



**HOMELESS SERVICES OVERSIGHT COUNCIL (HSOC)
Meeting Agenda**

May 20, 2026, 1 pm

Committee members must participate in person (except for just cause reasons or personal emergency reasons approved by the HSOC)

Room 101, County of San Luis Obispo Department of Social Services,
3433 South Higuera St, San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Members with approved just cause reasons and the public may participate in person or by Zoom video call:

<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/87462848360?pwd=aTbmSxhlAijJWMxWVzNYayKmR9Pzlb.1>

Or dial in:

+1 669 444 9171

Meeting ID: 874 6284 8360

Passcode: 159549

Recusals will be required for the following item:

5.1.1 for all members and alternates with a financial interest in any of the agencies recommended for funding

1. Call to Order and Introductions (5 minutes*)
2. Recusals Notice (3 minutes*)
3. Public Comment (5 minutes*)
4. Consent: Approval of Minutes (2 minutes*)
5. Action/Information/Discussion
 - 5.1. Implementing Five-Year Plan Line of Effort 4 - Create, Identify, and Streamline Funding and Resources

*All times are approximate



- 5.1.1. Action Item: Recommend funding allocations of FY2025 State Emergency Solutions Grant (\$187,867) to support existing Interim Shelter Operations/Supportive Services and Rapid Rehousing/Rental Subsidies (20 minutes*)
 - 5.1.1.1. Committee Questions
 - 5.1.1.2. Public Comment
 - 5.1.1.3. Committee Discussion and Vote
- 5.1.2. Information Item: Update on the Regional Housing Incentive Plan (20 minutes*)
 - 5.1.2.1. Committee Questions
 - 5.1.2.2. Public Comment
 - 5.1.2.3. Committee Discussion
- 5.2. Information Item: Update on the Continuum of Care Grant (15 minutes*)
 - 5.2.1. Committee Questions
 - 5.2.2. Public Comment
 - 5.2.3. Committee Discussion
- 5.3. Discussion Item: Results from Dot Exercise at HSOC Annual Listening Session (5 minutes*)
 - 5.3.1. Committee Questions
 - 5.3.2. Public Comment
 - 5.3.3. Committee Discussion
- 5.4. Discussion Item: Committee Updates (12 minutes*)
 - 5.4.1. Committee Questions

*All times are approximate



- 5.4.2. Public Comment
- 5.4.3. Committee Discussion
- 5.5. Discussion Item: Update from County Staff (15 minutes*)
- 6. Future Discussion/Report Items, Updates and Requests for Information (3 minutes*)
- 7. Next Regular Meeting: July 15, 2026
- 8. Adjournment

The full agenda packet for this meeting is available on the SLO County HSOC web page:

[https://www.slocounty.ca.gov/Departments/Social-Services/Homeless-Services/Homeless-Services-Oversight-Council-\(HSOC\).aspx](https://www.slocounty.ca.gov/Departments/Social-Services/Homeless-Services/Homeless-Services-Oversight-Council-(HSOC).aspx)

*All times are approximate

**HOMELESS SERVICES OVERSIGHT COUNCIL (HSOC)
MEETING MINUTES**

Date

March 18, 2026

Time

3:03 pm-4:47 pm

Location

Veterans Memorial Building, 801 Grand Ave. San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Members Present

Abby Lassen

Amelia Grover

Bao Xiong

Brenda Mack

Clint Weirick (alternate for Jules Tuggle)

Daniel Suttles

Devon McQuade

James Davis

Jeff Eckels (alternate for Kathy McClenathen)

Supervisor Jimmy Paulding

Juanetta Perkins

Kate Secrest

Kathy McClenathen

Kirsten Cahoon

Kris Beal (alternate for Chris Bausch)

Linda Belch (alternate for Devin Drake)

Luke Dunn

Marie Bolin

Mark Lamore

Michelle Shoresman

Raven Lopez

Rick Gulino

Stephanie Potter (alternate for Wendy Lewis)

Susan Funk

Wendy Blacker

Members Absent

Bill Luffee
Frank Warren
Hanan Azeem
Jack Lahey
Jessica Thomas
Jim Dantona
Marcia Guthrie
Michelle Pedigo
Rick Scott
Rosie Ojeda
Susan Lamont

Staff & Guests

Ariana Lomeli
Ashlee Hernandez
Chelsea Fredinburg
Cindy McCown
Daisy Wiberg
Erica Jaramillo
Janna Nichols
Jeff Al-Mashat
Jonathan Quake
Gabby Djuarna
George Solis
Heidi Marks
Jeff Al-Mashat
Jonathan Quake
Kari Howell
Kate Bourne
Kelly Riffer
Lawren Ramos
Laurel Weir
Marge Castle
Merlie Livermore
Michael Azevedo
Ranel Porter
Sophie Glazebrook
Thomas Crottogini
Trisha Raminha

1. Call to Order and Introductions

Chairperson Michelle Shoresman called the meeting to order at 3:03 pm and new attendees introduced themselves.

2. Recusals Notice

Recusals were announced for the following item:

5.2.1 for all members and alternates with a financial interest in any of the agencies recommended for funding

3. Public Comments

Michelle Shoresman opened a moment of silence to honor the recent passing of two unhoused community members: Veronica Beatrice Baro and Willie Felton Foster, Jr. Wendy Blacker announced that the 805 Shower the People program in Morro Bay has expanded its services to include a mobile laundry program.

4. Consent: Approval of Minutes

Mark Lamore moved to approve the minutes, seconded by Luke Dunn. The motion passed by voice vote.

5. Action/Information/Discussion

5.1. HSOC Administration

5.1.1. Action Item: Amending the HSOC Bylaws to create a process for the appointment of Vice Chairs for HSOC Standing Committees

Laurel Weir provided background on the proposed amendment. She explained that in December, the HSOC Executive Committee recommended establishing a Co-Chair option for HSOC subcommittees. Vice Chairs would lead committee meetings when the Chair is unavailable and assist with other committee responsibilities.

This recommendation was presented and discussed at the January HSOC meeting. Following additional review, the Executive Committee provided further guidance regarding the appointment process for Vice-Chairs. The revised changes were then brought forward to the full HSOC for approval.

A motion to approve the amendment was made by Susan Funk and seconded by Marie Bolin. The motion passed by roll call vote.

5.2. Implementing Five-Year Plan Line of Effort 4: Create, Identify, and Streamline Funding and Resources

5.2.1. Action Item: Recommend funding allocations of Homeless Housing, Assistance and Prevention Program (HHAP)– Round 4 (\$464,470) to support Prevention and Shelter Diversion Services

Before George Solis presented the funding summary, members and alternates with a financial interest in any of the recommended agencies were asked to leave the room.

After further discussion, a motion to approve the funding recommendations was made by Jeff Eckels and seconded by Abby Lassen. The motion passed by roll call vote.

5.2.2. Action Item: Vote to recommend a) the Homeless Housing, Assistance Prevention Program (HHAP) Round 5 (2nd Disbursement) funding priorities that were approved by the HSOC for the submission of the HHAP-5 application; b) the Homeless Housing, Assistance Prevention Program Round 6 (1st Disbursement) funding priorities that were approved by the HSOC for the submission of the HHAP-6 application; and c) Vote to recommend the 40% of the FY2025 State Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) funding be prioritized for Rapid Rehousing/ Rental Subsidies and 60% for Operating Costs/ Supportive Services in existing interim shelters

George Solis presented funding priorities recommendations for three key homeless response programs. Details of the recommended allocations were provided in the agenda packet. Laurel Weir also presented the results of the HHAP 5 & HHAP 6 dot exercise which was done at the earlier Listening Session.

5.3. Information Item: 2026 Homeless Point in Time Count Update

Due to time constraints, Kari Howell noted that the Point in Time update will be provided to members via email.

5.4. Discussion Item: Committee Updates

Due to time constraints, no reports were presented.

6. Future Discussion, Report Items, Updates and Requests for Information

No reports were presented.

7. Next Meeting: May 20, 2026

8. Adjournment

Chairperson Michelle Shoresman adjourned the meeting at 4:47 pm.

**HOMELESS SERVICES OVERSIGHT COUNCIL (HSOC)
ACTION ITEM
May 20, 2026**

ACTION ITEM NUMBER: 5.1.1

ITEM: Recommend funding allocations of FY2025 State Emergency Solutions Grant (\$187,867) to support existing Interim Shelter Operations/Supportive Services and Rapid Rehousing/Rental Subsidies.

ACTION REQUIRED:

Vote to recommend funding allocations of FY2025 State Emergency Solutions Grant (\$187,867) to support Existing Interim Shelter Operations/Supportive Services and Rapid Rehousing/Rental Subsidies.

SUMMARY NARRATIVE:

On March 30, 2026, the County released a competitive Request for Proposals (RFP) to solicit proposals for the California Department of Housing and Community Development Emergency Solutions Grants and Homeless Housing, Assistance and Prevention funding programs. The RFP was developed in alignment with funding priorities approved by HSOC. A total of four applications were submitted for State ESG, requesting \$596,601 in funding. However, only \$187,867 in funding for State ESG was available.

FY2025 State ESG

The California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) receives funding from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for the Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) program and distributes it to eligible Continuum of Care (CoC) service areas. On December 31, 2024, HCD released a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for FY2025 Emergency Solutions Grant funds and amended it on March 5, 2025. The San Luis Obispo County CoC has been awarded \$189,765 in State ESG funding. Per the NOFA, at least 40% of the funds must be used for Rapid Rehousing. 1% of the total funding (\$1,898) is reserved for County administration.

On March 18, 2026, the HSOC approved priorities for State ESG that aligned with the San Luis Obispo Countywide Plan to Address Homelessness. On March 30, 2026, those priorities were included in the released Spring 2026 Request for Proposals (table 1).

Table 1: HSOC Approved Priorities

| Activity | Funding Amount |
|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Interim Shelter | \$111,961 |
| Rapid Rehousing | \$75,906 |

Agenda Item 5.1

A total of four applications were received for State ESG for a total request of \$596,601 (table 2).

Table 2: FY2025 State ESG Applications Received

| Agency | Project Name | Activity | Total Funding Requested |
|---|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 5Cities Homeless Coalition (5CHC) | Housing and Navigation Services | Interim Shelter, Rapid Rehousing | \$187,867 |
| Community Action Partnership of San Luis Obispo County, Inc. (CAPSLO) | Prado Homeless Services Center | Interim Shelter, Rapid Rehousing | \$187,867 |
| El Camino Homeless Organization (ECHO) | ECHO Atascadero and ECHO Paso Robles | Interim Shelter, Rapid Rehousing | \$187,867 |
| Lumina Alliance (LA) | Maintenance and Operation of Emergency Shelter for Sexual Assault and Intimate Partner Violence Survivors | Interim Shelter | \$33,000 |
| Total Funding Request | | | \$596,601 |

A list of all project application narratives is included in Exhibit A.

GRANT REVIEW COMMITTEE PROCESS

A non-conflicted grant review committee (GRC) was convened to review and score State ESG applications. Grant application performance criteria and review guidelines were incorporated into this process as approved by the HSOC Executive Committee on February 19, 2025, and approved by the County of San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors on April 8, 2025.

Evaluations were scored on, but not limited to, the following criteria (table 3):

Table 3: Selection Criteria

| Section | Points Available |
|---|-------------------------|
| Performance Outcomes and Improved Capacity | 25 |
| Applicant's Demonstrated Expertise and Understanding | 25 |
| Understanding of scope of work | 15 |
| Cost Effectiveness | 10 |
| Project Feasibility | 10 |
| Financial Feasibility and Long-Term Sustainability | 10 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Alignment with the San Luis Obispo Countywide Plan to Address Homelessness | 5 |
| Total Points Available Per Application | 100 |

Method for allocating funding to support interim shelter operations

The GRC developed a formula to equitably and strategically allocate funding for interim shelter operations. The formula evaluates each applicant’s shelter performance, which was provided from the County’s Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) or an HMIS-compliant database. This model aligns with HSOC’s priorities of supporting existing interim shelter operations and directing funding towards agencies that demonstrate strong performance, effective service delivery, and a clear project design.

Performance Metrics and Scoring Weights

The following weighted criteria were used to evaluate agencies:

- **30% - Number of People or Households Served**
Reflects the overall scale and reach of each shelter’s services.
- **25% - Percentage of People Experiencing Chronic Homelessness Served**
Measures the proportion of people served with long-term or complex needs
- **25% - Percentage of Exits to Positive Housing Destinations**
Measures the effectiveness in helping people or households transition to stable, positive housing destinations.
- **20% - Average Application Score**
Application scores assess program design, budget accuracy, staffing, proposed service approach, alignment with best practices, and organizational readiness.

Each metric was normalized to account for differences in shelter size, service models, and capacity,. The formula also evaluates the overall strength of the application based on the evaluation criteria outlined in table 3. All metrics were then weighted to generate a performance-based weighted score for each applicant.

Method for allocating funding for Rapid Rehousing

To ensure an equitable and performance-informed distribution of Rapid Rehousing (RRH) funding, the GRC applied a standardized scoring model that evaluates each agency’s RRH program using a combination of outcome performance, program scale, and application quality. This model aligns with HSOC’s priorities of supporting interventions that are both effective in housing people and are administered by agencies demonstrating strong program design and capacity.

Performance Metrics and Scoring Weights

The following weighted criteria were used to evaluate agencies:

- **50% - Percent of Exits to Positive Housing Destinations**
This measure reflects how effectively each RRH program supports participants in achieving

Agenda Item 5.1

stable housing. Because RRH is a time-limited, outcomes-driven intervention, exits to permanent housing were given the highest weighting.

- **30% – Total Clients Served**

This reflects program capacity and the agency's ability to serve households at scale.

- **20% – Average Application Score**

Application scores assess program design, budget accuracy, staffing, proposed service approach, alignment with best practices, and organizational readiness.

Each metric was normalized to ensure fairness across agencies and then combined using the weighting structure above to generate a performance-based weighted score for each applicant.

Minimum Regional Allocation Requirement

Because RRH services must be available countywide, staff incorporated a requirement that each region receive no less than 20% of the total RRH allocation. This prevents underfunding in any geographic area, ensures minimum service coverage, and maintains system capacity in all areas.

The RRH funding allocation totaled \$75,906, resulting in a guaranteed \$15,181.20 minimum allocation per region. After distributing these minimums, the remaining funds were allocated proportionally based on each agency's weighted score.

Weighted scores were calculated for each agency, and the remaining funds above the 20% regional minimum were distributed proportionally based on those performance scores.

This methodology ensures that RRH funding:

- Supports agencies producing the strongest housing outcomes
- Maintains minimum RRH capacity across all regions of the county
- Uses an objective, transparent, and repeatable performance scoring model
- Aligns with HSOC and Board of Supervisors priorities for system effectiveness and regional service coverage

FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS

For interim shelter operations, the Grant Review Committee recommended funding for all four applicants, with allocations reflecting each provider's performance and application score.

5Cities Homeless Coalition is recommended for the highest allocation, with high rates of serving people experiencing chronic homelessness and positive housing exists, combined with the highest application score. CAPSLO is recommended for the second highest award, which is supported by their large client volume and strong application score. ECHO and Lumina Alliance are recommended

Agenda Item 5.1

for the remaining allocations, which reflect their respective performance metrics and solid application scores. A summary of the funding recommendations is listed in table 4.

Table 4: Interim Shelter Funding Recommendations

| Agency | Project Name | Average Application Score | Funding Requested | Funding Recommendation |
|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| 5CHC | Housing and Navigation Services | 93.0 | \$111,961 | \$40,617 |
| CAPSLO | Prado Homeless Services Center | 90.6 | \$111,961 | \$30,737 |
| ECHO | ECHO Atascadero and ECHO Paso Robles | 91.0 | \$111,961 | \$28,633 |
| Lumina Alliance | Maintenance and Operation of Emergency Shelter for Sexual Assault and Intimate Partner Violence Survivors | 87.8 | \$33,000 | \$11,974 |
| Total Funding Recommendation | | | | \$111,961 |

For Rapid Rehousing, the GRC recommended funding for all three applicants. 5CHC is recommended for the highest allocation due to the highest rate of exits to positive housing destinations and a competitive application score, reflecting strong system impact and operational effectiveness. CAPSLO is recommended for the second highest allocation due to their demonstrated strong performance in exits to positive housing destination and the most clients served supported by a high application score. ECHO served a smaller caseload of clients and did not submit exit-to-housing outcome data; however, the agency did submit a strong application that supported its regional minimum allocation.

These amounts reflect a balance between performance-based ranking and regional considerations. Agencies with strong outcomes and higher program capacity received a larger share of the performance-based portion, while every region retained a baseline level of RRH resources.

A summary of the funding recommendations is listed in table 5.

Table 5: Rapid Rehousing Funding Recommendations

| Agency | Project Name | Average Application Score | Funding Requested | Funding Recommendation |
|--------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| 5CHC | Housing and Navigation Services | 93.0 | \$75,906 | \$32,381 |
| CAPSLO | Prado Homeless Services Center | 90.6 | \$75,906 | \$27,719 |

Agenda Item 5.1

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------|----------|-----------------|
| ECHO | ECHO Atascadero and ECHO Paso Robles | 91.0 | \$75,906 | \$15,806 |
| Total Funding Recommendation | | | | \$75,906 |

BUDGET/FINANCIAL IMPACT:

The HSOC recommendations for State ESG will go to the Board of Supervisors (Board) as a consent agenda item in June 2026. This will have no financial impact on the HSOC. Should the Board approve the HSOC’s recommendations, it will result in approximately \$187,867 being made available for interim shelter and rapid rehousing/rental assistance.

These funding recommendations align with the priorities established by HSOC and the Board and support the implementation of the San Luis Obispo Countywide Plan to Address Homelessness, specifically:

- Line of Effort 1: Create affordable and appropriately designed housing opportunities and shelter options for underserved populations.
- Line of Effort 2: Focus efforts to reduce or eliminate barriers to housing stability for those experiencing or at risk of homelessness, including prevention, diversion, supportive services, and housing navigation.

STAFF COMMENTS:

Staff recommends that the HSOC adopt the State ESG funding allocations presented above based on the application of this performance-based model for interim shelter and rapid rehousing.

The Grant Review Committee is still reviewing HHAP applications given the volume received. Funding recommendations for HHAP-5 and -6 will be brought forth to HSOC on July 15, 2026.

SPRING 2026 RFP PROJECT APPLICATION NARRATIVES

FY2025 STATE ESG

APPLICANT NAME: 5Cities Homeless Coalition

PROJECT NAME: Housing and Navigation Services

FUNDING REQUESTED: \$ 187,867

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: This application is for two activities serving those who are experiencing homelessness in SLO County - Emergency Shelter with supportive services and Rapid Re-Housing (housing navigation coupled with deposit/rental assistance). Each of these programs serve residents from throughout the county, with 40% (ES) and 30% (RRH) not from So. Co. These two programs are critical components of the service continuum, as rapid re-housing services are needed to move into housing and shelter services are needed, especially for those who are chronically homeless, to provide the stability and structure needed to assist people to address their barriers to stable housing. In the last year 61% of 5CHC's shelter clients have been chronically homeless for more than one year, with 23% over age 55, and 92% with a disability or disabling health condition. 5CHC will apply the bulk of funding to support direct program expenses including rental assistance by leveraging funding through other sources to support personnel expenses for housing navigation case management. 5CHC estimates that in FY 2026-27, it will serve 225 adults through its shelter programs and 200 individuals RRH housing navigation services, funding dependent.

APPLICANT NAME: Community Action Partnership of San Luis Obispo County, Inc.

PROJECT NAME: Prado Homeless Services Center

FUNDING REQUESTED: \$ 187,867

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Established in 1965 as a public benefit, nonprofit Community Action Agency, CAPSLO is comprised of four divisions and 32 programs, serving nearly 29,000 individuals in 11 counties. The focus of this application is the Homeless Services Division, specifically supporting the Prado Homeless Services Center (Prado HSC), the largest interim shelter in the county that is open 24/7, and the only low-barrier shelter, meaning all are welcome regardless of past incidents and sobriety, and using a harm reduction approach. Other homeless services are provided at St. Stephens Episcopal Church in downtown SLO, and on South Street.

HCD-ESG funding will support both shelter operations (including maintenance, repairs, and custodial capacity) and Rapid Rehousing (housing navigation). Prado HSC serves approximately 1,286 individuals (1,140 households) annually, including a significant number of older adults and Transitional Age Youth. The remaining funds support Rapid Rehousing, which will serve approximately 64 individuals (36 households) through housing navigation, landlord engagement, and short-term assistance to support placement into permanent housing. These services build on existing programming and are designed to move households quickly from homelessness into stable housing. HCD-ESG will allow more efficient use of budgeted operational funds while supporting both continued shelter access and increased movement into housing.

APPLICANT NAME: El Camino Homeless Organization (ECHO)

PROJECT NAME: ECHO Atascadero & ECHO Paso Robles

FUNDING REQUESTED: \$ 187,867

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: ECHO operates two Navigation Centers, ECHO Atascadero and ECHO Paso Robles, each with comprehensive services for San Luis Obispo County residents experiencing homelessness and those in danger of becoming homeless. These sites serve as access points for outreach services, including shower and meal programs, housing navigation, and case management; for eviction prevention and rapid rehousing funds; and for shelter services.

Requested funds will support the emergency shelter programs at both Navigation Centers including shelter staffing and supplies, as well as rapid rehousing financial assistance. ECHO's Navigation Centers operate 90-Day Emergency Shelter programs that support clients in securing permanent housing by pairing the emergency shelter program with intensive case management and other supportive services including children's programs, life skills training, a workforce development program, and onsite partner resources. With this support, 60% of clients who participate for at least 30 days find a home. At least 500 people are expected to access these shelter services over one year. ECHO leverages volunteers, partners, and community support to shelter and house a large number of people at a low cost per client.

APPLICANT NAME: Lumina Alliance

PROJECT NAME: Maintenance and Operation of Emergency Shelter for Sexual Assault and Intimate Partner Violence Survivors

FUNDING REQUESTED: \$ 33,000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The County of SLO has supported Lumina Alliance's Emergency Shelter Program for more than a decade, including through previous ESG funds. Their agency operates two emergency shelters in safe, confidential locations in Atascadero and SLO for survivors fleeing SA/IPV. Shelter residents and their families are offered a variety of wraparound supportive services including a 24-hour crisis and information line, clinical therapy, self-sufficiency planning, referrals, advocacy, and accompaniment services. Lumina Alliance also provides housing relocation and stabilization services, such as covering hotel or motel stays and short- or medium-term rental assistance to help survivors remain in or obtain safe housing. The proposed project will largely support the maintenance and staff costs required to consistently operate their emergency shelter rooms at maximum capacity throughout the year. They project the following outcomes: 130 survivors and their families will receive emergency shelter during the grant period, 80% of clients report increased self-sufficiency and sense of safety after receiving services, 80% of clients report increased self-esteem after receiving services, and 80% of clients report that services were culturally-appropriate.

HSOC Listening Session - Dot Exercise Results

HSOC Executive Committee

April 15, 2026

Background


- Small groups at the HSOC March Listening Session were asked to respond to three questions:
 - **Funding Priorities:** Given the current opportunities and challenges, what activities would you most recommend the HSOC Prioritize this year for funding?
 - **Gaps Analysis:** If we were to fund one type of new activity that we are not currently funding, which one would be most impactful in reducing homelessness?
 - **Priorities for the HSOC:** Given the information presented today and knowing what you do about the homeless services system or people experiencing homelessness, what activities or topics would you recommend the HSOC focus on for the year?

Dot Exercise


- Responses from small groups were listed on paper
- Papers were posted on the walls
- Session attendees were given six dots and asked to place them on the responses they most supported
 - Participants could place the dots as they saw fit




% of Dots Allocated to Each Question Section



Q1: Funding priorities — votes by category




Q2: Gaps analysis — votes by category



Q3: HSOC priorities — votes by category (revised)

HSOC votes
Public votes



| Priorities for the HSOC: Given the information presented today and knowing what you do about the homeless services system or people experiencing homelessness, what activities or topics would you recommend the HSOC focus on for the year? | Red Dots (HSOC Members) | Blue Dots (Non-HSOC Members) | Total Dot Votes |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 8. How to sustain existing programs | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| 44. Cost effectiveness of components of the system (e.g. ES,TH,PSH) | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| 11. Staff turnover and workforce development | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 33. Lived experience advisory board | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| 30. Funding cut impacts | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| 21. Interagency collaboration (non-profits, hospitals, interactive-cohesive approach) | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 5. Impacts of HR 1 and CoC funding (system of care response) | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 32. Look at bigger landscape (other states) for models and solutions | 3 | | 3 |
| 4. Coordination between providers | 2 | 1 | 3 |