* Santa Maria, CA: Field Research of Ralph Bishop .
- Bell, E. (. (2013). Based on Tetra Tech and Stillwater Sciences-2011 Development and Implementation of Hydromodification Control Methodology, Watershed Characterization Part 1: Watershed Characterization Part 1, Precipitation and Landscape.
- Biorn. (2005). Conditional Use Permit and LUO/LUE Amendment EIR.
- California Department of Fish and Game. (2013). *California Natural Diversity Database*. Retrieved from http://imaps.dfg.ca.gov/viewers/cnddb_quickviewer/app.asp
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife. (2013). *Passage Assessment Database BIOS public viewer*. Retrieved from https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/PAD/Default.aspx
- Central Coast Regional Quality Control Board. (2012, March 15). *Total Maximum Daily Load for Fecal Indicator Bacteria for the Santa Maria Watershed Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo and Ventura Counties*. Retrieved 2013, from http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb3/water_issues/programs/tmdl/docs/santa_maria/fib/index.sh tml
- Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. (2013, May). *Total Maximum Daily Loads for Nitrogen Compounds and Orthophosphate for the Lower Santa Maria River Watershed and Tributaries to Sos Flaco Lake in Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo Counties.* Retrieved 2013, from Final Project Report:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralcoast/water_issues/programs/tmdl/docs/santa_maria/ nutrients/index.shtml

- County of San Luis Obispo and County of Santa Barbara. (1998). Santa Maria and Sisquoc Rivers Specific Plan.
- Davis, J. e. (2010). *Contaminants in Fish from California Lakes and Reservoirs, 2007-2008: Summary Report on a Two Year Screening Survey.* Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program, SWRCB.
- Douglas Wood & Associates. (2009). Final Environmental Impact Report for Nipomo Community Services District Waterline Intertie. Retrieved 2013, from Nipomo Community Services District: http://ncsd.ca.gov/Library/Supplemental_Water/DOUGLAS%20WOOD/NCSD%20WI%20Final%2 0EIR%20Part%20I.pdf

Dunes Center. (2004). Santa Maria Estuary Enhancement Management Plan.

DWR. (2002).

Federal Emergency Managment Agency (FEMA). (n.d.). 100 Year Map.

- Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo and Central Coast Salmon Enhancement. (2005). *Nipomo Watershed Management Plan.*
- Lawrance, Fisk & McFarland, Inc. (1987). *Final Report Water, Wastewater and Drainage Studies: Nipomo Mesa Planning Study.* San Luis Obispo, CA: RRM Design Group.
- Morro Group. (1996). *Final Environmental Impact Report, Cypress Ridge Tract Map and Development Plan.* San Luis Obispo, CA.
- National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Regional Office. (2012, Sept). South-Central California Steelhead Recovery Plan, Public REview Draft. Retrieved 2013, from http://swr.nmfs.noaa.gov/recovery/SCCC_Steelhead/Cover,%20ToC,%20Executive%20Summary %20through%20Chapter%208_Sept%2026,%202012.pdf
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (2013). Retrieved 2013, from National Climatic Data Center: http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov
- Natural Resource Conservation Service. (2010). Precipitation 1981-2010 GIS Data [computer files] Using: ESRI ArcMap GIS Version 9.3.1.
- Nipomo Mesa Management Area Technical Group. (2009). *Annual Report* . SAIC, 2009. 2008 Annual Report, Nipomo Mesa Management Area, April 2009. from Master Water Plan.
- San Luis Obispo County. (1990). Vegetation GIS Data. Using: ESRI ArcMap GIS Version 9.3.1. San Luis Obipso, CA.
- San Luis Obispo County. (2012). Master Water Report.
- San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District. (May 2012). SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY MASTER WATER REPORT. http://www.slocountywater.org/site/Frequent%20Downloads/Master%20Water%20Plan/pdf/V ol_III_MWR%20final.pdf#page=14: Carollo Engineers. Retrieved from http://www.slocountywater.org/site/Frequent%20Downloads/Master%20Water%20Plan/pdf/V ol_III_MWR%20final.pdf#page=14
- San Luis Obispo County Planning and Building Geographic Technology and Design. (n.d.). *County Land Use Classifications.*
- San Luis Obispo Local Agency Formation Committee. (2010). *Nipomo Community Services District Sphere Of Influence Update and Municipal Service Review.* Author.

- Stillwater Sciences and Kear Groundwater. (2012). Santa Maria River Instream Flow Study: flow recommendations for steelhead passage. Santa Barbara/Oakland/Sacramento: California Ocean Protection Council and California Department of Fish and Game.
- U.S. Census . (2010). Census Tract GIS Data.
- U.S. Census. (2010). Census Block GIS Data.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. (2013). *Critical Habitat Portal*. Retrieved from http://criticalhabitat.fws.gov/crithab/
- U.S. Geological Survey and Pacific Institute. (n.d.). *Sea Level Rise: Threatened Areas Map*. Retrieved September 2013, from Cal-Adapt: http://cal-adapt.org/sealevel/

Wheeler, T. (2005, November). Personal Communication. (f. t. Land Conservancy and CCSE, Interviewer)