OFFICIAL BALLOT BT 23 NOVEMBER 8, 2016 CONSOLIDATED GENERAL ELECTION SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA		
To vote for the candidate of your choice, fill candidates allowed (e.g. Vote for no more th on the Write-In line and fill in the OVAL next	Yote both sides of the card. in the OVAL next to the candidate's name. Do nan Two). To vote for a qualified write-in can to it. To vote on a measure, fill in the OVAL ot, return it to the Elections Official and get an	didate, write in the candidate's full name next to the word "Yes" or the word "No". ■
PARTY-NOMINATED OFFICES The party label accompanying the name of a candidate for party-nominated office on the general election ballot means that the candidate is the official nominee of the party shown. PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES Vote for One Party GARY JOHNSON LIBERTARIAN For President JILL STEIN GREN GREN GARY JOHNSON LIBERTARIAN For President JILL STEIN GREEN For President JILL STEIN For President AJAMU BARAKA	VOTER-NOMINATED AND NONPARTISAN OFFICES All voters, regardless of the party preference they disclosed upon registration, or refusal to disclose a party preference, may vote for any candidate for a voter-nominated or nonpartisan office. The party preference, if any, designated by a candidate for a voter-nominated office is selected by the candidate and is shown for the information of the voters only. It does not imply that the candidate is nominated or endorsed by the party or that the party approves of the candidate. The party preference, if any, of a candidate for a nonpartisan office does not appear on the ballot. UNITED STATES SENATOR	MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY 35th District Vote for One JORDAN CUNNINGHAM Party Preference: Republican Businessman/School Trustee DAWN ORTIZ-LEGG Party Preference: Democratic Small Business Owner
For Vice-President	UNITED STATES SENATOR	School
TIM KAINE For Vice-President GLORIA ESTELA LA RIVA PEACE For President AND FREEDOM DENNIS J. BANKS For Vice-President DONALD J. TRUMP REPUBLICAN, For President AMERICAN INDEPENDENT MICHAEL R. PENCE	Vote for One LORETTA L. SANCHEZ Party Preference: Democratic United States Congresswoman KAMALA D. HARRIS Party Preference: Democratic Attorney General of California	PASO ROBLES JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
For Vice-President	UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE	JOEL PETERSON
Write-in	UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE 24th District Vote for One SALUD CARBAJAL Party Preference: Democratic Santa Barbara County Supervisor JUSTIN DONALD FAREED Party Preference: Republican Small Businessman/Rancher	DONALD GOLDAMMER Parent/Business Owner DAVE LAMBERT Incumbent CHRIS BAUSCH Parent/Businessperson Write-in Write-in Write-in Write-in
	STATE SENATOR	Write-in
	STATE SENATOR 17th District Vote for One BILL MONNING Party Preference: Democratic California State Senator PALMER KAIN Party Preference: Republican Community Volunteer	COUNTY COUNTY SUPERVISOR 1st District Vote for One JOHN PESCHONG Small Independent Businessman STEVEN W. MARTIN Paso Robles Mayor Write-in

TURN BALLOT OVER TO CONTINUE VOTING

CITY	PROPOSITION 53	PROPOSITION 57
CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES	REVENUE BONDS. STATEWIDE VOTER APPROVAL. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Requires	CRIMINAL SENTENCES. PAROLE. JUVENILE CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS AND SENTENCING.
COUNCILMEMBER	statewide voter approval before any revenue bonds can be	INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND
Vote for no more than Two	issued or sold by the state for certain projects if the bond	STATUTE. Allows parole consideration for nonviolent
	amount exceeds \$2 billion. Fiscal Impact: State and local	felons. Authorizes sentence credits for rehabilitation, good behavior, and education. Provides juvenile
	fiscal effects are unknown and would depend on which projects are affected by the measure and what actions	court judge decides whether juvenile will be
Paso Robles Resident	government agencies and voters take in response to the	prosecuted as adult. Fiscal Impact: Net state
FRED STRONG	measure's voting requirement.	savings likely in the tens of millions of dollars
	→ YES	annually, depending on implementation. Net county
Appointed Councilman/Businessman		costs of likely a few million dollars annually.
Write-in	- <u>NO</u>	│ ── YES
	PROPOSITION 54	NO
CITY CLERK	LEGISLATURE. LEGISLATION AND PROCEEDINGS.	PROPOSITION 58
Vote for One	STATUTE. Prohibits Legislature from passing any bill	ENGLISH PROFICIENCY. MULTILINGUAL
	unless published on Internet for 72 hours before vote.	EDUCATION. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Preserves
	Requires Legislature to record its proceedings and post on	requirement that public schools ensure students obtain English language proficiency. Requires school
Incumbent	Internet. Authorizes use of recordings. Fiscal Impact: One-time costs of \$1 million to \$2 million and ongoing	districts to solicit parent/community input in
Write-in	costs of about \$1 million annually to record legislative	developing language acquisition programs. Requires
CITY TREASURER	meetings and make videos of those meetings available on	instruction to ensure English acquisition as rapidly and effectively as possible. Authorizes school
Vote for One	the Internet.	districts to establish dual-language immersion
	│	programs for both native and non-native English
	◯ NO	speakers. Fiscal Impact: No notable fiscal effect on school districts or state government.
Incumbent	PROPOSITION 55	YES
Write-in	TAX EXTENSION TO FUND EDUCATION AND	
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO THE	LIEAL THCADE INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL	\bigcirc NO
	HEALTHCARE. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL	
VOTERS	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary	PROPOSITION 59
VOTERS	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING.
	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS.
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 -	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 -	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i>
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i> <i>Federal Election Commission. Citizens United</i> ruled
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments.	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i> <i>Federal Election Commission. Citizens United</i> ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional.
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i> <i>Federal Election Commission. Citizens United</i> ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i> <i>Federal Election Commission. Citizens United</i> ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional.
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years.	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i> <i>Federal Election Commission. Citizens United</i> ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments.
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i> <i>Federal Election Commission. Citizens United</i> ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to,
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i> <i>Federal Election Commission. Citizens United</i> ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i> <i>Federal Election Commission. Citizens United</i> ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to,
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years.	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i> <i>Federal Election Commission. Citizens United</i> ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, to
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2017-18, with potentially lower revenues in	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i> <i>Federal Election Commission. Citizens United</i> ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, to allow the full regulation or limitation of campaign
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52 MEDI-CAL HOSPITAL FEE PROGRAM. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Extends indefinitely an existing statute that imposes fees on hospitals to fund Medi-Cal health care services, care for	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2017-18, with potentially lower revenues in future years. Revenues would be used primarily to	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i> <i>Federal Election Commission. Citizens United</i> ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, to allow the full regulation or limitation of campaign contributions and spending, to ensure that all
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52 MEDI-CAL HOSPITAL FEE PROGRAM. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Extends indefinitely an existing statute that imposes fees on hospitals to fund Medi-Cal health care services, care for uninsured patients, and children's health coverage. Fiscal	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2017-18, with potentially lower revenues in future years. Revenues would be used primarily to augment spending on health care for low-income	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i> <i>Federal Election Commission. Citizens United</i> ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, to allow the full regulation or limitation of campaign
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52 MEDI-CAL HOSPITAL FEE PROGRAM. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Extends indefinitely an existing statute that imposes fees on hospitals to fund Medi-Cal health care services, care for uninsured patients, and children's health coverage. Fiscal Impact: Uncertain fiscal effect, ranging from relatively little	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2017-18, with potentially lower revenues in future years. Revenues would be used primarily to augment spending on health care for low-income Californians.	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i> <i>Federal Election Commission. Citizens United</i> ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, to allow the full regulation or limitation of campaign contributions and spending, to ensure that all citizens, regardless of wealth, may express their views to one another, and to make clear that corporations should not have the same constitutional
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52 MEDI-CAL HOSPITAL FEE PROGRAM. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Extends indefinitely an existing statute that imposes fees on hospitals to fund Medi-Cal health care services, care for uninsured patients, and children's health coverage. Fiscal Impact: Uncertain fiscal effect, ranging from relatively little impact to annual state General Fund savings of around \$1	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2017-18, with potentially lower revenues in future years. Revenues would be used primarily to augment spending on health care for low-income Californians. YES	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i> <i>Federal Election Commission. Citizens United</i> ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, to allow the full regulation or limitation of campaign contributions and spending, to ensure that all citizens, regardless of wealth, may express their views to one another, and to make clear that corporations should not have the same constitutional rights as human beings?
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52 MEDI-CAL HOSPITAL FEE PROGRAM. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Extends indefinitely an existing statute that imposes fees on hospitals to fund Medi-Cal health care services, care for uninsured patients, and children's health coverage. Fiscal Impact: Uncertain fiscal effect, ranging from relatively little	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2017-18, with potentially lower revenues in future years. Revenues would be used primarily to augment spending on health care for low-income Californians.	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i> <i>Federal Election Commission. Citizens United</i> ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, to allow the full regulation or limitation of campaign contributions and spending, to ensure that all citizens, regardless of wealth, may express their views to one another, and to make clear that corporations should not have the same constitutional
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52 MEDI-CAL HOSPITAL FEE PROGRAM. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Extends indefinitely an existing statute that imposes fees on hospitals to fund Medi-Cal health care services, care for uninsured patients, and children's health coverage. Fiscal Impact: Uncertain fiscal effect, ranging from relatively little impact to annual state General Fund savings of around \$1 billion and increased funding for public hospitals in the low	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2017-18, with potentially lower revenues in future years. Revenues would be used primarily to augment spending on health care for low-income Californians. YES	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i> <i>Federal Election Commission. Citizens United</i> ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, to allow the full regulation or limitation of campaign contributions and spending, to ensure that all citizens, regardless of wealth, may express their views to one another, and to make clear that corporations should not have the same constitutional rights as human beings?
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52 MEDI-CAL HOSPITAL FEE PROGRAM. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Extends indefinitely an existing statute that imposes fees on hospitals to fund Medi-Cal health care services, care for uninsured patients, and children's health coverage. Fiscal Impact: Uncertain fiscal effect, ranging from relatively little impact to annual state General Fund savings of around \$1 billion and increased funding for public hospitals in the low hundreds of millions of dollars annually.	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2017-18, with potentially lower revenues in future years. Revenues would be used primarily to augment spending on health care for low-income Californians. YES	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in <i>Citizens United v.</i> <i>Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled</i> that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, to allow the full regulation or limitation of campaign contributions and spending, to ensure that all citizens, regardless of wealth, may express their views to one another, and to make clear that corporations should not have the same constitutional rights as human beings? YES

TURN BALLOT OVER TO CONTINUE VOTING

OFFICIAL BA	LLOT	DT 77D
NOVEMBER 8, 2016 BT 23B		
CONSOLIDATED GENERAL ELECTION		
	SPO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	
INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS:		
	/ote both sides of the card.	
	in the OVAL next to the candidate's name. D nan Two). To vote for a qualified write-in can	
	to it. To vote on a measure, fill in the OVAL	
	ot, return it to the Elections Official and get an	
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO THE	PROPOSITION 63 FIREARMS. AMMUNITION SALES. INITIATIVE	PROPOSITION 66 DEATH PENALTY. PROCEDURES. INITIATIVE
VOTERS	STATUTE. Requires background check and Department of	STATUTE. Changes procedures governing state
	Justice authorization to purchase ammunition. Prohibits	court challenges to death sentences. Designates
STATE	possession of large-capacity ammunition magazines.	superior court for initial petitions and limits
PROPOSITION 60	Establishes procedures for enforcing laws prohibiting firearm possession by specified persons. Requires	successive petitions. Requires appointed attorneys who take noncapital appeals to accept death penalty
ADULT FILMS. CONDOMS. HEALTH REQUIREMENTS.	Department of Justice's participation in federal National	appeals. Exempts prison officials from existing
INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires adult film performers to use condoms during filming of sexual intercourse.	Instant Criminal Background Check System. Fiscal	regulation process for developing execution methods.
Requires producers to pay for performer vaccinations,	Impact: Increased state and local court and law enforcement costs, potentially in the tens of millions of	Fiscal Impact: Unknown ongoing impact on state court costs for processing legal challenges to death
testing, and medical examinations. Requires producers to	dollars annually, related to a new court process for	sentences. Potential prison savings in the tens of
post condom requirement at film sites. Fiscal Impact: Likely reduction of state and local tax revenues of several	removing firearms from prohibited persons after they are	millions of dollars annually.
million dollars annually. Increased state spending that	convicted.	─ YES
could exceed \$1 million annually on regulation, partially	◯ YES	
offset by new fees.		
YES	── NO	PROPOSITION 67
	PROPOSITION 64	BAN ON SINGLE-USE PLASTIC BAGS. REFERENDUM. A "Yes" vote approves, and a "No"
	MARIJUANA LEGALIZATION. INITIATIVE STATUTE.	vote rejects, a statute that prohibits grocery and other
PROPOSITION 61	Legalizes marijuana under state law, for use by adults 21	stores from providing customers single-use plastic or
STATE PRESCRIPTION DRUG PURCHASES. PRICING STANDARDS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Prohibits state	or older. Imposes state taxes on sales and cultivation.	paper carryout bags but permits sale of recycled
from buying any prescription drug from a drug	Provides for industry licensing and establishes standards for marijuana products. Allows local regulation and	paper bags and reusable bags. Fiscal Impact:
manufacturer at price over lowest price paid for the drug by	taxation. Fiscal Impact: Additional tax revenues ranging	Relatively small fiscal effects on state and local governments, including a minor increase in state
United States Department of Veterans Affairs. Exempts	from high hundreds of millions of dollars to over \$1 billion	administrative costs and possible minor local
managed care programs funded through Medi-Cal. Fiscal	annually, mostly dedicated to specific purposes. Reduced	government savings from reduced litter and waste
Impact: Potential for state savings of an unknown amount depending on (1) how the measure's implementation	criminal justice costs of tens of millions of dollars annually.	management costs.
challenges are addressed and (2) the responses of drug	── YES	─ YES
manufacturers regarding the provision and pricing of their		
drugs.		
─ YES	PROPOSITION 65	SCHOOL
\bigcirc NO	CARRYOUT BAGS. CHARGES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Redirects money collected by grocery and certain other	PASO ROBLES JOINT UNIFIED
	retail stores through mandated sale of carryout bags.	SCHOOL DISTRICT FACILITIES
PROPOSITION 62	Requires stores to deposit bag sale proceeds into a special	IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT #1
DEATH PENALTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Repeals death penalty and replaces it with life imprisonment without	fund to support specified environmental projects. Fiscal Impact: Potential state revenue of several tens of millions	MEASURE M-16
possibility of parole. Applies retroactively to existing death	of dollars annually under certain circumstances, with the	To repair, acquire, upgrade, equip and construct
sentences. Increases the portion of life inmates' wages	monies used to support certain environmental programs.	school classrooms and facilities including science,
that may be applied to victim restitution. Fiscal Impact:	─ YES	technology, engineering, arts, and math classrooms; expand career technical education; replace aging
Net ongoing reduction in state and county criminal justice		portables, fix leaky roofs, repair deteriorating
costs of around \$150 million annually within a few years, although the impact could vary by tens of millions of dollars	NO	plumbing/ electrical wiring; and improve building
depending on various factors.		earthquake safety, shall the School Facilities
→ YES		Improvement District No.1 of Paso Robles Joint Unified School District be authorized to issue
		\$95,000,000 of bonds with legal interest rates,
		independent citizens' oversight, annual audits, all
	1	funds spent on local schools and not for administrator
		salaries or taken by the State?
1		BONDS - YES
1		BONDS - NO

COUNTY

MEASURE J-16

To improve our region's transportation system by: Fixing potholes, repaving local streets, relieving traffic congestion; Improving street, highway and bridge safety; Making bike and transit improvements within and between communities; Increasing senior, veterans, disabled and student transit; and Providing safe routes to school. Shall San Luis Obispo County voters enact a half cent transportation sales tax, providing \$25,000,000 annually for nine (9) years, requiring independent citizens' oversight, where all funds stay local and cannot be taken by the State?

\bigcirc	YES
\bigcirc	NO

END OF BALLOT



County of San Luis Obispo

Consolidated General Election Tuesday, November 8, 2016

VBM Voter Information Guide

- Your **OFFICIAL BALLOT** along with this Voter Information Guide are contained in this Vote-By-Mail (VBM) packet because you either applied for **PERMANENT VBM STATUS** or you reside in a **VBM PRECINCT**.
- This will be the only official mailing from the County. There is no sample ballot in this booklet since your OFFICIAL BALLOT is included. If you desire a copy of the ballot for your use, you can view or download one from the Clerk-Recorder's website or contact us and one will be sent to you.
- The deadline for return of Vote By Mail ballots is 8:00pm, Tuesday, November 8, 2016. Mailed ballots must be postmarked on or before Election Day and be received in the Clerk-Recorder's office no later than three days after Election Day to be counted. Please be sure to affix sufficient postage for mailing, currently \$0.47.
- On Election Day you may return your ballot to any polling place, including our offices, in San Luis Obispo County between 7:00am and 8:00pm. Polling places for this election are listed in this booklet.
- **Spoiled Ballot?** If you make a mistake, tear or deface any portion of your ballot, replace the spoiled ballot in the I.D. Return Envelope and follow the instructions on the envelope to receive another ballot.
- Contact the **Clerk-Recorder** by phone at 781-5228 or via email at <u>elections@co.slo.ca.us</u> with any questions.

www.slovote.com Follow us:



FACEBOOK.COM/SLOCOUNTYCLERKREC TWITTER.COM/SLOCOUNTYCLERK

VOTE BY MAIL INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

Use any black or blue colored pen or pencil to mark your ballot. Follow the "Instructions To Voters" on your OFFICIAL BALLOT to vote on the candidates and measures of your choice.

WHEN YOU HAVE FINISHED VOTING:

1. Tear off the stub, fold the ballot, seal it in the I.D. Return Envelope, read Declaration of Voter and sign your name in the space provided - your signature must look similar to the original signature on your Affidavit of Registration - **DO NOT PRINT YOUR NAME**.

2. If you are unable to sign, you may mark an "X" and have it witnessed by one other person. No one else may sign for you. New legislation allows the use of a signature stamp if it was used on your Affidavit of Registration. Contact the Clerk-Recorder Office for more information.

3. Write your residence address as registered (not mailing address) and the date on the lines provided.

4. Affix sufficient first class postage and mail your ballot by **Tuesday**, **November 1, 2016**, or sooner, to ensure that it arrives by Election Day – **November 8, 2016**. The Postal Service recommends that voters mail ballots one week before the due date to account for any unforeseen events or weather issues and to allow for timely receipt and processing by election officials.

You may also return your ballot in person to the County Clerk-Recorder's Office, 1055 Monterey Street, #D120, San Luis Obispo (8am to 5pm, Monday - Friday) or to our North County Office at 6555 Capistrano Avenue, 2nd Floor, Atascadero (7:30am to 4pm, Monday – Friday). On Election Day, the ballot can be returned to any polling place in the County.

You may vote and return your ballot as soon as you receive it - you do not have to wait until Election Day. However, be aware that once your voted ballot is returned to the Elections Office, either in person or by mail, it is considered **"in the ballot box"** and may not be retrieved.

Unable to return your ballot? A voter who is unable to return his/her ballot may designate his/her spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sibling or a person residing in the same household as the voter to return the ballot to the Clerk-Recorder or any Election Day polling place in San Luis Obispo County.

WHAT'S NEW FOR THIS ELECTION

Presidential Contest is "Party-Nominated"

- The candidates nominated by each political party are on the ballot.
- The party nominating the candidates is listed with the candidates' names. A candidate may be nominated by more than one party.
- You can write-in a candidate for President and Vice President.

Top Two "Voter-Nominated" Contests

- Applies to U.S. Senate, U.S. Congressional, Statewide and State legislative offices.
- Only the two candidates who receive the highest number of votes cast in the Primary election are on the ballot for the General election.
- Write-in candidates are not allowed for these contests during the General election.
- The rules for **Non-Partisan** contests (i.e. counties, cities, school and special districts) did not change.

Party Preference & Political Party Endorsement

- The political party preference, or no party preference, as indicated on the candidate's voter registration is listed next to or below the candidate's name on the ballot.
- The party designation shown is for the information of the voters only. It is not an endorsement by the party designated.

Parties may provide a list of candidates who have received the official endorsement of the party for printing in this booklet.

PARTY ENDORSEMENTS FOR THE NOVEMBER 8, 2016 ELECTION

Party	Contests	Candidate	
	U.S. Representative, 24 th	Justin Donald Fareed	
Republican	State Senate, 17th	Palmer Kain	
	State Assembly, 35th	Jordan Cunningham	
	United States Senate	Kamala D. Harris	
Democratic	U.S. Representative, 24 th	Salud Carbajal	
	State Senate, 17th	Bill Monning	
	State Assembly, 35th	Dawn Ortiz-Legg	

* The order of parties follows the Randomized Alphabet Drawing conducted by the County.

* Note: Not all political parties provided endorsements for Top Two "Voter-Nominated" Contests.

VOTER'S PAMPHLET INFORMATION SECTION

The Following Pages Contain Voter Information Applicable to your Ballot Which May Include Any/All of the Following Items:

- Candidates' Statements
- Ballot Measures *
- Impartial Analyses
- Arguments and Rebuttals In Favor and Against Ballot Measures

*Measure J-16 is not included in this booklet. Please refer to the supplemental voter information pamphlet, which is a separate mailing, for information concerning Measure J-16.

This pamphlet section may not contain a statement for each candidate. A complete list of candidates appears on your Ballot/Sample Ballot. Each candidate's statement in this pamphlet is volunteered by the candidate and is printed at his or her expense. Each candidate's statement is printed in uniform format as submitted by the candidate.

Please note that all the statements printed in Spanish, at the candidates' request, follow the English statements for that same contest.

Arguments in support of or in opposition to the proposed laws are the opinions of the authors

Campaign Finance Reform

Among all state legislative candidates appearing on the ballot in San Luis Obispo County, only the candidates listed below have pledged to abide by campaign spending limits as specified in the California Government Code. Candidates agreeing to the campaign spending limits also have the opportunity to have a Statement of Qualifications printed in the local Voter Information Guide, at their own expense.

> 35th Assembly District Candidate Jordan Cunningham

17th Senate District Candidates Bill Monning Palmer Kain

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR U.S. REPRESENTATIVE, 24TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

SALUD CARBAJAL

Occupation: Member, Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

Education and Qualifications: I'm Salud Carbajal. I'm a proud husband, father, and Marine Corps veteran. I was the first in my family to graduate from a university, working two jobs to pay for my education at UCSB. I know what it's like to struggle, and I'm committed to making sure other families have the same opportunities I had to get ahead.

For the last 12 years as a Santa Barbara County Supervisor, I've strived to represent our Central Coast values. I'm proud to have earned endorsements from Planned Parenthood and the Sierra Club for standing up for women's reproductive rights, fighting for marriage equality, and protecting our environment.

I've worked with my Democratic and Republican colleagues to expand health insurance to 14,000 county children; invested in widening Highway 101 and other roads projects to reduce congestion; and protected funding for public safety to keep our communities safe, even during tough economic times. We've done that while using your tax dollars wisely-balancing the budget every year, building up the largest rainy day fund in County history, and earning the highest bond rating in the state.

In Congress, I'll bring that same bipartisan spirit to tackling big issues: bringing down the cost of college; protecting our environment; creating new jobs by supporting small businesses and renewable energy; defeating ISIS; passing comprehensive immigration reform; reducing gun violence; and standing up for women's rights and health care access.

For more information about our campaign, please visit SaludCarbajal.com. I hope to earn your support on November 8th. Thank you.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR U.S. REPRESENTATIVE, 24TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

JUSTIN FAREED

Occupation: Vice President of Local Small Business, Third Generation Cattle Rancher

Education and Qualifications: I'm running for Congress to get it working again for the American people, to bring true representation for the Central Coast to Washington, and to solve long-range issues that will affect this and future generations with new leadership and 21st century solutions.

Born and raised on the Central Coast, I attended Santa Barbara High School and continued my education at UCLA. After playing and coaching football at my alma mater, I worked for a senior member of Congress where I led a staff delegation to the Syrian border in Turkey and saw first-hand the instability in the region, helped draft several bills, built consensus among members, and successfully moved things forward.

A third generation California cattle rancher and Vice President of my family's manufacturing and distribution small business, I understand the burdensome taxes and regulations coming out of Washington, and the implications it has on businesses, our agricultural community and job opportunities along the Central Coast. I've seen the dysfunction, polarization and empty rhetoric coming out of Washington from my time spent on Capitol Hill and I know how to fix it.

As your representative, I'll work to reduce the out of control national debt and overregulation on Central Coast businesses, invest in infrastructure to bring long-range solutions to California's water crisis, improve our education, ensure economic stability and local job opportunities for generations to come, and advocate for a concerted approach to our national security in order to provide a better way forward for all Americans. Learn more: www.justinfareed.com

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO A DIPUTADO DE EE. UU., 24.º DISTRITO DEL CONGRESO

SALUD CARBAJAL

Ocupación: Miembro, Junta de Supervisores del Condado de Santa Barbara

Educación y competencias: Soy Salud Carbajal. Soy un orgulloso esposo, padre de familia y veterano del Cuerpo de Marines. Fui el primero de mi familia en graduarme de una universidad mientras trabajaba en dos empleos para pagar mi educación en la UCSB. Sé lo que es tener que luchar y estoy comprometido para que otras familias tengan las mismas oportunidades que tuve para salir adelante.

Durante los últimos 12 años como Supervisor del Condado de Santa Barbara, he luchado para representar nuestros valores de la Costa Central. Estoy orgulloso de haberme ganado los apoyos de Planned Parenthood y Sierra Club por luchar por los derechos reproductivos de las mujeres, luchar por el matrimonio igualitario y por proteger el medio ambiente.

He trabajado junto con mis colegas demócratas y republicanos para ampliar el seguro médico a 14,000 niños del condado; invertir en la ampliación de la Autopista 101 y otros proyectos de caminos para reducir la congestión; y he protegido el financiamiento para la seguridad pública para mantener nuestras comunidades seguras, incluso durante tiempos económicos difíciles. Lo hemos hecho mientras usamos sus dólares de impuestos con prudencia; equilibramos el presupuesto todos los años, creamos el fondo para días lluviosos más grande en la historia del condado y ganamos la calificación de bonos más alta en el estado.

En el Congreso, llevaré ese mismo espíritu bipartidista para afrontar los problemas graves: reducir el costo de la universidad; proteger nuestro medio ambiente; crear nuevos empleos al apoyar a los pequeños negocios y la energía renovable; vencer a ISIS; pasar una reforma migratoria integral; reducir la violencia relacionada con las armas y luchar por los derechos de las mujeres y el acceso a la atención médica.

Para más información sobre nuestra campaña, visite SaludCarbajal.com. Espero ganar su apoyo el 8 de noviembre. Gracias.

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO A DIPUTADO DE EE. UU., 24.º DISTRITO DEL CONGRESO

JUSTIN FAREED

Ocupación: Vicepresidente de empresa local pequeña, Ganadero de tercera generación

Educación y competencias: Me postulé al Congreso para hacerlo trabajar de nuevo para los americanos, para llevar una representación verdadera de la Costa Central a Washington y para resolver los problemas a largo plazo que afectarán a esta generación y a las generaciones futuras con liderazgo nuevo y soluciones del siglo 21.

Nací y crecí en la Costa Central, asistí a la Escuela Secundaria de Santa Barbara y continué mi educación en la UCLA. Después de jugar y ser entrenador del equipo de futbol americano en mi alma mater, trabajé para un alto miembro del Congreso donde dirigí la delegación del personal a la frontera siria en Turquía y fui testigo de primera mano de la inestabilidad de la región, ayudé a preparar varias propuestas de ley, construí consensos entre los miembros y llevamos con éxito las cosas hacia adelante.

Como ganadero de tercera generación en California y Vicepresidente del negocio familiar de manufactura y distribución, entiendo sobre los impuestos y regulaciones agobiantes que provienen de Washington y las implicaciones que tienen en los negocios, nuestra comunidad de agricultores y las oportunidades de empleo en toda la Costa Centra. He visto la disfunción, polarización y retórica vacía que viene de Washington por el tiempo que pase en Capitolio y sé cómo arreglarlas.

Como su representante, trabajaré para reducir la deuda nacional que esta fuera de control y la sobreregulación a los negocios de la Costa Central, invertir en infraestructura para traer soluciones de largo plazo para la crisis de agua en California, mejorar nuestra educación, garantizar la estabilidad económica y las oportunidades de empleos locales para las generaciones por venir e impulsaré un enfoque coordinado para nuestra seguridad nacional para proporcionar un mejor camino para avanzar para todos los americanos. Para obtener más información: www.justinfareed.com

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR STATE SENATOR, 17TH DISTRICT

BILL MONNING Occupation: CA State Senator

Education and Qualifications: Eight years ago, you sent me to Sacramento to make a difference, and I am proud of what we have been able to accomplish together. Since joining the State Legislature, I've authored over 80 bills signed into law by the Governor, including the ground-breaking End of Life Options Act to give terminally ill patients a compassionate way to take control of their own lives – subject to strict guidelines.

After years of hard work with many key partners, the new California Central Coast Veterans Cemetery is scheduled to open this fall. My legislation in Sacramento and ability to secure funding helped break the logiam to honor those who served our nation with a final resting place, fulfilling a promise made over two decades ago.

In Sacramento, we've passed balanced, on-time budgets six years in a row and put the State's fiscal house in order. We've made great strides toward tackling our State's pension obligations while restoring critical funding to education, healthcare, and public safety. I've earned the highest ratings from the California Labor Federation, the California League of Conservation Voters, the Sierra Club, and the Consumer Federation of California.

While our economy has recovered since the Great Recession, we must continue to focus on creating jobs by strengthening the backbones of our local economy: agriculture, tourism, and our educational institutions.

That's why I'm asking you to send me to the State Senate for another term. Please visit www.billmonning.org. I'd be honored by your vote on November 8th. Thank you.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR STATE SENATOR, 17TH DISTRICT

PALMER KAIN

Age: 37

Education and Qualifications: During my service in the U.S. Army stationed overseas, I developed a powerful work ethic and was instilled with a deeper commitment to duty, honor and justice. I have built a strong track record as a capable manager of people, resources and logistics while proving myself as a leader who fosters unity and consensus to complete any mission he is chosen to lead. My mission as your next State Senator is to defend the freedom, rights, and quality of life of all citizens of the 17th District.

In more than twenty years of experience in local, state, and national politics, I have learned how to create tangible, positive change in a system that often suppresses it. For decades, our district and state have used short-term solutions for long-term problems. The consequences being a rise in crime, homelessness, unemployment, tax rates, public corruption and inequality. We cannot afford to be complacent anymore. Sacramento has no long-term sustainable action plans, I do.

Sacramento has chosen to serve the needs of special interest groups while neglecting the people of California. I will return the power back to the people, to usher in a new era of transparency, accountability, and community engagement in politics. There is a new day dawning in California. Visit palmerkain.org to see my vision and plan. Vote for Palmer Kain on November 8, and I promise to work hard in your best interest as your next State Senator. Let's shatter the status quo and transform California together.

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO A SENADOR ESTATAL, 17.º DISTRITO

BILL MONNING

Ocupación: Senador por el Estado de CA

Educación y competencias: Hace ocho años, usted me envió a Sacramento para hacer una diferencia y estoy orgulloso de lo que hemos podido lograr juntos. Desde que me uní a la legislatura estatal, he sido el autor de más de 80 proyectos de ley que fueron promulgados por el Gobernador, incluida la Ley de Opciones al Término de Vida para darles a los pacientes terminales una forma compasiva para que tengan control sobre sus propias vidas - sujeto a pautas estrictas.

Después de años de trabajo arduo con muchos socios claves, está programada para el otoño la apertura del nuevo Cementerio para Veteranos de la Costa Central de California. Mi legislación en Sacramento y mi habilidad para asegurar financiamiento ayudaron a romper el bloqueo para honrar a aquellos que sirvieron a la nación con un lugar en el que pueden descansar finalmente, cumpliendo con la promesa que se hizo hace más de dos décadas.

En Sacramento, aprobamos presupuestos equilibrados y a tiempo por seis años consecutivos y pusimos en orden el sistema fiscal del Estado. Hemos hecho grandes avances para abordar las obligaciones de pensión del Estado mientras recuperamos el importante financiamiento a la educación, atención médica y seguridad pública. Me gané las mejores calificaciones de la Federación de Trabajo de California, la League of Conservation Voters de California, el Sierra Club y la Federación de Consumidores de California.

Aunque nuestra economía se ha recuperado desde la Gran Recesión, debemos seguir concentrándonos en crear empleos al fortalecer la columna vertebral de nuestra economía local: agricultura, turismo y las instituciones educativas.

Por eso, le pido que me envíe al Senado Estatal por otro periodo. Visite la página www.billmonning,org. Sería un honor tener su voto el 8 de noviembre. Gracias.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY, 35th DISTRICT

JORDAN CUNNINGHAM

Occupation: Businessman, School Trustee

Education and Qualifications: It's no secret that Sacramento can do better. I am a policyoriented small businessman, a school trustee, and a former prosecutor. I am running to bring common sense back to our state government and make it work better for families on the Central Coast.

Each year, businesses leave California for more business-friendly states, taking good jobs with them. It's time to turn the tide. The California Small Business Association supports my vision for a growing economy. They know that I will use my experience as past President of the Central Coast Taxpayers Association to fight the tax increases and regulatory burdens that are costing us jobs.

Failed policies from Sacramento have endangered our neighborhoods. As a former prosecutor, I know what we need to make our community safer. District Attorney Dan Dow and Sheriff Ian Parkinson have endorsed me because of my dedication to public safety.

California's future workforce is a direct product of our education system. We must do everything we can to support our schools, and to make our public colleges affordable for working families. I have worked with students, parents, and teachers as a school trustee. This election cycle I am one of the only candidates in the state endorsed by both the California Teachers Association and the California Charter School Association because of my pragmatic vision for improving our schools.

I will be accountable to you, providing common-sense leadership and a voice for the Central Coast and our values. I hope to earn your vote.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER Paso Robles Joint Unified School District

JOAN SUMMERS

Occupation: Incumbent

Education and Qualifications: I realize the importance of allocating taxpayers' dollars in ways that most directly and effectively improve classroom instruction. Parents, teachers, staff and the school board must work together to ensure all children can be prepared for college or careers. Parental and community support is vital to achieve success for our children.

I have lived in Paso Robles with my husband and three daughters for over thirty years. I am a current Advisory board member on the Kayla Peach Memorial Foundation which partners with the school district to provide educational programs and raise awareness in the community of the epidemic of addiction and substance abuse.

As a retired school secretary, I bring a unique perspective to the board. I have the experience and knowledge of working with school budgets, school staff, parents, students and union contracts. I am proud of the programs, initiatives, staff and direction the Paso Robles Joint Unified School District is heading since I have been in office. I look forward to continuing the momentum and representing the community of Paso Robles. If elected, I will be dedicated and committed to the job.

I would appreciate your vote.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER Paso Robles Joint Unified School District

JOEL PETERSON

Occupation: Director of Communications-Solterra Strategies, Incumbent

Age: 43

Education and Qualifications: I believe strong public schools are the bedrock of a successful community, shaping the lives of our children and preparing them for college and careers. Our district has made positive strides recently; we've turned the corner in making ours a district of excellence. To continue this trend, we need trustees who make informed decisions to put the student's needs first.

In my first term on the school board I've brought strong leadership, helping instill constructive changes that placed our focus on student achievement. Our board was responsible for hiring a dynamic new superintendent. I'm running to serve my community, my fellow parents, and the students of Paso Robles.

I have deep roots in Paso Robles. My grandmother, Virginia Peterson, was an educator here for fifty years. I play an active role in the community: previously serving on the planning commission, currently serving on the Twin Cities Community Hospital Governing Board, and as the president of the Paderewski Festival. I work in marketing with local small business Solterra Strategies.

As a father with two daughters attending Paso Robles public schools, I am committed to enhancing the education of our students. I will continue to strive for rigorous learning experiences, reduced class sizes and extracurricular activities that form a well-rounded education. CS-0130-1

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER Paso Robles Joint Unified School District

DONALD GOLDAMMER

Occupation: Local Business Owner, Community Volunteer, District Parent

Education and Qualifications: I am a college educated business owner who pursued my own path to success in life. I did not always take the traditional route. My personal and business experience, combined with being blessed with 3 beautiful, talented, and very individual children, I understand that dreams can be achieved through many different avenues.

As a member of the PRJUSD School Board, I will work hard to ensure that PRJUSD meets the needs of all students and prepares each student to meet the challenges of the path they choose. I will bring proven, successful business principles to the board and be proactive in building a positive culture of success in our city schools. I also want to actively participate in building a world class organization that finds, nurtures, and unlocks the potential in every student and employee alike, entrusted to our care.

I have enthusiastically invested my time in many volunteer organizations dedicated to nurturing, protecting, and educating youth such as Junior Achievement, Paso Robles Youth Baseball, Central Coast Youth Football and Cheer, the Kermit King Dads Club, the Almond Acres Charter Academy Dads Club, and Education First Homestay program.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER Paso Robles Joint Unified School District

DAVID S. LAMBERT

Age: 56

Occupation: Incumbent, Business Owner

Education and Qualifications: As a Paso Robles Joint Unified School Board Member, I have had the privilege of seeing our District become one of the leading Districts of San Luis Obispo County. I am personally committed to each student in our district to receive a top rated education. During my term in office, we have made many accomplishments including implementing a new Visual and Performing Art Program and Competitive Sports at all Elementary Sites. We live in a wonderful community, where families are involved. As a business owner and the proud father of two young adults who graduated from Paso Robles High School, I have seen and experienced firsthand how much this District has to offer. I am and will continue to be an advocate for the struggling students, as well as those that excel. We have the very best educators and their experience and knowledge will lead our current and future students to be young, successful adults.

As your school board member, I will continue to support our students, teachers, staff members, and community.

I respectfully ask for your vote.

Age: 53

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER Paso Robles Joint Unified School District

CHRIS BAUSCH

Occupation: Parent, Businessperson, Incumbent Governing Board Member

Education and Qualifications: Julie, my wife of thirty-seven years, and I have raised our five children in Paso since 1988. I have owned several businesses and employed over fifty people at one time. I'm a business consultant and a REALTOR® who brings common sense and reason to the board room. I'm not afraid to ask tough questions or to represent your concerns, thoughts and ideas. I volunteer at church, school, the City, County and for the Rotary Club.

As your School Board Trustee, my duties include hiring then overseeing the Superintendent, monitoring our \$70,000,000 budget, and setting the goals and policies of the District. I work with my fellow Trustees, staff, teachers, parents and our new superintendent to make the best use of increased funding from Sacramento to implement the new LCFF and LCAP. New funding and new policies have eliminated furlough days, increased enrollment, improved Dual Immersion, restored athletics, art, dance, drama, choir, band, implemented Dual Enrollment at Cuesta College, enhanced our CTE Pathways and established a crucial 10% budget reserve. I will work hard to control expenses so we can fund our nearly \$20,000,000 unfunded pension liability.

Thank you for the privilege of serving. I ask for your vote.

SPANISH STATEMENTS FOR THIS CONTEST ON NEXT PAGE

Age: 56

DECLARACION DEL CANDIDATO A MIEMBRO DE LA JUNTA GOBERNANTE Distrito Escolar Unificado Conjunto de Paso Robles

JOEL PETERSON

Ocupación: Director de comunicaciones-Solterra Strategies, Titular

Edad: 43

Educación y competencias: Creo que las escuelas públicas fuertes son el pilar de una comunidad exitosa, ya que dan forma a las vidas de nuestros niños y los preparan para la universidad y sus carreras. Recientemente, nuestro distrito ha tenido avances positivos; hemos doblado la esquina al hacer de nuestro distrito un distrito de excelencia. Para continuar en este camino, necesitamos fideicomisarios que tomen decisiones informadas que pongan las necesidades de los estudiantes primero.

En mi primer periodo en la junta escolar he traído un liderazgo sólido, que ha ayudado a inculcar cambios constructivos que hicieron que nos concentráramos en el logro estudiantil. Nuestra junta fue responsable de contratar un nuevo superintendente dinámico. Me postulo para trabajar por mi comunidad, por los demás padres y por los estudiantes de Paso Robles.

Tengo raíces profundas en Paso Robles. Mi abuela, Virginia Peterson, fue educadora aquí durante cincuenta años. Tengo un papel activo en la comunidad: anteriormente trabajé en la comisión de planificación, actualmente trabajo en la Junta Gobernante del Hospital Comunitario de Twin Cities, y soy presidente del Festival Paderewski. Trabajo en mercadotecnia con un pequeño negocio local, Solterra Strategies.

Como padre de dos hijas que asisten a las escuelas públicas de Paso Robles, estoy comprometido con mejorar la educación de nuestros estudiantes. Seguiré trabajando por experiencias de aprendizaje rigorosas, por reducir el tamaño de los grupos y por las actividades extracurriculares que forman una educación integral.

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO A MIEMBRO DE LA JUNTA GOBERNANTE Distrito Escolar Unificado Conjunto de Paso Robles

CHRIS BAUSCH

Ocupación: Padre, empresario, miembro titular de la Junta Gobernante

Edad: 56

Educación y competencias: Julie, mi esposa por treinta y siete años, y yo criamos a nuestros cinco hijos en Paso desde 1988. He tenido varios negocios y he dado empleo a más de cincuenta personas en un momento dado. Soy consultor de negocios y REALTOR® que lleva sentido común y razón a la sala de junta. No tengo miedo de hacer las preguntas difíciles o de representar sus preocupaciones, pensamientos e ideas. Soy voluntario en la iglesia, la Ciudad, el Condado y en el Rotary Club.

Como su Fideicomisario escolar, entre mis deberes están contratar y luego supervisar al Superintendente, vigilar nuestro presupuesto de \$70,000,000, y establecer las metas y políticas del Distrito. Trabajo con mis compañeros Fideicomisarios, el personal, los maestros, los padres y nuestro nuevo Superintendente para usar de la mejor manera el financiamiento aumentado de Sacramento para implementar la nueva LCFF y el LCAP. El nuevo financiamiento y las nuevas políticas eliminaron los días de permiso, aumentaron las inscripciones, mejoraron la Inmersión Dual, restablecieron los deportes, el arte, la danza, el teatro, el coro, la banda, implementaron la Inscripción Dual en la Universidad Cuesta, mejoraron nuestros caminos de CTE y reunieron una reserva del presupuesto crucial del 10 %. Trabajaré arduamente para controlar los gastos para que podamos financiar nuestro pasivo de casi \$20,000,000 en pensiones sin financiar.

Gracias por el privilegio de servir. Le pido su voto.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, 1st DISTRICT

JOHN PESCHONG

Occupation: Small Independent Businessman

Education and Qualifications: San Luis Obispo County is my home. It is where my wife and I are raising our family and it's where I started my business. As an active 4-H parent, the former President of the California Mid-State Fair Board of Directors, and President of the Central Coast Taxpayers Association I understand firsthand why our community is so special.

We need a Supervisor who will work to maintain and improve the quality of life in San Luis Obispo County while standing up against increased taxes and the criminal behavior of street gangs.

I am a strong supporter of property rights and the protections given under Proposition 13 and that is why the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association supports my campaign for Supervisor.

I am willing to give law enforcement the resources they need to combat gang activity in every corner of our county and that is why District Attorney Dan Dow supports me.

I am proud to have earned the support from a broad coalition of community leaders that includes John Lacey, Assessor Tom Bordonaro, Supervisor Debbie Arnold and Supervisor Lynn Compton.

I would be honored to have your support.

If you have questions please call me at (805) 440-7818.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, 1st DISTRICT

STEVEN W. MARTIN

Occupation: Paso Robles Mayor, Local Businessman

Education and Qualifications: The future of our County starts now: Water, jobs, roads, public safety, homeless solutions, medical services, fiscal responsibility, civil discourse. I am committed to finding the best solutions for all of us, not just a few narrow interest groups.

Twice Mayor of Paso Robles with a lifetime of public service in the North County, I am the local experienced leader with a track record of success providing a rational, balanced approach to critical issues. I have established productive working relationships with community leaders and elected officials around the County. I represent your best interests without partisan or ideological bickering. I listen, lead, and communicate.

I am a lifelong North County resident. My wife and I have two grown daughters. We have lived in Paso Robles since 1973. I own a small business and understand the challenges faced by business owners and working families.

The issues we face are complex. Balance and rational decision-making are critical elements for the Board of Supervisors. I provide those elements. Find out more at SteveMartinForSupervisor.com.

I see a bright future for San Luis Obispo County. That future starts now. I respectfully ask for your vote.

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO A LA JUNTA DE SUPERVISORES, 1.º DISTRITO

JOHN PESCHONG

Ocupación: Pequeño empresario independiente

Educación y competencias: El Condado de San Luis Obispo es mi hogar. Es donde mi esposa y yo estamos criando a nuestra familia y es donde comencé mi negocio. Como padre 4-H activo, ex Presidente de la Junta de Directores de la Feria del Centro de California y Presidente de la Asociación de Contribuyentes de la Costa Central, entiendo de primera mano por qué nuestra comunidad es tan especial.

Necesitamos un Supervisor que trabaje para mantener y mejorar la calidad de vida en el Condado de San Luis Obispo mientras le haga frente al aumento de impuestos y a la conducta criminal de las pandillas en las calles.

Apoyo firmemente los derechos de propiedad y las protecciones otorgadas mediante la Propuesta 13 y es por eso que la Asociación de Contribuyentes Howard Jarvis apoya mi campaña para Supervisor.

Estoy dispuesto a proporcionar a los oficiales de la ley los recursos que necesitan para combatir la actividad de pandillas en cada esquina de nuestro condado, y por esto el Fiscal de Distrito Dan Dow me apoya.

Estoy orgulloso de haber ganado el apoyo de una amplia coalición de líderes de la comunidad que incluye a John Lacey, el Asesor Tom Bordonaro, la Supervisora Debbie Arnold y la Supervisora Lynn Compton.

Sería un honor para mí contar con su apoyo.

Si tiene preguntas, llámeme al (805) 440-7818.

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO A LA JUNTA DE SUPERVISORES, 1.º DISTRITO

STEVEN W. MARTIN

Ocupación: Alcalde de Paso Robles, Empresario local

Educación y competencias: El futuro de nuestro Condado empieza ahora: agua, empleos, caminos, seguridad pública, soluciones a las personas sin vivienda, servicios médicos, responsabilidad fiscal, discurso civil. Estoy comprometido a encontrar las mejores soluciones para todos nosotros, no solamente para unos pocos grupos de interés reducidos.

Como Alcalde de Paso Robles en dos ocasiones y con una vida de servicio público en la parte norte del Condado, soy el líder local experimentado con un historial de éxito que proporciona un enfoque racional y equilibrado a los problemas importantes. Establecí relaciones de trabajo productivas con líderes de la comunidad y oficiales electos en todo el Condado. Represento sus mejores intereses sin peleas partidistas o ideológicas. Escucho, dirijo y comunico.

Soy residente de la parte norte del condado toda la vida. Mi esposa y yo tenemos dos hijas adultas. Hemos vivido en Paso Robles desde 1973. Tengo un pequeño negocio y entiendo los desafíos a los que se enfrentan los propietarios de empresas y las familias trabajadoras.

Los problemas a los que nos enfrentamos son complejos. La toma de decisiones equilibrada y racional son elementos importantes para la Junta de Supervisores. Yo proporciono estos elementos. Para más información consulte SteveMartinForSupervisor.com.

Veo un futuro brillante para el Condado de San Luis Obispo. El futuro comienza ahora. Respetuosamente pido su voto.

CS-0190-2

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR MEMBER OF CITY COUNCIL City of El Paso de Robles

KEVIN C. KREOWSKI

Occupation: Former Federal Agent, Business Man, Security Professional, Shriner.

Education and Qualifications: I grew up and attended High School and College on the Central Coast. I served twenty plus years with the United States Border Patrol. I am thankful to be home and would like to give back to our community. In this spirit, I have decided to run for City Council. I believe that there is nothing more important than electing individuals who care about our city.

I feel that my experience as an administrator can and will help improve the community in which we live. My concerns for us are: Infrastructure for growth; water; roads; jobs; the homeless and gangs.

I would appreciate your vote, help and support in this grassroots movement.

Grandad always said; don't complain unless you're willing to do something about it.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR MEMBER OF CITY COUNCIL City of El Paso de Robles

FRED STRONG

Occupation: Incumbent

Education and Qualifications: I've been honored to serve Paso Robles as your Council Member for over 10 years and have served you in two ways:

As your representative deciding important issues in the City based upon improving public safety, fairness to all, cooperating with others, and preserving local control.

As your "unique" Councilman, I currently serve on 11 regional, state, and national boards and policy committees in diverse areas including land, sea, and air transportation, improved infrastructure, natural resources, public revenue and taxation, housing, and economic development. I was recently re-elected unanimously by local elected leaders nationally to represent them in Washington for another 2 years.

In the past decade I've been directly involved in State and Federal infrastructure funding that has resulted in over \$40M to Paso Robles and over \$500M to the North County. I've delivered testimony on the development of the State Water Plan, expansion of the Pacific Surfliner rail service, major improvements to Highways 46 and 101, local streets, roads, trails, parks, water resources, public transportation, housing, and public safety.

When re-elected I'll continue representing you wherever and however it helps us. For more information go to www.fredstrong.com. I respectfully ask for your vote.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR MEMBER OF CITY COUNCIL City of El Paso de Robles

STEVE GREGORY

AGE: 64

Occupation: Appointed City Councilman, Businessman

Education and Qualifications: When I ran for City Council two years ago, I pledged to the voters to deliver results that strengthen our City. During my time on the Council, I delivered on this pledge: I led the campaign to re-open Centennial Pool, I have helped improve economic development in our City beginning with the Paso Robles Airport, and I have voted to increase our funding an additional million dollars to accelerate fixing our streets and roads.

A key priority for me is to keep our citizens safe by supporting the needs of our Fire and Police departments. I believe in making our government more efficient and transparent in its operations.

As a 36-year resident of Paso Robles, I love our life here. I am determined to maintain our "Paso Robles lifestyle". In my years on the Planning Commission and the City Council, I do my homework and put in the effort to solve the issues and present financially conservative solutions. I understand large and small business and the needs of our community. I raised my children, run a business, volunteer, and have made many friendships here in Paso Robles. I respectfully ask for your vote. Visit www.gregoryforpaso.com

SPANISH STATEMENTS FOR THIS CONTEST ON NEXT PAGE

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO A MIEMBRO DEL CONCEJO MUNICIPAL Ciudad de El Paso de Robles

FRED STRONG Ocupación: Titular

Educación y competencias: He tenido el honor de servir a Paso Robles como su miembro del concejo durante más de 10 años y le he servido de dos maneras:

Como su representante que decide sobre importantes asuntos en la ciudad con base en la mejora de la seguridad pública, la justicia para todos, la cooperación con los demás y la preservación del control local.

Como su "único" miembro del concejo, actualmente sirvo en 11 juntas regionales, estatales y nacionales, y comités de políticas en distintas áreas, incluyendo el transporte terrestre, marítimo y aéreo, la infraestructura mejorada, los recursos naturales, el ingreso público y los impuestos, las viviendas y el desarrollo económico. Líderes locales electos nacionalmente me reeligieron de forma unánime para representarlos en Washington durante 2 años más.

En la última década me vi involucrado de forma directa en el financiamiento de infraestructura federal y estatal que ha dado como resultado más de \$40 millones a Paso Robles y más de \$500 millones a la parte norte del Condado. He brindado testimonios del desarrollo del Plan de Agua Estatal, la expansión del servicio del tren Pacific Surfliner, grandes mejoras a las carreteras 46 y 101, calles locales, caminos, senderos, parques, recursos hídricos, transporte público, viviendas y seguridad pública.

Cuando sea reelegido, continuaré representándolo donde sea y como sea que nos ayude. Para obtener más información, visite www.fredstrong.com. Respetuosamente pido su voto.

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO A MIEMBRO DEL CONCEJO MUNICIPAL Ciudad de El Paso de Robles

STEVE GREGORY

EDAD: 64

Ocupación: Concejal municipal designado, Empresario

Educación y competencias: Hace dos años, cuando me postulé para el Concejo Municipal, me comprometí con los votantes a entregar resultados que fortalecieran nuestra ciudad. Durante mi cargo en el Concejo, cumplí este compromiso: dirigí la campaña para reabrir la piscina Centennial, he ayudado a mejorar el desarrollo económico en nuestra ciudad comenzando por el Aeropuerto de Paso Robles, y he votado para aumentar un millón de dólares adicionales a nuestro financiamiento para acelerar la reparación de nuestras calles y caminos.

Una prioridad clave para mí es mantener a nuestros ciudadanos seguros mediante el apoyo a las necesidades de nuestros departamentos de bomberos y de policía. Creo en hacer a nuestro gobierno más eficiente y transparente en sus operaciones.

Como residente de 36 años de Paso Robles, me encanta nuestra vida aquí. Estoy decidido a mantener nuestro "estilo de vida de Paso Robles". Durante mis años en la Comisión de Planificación y el Concejo Municipal, hago mi tarea y me esfuerzo por resolver los problemas y presento soluciones económicamente conservadoras. Entiendo a las grandes y pequeñas empresas y las necesidades de nuestra comunidad. Crié a mis hijos, dirijo un negocio, hago trabajo voluntario y he hecho muchas amistades aquí en Paso Robles. Respetuosamente pido su voto. Visite www.gregoryforpaso.com

To repair, acquire, upgrade, equip and construct school classrooms and facilities including science, technology, engineering, arts, and math classrooms; expand career technical education; replace aging portables, fix leaky roofs, repair deteriorating plumbing/ electrical wiring; and improve building earthquake safety, shall the School Facilities Improvement District No.1 of Paso Robles Joint Unified School District be authorized to issue \$95,000,000 of bonds with legal interest rates, independent citizens' oversight, annual audits, all funds spent on local schools and not for administrator salaries or taken by the State?

Bonds-Yes

Bonds-No

BOND AUTHORIZATION

By approval of this proposition by at least 55 percent of the registered voters voting on the measure within School Facilities Improvement District No. 1 of the Paso Robles Joint Unified School District ("SFID No. 1"), the Paso Robles Joint Unified School District (the "District") will be authorized to issue and sell bonds on behalf of SFID No. 1 of up to \$95 million in aggregated principal at interest rates not in excess of the legal limit and to provide financing for the specific projects listed in the Bond Project List described below, subject to all the accountability requirements specified below.

The Bonds may be issued under the provisions of the California Education Code (starting at Section 15100), under the provisions of the California Government Code (starting at Section 53506), or under any other provision of law authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts. The Bonds may be issued in series by the District from time to time, and each series of Bonds shall mature within the legal limitations set forth in the applicable law under which the Bonds are issued.

FINANCING PLAN

The District intends to use the Bonds to repair, renovate and equip schools, facilities and classrooms throughout SFID No. 1 as set forth on the Bond Project List. No series of Bonds will be issued if such issuance would cause the tax rate levied to pay debt service on all of the outstanding Bonds to exceed the Proposition 39 limits per one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) of taxable property, based on projections made by the District at the time of issuance of such series of Bonds.

ACCOUNTABILITY REQUIREMENTS

The provisions in this section are specifically included in this proposition in order that the voters and taxpayers in SFID No. 1 may be assured that their money will be spent wisely. Expenditures to address specific facility needs of the District will be in compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3), of the State Constitution and the Strict Accountability in Local School Construction Bonds Act of 2000 (codified at Education Code Sections 15264 and following).

Evaluation of Needs. The Board of Trustees of the District (the "Board of Trustees") has identified detailed facility needs of the District and has determined which projects to finance from a local bond at this time. The Board of Trustees hereby certifies that it has evaluated safety, class size reduction, enrollment growth, and information technology needs in developing the Bond Project List shown below.

Independent Citizens' Oversight Committee. The Board of Trustees shall establish an Independent Citizens' Oversight Committee under Education Code Section 15278 and following to ensure that bond proceeds are expended only on the school facilities projects listed below. The committee will be established within 60 days of the date when the results of the election appear in the minutes of the Board of Trustees.

Performance Audits. The Board of Trustees shall conduct an annual, independent performance audit to ensure that the bond proceeds have been expended only on the school facilities projects described in the Bond Project List below.

Financial Audits. The Board of Trustees shall conduct an annual, independent financial audit of the bond proceeds until all of those proceeds have been spent for the school facilities projects described in the Bond Project List below.

Annual Report. The Chief Business Officer of the District will cause an annual report to be filed with the Board of Trustees, the first report to be filed not later than one year after the issuance of the first series of the bonds, which report will contain pertinent information regarding the amount of funds collected and expended, as well as the status of the projects listed in this measure, as required by applicable California law.

Expenditure of Bond Proceeds. The proceeds from the sale of the SFID No. 1's bonds will be used only for the purposes specified in this measure, and not for any other purpose. Such proceeds will be deposited into a Project Fund to be held by the San Luis Obispo County Treasurer, as required by the California Education Code.

FURTHER SPECIFICATIONS

No Administrator Salaries. Proceeds from the sale of bonds authorized by this proposition shall be used only for the school facilities projects on the Bond Project List below, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.

BOND PROJECT LIST

The Board of Trustees of the Paso Robles Joint Unified School District is committed to protecting the quality of education in our local schools with safe, secure, upgraded classrooms and labs so that all students are prepared for college and/or good paying jobs. To that end, the Board evaluated the District's facility's needs and prepared a comprehensive 2016 Facilities Master Plan to develop the scope of projects to be funded. The Board, in developing the project list and priorities, solicited input from community members, teachers, and staff.

Proceeds of the Bonds will be used to upgrade, repair, construct, renovate and equip schools, facilities and classrooms (as listed below) throughout SFID No. 1. The exact size, configuration and location of each project will be determined by the Board of Trustees based on the needs of the District.

Major repairs, renovations, improvements, constructions and equipment acquisitions shall include but not be limited to:

- Constructing or renovating Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts, Math (STEAM) facilities and classrooms
- Upgrading career technical education/vocational education facilities to align curriculum and facilities across grade levels
- Replacing portable classrooms with permanent facilities PR-0660-2

- Repairing or replacing leaking roofs, deteriorating plumbing, heating and air conditioning systems, where needed
- Constructing new classrooms and classroom buildings
- Renovating and repairing existing classrooms and school facilities
- Updating safety, security and fire alarm systems
- Constructing or renovating multipurpose rooms
- Upgrading electrical systems to support demands of modern technology
- Constructing and improving school libraries
- Upgrading science labs

Specific projects and District facilities to be improved include:

Daniel E. Lewis Middle School

- Build two story classroom building
- Construct new classrooms
- Remove relocatables
- Upgrade library/multipurpose room/computer lab
- Expand library
- Refurbish restrooms and locker rooms
- Improve parking and student dropoff

Georgia Brown Dual Immersion Magnet School

- Construct new classrooms
- Remove relocatables
- Renovate shade structure and gazebo
- Řenovate playfields
- Construct new library and multipurpose room
- Renovate kindergarten
- Renovate existing classrooms and school buildings
- Expand hard court
- Improve student drop-off
- Improve bus drop-off

Kermit King Elementary School

- Construct new classrooms
- Remove relocatable
- Renovate shade structure
- Field Renovation
- Improve student drop-off and parking

George H. Flamson Middle School

- Construct new classrooms
- Build new cafeteria
- Renovate existing classrooms
- Replace locker rooms, fitness and wrestling rooms
- Remove antiquated buildings
- Improve playfields

Glen Speck Academy of the Arts

- Construct new classrooms
- Remove relocatables and antiquated building
- Upgrade library
- Build computer lab
- Renovate student restrooms, school office and support facilities
- Renovate playfields
- Improve and expand hard court
- Construct multi-purpose
 room/performing arts building
- Improve parking and student dropoff
- Renovate existing classrooms and facilities

Marie Bauer Preschool

- Remove existing buildings
- Improve existing parking and student drop-off
- Construct classrooms
- Build new play area
- Add new parking

Paso Robles Jt. USD Aquatics Complex

- Construct 50-meter competition and 25-meter lap pools
- Construct pool deck
- Install bleachers and concessions
- Build changing rooms, locker rooms and restrooms
- Construct pool equipment room
- Install solar water heating

Virginia Peterson Elementary School

- Construct new classrooms
- Remove relocatables
- Renovate playfields
- Improve handicap access
- Improve bus drop-off

Pat Butler Elementary School

- Construct new classrooms
- Improve handicap access
- Remove relocatable
- Move ball wall
- Renovate shade structure
- Re-grade playfields
- Improve student drop-off and parking area

Winifred Pifer Elementary School

- Renovate shade structure
- Renovate playfields
- Improve student drop-off

Scope of Projects. The Projects which are described above include all related and incidental costs, including their share of the costs of the election and bond issuance and costs of design, engineering, architect and other professional services, inspections, site preparation, demolition, utilities, and other planning, legal, accounting and similar costs, independent annual financial and performance audits, a customary contingency, and other costs incidental to and necessary for completion of the listed Projects.

In addition to the Projects listed above, the repair and renovation of each of the existing school facilities may include, but not be limited to, some or all of the following: renovate student and staff restrooms; installation of signage, clocks, bells and fencing; repair and replace heating and ventilation systems; upgrade of facilities for energy efficiencies; repair and replace wornout and deteriorated roofs, windows, walls, doors and drinking fountains; improve school facilities for disability access; install wiring and electrical systems to safely accommodate computers, technology and other electrical devices and needs; upgrade support facilities, repair and replace gas, water and sewer systems and lines, fire alarms, emergency communications and security systems; resurface or replace hard courts, asphalt, turf and irrigation systems and campus landscaping; interior and exterior painting and floor covering; demolition; construct various forms of storage and support spaces and classrooms; repair, upgrade and install interior and exterior lighting systems; improve or reconstruct playgrounds, athletic fields and play apparatus; and replace outdated security fences and security systems (including access control systems).

The scope and nature of any of the specific Projects described may be altered by the District as required by unforeseen conditions that may arise during the course of design and construction. In the event that a modernization or renovation project will result in higher costs than relocation and construction, this bond measure authorizes land acquisition, relocation and reconstruction, and all costs relating thereto, for said reasons or based on other considerations deemed in the best interest of the District by the Board of Trustees. In addition, this measure authorizes the acquisition of real property required to expand or provide school facility projects at the listed sites.

The aquatic facility will be a district wide facility supporting physical education, athletic, swimming, and emergency water safety programs in all schools as well as for community use outside of school hours, including senior health and wellness programs. The facility is currently

planned to be constructed on the Paso Robles High School campus. In addition to bond funding, the District will seek private donations and joint-use funding to complete the project.

Bond proceeds may also be expended to acquire equipment in any classroom or other educational facility within the District. The District may alter the scope and nature of any of the specific projects that are described below as required by conditions that arise over time.

Whenever specific items are included in the following list, they are presented to provide examples and are not intended to limit the generality of the broader description of authorized projects. The order in which particular projects are listed is not intended to indicate priority for funding or completion.

Projects Subject to Available Funding. The foregoing list of projects is subject to the availability of adequate funding to the District for SFID No. 1. Approval of the bond measure does not guarantee that the proposed projects in SFID No. 1 that are the subject of bonds under the measure will be funded beyond the local revenues generated by the bond measure. The District plans to pursue funds from the State of California, if available, to complete certain of the identified Projects. The District's proposal for the Projects may assume the receipt of matching state funds, which could be subject to appropriation by the Legislature or approval of a statewide bond measure.

IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEASURE M-16

This measure will determine whether the Paso Robles Joint Unified School District ("the District") may issue \$95 million in bonds to improve school facilities within School Facilities Improvement District No. 1 ("the SFID"), an improvement district previously established by the Board of Trustees of the District ("the Board") under California Education Code section 15300 et seq. The measure is placed on the ballot by the Board and will become effective if 55% of the voters of the SFID vote "yes" on the measure.

On November 7, 2000, California voters passed "Proposition 39," amending Article XIIIA, section 1(b)(3) and Article XVI, section 18 of the California Constitution. The amendments authorize the District to incur bonded indebtedness for the purpose of financing the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, in accordance with certain accountability requirements. To implement the requirements of Proposition 39, the Legislature enacted the "Strict Accountability in Local School Construction Bond Act of 2000" (Educ. Code, § 15264, et seq.; "the Act"). This measure is proposed in accordance with the Act.

If approved, the measure will authorize the District to issue up to \$95 million in general obligation bonds, to bear interest at a rate not to exceed the maximum permitted by law. The types of improvement projects to be funded by bond proceeds are included in the Bond Project List (included in the full-text of the measure). In accordance with the Act, the Board has certified that it has evaluated safety, class size reduction, enrollment growth and information technology needs in developing the Bond Project List.

Revenue from bond sales will be used only to repair, acquire, upgrade, equip and construct classrooms and school facilities within the SFID, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and employee salaries and other school operating expenses. Approval of the bond measure does not guarantee that particular projects will be funded.

Principal and interest on the bonds will be payable from the proceeds of ad valorem taxes levied annually on taxable real property within the SFID. These taxes would be in addition to the property taxes currently levied on taxpayers within the SFID. The amount of the increased taxes each year would depend upon the amount needed to pay the principal and interest on the bonds. The District's Tax Rate Statement, which accompanies this analysis, reflects an estimate of the maximum property tax levies required to service the bonds. The actual tax rates may vary depending on the timing of sales, amount of bonds sold, fluctuations in market interest rates, and changes in assessed valuations.

Performance and financial audits must be completed annually to ensure that bond proceeds are spent only as specified in the measure. An independent citizens' oversight committee will monitor expenditures and provide oversight. Bond proceeds will be deposited in a separate account. As long as any bond proceeds remain unexpended, annual reports will be filed with the Board stating the amount of funds collected and expended, and the status of projects authorized by the measure.

A "yes" vote on this measure is a vote in favor of the District issuing \$95 million in bonds to improve school facilities within the SFID.

A "no" vote on this measure is a vote against the District issuing \$95 million to improve school facilities within the SFID.

s/ RITA L. NEAL County Counsel

TAX RATE STATEMENT FOR MEASURE M-16

An election will be held in School Facilities Improvement District No. 1 of the Paso Robles Joint Unified School District ("SFID No. 1") on November 8, 2016, to authorize the sale of up to \$95 million in bonds of SFID No. 1 to finance school facilities.

If the bonds are authorized and sold, debt service thereon will be payable from the proceeds of tax levies made upon the taxable property within SFID No. 1. The following information is provided in compliance with Sections 9400-9404 of the Elections Code of the State of California.

1. The best estimate of the tax which would be required to be levied to fund this bond issue during the first fiscal year after the sale of the first series of bonds, based on estimated assessed valuations available at the time of filing of this statement, is \$0.04853 per \$100 (\$48.53 per \$100,000) of assessed valuation in fiscal year 2017 - 2018.

2. The best estimate of the tax which would be required to be levied to fund this bond issue during the first fiscal year after the sale of the last series of bonds, based on estimated assessed valuations available at the time of filing of this statement, is \$0.04853 per \$100 (\$48.53 per \$100,000) of assessed valuation in fiscal year 2026 - 2027.

3. The best estimate of the highest tax rate which would be required to be levied to fund this bond issue, based on estimated assessed valuations available at the time of filing of this statement, is \$0.04853 per \$100 (\$48.53 per \$100,000) of assessed valuation in fiscal years 2017 - 2018.

4. The best estimate of the average tax rate which would be required to be levied to fund this bond issue during the life of the bonds, based on estimated assessed valuations available at the time of filing of this statement, is \$0.04775 per \$100 (\$47.75 per \$100,000) of assessed valuation.

5. The best estimate of the total debt service, including principal and interest, that would be required to be repaid if all the bonds are issued and sold is \$163,477,337.

Voters should note that the estimated tax rates are based on the ASSESSED VALUE of taxable property on the County's official tax rolls, <u>not</u> on the property's market value. Property owners should consult their own property tax bills to determine their property's assessed value and any applicable tax exemptions.

Attention of all voters is directed to the fact that the foregoing information is based upon the District's projections and estimates only, which are not binding upon the District and SFID No. 1. The actual tax rates and the years in which they will apply may vary from those presently estimated, due to variations from these estimates in the timing of bond sales, the amount of bonds sold and market interest rates at the time of each sale, and actual assessed valuations over the term of repayment of the bonds.

The dates of sale and the amount of bonds sold at any given time will be determined by the District based on the need for construction funds and other factors, including the legal limitations on bonds approved by a 55% vote. The actual interest rates at which the bonds will be sold will depend on the bond market at the time of each sale. Actual future assessed valuation will depend upon the amount and value of taxable property within SFID No. 1 as determined by the County Assessor in the annual assessment and the equalization process.

s/ Chris Williams Superintendent, Paso Robles Joint Unified School District

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE M-16

Paso Robles citizens are well known for their pride in their community and their strong fiscal conservatism. Together, these two characteristics are why it is critical that we invest in and protect our community's most valuable resource – our local public schools – while still demanding that ironclad taxpayer protections be in place to ensure our tax dollars are spent prudently and wisely.

Measure M accomplishes both of these goals and deserves your vote. Here's why.

Measure M will repair and improve Paso Robles Joint Unified School District by:

- Constructing career technical and vocational education classrooms
- Undertaking basic health and safety improvements at schools and classrooms built decades ago – including one that is over eighty years old!
- Repairing and replacing leaky roofs
- Installing Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts and Math (STEAM) labs at schools throughout the district
- Replacing aging portables with permanent buildings
- Retrofitting schools and classrooms for earthquake safety

Measure M imposes tough taxpayer protections by:

- Ensuring our local school projects are eligible for State matching funds
- Requiring independent citizen oversight and annual audits
- Prohibiting funds from going to administrators' salaries, pensions, or benefits
- Imposing tough legal restrictions requiring all monies to be spent on our local schools
- **Prohibiting** the State from taking local bond funds and spending them in other districts

Vote **YES** to improve security and safety at our school sites.

Vote **YES** to ensure our schools continue to offer students the education they need to compete in the modern world.

Vote YES to protect taxpayers with independent financial audits.

Vote **YES** to provide the kinds of schools that improve property values.

To build better and safer schools, improve student achievement, protect taxpayer dollars and enhance property values, please join business leaders, teachers, parents, grandparents and neighbors in voting YES on Measure M.

s/ Dee Lacey Rancher

s/ James J. Brescia County Superintendent

s/ Steven A. Orduño Pastor; Community Member s/ W. Gary Eberle Wine Owner s/ Dale W. Gomer Businessman

NO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE M-16 WAS SUBMITTED



Visit Us On The Web

www.slovote.com

Voter Status Search

To see your voter status, enter the following information and click "Show status".

In order to maintain the privacy of the voter, the information provided must be an exact match to the voter registration on file with our office and all fields must be completed. If you cannot view your voter status, please contact the Elections Office at 781-5228 and we will assist you.

Birth date (format: mm/dd/yyyy)	
Last 4 digits of your California driver's license number (or the last 4 digits of your California ID number)	
Street address (number only) (example: if your address is 123 Main, enter 123)	

Show status

Find Out The Status Of Your Vote-By-Mail Ballot

Voter Registration Status	
Registered City:	San Luis Obispo
Registered Political Party:	Democratic
Voter Registration Status:	Active
* Vote-by-Mail Status:	Permanent Vote-By-Mail voter
Vote-by-Mail Ballot Status	
Ballot Category:	Permanent Vote-By-Mail voter
Date Issued:	10/4/2010
Date Returned:	11/02/2010
Challenged:	
Return Source:	Ballot returned by mail

ASSISTANCE for VOTERS with SPECIAL NEEDS







San Luis Obispo offers voters with disabilities options that provide a private and independent voting environment. The San Luis Obispo County Clerk-Recorder wants to help you create a voting plan that works for you. See your options below.

- Voting at the Polls: Find your polling place and check for its accessibility at the Clerk-Recorder's website.
- AutoMARK ballot-marking machines are available in every polling place on Election Day and at the County Clerk-Recorder's Office 22 days prior to the election for early voting.
- Curbside voting is also available. If you arrive at your polling place and cannot get to the voting area, ask for assistance.
- Vote by Mail: Request to receive your ballot by mail or become a permanent vote by mail voter and automatically receive your ballot for each election. Check the status of your vote-by-mail ballot at the Clerk-Recorder's website.

LiveBallot - an Accessible, Audio-Enabled Sample Ballot and Voter Information Guide from the Clerk-Recorder's Website

The web-based technology meets the requirements of Section 508 in the Americans with Disabilities Act and is compliant with all major screen readers, tactile switches, closed captioning, and sip-and-puff systems.

Voters will be able to access the contests on their ballots, the candidates' statements of qualifications, and local measure pages (impartial analysis, arguments and rebuttals) on the web. Large print format of the state ballot measures will continue to be available.

Additional Assistance:

Audio recordings of local measures and candidate statements are available, upon request, from the Clerk-Recorder's office. In addition, the Secretary of State's Office has a TDD (Telecommunications Device for the Deaf) to provide elections information: **1-800-833-8683 (1-800-TDD-VOTE)**.

Disability Rights California will operate a statewide hotline on Election Day, from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. to assist voters with disabilities.

www.slovote.com

Contact us for additional information. Contact Information on the Front Cover

What can the Clerk-Recorder do for you?





You already know us as the Registrar of Voters. The Clerk-Recorder also functions as the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, County Recorder, County Clerk and Commissioner of Civil Marriages.

What other services are offered by the department? Here are just a few...

- File Fictitious Business Name Statements
- Maintain Official Records (land records)
- Issue Marriage Licenses
- Perform Wedding Ceremonies
- Provide Certified Copies of Vital Records for events that occurred in San Luis Obispo County (Births, Deaths and Marriages)
- Watch Board of Supervisor's meetings online and view associated documents

For more information, visit us on the internet at <u>www.slovote.com</u>, contact us by phone (805) 781-5080 and don't forget to follow us on:



http://www.facebook.com/slocountyclerkrec

http://twitter.com/slocountyclerk



REASONS WHY YOUR BALLOT WOULD <u>NOT</u> BE COUNTED

- 1. Ballot arrives after the deadline.
- 2. The I.D. Return Envelope is not signed.

3. The signature on the I.D. Return Envelope does not match the signature on the voter's Affidavit of Registration.

4. The ballot is returned by someone other than the voter or voter's authorized agent.

5. The ballot is returned without the I.D. Return Envelope.

ASSISTANCE FOR THE HEARING OR VISUALLY IMPAIRED VOTER: The Secretary of State's Office has a TDD (Telecommunications Device for the Deaf) to provide elections information: 1-800-833-8683 (1-800-TDD-VOTE). For the visually impaired, audio recordings and large print format of the state ballot measures and audio recordings of local measures and candidates are available from the Clerk-Recorder Department. Call for more information.

AUTOMARK: The AutoMARK ballot marking device will be available for use at the Clerk-Recorder's Office in San Luis Obispo 22 days prior to the election and will be available at the polls on Election Day. The marking device is designed to assist voters with disabilities, such as vision or dexterity impairments, in marking their ballots. Call for more information.

PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL STATUS: Voters with Permanent VBM Status are sent ballots for each election in which they are entitled to vote, as long as they remain on the Permanent Vote by Mail list. If you fail to return your voted ballot for four consecutive statewide general elections your name will be removed from the Permanent Vote by Mail List and you will need to reapply.

VOTE-BY-MAIL LOOK-UP ON THE WEB: Check the status of your returned VBM ballot on the Internet at <u>www.slovote.com</u>

Contact Information on the Front Cover

ELECTION DAY: November 8, 2016 Polls open at 7 A.M. and close at 8 P.M. Since Poll Locations may change every election, please pay careful attention where you can drop off your Vote-By-Mail ballot on Election Day:

North County

San Miguel Community Bldg. 256 13th St San Miguel

Heritage Ranch Senior Ctr. 4880 Heritage Ranch Rd Paso Robles

Paso Robles Community Church 2706 Spring St. Paso Robles

Plymouth Cong. Church 1301 Oak St Paso Robles

Shandon Community Bldg 195 N Second St Shandon

Creston Fire Station 6055 Webster Rd Creston

Estrella Warbirds Museum 4251 Dry Creek Rd Paso Robles

Grace Baptist Church 535 Creston Rd Paso Robles

Centennial Park 600 Nickerson Dr Paso Robles

Highlands Church 215 Oak Hill Rd Paso Robles

Paso Robles Vets Hall 240 Scott St. Paso Robles

Paso Robles Temple Assoc. Shine Hall 320 Sherwood Rd Paso Robles

Templeton Hills Seventh-Day Adventist Church 930 Templeton Hills Rd Templeton Templeton Community Building 601 Main St Templeton

Atascadero Gospel Chapel 8205 Curbaril Atascadero

Community Church of Atascadero 5850 Rosario Ave Atascadero

Atascadero Elks Lodge 1516 El Camino Real Atascadero

St Luke's Episcopal Church 5318 Palma Ave Atascadero

Berean Bible Church 9325 El Bordo Atascadero

Atascadero Lake Pavilion 9315 Pismo Ave Atascadero

United Methodist Church of Atascadero 11605 El Camino Real Atascadero

Santa Margarita Community Hall Murphy & I St Santa Margarita

South County

Avila Beach Community Center 191 San Miguel St Avila Beach

Shell Beach Vets Hall 230 Leeward Ave Shell Beach

New Life Community Church 990 James Way Pismo Beach

Ramona Garden Park Ctr 993 Ramona Ave Grover Beach First Presbyterian Church 1830 Farroll Rd Grover Beach

Oak Park Christian Church 386 N. Oak Park Blvd Grover Beach

Grover Beach Community Center 1230 Trouville Ave Grover Beach

Central Coast Baptist Church 192 S. 9th St Grover Beach

South County Regional Center 800 W. Branch St Arroyo Grande

Arroyo Grande Community Center 211 Vernon Ave Arroyo Grande

St John's Lutheran Church 959 Valley Rd Arroyo Grande

American Legion Hall 417 Orchard St Arroyo Grande

Gospel Lighthouse of AG 497 Fair Oaks Ave Arroyo Grande

Pismo Coast Association of Realtors 1126 E. Grande Ave Arroyo Grande

Calvery Chapel 1133 Maple St Arroyo Grande

Cypress Ridge Community Bldg 1050 Cypress Ridge Parkway Arroyo Grande

Oceano CSD Office 1655 Front St Oceano

Continued on next page...

ELECTION DAY: November 8, 2016 (Cont.) Polls open at 7 A.M. and close at 8 P.M. Since Poll Locations may change every election, please pay careful attention where you can drop off your Vote-By-Mail ballot on Election Day:

South County (cont.)

Rancho Del Arroyo MHP 2700 Cienaga St Oceano

The Edwards Barn 1095 Pomeroy Rd Nipomo

Nipomo High School 525 N Thompson Ave Nipomo

Nipomo CSD Office 148 S Wilson St Nipomo

Nipomo Branch Library 918 W. Tefft St Nipomo

The Monarch Club – Art Rm 1645 Trilogy Parkway Nipomo

San Luis Obispo

Canyon Hills of SLO 525 Cerro Romauldo San Luis Obispo

Creekside Mobile Home Community Room 3960 S. Higuera San Luis Obispo

Chumash Village Recreation Hall 3057 S. Higuera St San Luis Obispo

San Luis Obispo Grange 2880 Broad St. San Luis Obispo

Grace Church 1036 Pismo St San Luis Obispo

Laguna Lake Mobile Estates 1801 Prefumo Canyon Rd San Luis Obispo

Church of the Nazarene 3396 Johnson Ave San Luis Obispo Ludwick Community Center 864 Santa Rosa St-Off Mill St. San Luis Obispo

SLO Veterans Hall 801 Grand Ave San Luis Obispo

Mountainbrook Community Church 1775 Calle Joaquin San Luis Obispo

Cal Poly Chumash Auditorium Cal Poly Campus San Luis Obispo

Zion Lutheran Church 1010 Foothill Blvd San Luis Obispo

SLO County Farm Bureau 4875 Morabito Pl San Luis Obispo

United Church of Christ 11245 Los Osos Valley Rd San Luis Obispo

C.L. Smith School 1375 Balboa St San Luis Obispo

Los Ranchos School 5785 Los Ranchos Rd San Luis Obispo

Unitarian Universalist Fellowship 2201 Lawton St San Luis Obispo

Unity of San Luis Obispo 1130 Orcutt Rd San Luis Obispo

North Coast

First Christian Church 2050 Ironwood Ave. Morro Bay Morro Bay Veterans Hall 209 Surf St Morro Bay

Del Mar Elementary School 501 Sequoia St Morro Bay

Baywood Elementary School 1330 9th St Los Osos

Los Osos Christian Fellowship 1335 7th St Los Osos

Los Osos Middle School 1555 El Morro Ave Los Osos

Cambria Veterans Hall 1000 Main St Cambria

Cayucos Community Church 60 S. 3rd St Cayucos

St Elizabeth Ann Seton Parish 2050 Palisades Ave Los Osos

First Baptist Church 1900 Los Osos Valley Rd Los Osos

Monarch Grove School 348 Los Osos Valley Rd Los Osos

Trinity Methodist Church 490 Los Osos Valley Rd Los Osos

County Clerk-Recorder

Main Office- SLO 1055 Monterey St D120 San Luis Obispo

North County Office (Atascadero Library – 2nd Floor) 6565 Capistrano Ave. Atascadero