Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure G

Big Oil is spending big money to protect their profits while they threaten our water, economy, and health. Know the facts:

The "Oil and Gas Shut Down" is a Lie

 Measure G allows San Luis Obispo County's existing oil operations to continue (see Section 2B of the Initiative).

Big Oil is Risking the Heart of San Luis Obispo County's Economy

- The County Auditor says oil provides fewer than 80 local jobs and only 0.43% of County-assessed taxes.
- Reports show agriculture and tourism together contribute over 40,000 jobs and billions of dollars to the local economy.
- Agriculture and tourism depend on clean and plentiful water. Groundwater contamination could cause significant economic harm.

Big Oil is Bullying Voters with Threats of Lawsuits

- Courts have confirmed local governments have the power to ban new drilling. If we choose to do that, then Big Oil should back off.
- The measure gives the County flexibility to avoid liability for "taking" anyone's property rights.

Oil Companies Don't Care About Our Energy Independence

- They convinced Congress to lift the ban on oil exports to maximize their profits.
 They also consistently lobby against clean energy measures that would reduce our reliance on overseas fossil fuels.
- San Luis Obispo County oil is refined and sold throughout California and internationally, not just for local consumption.

Measure G stops oil companies from passing the risks of fracking and expanded oil production on to the people of San Luis Obispo County. Vote YES on Measure G.

Ruth Madocks, Organic Farmer Elizabeth Demsetz, Cal Poly Physics Lecturer Sherri Stoddard, RN

Janine Rand, Retired Social Worker Doug Timewell, Retired Farmer



SIGNATURE STATEMENT

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to Division 9 of the California Elections Code shall be accompanied by the following form statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument. Only the first five signatures will be printed in the Sample Ballot/Voter Information Pamphlet.

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the		
☐ Argument in Favor of ☐ Argument Against ☐ Rebuttal to the Argument Against ☐ Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of		
ballot measure (insert letter)		
at the (insert type of election - Primary, General, Special)		
for the County		
(insert name of jurisdiction - County, Special District, School District)		
to be held on (insert election date) November 6, 2018 hereby state that such argument is		
true and correct to the best of (insert his, her or their) <u>their</u> knowledge and belief.		
Signed Date Continue		
Printed Name Ruth Madocks 7/24/18 Branch Mill Organic Farmer		
Signed Date		
Printed Name Elizabeth Demsetz 7/26/18 physics between Call Poh		
Signed		
Printed Name Sherry Stordard 7/26/2018 RN		
Signed Date		
Printed Name Janine Rands 7/28/2018 Retired Sociel Worker		
Signed Date		
Printed Name Jahne Rands Signed Printed Name Douglas Timewell 7/30/18 Retired Topical Laboration 1/30/18 Retired Tames		
Contact person: Charles Varni Phone # 805 4596698		
ARGUMENT/REBUTTAL filed by (check any of the following that apply): Board of Supervisors or Governing Board		
Bona Fide Sponsors or Proponents of the Measure		
Bona Fide Association of Citizens - Name of Association: Coal trun to Pretect Principal Officers: San Luis Obispo		
Individual Voter Eligible to Vote on the Measure		



AUTHORIZATION BY AUTHORS OF THE DIRECT ARGUMENT TO HAVE THE REBUTTAL SIGNED BY DIFFERENT AUTHORS (Elections Code §9167 (a))

To be completed by the signer(s) of the direct argument only if the rebuttal argument is to be signed by different author(s).

The undersigned author(s) of the direct argument

In Favor of Measure

´□ Against Measure		
at the (insert type of election)	ber 2018 Election	
for the (insert jurisdiction) Sam La	us Obispo County	
to be held on (insert date of election)		
hereby authorize the following individual(s) to sign the rebuttal argument in their place: (Print Name(s))		
1. Ruth Madocks	2. Shevi Stoddurd	
3. Elizabeth Demsetz	4. Janine Rand	
5.		
The signer(s) of the direct argument were: (Print Name(s))		
1. KAREN MERRIAM	2. Natalie Risner	
	4. Charles Varni	
5.		
Authorized signature(s) of the signer(s) of the direct argument:		
× Signature	Date 7/28/18 Date 7/28/18 Date 7/28/18 Date 7/28/18 Date	
Signature	Date	