



NEPA RE-VALIDATION FORM (rev. 09/2024)

DIST-CO-RTE: 05-SLO-CR
PM/PM:
EA or Fed-Aid Project No.: ATPL 5949 (188)
Other Project No. (specify): 5949 (091); 5949(132) demo; ID# 0522000012
Project Title: Bob Jones Bike Path
Environmental Approval Type: Categorical Exclusion
Date Approved: 1/23/18 (original) and 6/8/21(revalidation #1)
Reason for Consultation (23 CFR 771.129): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project proceeding to next major federal approval <input type="checkbox"/> Change in scope, setting, effects, mitigation measures, requirements <input type="checkbox"/> 3-year timeline (EIS only) <input type="checkbox"/> N/A (Re-Validation for CEQA only)
Description of Changed Conditions: <i>N/A. No changes to conditions. Project is moving into the next Federal phase involving Construction for Segment 1.</i>

NEPA CONCLUSION - VALIDITY

Based on an examination of the changed conditions and supporting information: (*Check ONE of the three statements below, regarding the validity of the original document/determination (23 CFR 771.129). If document is no longer valid, indicate whether additional public review is warranted and whether the type of environmental document will be elevated. NOTE: If applicable, remember to check conformity status. See the [SER Vol. 1, Chapter 11](#) and contact the District Air Quality Specialist for additional information.*)

- The original environmental document or CE remains valid. No further documentation will be prepared.**
- The original environmental document or CE is in need of updating; further documentation has been prepared and is included on the continuation sheet(s) or is attached. With this additional documentation, the original ED or CE remains valid.**
Additional public review is warranted (23 CFR 771.111(h)(3)) Yes No
- The original environmental document or CE is no longer valid.**
Additional public review is warranted (23 CFR 771.111(h)(3)) Yes No
Supplemental environmental document is needed. Yes No
New environmental document is needed. Yes No (If "Yes," specify type:)

CONCURRENCE WITH NEPA CONCLUSION

I concur with the NEPA conclusion above.

K Vidal
Signature: Environmental Branch Chief

02/07/2205
Date

Leif Kohler
Signature: Project Manager/DLAE

02/07/2025
Date

NEPA/CEQA RE-VALIDATION FORM

CONTINUATION SHEET(S)

Address only changes or new information since approval of the original document and only those areas that are applicable. Use the list below as section headings as they apply to the project change(s). Use as much or as little space as needed to adequately address the project change(s) and the associated impacts, minimization, avoidance and/or mitigation measures, if any.

Changes in project design, e.g., scope change; a new alternative; change in project alignment.

Project likely to drop southern half of project limits due to funding restraints. No environmental issues anticipated.

Changes in environmental setting, e.g., new development affecting traffic or air quality.

n/a

Changes in environmental circumstances, e.g., a new law or regulation; change in the status of a listed species.

It is anticipated that USFWS may soon list additional species for protection. Should Monarch butterfly, NW pond turtle, spadefoot toad, and foothill yellow legged frog become listed prior to construction AND have potential to be found in area, then an impact analysis may be required. At minimum, the ECR should note the potential change in protection status of these species listed above.

Changes to environmental impacts of the project, e.g., a new type of impact, or a change in the magnitude of an existing impact.

n/a

Changes to avoidance, minimization, and/or mitigation measures since the environmental document was approved.

n/a

Changes to environmental commitments since the environmental document was approved, e.g., the addition of new conditions in permits or approvals. When this applies, append a revised Environmental Commitments Record (ECR) as one of the Continuation Sheets.

n/a