OFFICIAL BALLOT NOVEMBER 8, 2016 CONSOLIDATED GENERAL ELECTION SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

BT 42

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS:

To vote, fill in the oval like this: Vote both sides of the card.

To vote for the candidate of your choice, fill in the OVAL next to the candidate's name. Do not vote for more than the number of candidates allowed (e.g. Vote for no more than Two). To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write in the candidate's full name on the Write-In line and fill in the OVAL next to it. To vote on a measure, fill in the OVAL next to the word "Yes" or the word "No". If you tear, deface or wrongly mark this ballot, return it to the Elections Official and get another.

il you tear, delace of wrongly mark this ballo	or, return it to the Elections Official and get an	other.
PARTY-NOMINATED OFFICES The party label accompanying the name of a candidate for party-nominated office on the general election ballot means that the candidate is the official nominee of the party shown.	VOTER-NOMINATED AND NONPARTISAN OFFICES All voters, regardless of the party preference they disclosed upon registration, or refusal to disclose a party preference, may vote for any	MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY
PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES Vote for One Party GARY JOHNSON LIBERTARIAN	candidate for a voter-nominated or nonpartisan office. The party preference, if any, designated by a candidate for a voter-nominated office is selected by the candidate and is shown for the information of the voters only. It does not imply	35th District Vote for One
GARY JOHNSON For President BILL WELD For Vice-President JILL STEIN LIBERTARIAN GREEN	that the candidate is nominated or endorsed by the party or that the party approves of the candidate. The party preference, if any, of a	JORDAN CUNNINGHAM Party Preference: Republican Businessman/School Trustee DAWN ORTIZ-LEGG
For President AJAMU BARAKA For Vice-President	appear on the ballot. UNITED STATES SENATOR	Party Preference: Democratic Small Business Owner
HILLARY CLINTON For President	UNITED STATES SENATOR Vote for One	SCHOOL
TIM KAINE For Vice-President GLORIA ESTELA LA RIVA For President DENNIS J. BANKS AND FREEDOM	LORETTA L. SANCHEZ Party Preference: Democratic United States Congresswoman	TEMPLETON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER
For Vice-President DONALD J. TRUMP REPUBLICAN, For President AMERICAN INDEPENDENT MICHAEL R. PENCE For Vice-President	KAMALA D. HARRIS Party Preference: Democratic Attorney General of California	Vote for no more than Three TED DUBOST Farmer/Surveyor
O	UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE	JAN NIMICK Electric Utility Director
Write-in	UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE 24th District Vote for One	KEVIN J. HAMERS General Contractor BRENDA GRAY Healthcare Administrator
	SALUD CARBAJAL Party Preference: Democratic Santa Barbara County Supervisor	NELSON YAMAGATA Physician Write-in
	JUSTIN DONALD FAREED Party Preference: Republican Small Businessman/Rancher	Write-in Write-in
	STATE SENATOR	COUNTY
	STATE SENATOR 17th District Vote for One	COUNTY SUPERVISOR 1st District Vote for One JOHN PESCHONG
	BILL MONNING Party Preference: Democratic California State Senator	Small Independent Businessman STEVEN W. MARTIN Paso Robles Mayor
	PALMER KAIN Party Preference: Republican Community Volunteer	Write-in

TURN BALLOT OVER TO CONTINUE VOTING

CITY	PROPOSITION 53	PROPOSITION 57
CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES	REVENUE BONDS. STATEWIDE VOTER APPROVAL.	CRIMINAL SENTENCES. PAROLE. JUVENILE
CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES	INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. Requires	CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS AND SENTENCING.
COUNCILMEMBER	statewide voter approval before any revenue bonds can be issued or sold by the state for certain projects if the bond	INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Allows parole consideration for nonviolent
Vote for no more than Two	amount exceeds \$2 billion. Fiscal Impact: State and local	felons. Authorizes sentence credits for rehabilitation,
	fiscal effects are unknown and would depend on which	good behavior, and education. Provides juvenile
KEVIN KREOWSKI	projects are affected by the measure and what actions	court judge decides whether juvenile will be
Paso Robles Resident	government agencies and voters take in response to the	prosecuted as adult. Fiscal Impact: Net state
FRED STRONG Incumbent	measure's voting requirement.	savings likely in the tens of millions of dollars
STEVE GREGORY	YES	annually, depending on implementation. Net county
Appointed Councilman/Businessman	123	costs of likely a few million dollars annually.
Write-in	_ NO	YES
Wille-III	PROPOSITION 54	NO
Write-in	LEGISLATURE. LEGISLATION AND PROCEEDINGS.	U NO
CITY CLERK	INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND	PROPOSITION 58
Vote for One	STATUTE. Prohibits Legislature from passing any bill	ENGLISH PROFICIENCY. MULTILINGUAL
	unless published on Internet for 72 hours before vote. Requires Legislature to record its proceedings and post on	requirement that public schools ensure students
DENNIS FANSLER	Internet. Authorizes use of recordings. Fiscal Impact:	obtain English language proficiency. Requires school
Incumbent	One-time costs of \$1 million to \$2 million and ongoing	districts to solicit parent/community input in
Write-in	costs of about \$1 million annually to record legislative	developing language acquisition programs. Requires
CITY TREASURER	meetings and make videos of those meetings available on	instruction to ensure English acquisition as rapidly and effectively as possible. Authorizes school
Vote for One	the Internet.	districts to establish dual-language immersion
	YES	programs for both native and non-native English
MICHAEL COMPTON	NO	speakers. Fiscal Impact: No notable fiscal effect on
Incumbent		school districts or state government.
Write-in	PROPOSITION 55	YES
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO THE	TAX EXTENSION TO FUND EDUCATION AND HEALTHCARE. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL	○ NO
VOTERS	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary	PROPOSITION 59
VOTERS		PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING.
VOTERS	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS.
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 -	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States
VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v.
PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments.	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled
PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending
STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments.	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional.
PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local
STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional.
STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their
STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to,
PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years.	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to
STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens
STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52 MEDI-CAL HOSPITAL FEE PROGRAM. INITIATIVE	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine.	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558
STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52 MEDI-CAL HOSPITAL FEE PROGRAM. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE.	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, to
STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52 MEDI-CAL HOSPITAL FEE PROGRAM. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Extends indefinitely an existing statute that imposes fees	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2017-18, with potentially lower revenues in	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558
PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52 MEDI-CAL HOSPITAL FEE PROGRAM. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Extends indefinitely an existing statute that imposes fees on hospitals to fund Medi-Cal health care services, care for	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2017-18, with potentially lower revenues in future years. Revenues would be used primarily to	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, to allow the full regulation or limitation of campaign contributions and spending, to ensure that all citizens, regardless of wealth, may express their
STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52 MEDI-CAL HOSPITAL FEE PROGRAM. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Extends indefinitely an existing statute that imposes fees on hospitals to fund Medi-Cal health care services, care for uninsured patients, and children's health coverage. Fiscal	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2017-18, with potentially lower revenues in future years. Revenues would be used primarily to augment spending on health care for low-income	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, to allow the full regulation or limitation of campaign contributions and spending, to ensure that all citizens, regardless of wealth, may express their views to one another, and to make clear that
STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52 MEDI-CAL HOSPITAL FEE PROGRAM. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Extends indefinitely an existing statute that imposes fees on hospitals to fund Medi-Cal health care services, care for uninsured patients, and children's health coverage. Fiscal Impact: Uncertain fiscal effect, ranging from relatively little	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2017-18, with potentially lower revenues in future years. Revenues would be used primarily to augment spending on health care for low-income Californians.	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, to allow the full regulation or limitation of campaign contributions and spending, to ensure that all citizens, regardless of wealth, may express their views to one another, and to make clear that corporations should not have the same constitutional
PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52 MEDI-CAL HOSPITAL FEE PROGRAM. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Extends indefinitely an existing statute that imposes fees on hospitals to fund Medi-Cal health care services, care for uninsured patients, and children's health coverage. Fiscal Impact: Uncertain fiscal effect, ranging from relatively little impact to annual state General Fund savings of around \$1	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2017-18, with potentially lower revenues in future years. Revenues would be used primarily to augment spending on health care for low-income Californians. YES	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, to allow the full regulation or limitation of campaign contributions and spending, to ensure that all citizens, regardless of wealth, may express their views to one another, and to make clear that corporations should not have the same constitutional rights as human beings?
STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52 MEDI-CAL HOSPITAL FEE PROGRAM. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Extends indefinitely an existing statute that imposes fees on hospitals to fund Medi-Cal health care services, care for uninsured patients, and children's health coverage. Fiscal Impact: Uncertain fiscal effect, ranging from relatively little	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2017-18, with potentially lower revenues in future years. Revenues would be used primarily to augment spending on health care for low-income Californians.	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, to allow the full regulation or limitation of campaign contributions and spending, to ensure that all citizens, regardless of wealth, may express their views to one another, and to make clear that corporations should not have the same constitutional
STATE PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52 MEDI-CAL HOSPITAL FEE PROGRAM. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Extends indefinitely an existing statute that imposes fees on hospitals to fund Medi-Cal health care services, care for uninsured patients, and children's health coverage. Fiscal Impact: Uncertain fiscal effect, ranging from relatively little impact to annual state General Fund savings of around \$1 billion and increased funding for public hospitals in the low hundreds of millions of dollars annually.	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2017-18, with potentially lower revenues in future years. Revenues would be used primarily to augment spending on health care for low-income Californians. YES	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, to allow the full regulation or limitation of campaign contributions and spending, to ensure that all citizens, regardless of wealth, may express their views to one another, and to make clear that corporations should not have the same constitutional rights as human beings? YES
PROPOSITION 51 SCHOOL BONDS. FUNDING FOR K-12 SCHOOL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE FACILITIES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Authorizes \$9 billion in general obligation bonds for new construction and modernization of K-12 public school facilities; charter schools and vocational education facilities; and California Community Colleges facilities. Fiscal Impact: State costs of about \$17.6 billion to pay off both the principal (\$9 billion) and interest (\$8.6 billion) on the bonds. Payments of about \$500 million per year for 35 years. YES NO PROPOSITION 52 MEDI-CAL HOSPITAL FEE PROGRAM. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Extends indefinitely an existing statute that imposes fees on hospitals to fund Medi-Cal health care services, care for uninsured patients, and children's health coverage. Fiscal Impact: Uncertain fiscal effect, ranging from relatively little impact to annual state General Fund savings of around \$1 billion and increased funding for public hospitals in the low	AMENDMENT. Extends by twelve years the temporary personal income tax increases enacted in 2012 on earnings over \$250,000, with revenues allocated to K-12 schools, California Community Colleges, and, in certain years, healthcare. Fiscal Impact: Increased state revenues - \$4 billion to \$9 billion annually from 2019-2030 - depending on economy and stock market. Increased funding for schools, community colleges, health care for low-income people, budget reserves, and debt payments. YES NO PROPOSITION 56 CIGARETTE TAX TO FUND HEALTHCARE, TOBACCO USE PREVENTION, RESEARCH, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Increases cigarette tax by \$2.00 per pack, with equivalent increase on other tobacco products and electronic cigarettes containing nicotine. Fiscal Impact: Additional net state revenue of \$1 billion to \$1.4 billion in 2017-18, with potentially lower revenues in future years. Revenues would be used primarily to augment spending on health care for low-income Californians. YES	PROPOSITION 59 CORPORATIONS. POLITICAL SPENDING. FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS. LEGISLATIVE ADVISORY QUESTION. Asks whether California's elected officials should use their authority to propose and ratify an amendment to the federal Constitution overturning the United States Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission. Citizens United ruled that laws placing certain limits on political spending by corporations and unions are unconstitutional. Fiscal Impact: No direct fiscal effect on state or local governments. Shall California's elected officials use all of their constitutional authority, including, but not limited to, proposing and ratifying one or more amendments to the United States Constitution, to overturn Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission (2010) 558 U.S. 310, and other applicable judicial precedents, to allow the full regulation or limitation of campaign contributions and spending, to ensure that all citizens, regardless of wealth, may express their views to one another, and to make clear that corporations should not have the same constitutional rights as human beings?

TURN BALLOT OVER TO CONTINUE VOTING

OFFICIAL BALLOT NOVEMBER 8, 2016 CONSOLIDATED GENERAL ELECTION SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

BT 42B

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS:

To vote, fill in the oval like this:

Vote both sides of the card.

MEASURES SUBMITTED TO THE VOTERS STATE PROPOSITION 63 STATE PROPOSITION 63 STATE PROPOSITION 63 STATE PROPOSITION 64 ADULT FILMS. CONDOMS. HEALTH REQUIREMENTS. INTIGATIVE STATUTE. Regules soull tiltup performes to tessing, and medical exeminations. Regules ground information to tessing and medical exeminations. Regules ground information to tessing, and medical exeminations. Regules ground information to tessing and medical exeminations. Regules ground information to the condense during filming of seasure intercourse. Regules grounders to past conduction and this sites. Fiscal impact. Institute of the second of the second state of the conduction of the second state of the conduction of the second state of the conduction of the second state of the second state of the conduction of the second state of the second state of the conduction of the second state o	To vote for the candidate of your choice, fill in the OVAL next to the candidate's name. Do not vote for more than the number of candidates allowed (e.g. Vote for no more than Two). To vote for a qualified write-in candidate, write in the candidate's full name on the Write-In line and fill in the OVAL next to it. To vote on a measure, fill in the OVAL next to the word "Yes" or the word "No". If you tear, deface or wrongly mark this ballot, return it to the Elections Official and get another.				
PROPOSITION 60	VOTERS	FIREARMS. AMMUNITION SALES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires background check and Department of Justice authorization to purchase ammunition. Prohibits	DEATH PENALTY. PROCEDURES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Changes procedures governing state court challenges to death sentences. Designates		
ROPOSITION 60 PROPOSITION 61 STATUTE. Repaired state guidence and the performer vaccinations, tengine producers to pay for performer vaccinations, tengine producers to pay for performer vaccinations, tengine producers to pay for performer vaccinations, requires producers to pay for performer vaccinations, tengine producers to pay for performer vaccinations, requires producers to pay for performer vaccinations, requires producers to pay for performer vaccinations, requires producers to pay for performer vaccinations, tengine producers to pay for performer vaccinations, requires producers to pay for performer vaccinations, requires producers to pay for performer vaccinations, requires producers to pay for performer vaccinations, the producers to pay for performer vaccinations, requires producers to pay for performer vaccinations, the producers to pay for performer vaccinations, requires producers to pay for performer vaccinations, the producers of the	STATE				
PROPOSITION 61 STATE PRESCRIPTION DRUG PURCHASES. PRICING STANDARDS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Prohibits state from buying any prescription drug from a drug manufacturer at price over lowest price paid for the drug by United States Department of Veterans Affairs. Exempts manuaged care programs funded through Medi-Cal. Fiscal impact: Potential for state sawings of an unknown amount depending on (1) how the measures implementation challenges are addressed and (2) the responses of drug manufacturers regarding the provision and pricing of their drugs. PROPOSITION 62 DEATH PENALTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Repeals death penalty and replaces it with life imprisonment without possibility of parole. Applies retroactively to existing death sentences. Increases the portion of life immates' wages that may be applied to victim restitution. Fiscal impact: No YES NO NO PROPOSITION 62 DEATH PENALTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Repeals death penalty and replaces it with life imprisonment without possibility of parole. Applies retroactively to existing death sentences. Increases the portion of life immates' wages that may be applied to victim restitution. Fiscal impact: No NO NO PROPOSITION 62 DEATH PENALTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Repeals death penalty and replaces it with life imprisonment without possibility of parole. Applies retroactively to existing death sentences. Increases the portion of life immates' wages that may be applied to victim restitution. Fiscal impact: No NO NO NO NO PROPOSITION 62 DEATH PENALTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Repeals death penalty and replaces it with life imprisonment without possibility of parole. Applies retroactively to existing death sentences. Increases the portion of life immates' wages that may be applied to victim restitution. Fiscal impact: No NO NO NO NO PROPOSITION 62 DEATH PENALTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Repeals death penalty and replaces it with life imprisonment without possibility of parole. Applies retroactively to existing death sentences. Increases the portion of life immates' wages that m	ADULT FILMS. CONDOMS. HEALTH REQUIREMENTS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Requires adult film performers to use condoms during filming of sexual intercourse. Requires producers to pay for performer vaccinations, testing, and medical examinations. Requires producers to post condom requirement at film sites. Fiscal Impact: Likely reduction of state and local tax revenues of several million dollars annually. Increased state spending that could exceed \$1 million annually on regulation, partially offset by new fees.	firearm possession by specified persons. Requires Department of Justice's participation in federal National Instant Criminal Background Check System. Fiscal Impact: Increased state and local court and law enforcement costs, potentially in the tens of millions of dollars annually, related to a new court process for removing firearms from prohibited persons after they are convicted. YES	who take noncapital appeals to accept death penalty appeals. Exempts prison officials from existing regulation process for developing execution methods. Fiscal Impact: Unknown ongoing impact on state court costs for processing legal challenges to death sentences. Potential prison savings in the tens of millions of dollars annually. YES NO PROPOSITION 67		
PROPOSITION 61 STATE PRESCRIPTION DRUG PURCHASES. PRICING STANDARDS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Legalizes marifjuana under state law, for use by adults 21 or older. Imposes state taxes on sales and cultivation. Provides for industry licensing and establishes standards for manufacturer at price over lowest price paid for the drug by United States. Department of Veterans Affairs. Exempts managed care programs funded through Medi-Cal. Fiscal impact: Additional tax revenues ranging from high hundreds of millions of dollars to over \$1 billion annually. Medi-Cal. Fiscal impact: Additional tax revenues ranging from high hundreds of millions of dollars to over \$1 billion annually. Medi-Cal. Fiscal impact: Additional tax revenues ranging from high hundreds of millions of dollars to over \$1 billion annually. Medi-Cal. Fiscal impact: Additional tax revenues ranging from high hundreds of millions of dollars to over \$1 billion annually. Medi-Cal. Fiscal impact: Additional tax revenues ranging from high hundreds of millions of dollars to over \$1 billion annually. Medi-Cal. Fiscal impact: Additional tax revenues ranging from high hundreds of millions of dollars annually. Medi-Cal. Fiscal impact: Additional tax revenues ranging from high hundreds of millions of dollars annually. Medi-Cal. Fiscal impact: Additional tax revenues ranging from high hundreds of millions of dollars annually. Medi-Cal. Fiscal impact: Additional tax revenues ranging from high hundreds of millions of dollars annually. Medi-Cal. Fiscal impact: Additional tax revenues ranging from high hundreds of millions of dollars annually. Medi-Cal. Fiscal impact: Additional tax revenues ranging from high hundreds of millions of dollars annually. Medi-Cal. Fiscal impact: Meding provision and pricing of their drugs. PROPOSITION 62 DEATH PENALTY, INITIATIVE STATUTE. Repeals death penalty and replaces it with life imprisonment without possibility of parole. Applies retroactively to existing death sentences. Interactively provided in the provision and providing safe routes to	■ NO	PROPOSITION 64			
PROPOSITION 62 DEATH PENALTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Repeals death penalty and replaces it with life imprisonment without possibility of parole. Applies retroactively to existing death sentences. Increases the portion of life inmates' wages that may be applied to victim restitution. Fiscal Impact: Net ongoing reduction in state and county criminal justice costs of around \$150 million annually within a few years, although the impact could vary by tens of millions of dollars depending on various factors. CARRYOUT BAGS. CHARGES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Redirects money collected by grocery and certain other retail nother retail stores through mandated sale of carryout bags. Requires stores to deposit bag sale proceeds into a special fund to support specified environmental projects. Fiscal Impact: Potential state revenue of several tens of millions of dollars annually under certain circumstances, with the monies used to support certain environmental programs. YES CARRYOUT BAGS. CHARGES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Redirects money collected by grocery and certain other retail other retail other retail other retail other providing sale proceeds into a special fund to support specified environmental projects. Fiscal Impact: Potential state revenue of several tens of millions of dollars annually under certain circumstances, with the monies used to support certain environmental programs. YES NO NO MEASURE J-16 To improve our region's transportation system by: Fixing potholes, repaiving local streets, relieving traffic congestion; Improving street, highway and bridge safety; Making bike and transit improvements within and between communities; Increasing senior, veterans, disabled and student transit; and Providing safe routes to school. Shall San Luis Obispo County voters enact a half cent transportation sales tax, providing \$25,000,000 annually for nine (9) years, requiring independent citizens' oversight, where all funds stay local and cannot be taken by the State? YES	PROPOSITION 61 STATE PRESCRIPTION DRUG PURCHASES. PRICING STANDARDS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Prohibits state from buying any prescription drug from a drug manufacturer at price over lowest price paid for the drug by United States Department of Veterans Affairs. Exempts managed care programs funded through Medi-Cal. Fiscal Impact: Potential for state savings of an unknown amount depending on (1) how the measure's implementation challenges are addressed and (2) the responses of drug manufacturers regarding the provision and pricing of their	MARIJUANA LEGALIZATION. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Legalizes marijuana under state law, for use by adults 21 or older. Imposes state taxes on sales and cultivation. Provides for industry licensing and establishes standards for marijuana products. Allows local regulation and taxation. Fiscal Impact: Additional tax revenues ranging from high hundreds of millions of dollars to over \$1 billion annually, mostly dedicated to specific purposes. Reduced criminal justice costs of tens of millions of dollars annually. YES NO	vote rejects, a statute that prohibits grocery and other stores from providing customers single-use plastic or paper carryout bags but permits sale of recycled paper bags and reusable bags. Fiscal Impact: Relatively small fiscal effects on state and local governments, including a minor increase in state administrative costs and possible minor local government savings from reduced litter and waste management costs. YES		
PROPOSITION 62 DEATH PENALTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Repeals death penalty and replaces it with life imprisonment without possibility of parole. Applies retroactively to existing death sentences. Increases the portion of life immates' wages that may be applied to victim restitution. Fiscal Impact: Net ongoing reduction in state and county criminal justice costs of around \$150 million annually within a few years, although the impact could vary by tens of millions of dollars depending on various factors. Redirects money collected by grocery and certain other retail stores through mandated sale of carryout bags. Requires stores to deposit bag sale proceeds into a special fund to support specified environmental projects. Fiscal Impact: Potential state revenue of several tens of millions of millions of dollars annually under certain circumstances, with the monies used to support certain environmental programs. YES MEASURE J-16 To improve our region's transportation system by: Fixing potholes, repaving local streets, relieving traffic to improve our region's transportation system by: Fixing potholes, repaving local streets, relieving traffic for improve our region's transportation system by: Fixing potholes, repaving local streets, relieving traffic for improve our region's transportation system by: Fixing potholes, repaving local streets, relieving traffic for improve our region's transportation system by: Fixing potholes, repaving local streets, relieving traffic for improve our region's transportation system by: Fixing potholes, repaving local streets, relieving traffic for improve our region's transportation system by: Fixing potholes, repaving local streets, relieving traffic for improve our region's transportation system by: Fixing potholes, repaving local streets, relieving traffic output by: Fixing potholes, repaving local streets, relieving traffic output by: Fixing potholes, repaving local streets, relieving traffic output by: Fixing potholes, repaving local streets, relieving traffic output by: Fixing poth	YES		COUNTY		
	PROPOSITION 62 DEATH PENALTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Repeals death penalty and replaces it with life imprisonment without possibility of parole. Applies retroactively to existing death sentences. Increases the portion of life inmates' wages that may be applied to victim restitution. Fiscal Impact: Net ongoing reduction in state and county criminal justice costs of around \$150 million annually within a few years, although the impact could vary by tens of millions of dollars depending on various factors. YES	Redirects money collected by grocery and certain other retail stores through mandated sale of carryout bags. Requires stores to deposit bag sale proceeds into a special fund to support specified environmental projects. Fiscal Impact: Potential state revenue of several tens of millions of dollars annually under certain circumstances, with the monies used to support certain environmental programs. YES	To improve our region's transportation system by: Fixing potholes, repaving local streets, relieving traffic congestion; Improving street, highway and bridge safety; Making bike and transit improvements within and between communities; Increasing senior, veterans, disabled and student transit; and Providing safe routes to school. Shall San Luis Obispo County voters enact a half cent transportation sales tax, providing \$25,000,000 annually for nine (9) years, requiring independent citizens' oversight, where all funds stay local and cannot be taken by the State? YES		

END OF BALLOT

042



County of San Luis Obispo

Consolidated General Election Tuesday, November 8, 2016

VBM Voter Information Guide

- Your OFFICIAL BALLOT along with this Voter Information Guide are contained in this Vote-By-Mail (VBM) packet because you either applied for PERMANENT VBM STATUS or you reside in a VBM PRECINCT.
- This will be the only official mailing from the County. There is no sample ballot in this booklet since your OFFICIAL BALLOT is included. If you desire a copy of the ballot for your use, you can view or download one from the Clerk-Recorder's website or contact us and one will be sent to you.
- The deadline for return of Vote By Mail ballots is 8:00pm, Tuesday, November 8, 2016. Mailed ballots must be postmarked on or before Election Day and be received in the Clerk-Recorder's office no later than three days after Election Day to be counted. Please be sure to affix sufficient postage for mailing, currently \$0.47.
- On Election Day you may return your ballot to any polling place, including our offices, in San Luis Obispo County between 7:00am and 8:00pm.
 Polling places for this election are listed in this booklet.
- **Spoiled Ballot?** If you make a mistake, tear or deface any portion of your ballot, replace the spoiled ballot in the I.D. Return Envelope and follow the instructions on the envelope to receive another ballot.
- Contact the **Clerk-Recorder** by phone at 781-5228 or via email at <u>elections@co.slo.ca.us</u> with any questions.

www.slovote.com Follow us:



VBMFC N SL 031-001

VOTE BY MAIL INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

Use any black or blue colored pen or pencil to mark your ballot. Follow the "Instructions To Voters" on your OFFICIAL BALLOT to vote on the candidates and measures of your choice.

WHEN YOU HAVE FINISHED VOTING:

- 1. Tear off the stub, fold the ballot, seal it in the I.D. Return Envelope, read Declaration of Voter and sign your name in the space provided -your signature must look similar to the original signature on your Affidavit of Registration **DO NOT PRINT YOUR NAME**.
- 2. If you are unable to sign, you may mark an "X" and have it witnessed by one other person. No one else may sign for you. New legislation allows the use of a signature stamp if it was used on your Affidavit of Registration. Contact the Clerk-Recorder Office for more information.
- 3. Write your residence address as registered (not mailing address) and the date on the lines provided.
- 4. Affix sufficient first class postage and mail your ballot by **Tuesday**, **November 1**, **2016**, or sooner, to ensure that it arrives by Election Day **November 8**, **2016**. The Postal Service recommends that voters mail ballots one week before the due date to account for any unforeseen events or weather issues and to allow for timely receipt and processing by election officials.

You may also return your ballot in person to the County Clerk-Recorder's Office, 1055 Monterey Street, #D120, San Luis Obispo (8am to 5pm, Monday - Friday) or to our North County Office at 6555 Capistrano Avenue, 2nd Floor, Atascadero (7:30am to 4pm, Monday – Friday). On Election Day, the ballot can be returned to any polling place in the County.

You may vote and return your ballot as soon as you receive it - you do not have to wait until Election Day. However, be aware that once your voted ballot is returned to the Elections Office, either in person or by mail, it is considered "in the ballot box" and may not be retrieved.

Unable to return your ballot? A voter who is unable to return his/her ballot may designate his/her spouse, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sibling or a person residing in the same household as the voter to return the ballot to the Clerk-Recorder or any Election Day polling place in San Luis Obispo County.

WHAT'S NEW FOR THIS ELECTION

Presidential Contest is "Party-Nominated"

- The candidates nominated by each political party are on the ballot.
- The party nominating the candidates is listed with the candidates' names. A candidate may be nominated by more than one party.
- You can write-in a candidate for President and Vice President.

Top Two "Voter-Nominated" Contests

- Applies to U.S. Senate, U.S. Congressional, Statewide and State legislative offices.
- Only the two candidates who receive the highest number of votes cast in the Primary election are on the ballot for the General election.
- Write-in candidates are not allowed for these contests during the General election.
- The rules for **Non-Partisan** contests (i.e. counties, cities, school and special districts) did not change.

Party Preference & Political Party Endorsement

- The political party preference, or no party preference, as indicated on the candidate's voter registration is listed next to or below the candidate's name on the ballot.
- The party designation shown is for the information of the voters only.
 It is not an endorsement by the party designated.

Parties may provide a list of candidates who have received the official endorsement of the party for printing in this booklet.

PARTY ENDORSEMENTS FOR THE NOVEMBER 8, 2016 ELECTION

Party	Contests	Candidate	
	U.S. Representative, 24th	Justin Donald Fareed	
Republican	State Senate, 17 th	Palmer Kain	
	State Assembly, 35th	Jordan Cunningham	
	United States Senate	Kamala D. Harris	
Democratic	U.S. Representative, 24th	Salud Carbajal	
	State Senate, 17th	Bill Monning	
	State Assembly, 35th	Dawn Ortiz-Legg	

^{*} The order of parties follows the Randomized Alphabet Drawing conducted by the County.

SBVBM-NW-1 N SL 031-003

^{*} Note: Not all political parties provided endorsements for Top Two "Voter-Nominated" Contests.

VOTER'S PAMPHLET INFORMATION SECTION

The Following Pages Contain Voter Information Applicable to your Ballot Which May Include Any/All of the Following Items:

- Candidates' Statements
- Ballot Measures *
- Impartial Analyses
- Arguments and Rebuttals In Favor and Against Ballot Measures

*Measure J-16 is not included in this booklet. Please refer to the supplemental voter information pamphlet, which is a separate mailing, for information concerning Measure J-16.

This pamphlet section may not contain a statement for each candidate. A complete list of candidates appears on your Ballot/Sample Ballot. Each candidate's statement in this pamphlet is volunteered by the candidate and is printed at his or her expense. Each candidate's statement is printed in uniform format as submitted by the candidate.

Please note that all the statements printed in Spanish, at the candidates' request, follow the English statements for that same contest.

Arguments in support of or in opposition to the proposed laws are the opinions of the authors

Campaign Finance Reform

Among all state legislative candidates appearing on the ballot in San Luis Obispo County, only the candidates listed below have pledged to abide by campaign spending limits as specified in the California Government Code. Candidates agreeing to the campaign spending limits also have the opportunity to have a Statement of Qualifications printed in the local Voter Information Guide, at their own expense.

35th Assembly District Candidate Jordan Cunningham

17th Senate District Candidates Bill Monning Palmer Kain

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR U.S. REPRESENTATIVE, 24TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

SALUD CARBAJAL

Occupation: Member, Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

Education and Qualifications: I'm Salud Carbajal. I'm a proud husband, father, and Marine Corps veteran. I was the first in my family to graduate from a university, working two jobs to pay for my education at UCSB. I know what it's like to struggle, and I'm committed to making sure other families have the same opportunities I had to get ahead.

For the last 12 years as a Santa Barbara County Supervisor, I've strived to represent our Central Coast values. I'm proud to have earned endorsements from Planned Parenthood and the Sierra Club for standing up for women's reproductive rights, fighting for marriage equality, and protecting our environment.

I've worked with my Democratic and Republican colleagues to expand health insurance to 14,000 county children; invested in widening Highway 101 and other roads projects to reduce congestion; and protected funding for public safety to keep our communities safe, even during tough economic times. We've done that while using your tax dollars wisely-balancing the budget every year, building up the largest rainy day fund in County history, and earning the highest bond rating in the state.

In Congress, I'll bring that same bipartisan spirit to tackling big issues: bringing down the cost of college; protecting our environment; creating new jobs by supporting small businesses and renewable energy; defeating ISIS; passing comprehensive immigration reform; reducing gun violence; and standing up for women's rights and health care access.

For more information about our campaign, please visit SaludCarbajal.com. I hope to earn your support on November 8th. Thank you.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR U.S. REPRESENTATIVE. 24TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

JUSTIN FAREED

Occupation: Vice President of Local Small Business, Third Generation Cattle Rancher

Education and Qualifications: I'm running for Congress to get it working again for the American people, to bring true representation for the Central Coast to Washington, and to solve long-range issues that will affect this and future generations with new leadership and 21st century solutions.

Born and raised on the Central Coast, I attended Santa Barbara High School and continued my education at UCLA. After playing and coaching football at my alma mater, I worked for a senior member of Congress where I led a staff delegation to the Syrian border in Turkey and saw first-hand the instability in the region, helped draft several bills, built consensus among members, and successfully moved things forward.

A third generation California cattle rancher and Vice President of my family's manufacturing and distribution small business, I understand the burdensome taxes and regulations coming out of Washington, and the implications it has on businesses, our agricultural community and job opportunities along the Central Coast. I've seen the dysfunction, polarization and empty rhetoric coming out of Washington from my time spent on Capitol Hill and I know how to fix it.

As your representative, I'll work to reduce the out of control national debt and overregulation on Central Coast businesses, invest in infrastructure to bring long-range solutions to California's water crisis, improve our education, ensure economic stability and local job opportunities for generations to come, and advocate for a concerted approach to our national security in order to provide a better way forward for all Americans. Learn more: www.justinfareed.com

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO A DIPUTADO DE EE. UU., 24.º DISTRITO DEL CONGRESO

SALUD CARBAJAL

Ocupación: Miembro, Junta de Supervisores del Condado de Santa Barbara

Educación y competencias: Soy Salud Carbajal. Soy un orgulloso esposo, padre de familia y veterano del Cuerpo de Marines. Fui el primero de mi familia en graduarme de una universidad mientras trabajaba en dos empleos para pagar mi educación en la UCSB. Sé lo que es tener que luchar y estoy comprometido para que otras familias tengan las mismas oportunidades que tuve para salir adelante.

Durante los últimos 12 años como Supervisor del Condado de Santa Barbara, he luchado para representar nuestros valores de la Costa Central. Estoy orgulloso de haberme ganado los apoyos de Planned Parenthood y Sierra Club por luchar por los derechos reproductivos de las mujeres, luchar por el matrimonio igualitario y por proteger el medio ambiente.

He trabajado junto con mis colegas demócratas y republicanos para ampliar el seguro médico a 14,000 niños del condado; invertir en la ampliación de la Autopista 101 y otros proyectos de caminos para reducir la congestión; y he protegido el financiamiento para la seguridad pública para mantener nuestras comunidades seguras, incluso durante tiempos económicos difíciles. Lo hemos hecho mientras usamos sus dólares de impuestos con prudencia; equilibramos el presupuesto todos los años, creamos el fondo para días lluviosos más grande en la historia del condado y ganamos la calificación de bonos más alta en el estado.

En el Congreso, llevaré ese mismo espíritu bipartidista para afrontar los problemas graves: reducir el costo de la universidad; proteger nuestro medio ambiente; crear nuevos empleos al apoyar a los pequeños negocios y la energía renovable; vencer a ISIS; pasar una reforma migratoria integral; reducir la violencia relacionada con las armas y luchar por los derechos de las mujeres y el acceso a la atención médica.

Para más información sobre nuestra campaña, visite SaludCarbajal.com. Espero ganar su apoyo el 8 de noviembre. Gracias.

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO A DIPUTADO DE EE. UU., 24.º DISTRITO DEL CONGRESO

JUSTIN FAREED

Ocupación: Vicepresidente de empresa local pequeña, Ganadero de tercera generación

Educación y competencias: Me postulé al Congreso para hacerlo trabajar de nuevo para los americanos, para llevar una representación verdadera de la Costa Central a Washington y para resolver los problemas a largo plazo que afectarán a esta generación y a las generaciones futuras con liderazgo nuevo y soluciones del siglo 21.

Nací y crecí en la Costa Central, asistí a la Escuela Secundaria de Santa Barbara y continué mi educación en la UCLA. Después de jugar y ser entrenador del equipo de futbol americano en mi alma mater, trabajé para un alto miembro del Congreso donde dirigí la delegación del personal a la frontera siria en Turquía y fui testigo de primera mano de la inestabilidad de la región, ayudé a preparar varias propuestas de ley, construí consensos entre los miembros y llevamos con éxito las cosas hacia adelante.

Como ganadero de tercera generación en California y Vicepresidente del negocio familiar de manufactura y distribución, entiendo sobre los impuestos y regulaciones agobiantes que provienen de Washington y las implicaciones que tienen en los negocios, nuestra comunidad de agricultores y las oportunidades de empleo en toda la Costa Centra. He visto la disfunción, polarización y retórica vacía que viene de Washington por el tiempo que pase en Capitolio y sé cómo arreglarlas.

Como su representante, trabajaré para reducir la deuda nacional que esta fuera de control y la sobreregulación a los negocios de la Costa Central, invertir en infraestructura para traer soluciones de largo plazo para la crisis de agua en California, mejorar nuestra educación, garantizar la estabilidad económica y las oportunidades de empleos locales para las generaciones por venir e impulsaré un enfoque coordinado para nuestra seguridad nacional para proporcionar un mejor camino para avanzar para todos los americanos. Para obtener más información: www.justinfareed.com

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR STATE SENATOR, 17TH DISTRICT

BILL MONNING Age: 65

Occupation: CA State Senator

Education and Qualifications: Eight years ago, you sent me to Sacramento to make a difference, and I am proud of what we have been able to accomplish together. Since joining the State Legislature, I've authored over 80 bills signed into law by the Governor, including the ground-breaking End of Life Options Act to give terminally ill patients a compassionate way to take control of their own lives – subject to strict guidelines.

After years of hard work with many key partners, the new California Central Coast Veterans Cemetery is scheduled to open this fall. My legislation in Sacramento and ability to secure funding helped break the logjam to honor those who served our nation with a final resting place, fulfilling a promise made over two decades ago.

In Sacramento, we've passed balanced, on-time budgets six years in a row and put the State's fiscal house in order. We've made great strides toward tackling our State's pension obligations while restoring critical funding to education, healthcare, and public safety. I've earned the highest ratings from the California Labor Federation, the California League of Conservation Voters, the Sierra Club, and the Consumer Federation of California.

While our economy has recovered since the Great Recession, we must continue to focus on creating jobs by strengthening the backbones of our local economy: agriculture, tourism, and our educational institutions.

That's why I'm asking you to send me to the State Senate for another term. Please visit www.billmonning.org. I'd be honored by your vote on November 8th. Thank you.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR STATE SENATOR, 17TH DISTRICT

PALMER KAIN Age: 37

Education and Qualifications: During my service in the U.S. Army stationed overseas, I developed a powerful work ethic and was instilled with a deeper commitment to duty, honor and justice. I have built a strong track record as a capable manager of people, resources and logistics while proving myself as a leader who fosters unity and consensus to complete any mission he is chosen to lead. My mission as your next State Senator is to defend the freedom, rights, and quality of life of all citizens of the 17th District.

In more than twenty years of experience in local, state, and national politics, I have learned how to create tangible, positive change in a system that often suppresses it. For decades, our district and state have used short-term solutions for long-term problems. The consequences being a rise in crime, homelessness, unemployment, tax rates, public corruption and inequality. We cannot afford to be complacent anymore. Sacramento has no long-term sustainable action plans, I do.

Sacramento has chosen to serve the needs of special interest groups while neglecting the people of California. I will return the power back to the people, to usher in a new era of transparency, accountability, and community engagement in politics. There is a new day dawning in California. Visit palmerkain.org to see my vision and plan. Vote for Palmer Kain on November 8, and I promise to work hard in your best interest as your next State Senator. Let's shatter the status quo and transform California together.

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO A SENADOR ESTATAL, 17.º DISTRITO

BILL MONNING Edad: 65

Ocupación: Senador por el Estado de CA

Educación y competencias: Hace ocho años, usted me envió a Sacramento para hacer una diferencia y estoy orgulloso de lo que hemos podido lograr juntos. Desde que me uní a la legislatura estatal, he sido el autor de más de 80 proyectos de ley que fueron promulgados por el Gobernador, incluida la Ley de Opciones al Término de Vida para darles a los pacientes terminales una forma compasiva para que tengan control sobre sus propias vidas - sujeto a pautas estrictas.

Después de años de trabajo arduo con muchos socios claves, está programada para el otoño la apertura del nuevo Cementerio para Veteranos de la Costa Central de California. Mi legislación en Sacramento y mi habilidad para asegurar financiamiento ayudaron a romper el bloqueo para honrar a aquellos que sirvieron a la nación con un lugar en el que pueden descansar finalmente, cumpliendo con la promesa que se hizo hace más de dos décadas.

En Sacramento, aprobamos presupuestos equilibrados y a tiempo por seis años consecutivos y pusimos en orden el sistema fiscal del Estado. Hemos hecho grandes avances para abordar las obligaciones de pensión del Estado mientras recuperamos el importante financiamiento a la educación, atención médica y seguridad pública. Me gané las mejores calificaciones de la Federación de Trabajo de California, la League of Conservation Voters de California, el Sierra Club y la Federación de Consumidores de California.

Aunque nuestra economía se ha recuperado desde la Gran Recesión, debemos seguir concentrándonos en crear empleos al fortalecer la columna vertebral de nuestra economía local: agricultura, turismo y las instituciones educativas.

Por eso, le pido que me envíe al Senado Estatal por otro periodo. Visite la página www.billmonning,org. Sería un honor tener su voto el 8 de noviembre. Gracias.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY, 35th DISTRICT

JORDAN CUNNINGHAM

Occupation: Businessman, School Trustee

Education and Qualifications: It's no secret that Sacramento can do better. I am a policy-oriented small businessman, a school trustee, and a former prosecutor. I am running to bring common sense back to our state government and make it work better for families on the Central Coast.

Each year, businesses leave California for more business-friendly states, taking good jobs with them. It's time to turn the tide. The California Small Business Association supports my vision for a growing economy. They know that I will use my experience as past President of the Central Coast Taxpayers Association to fight the tax increases and regulatory burdens that are costing us jobs.

Failed policies from Sacramento have endangered our neighborhoods. As a former prosecutor, I know what we need to make our community safer. District Attorney Dan Dow and Sheriff Ian Parkinson have endorsed me because of my dedication to public safety.

California's future workforce is a direct product of our education system. We must do everything we can to support our schools, and to make our public colleges affordable for working families. I have worked with students, parents, and teachers as a school trustee. This election cycle I am one of the only candidates in the state endorsed by both the California Teachers Association and the California Charter School Association because of my pragmatic vision for improving our schools.

I will be accountable to you, providing common-sense leadership and a voice for the Central Coast and our values. I hope to earn your vote.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER Templeton Unified School District

TED DUBOST AGE: 37

Occupation: Farmer, Surveyor

Education and Qualifications: My family settled in Adelaida in 1882 and are sixth generation north county residents with one child in school currently and a second coming. With a degree in Economics from UCSD, I own and operate a dry farmed vineyard and my wife and I own two local businesses. My father was superintendent of Templeton Schools and my mother is a teacher, fostering my tremendous respect for professional educators.

I am a strong supporter of college prep academics and advocate for Advanced Placement courses. Working in the agriculture industry, I understand the vital role FFA plays in our county and economy. As an all-league volleyball player, I view athletics as another key component in preparing well-rounded students, with safety of paramount importance. Fine arts add immeasurable value to our quality educational program. Embracing technology without over reliance on it is a priority. Managing the upcoming THS and TES construction projects will allow us to fully support our students in all of these areas.

I believe in community service and seek election with no agenda other than to serve. I promise to be a fair minded, fully informed, fiscally frugal advocate for Templeton and would be honored to have your support.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER Templeton Unified School District

JAN NIMICK Age: 45

Occupation: Electric Utility Director

Education and Qualifications: As a parent with one child recently graduated from Templeton High School, and four children still in Templeton schools, I am personally invested in this district. As a manager in the electric utility industry responsible for accredited industrial training programs in operations, maintenance, and engineering, I bring an understanding of how learning empowers people to achieve results. I will also bring to this position an understanding of business and organizational effectiveness. I believe in transparency, respect, and accountability. I look forward to working for our community to ensure our children continue to receive the best education possible, so that they are well prepared for the future.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER Templeton Unified School District

NELSON YAMAGATA Occupation: Physician

Education and Qualifications: I spent my K-12 years in the Los Angeles School District and earned a BS at UCLA plus a MD at UC Irvine. I appreciated my opportunities along the way and am a staunch advocate for public education.

My family moved to Templeton in 2000, and my sons both excelled within the Templeton Unified School District (TUSD). I served as a trustee of the School Board from 2010-14 and witnessed first hand the staff's effort and hard work in providing a rewarding experience for our children. It is a great school system, and I am proud to have contributed.

Today, the challenges the district faces are similar and still require community support. We need to continue to empower our best and brightest and keep our schools distinguished.

I am ready to be part of the team again, and I ask for your support.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, 1st DISTRICT

JOHN PESCHONG

Occupation: Small Independent Businessman

Education and Qualifications: San Luis Obispo County is my home. It is where my wife and I are raising our family and it's where I started my business. As an active 4-H parent, the former President of the California Mid-State Fair Board of Directors, and President of the Central Coast Taxpayers Association I understand firsthand why our community is so special.

We need a Supervisor who will work to maintain and improve the quality of life in San Luis Obispo County while standing up against increased taxes and the criminal behavior of street gangs.

I am a strong supporter of property rights and the protections given under Proposition 13 and that is why the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association supports my campaign for Supervisor.

I am willing to give law enforcement the resources they need to combat gang activity in every corner of our county and that is why District Attorney Dan Dow supports me.

I am proud to have earned the support from a broad coalition of community leaders that includes John Lacey, Assessor Tom Bordonaro, Supervisor Debbie Arnold and Supervisor Lynn Compton.

I would be honored to have your support.

If you have guestions please call me at (805) 440-7818.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, 1st DISTRICT

STEVEN W. MARTIN

Occupation: Paso Robles Mayor, Local Businessman

Education and Qualifications: The future of our County starts now: Water, jobs, roads, public safety, homeless solutions, medical services, fiscal responsibility, civil discourse. I am committed to finding the best solutions for all of us, not just a few narrow interest groups.

Twice Mayor of Paso Robles with a lifetime of public service in the North County, I am the local experienced leader with a track record of success providing a rational, balanced approach to critical issues. I have established productive working relationships with community leaders and elected officials around the County. I represent your best interests without partisan or ideological bickering. I listen, lead, and communicate.

I am a lifelong North County resident. My wife and I have two grown daughters. We have lived in Paso Robles since 1973. I own a small business and understand the challenges faced by business owners and working families.

The issues we face are complex. Balance and rational decision-making are critical elements for the Board of Supervisors. I provide those elements. Find out more at SteveMartinForSupervisor.com.

I see a bright future for San Luis Obispo County. That future starts now. I respectfully ask for your vote.

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO A LA JUNTA DE SUPERVISORES, 1.º DISTRITO

JOHN PESCHONG

Ocupación: Pequeño empresario independiente

Educación y competencias: El Condado de San Luis Obispo es mi hogar. Es donde mi esposa y yo estamos criando a nuestra familia y es donde comencé mi negocio. Como padre 4-H activo, ex Presidente de la Junta de Directores de la Feria del Centro de California y Presidente de la Asociación de Contribuyentes de la Costa Central, entiendo de primera mano por qué nuestra comunidad es tan especial.

Necesitamos un Supervisor que trabaje para mantener y mejorar la calidad de vida en el Condado de San Luis Obispo mientras le haga frente al aumento de impuestos y a la conducta criminal de las pandillas en las calles.

Apoyo firmemente los derechos de propiedad y las protecciones otorgadas mediante la Propuesta 13 y es por eso que la Asociación de Contribuyentes Howard Jarvis apoya mi campaña para Supervisor.

Estoy dispuesto a proporcionar a los oficiales de la ley los recursos que necesitan para combatir la actividad de pandillas en cada esquina de nuestro condado, y por esto el Fiscal de Distrito Dan Dow me apoya.

Estoy orgulloso de haber ganado el apoyo de una amplia coalición de líderes de la comunidad que incluye a John Lacey, el Asesor Tom Bordonaro, la Supervisora Debbie Arnold y la Supervisora Lynn Compton.

Sería un honor para mí contar con su apoyo.

Si tiene preguntas, llámeme al (805) 440-7818.

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO A LA JUNTA DE SUPERVISORES, 1.º DISTRITO

STEVEN W. MARTIN

Ocupación: Alcalde de Paso Robles, Empresario local

Educación y competencias: El futuro de nuestro Condado empieza ahora: agua, empleos, caminos, seguridad pública, soluciones a las personas sin vivienda, servicios médicos, responsabilidad fiscal, discurso civil. Estoy comprometido a encontrar las mejores soluciones para todos nosotros, no solamente para unos pocos grupos de interés reducidos.

Como Alcalde de Paso Robles en dos ocasiones y con una vida de servicio público en la parte norte del Condado, soy el líder local experimentado con un historial de éxito que proporciona un enfoque racional y equilibrado a los problemas importantes. Establecí relaciones de trabajo productivas con líderes de la comunidad y oficiales electos en todo el Condado. Represento sus mejores intereses sin peleas partidistas o ideológicas. Escucho, dirijo y comunico.

Soy residente de la parte norte del condado toda la vida. Mi esposa y yo tenemos dos hijas adultas. Hemos vivido en Paso Robles desde 1973. Tengo un pequeño negocio y entiendo los desafíos a los que se enfrentan los propietarios de empresas y las familias trabajadoras.

Los problemas a los que nos enfrentamos son complejos. La toma de decisiones equilibrada y racional son elementos importantes para la Junta de Supervisores. Yo proporciono estos elementos. Para más información consulte SteveMartinForSupervisor.com.

Veo un futuro brillante para el Condado de San Luis Obispo. El futuro comienza ahora. Respetuosamente pido su voto.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR MEMBER OF CITY COUNCIL City of El Paso de Robles

KEVIN C. KREOWSKI

Occupation: Former Federal Agent, Business Man, Security Professional, Shriner.

Education and Qualifications: I grew up and attended High School and College on the Central Coast. I served twenty plus years with the United States Border Patrol. I am thankful to be home and would like to give back to our community. In this spirit, I have decided to run for City Council. I believe that there is nothing more important than electing individuals who care about our city.

I feel that my experience as an administrator can and will help improve the community in which we live. My concerns for us are: Infrastructure for growth; water; roads; jobs; the homeless and gangs.

I would appreciate your vote, help and support in this grassroots movement.

Grandad always said; don't complain unless you're willing to do something about it.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR MEMBER OF CITY COUNCIL City of El Paso de Robles

FRED STRONG

Occupation: Incumbent

Education and Qualifications: I've been honored to serve Paso Robles as your Council Member for over 10 years and have served you in two ways:

As your representative deciding important issues in the City based upon improving public safety, fairness to all, cooperating with others, and preserving local control.

As your "unique" Councilman, I currently serve on 11 regional, state, and national boards and policy committees in diverse areas including land, sea, and air transportation, improved infrastructure, natural resources, public revenue and taxation, housing, and economic development. I was recently re-elected unanimously by local elected leaders nationally to represent them in Washington for another 2 years.

In the past decade I've been directly involved in State and Federal infrastructure funding that has resulted in over \$40M to Paso Robles and over \$500M to the North County. I've delivered testimony on the development of the State Water Plan, expansion of the Pacific Surfliner rail service, major improvements to Highways 46 and 101, local streets, roads, trails, parks, water resources, public transportation, housing, and public safety.

When re-elected I'll continue representing you wherever and however it helps us. For more information go to www.fredstrong.com. I respectfully ask for your vote.

STATEMENT OF CANDIDATE FOR MEMBER OF CITY COUNCIL City of El Paso de Robles

STEVE GREGORY AGE: 64

Occupation: Appointed City Councilman, Businessman

Education and Qualifications: When I ran for City Council two years ago, I pledged to the voters to deliver results that strengthen our City. During my time on the Council, I delivered on this pledge: I led the campaign to re-open Centennial Pool, I have helped improve economic development in our City beginning with the Paso Robles Airport, and I have voted to increase our funding an additional million dollars to accelerate fixing our streets and roads.

A key priority for me is to keep our citizens safe by supporting the needs of our Fire and Police departments. I believe in making our government more efficient and transparent in its operations.

As a 36-year resident of Paso Robles, I love our life here. I am determined to maintain our "Paso Robles lifestyle". In my years on the Planning Commission and the City Council, I do my homework and put in the effort to solve the issues and present financially conservative solutions. I understand large and small business and the needs of our community. I raised my children, run a business, volunteer, and have made many friendships here in Paso Robles. I respectfully ask for your vote. Visit www.gregoryforpaso.com

SPANISH STATEMENTS FOR THIS CONTEST ON NEXT PAGE

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO A MIEMBRO DEL CONCEJO MUNICIPAL Ciudad de El Paso de Robles

FRED STRONG
Ocupación: Titular

Educación y competencias: He tenido el honor de servir a Paso Robles como su miembro

del concejo durante más de 10 años y le he servido de dos maneras:

Como su representante que decide sobre importantes asuntos en la ciudad con base en la mejora de la seguridad pública, la justicia para todos, la cooperación con los demás y la preservación del control local.

Como su "único" miembro del concejo, actualmente sirvo en 11 juntas regionales, estatales y nacionales, y comités de políticas en distintas áreas, incluyendo el transporte terrestre, marítimo y aéreo, la infraestructura mejorada, los recursos naturales, el ingreso público y los impuestos, las viviendas y el desarrollo económico. Líderes locales electos nacionalmente me reeligieron de forma unánime para representarlos en Washington durante 2 años más.

En la última década me vi involucrado de forma directa en el financiamiento de infraestructura federal y estatal que ha dado como resultado más de \$40 millones a Paso Robles y más de \$500 millones a la parte norte del Condado. He brindado testimonios del desarrollo del Plan de Agua Estatal, la expansión del servicio del tren Pacific Surfliner, grandes mejoras a las carreteras 46 y 101, calles locales, caminos, senderos, parques, recursos hídricos, transporte público, viviendas y seguridad pública.

Cuando sea reelegido, continuaré representándolo donde sea y como sea que nos ayude. Para obtener más información, visite www.fredstrong.com. Respetuosamente pido su voto.

DECLARACIÓN DEL CANDIDATO A MIEMBRO DEL CONCEJO MUNICIPAL Ciudad de El Paso de Robles

STEVE GREGORY EDAD: 64

Ocupación: Concejal municipal designado, Empresario

Educación y competencias: Hace dos años, cuando me postulé para el Concejo Municipal, me comprometí con los votantes a entregar resultados que fortalecieran nuestra ciudad. Durante mi cargo en el Concejo, cumplí este compromiso: dirigí la campaña para reabrir la piscina Centennial, he ayudado a mejorar el desarrollo económico en nuestra ciudad comenzando por el Aeropuerto de Paso Robles, y he votado para aumentar un millón de dólares adicionales a nuestro financiamiento para acelerar la reparación de nuestras calles y caminos.

Una prioridad clave para mí es mantener a nuestros ciudadanos seguros mediante el apoyo a las necesidades de nuestros departamentos de bomberos y de policía. Creo en hacer a nuestro gobierno más eficiente y transparente en sus operaciones.

Como residente de 36 años de Paso Robles, me encanta nuestra vida aquí. Estoy decidido a mantener nuestro "estilo de vida de Paso Robles". Durante mis años en la Comisión de Planificación y el Concejo Municipal, hago mi tarea y me esfuerzo por resolver los problemas y presento soluciones económicamente conservadoras. Entiendo a las grandes y pequeñas empresas y las necesidades de nuestra comunidad. Crié a mis hijos, dirijo un negocio, hago trabajo voluntario y he hecho muchas amistades aquí en Paso Robles. Respetuosamente pido su voto. Visite www.gregoryforpaso.com

REASONS WHY YOUR BALLOT WOULD NOT BE COUNTED

- 1. Ballot arrives after the deadline.
- 2. The I.D. Return Envelope is not signed.
- 3. The signature on the I.D. Return Envelope does not match the signature on the voter's Affidavit of Registration.
- 4. The ballot is returned by someone other than the voter or voter's authorized agent.
- 5. The ballot is returned without the I.D. Return Envelope.

ASSISTANCE FOR THE HEARING OR VISUALLY IMPAIRED VOTER: The Secretary of State's Office has a TDD (Telecommunications Device for the Deaf) to provide elections information: 1-800-833-8683 (1-800-TDD-VOTE). For the visually impaired, audio recordings and large print format of the state ballot measures and audio recordings of local measures and candidates are available from the Clerk-Recorder Department. Call for more information.

AUTOMARK: The AutoMARK ballot marking device will be available for use at the Clerk-Recorder's Office in San Luis Obispo 22 days prior to the election and will be available at the polls on Election Day. The marking device is designed to assist voters with disabilities, such as vision or dexterity impairments, in marking their ballots. Call for more information.

PERMANENT VOTE-BY-MAIL STATUS: Voters with Permanent VBM Status are sent ballots for each election in which they are entitled to vote, as long as they remain on the Permanent Vote by Mail list. If you fail to return your voted ballot for four consecutive statewide general elections your name will be removed from the Permanent Vote by Mail List and you will need to reapply.

VOTE-BY-MAIL LOOK-UP ON THE WEB: Check the status of your returned VBM ballot on the Internet at www.slovote.com

Contact Information on the Front Cover

VBMIBC N SL 031-014

ELECTION DAY: November 8, 2016 Polls open at 7 A.M. and close at 8 P.M.

Since Poll Locations may change every election, please pay careful attention where you can drop off your Vote-By-Mail ballot on Election Day:

North County

San Miguel Community Bldg. 256 13th St San Miguel

Heritage Ranch Senior Ctr. 4880 Heritage Ranch Rd Paso Robles

Paso Robles Community Church 2706 Spring St. Paso Robles

Plymouth Cong. Church 1301 Oak St Paso Robles

Shandon Community Bldg 195 N Second St Shandon

Creston Fire Station 6055 Webster Rd Creston

Estrella Warbirds Museum 4251 Dry Creek Rd Paso Robles

Grace Baptist Church 535 Creston Rd Paso Robles

Centennial Park 600 Nickerson Dr Paso Robles

Highlands Church 215 Oak Hill Rd Paso Robles

Paso Robles Vets Hall 240 Scott St. Paso Robles

Paso Robles Temple Assoc. Shine Hall 320 Sherwood Rd Paso Robles

Templeton Hills Seventh-Day Adventist Church 930 Templeton Hills Rd Templeton Templeton Community Building 601 Main St Templeton

Atascadero Gospel Chapel 8205 Curbaril Atascadero

Community Church of Atascadero 5850 Rosario Ave Atascadero

Atascadero Elks Lodge 1516 El Camino Real Atascadero

St Luke's Episcopal Church 5318 Palma Ave Atascadero

Berean Bible Church 9325 El Bordo Atascadero

Atascadero Lake Pavilion 9315 Pismo Ave Atascadero

United Methodist Church of Atascadero 11605 El Camino Real Atascadero

Santa Margarita Community Hall Murphy & I St Santa Margarita

South County

Avila Beach Community Center 191 San Miguel St Avila Beach

Shell Beach Vets Hall 230 Leeward Ave Shell Beach

New Life Community Church 990 James Way Pismo Beach

Ramona Garden Park Ctr 993 Ramona Ave Grover Beach First Presbyterian Church 1830 Farroll Rd Grover Beach

Oak Park Christian Church 386 N. Oak Park Blvd Grover Beach

Grover Beach Community Center 1230 Trouville Ave Grover Beach

Central Coast Baptist Church 192 S. 9th St Grover Beach

South County Regional Center 800 W. Branch St Arroyo Grande

Arroyo Grande Community Center 211 Vernon Ave Arroyo Grande

St John's Lutheran Church 959 Valley Rd Arroyo Grande

American Legion Hall 417 Orchard St Arroyo Grande

Gospel Lighthouse of AG 497 Fair Oaks Ave Arroyo Grande

Pismo Coast Association of Realtors 1126 E. Grande Ave Arroyo Grande

Calvery Chapel 1133 Maple St Arroyo Grande

Cypress Ridge Community Bldg 1050 Cypress Ridge Parkway Arroyo Grande

Oceano CSD Office 1655 Front St Oceano

Continued on next page...

VBMBC-1

ELECTION DAY: November 8, 2016 (Cont.) Polls open at 7 A.M. and close at 8 P.M.

Since Poll Locations may change every election, please pay careful attention where you can drop off your Vote-By-Mail ballot on Election Day:

South County (cont.)

Rancho Del Arroyo MHP 2700 Cienaga St Oceano

The Edwards Barn 1095 Pomeroy Rd Nipomo

Nipomo High School 525 N Thompson Ave Nipomo

Nipomo CSD Office 148 S Wilson St Nipomo

Nipomo Branch Library 918 W. Tefft St Nipomo

The Monarch Club – Art Rm 1645 Trilogy Parkway Nipomo

San Luis Obispo

Canyon Hills of SLO 525 Cerro Romauldo San Luis Obispo

Creekside Mobile Home Community Room 3960 S. Higuera San Luis Obispo

Chumash Village Recreation Hall 3057 S. Higuera St San Luis Obispo

San Luis Obispo Grange 2880 Broad St. San Luis Obispo

Grace Church 1036 Pismo St San Luis Obispo

Laguna Lake Mobile Estates 1801 Prefumo Canyon Rd San Luis Obispo

Church of the Nazarene 3396 Johnson Ave San Luis Obispo Ludwick Community Center 864 Santa Rosa St-Off Mill St. San Luis Obispo

SLO Veterans Hall 801 Grand Ave San Luis Obispo

Mountainbrook Community Church 1775 Calle Joaquin San Luis Obispo

Cal Poly Chumash Auditorium Cal Poly Campus San Luis Obispo

Zion Lutheran Church 1010 Foothill Blvd San Luis Obispo

SLO County Farm Bureau 4875 Morabito Pl San Luis Obispo

United Church of Christ 11245 Los Osos Valley Rd San Luis Obispo

C.L. Smith School 1375 Balboa St San Luis Obispo

Los Ranchos School 5785 Los Ranchos Rd San Luis Obispo

Unitarian Universalist Fellowship 2201 Lawton St San Luis Obispo

Unity of San Luis Obispo 1130 Orcutt Rd San Luis Obispo

North Coast

First Christian Church 2050 Ironwood Ave. Morro Bay Morro Bay Veterans Hall 209 Surf St Morro Bay

Del Mar Elementary School 501 Sequoia St Morro Bay

Baywood Elementary School 1330 9th St Los Osos

Los Osos Christian Fellowship 1335 7th St Los Osos

Los Osos Middle School 1555 El Morro Ave Los Osos

Cambria Veterans Hall 1000 Main St Cambria

Cayucos Community Church 60 S. 3rd St Cayucos

St Elizabeth Ann Seton Parish 2050 Palisades Ave Los Osos

First Baptist Church 1900 Los Osos Valley Rd Los Osos

Monarch Grove School 348 Los Osos Valley Rd Los Osos

Trinity Methodist Church 490 Los Osos Valley Rd Los Osos

County Clerk-Recorder

Main Office- SLO 1055 Monterey St D120 San Luis Obispo

North County Office (Atascadero Library – 2nd Floor) 6565 Capistrano Ave. Atascadero

VBMBC-2 N SL 031-016