The Board of Supervisors of the County of San Luis Obispo, and ex-officio the governing body of all other special assessment and taxing districts for which said Board so acts, met in regular adjourned session at 9:00 A.M..

PRESENT: Supervisors Shirley Bianchi, Peg Pinard, K.H. ‘Katco’ Achadjian and Chairperson Harry L. Ovitt

ABSENT: Supervisor Michael P. Ryan

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG LED BY CHAIRPERSON HARRY L OVITT.

SUM

1 PC This is the time set for members of the public wishing to address the Board on items not set on the Agenda.

Mr. Eric Greening: speaks in support of the request by the In-Home Supportive Services workers for a salary increase; (SUPERVISOR MICHAEL P. RYAN IS NOW PRESENT.) comments on the San Luis Obispo City Council meeting tomorrow night and one of the items they will be discussing deals with the Salinas Reservoir and questions whether the County will be represented at this meeting.

Rev. C. Hite: addresses the Universal Declaration of Human Rights from 1948 and reads the same into the record. No action taken.

2 BH This is the time set for consideration of the Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2004-2005.

Mr. Jim Grant: Assistant County Administrative Officer, addresses the Supplemental Document and asks that the Board make this part of the official record.

Thereafter, on motion of Supervisor Ryan, seconded by Supervisor Bianchi and unanimously carried, the Board accepts the Supplemental Document and makes it a part of the official record for the public hearings regarding the 2004-2005 Proposed Budget.

Mr. Grant: addresses the process for preparing the budget and thanks the department heads and their staffs, the Auditor’s staff and Information Technology for all their hard work in putting the budget together and the Administrative Office staff who worked diligently to get this budget to the Board; addresses the recommendations on the budget and the changes in the Supplemental Document; outlines the hearing process for today and tomorrow; key features of the budget - approximately $297 million which is an increase of $7.2 million over the current years’ budget; part of this increase is due to the new clinical lab services; the budget does have program and staff reductions, primarily due to the loss of State resources; staff has followed the Board’s direction to put back the savings in the public health area ($1.6 million savings), from the closure of the clinics and contracting with Community Health Centers (CHC); the budget reflects the ongoing effort to incorporate the “results culture” into the budget preparation process; indicates they are really pushing for the “performance based budgets” and each year hope to be doing a little bit better; Contingencies are recommended at 4% or $11.4 million which is lower than the 5% dictated by the Board’s Budget Policies, but in order to balance the budget this was necessary; additionally, to balance the budget staff is using one-time Reserves in the amount of $3 million; the Fund Balance (money coming in to help support the budget) is set at $8 million; Discretionary Revenue (basically property taxes and other types of fees) is set at $104 million; Departmental Revenue at $182 million with the use of the Reserves at $3 million; Cost of Services is about $286 million with Contingencies at $11 million and there aren’t any new Reserves that are being recommended this year; Other Funds (those things that aren’t General Fund) which includes Roads, Libraries, Parks, Capital Projects, Countywide Automation and Pension Obligation Bond, represents a $17 million or 20% decrease over the current year, the decrease is almost entirely based upon the new accounting system that was approved by the Board; outlines what is included in the Supplemental Document in the way of technical adjustments that are being recommended; 75 staffing positions are being recommended for elimination and 49 of
those are currently vacant and indicates where these reductions will be occurring; 11 new positions are recommended in various areas; the budget does not include the 69 positions that will be eliminated when the CHC assumes the clinics on June 18 (the majority of those positions were interviewed and hired by CHC); outlines where the funding comes from to finance the budget; addresses the proposed budget by the Governor and the proposed agreement which is still uncertain but the proposed agreement cuts $1.3 billion from counties, cities and special districts for the next two years; there is a Constitutional Amendment tied to this agreement that would protect local government’s property, sales and vehicle license fee revenue in the future years which is being discussed at the State level; discusses the deferred State mandates (SB 90) which will be repaid to local governments beginning in 2006-07; addresses the County revenue loss due to the State budget and as was previously stated the loss to the County would be approximately $11 million and with the May revision, some of that property tax shift, which was about $5.5 million for our County, would be reduced to $2.3 million; addresses various areas of the budget that are losing funding from the State.

Supervisor Pinard: questions how the State can restore funding to the counties after two years and where the money will come from.

Mr. David Edge: County Administrative Officer, responds that in order to repay the bonds that were approved in the March election, the State indicated it needed a dedicated source of revenue and they want to use sales tax for that revenue; so the plan calls for the local share of sales tax to drop by 1/4 cent and the State share to increase by 1/4 cent for a set period of time and that money would be dedicated to pay off the bond; the State in return transfers an equal amount of property tax to the counties (in excess of the amount from property tax restoration) and gives an example of how this would work.

Supervisor Pinard: responds and believes this is called “the shell game”; questions positions being deleted versus the number being added and uses the change in the Library to delete a position in one area but adding one in another area, with Mr. Grant responding.

Supervisor Pinard: further questions deletion of positions that are vacant and then needing them in the future.

Mr. Edge: responds regarding staffing and the simplicity of the process to add a position back into a budget if needed; comments on the May Revise which is the Governor’s proposal and has not yet gone through the legislative process; this Revise adds back some significant revenues, particularly in the Mental Health and Social Services’ areas as outlined in the Supplemental Document to fund the Transitions Youth Residential Program and rescinds the elimination of a couple of Mental Health Therapist positions; in addition, because of the way staff had budgeted the General Discretionary Revenues, if the Governor’s revised formulas go through, the current proposed amount of property tax would have approximately $3 million more attached to it, which is $3 million that has not been included in this proposed budget; so, in a sense, this is $3 million that the Board can choose to use to address some of the issues that have been pressing upon them; as the County Administrative Officer his first choice would be to put this money in the Reserves that have been built into the proposed budget because that is one-time money; however, there has been a lot of comments from the constituents of the County regarding the Growing Grounds and Social Rehabilitation programs and the In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) workers’ salary and benefit; the Board has also indicated they would like to see some fire services restored; indicates the Board should give staff some guidance on what they want to fund; addresses any funding recommendations and that the In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) issue is the “oddball situation” as the County is not the employer nor the entity that is negotiating directly with the union representing IHSS and because of this the Board needs to provide direction, if that is the Board’s desire, on funding and providing staff with specific direction as to a wage/benefit increase in the amount of “X” and the Board should not fund any “cuts” in funding that may occur at the State level for this program.

Board Members: address the various programs for funding and indicate it is their intent to provide funding for the Growing Grounds, Transition’s programs, Fire and IHSS.

Chairperson Ovitt: opens the floor to public comment on the overall budget.
Mr. Eric Greening: supports the direction he hears the Board going to fund these various programs; questions the language of the proposed Constitutional Amendment and whether anyone has actually seen the language.

Supervisor Ovitt: addresses the Governor’s proposal and the expected support by the counties and cities; addresses the ballot measure in November on the Constitutional Amendment.

Rev. C. Hite: states she is sending a message of support for those who are disadvantaged and/or have disabilities and addresses the needs of these folks.

Mr. Jim Roberts: Children’s Services Network Council, comments on a letter they have sent the Board regarding their concerns to maintaining various children’s programs and hopes the Board will use their letter as a guideline for any decisions they make.

Mr. Dean Rollins: General Manager for the San Luis Obispo County Employees’ Association (SLOcea), supports the efforts in the budget to increase funding for Mental Health, Public Health, etc.; urges the Board to restore some of the layoff positions, both filled and unfilled, with some of the anticipated funding from the State.

Mr. Jesse Hill: speaks in support of additional funding for Growing Grounds and County Fire; supports funding for the various advisory groups around the County; urges the Board to put more money into Parks and also show the Public Facilities Fees funding and how much is staying in Parks.

Mr. Michael Kovacs: suggests this is another “push-through” budget as it has been for the past five years; states this is the public’s not the government’s money; addresses the hiring freeze.

Ms. Ann Sutherland: United Domestic Workers, addresses the IHSS and study for funding these salaries.

LAND BASED Budget Units 2730 - Agricultural Commissioner, 2800 - Planning and Building, 2085 - Community Development, 2900 - Public Works, 2245 - Public Works Special Services, 2920 - Roads, 2141 - Road Impact Fees and Special District Budgets, are presented.

Chairperson Ovitt: opens the floor to public comment on the Land Based Budget Units.

Mr. Eric Greening: addresses the advisory committees and questions how or if they are built into the various department budget’s that support them; speaks to the agenda’s for Board meetings and getting them out in advance to the various advisory committees.

Mr. John Wallace: General Manager for the South County Sanitation District, addresses flood control measures on the Arroyo Grande Creek Channel and the public discussion regarding a study on this channel; understands there may be funding given to assist with this study; addresses work the District is currently undertaking relating to this issue.

Rev. C. Hites: addresses the accessibility and mobility needs of the disabled; questions Public Works and whether they are prepared to provide the necessary services/access to disabled persons.

Ms. Linda Chipping: Coastal San Luis Resource Conservation District (CSLrCD), presents information for the record on the Arroyo Grande Creek Watershed Assessment and Flooding Alternative Analysis; requests funding at $150,000 for the County’s portion of this study to look at alternatives; outlines the potential impacts of the levee were to break that makes this study necessary; presents photographs of the creek.

Ms. Susan Litteral: National Resource Conservation Service, addresses the Arroyo Grande Creek and indicates the work plan for this is outdated; the plan needs to be updated to serve the people who live in this area; addresses the potential negative impacts that make this study necessary.

Ms. Stephanie Wald: Salmon Enhancement, states all the agencies are working together...
to restore the Arroyo Grande Creek and they need the $150,000 to complete Phase I of the study; they need the Channel to function properly for both human and natural habitats.

**Ms. Glenda Guiton:** speaks to her husband's concerns to a levee break on the north side and the devastation that would occur.

**Ms. Ella Honeycutt:** CSLRCD, addresses the history of problems that have occurred in this creek since the early 1900's.

**Mr. Tom Ikeda:** Farmer, addresses the impacts to his property with the levee break back in March; there needs to be a way to fix this creek and supports funding for the study.

**Mr. Dean Rollins:** General Manager for SLOCEA, urges the Board to restore the positions proposed to be cut in the Roads budget; addresses the issue of safety for the road crews.

**Mr. Michael Kovacs:** questions the increase in the Road budget and the staffing reductions; questions what services will be reduced and questions why they should be reduced.

**Mr. Vic Holanda:** Director of Planning and Building, addresses funding for the advisory councils and how it shows through staff time/hours.

**Supervisor Achadjian:** questions the staff cuts for Roads and whether this affects any safety requirements.

**Mr. Noel King:** Public Works Director, responds that there won’t be any safety issues with these proposed cuts; further, addresses his support for the six positions being eliminated and why.

**Chairperson Ovitt:** questions the request for funding of the Arroyo Grande Creek study.

**Mr. King:** states he only knows about one letter, not addressed to Public Works, regarding funding for the study which is why it was not addressed in the Public Works budget; maintenance has gone to the State on this Creek at the Board’s direction; responding to public comment earlier today, they are concerned regarding the ownership transfer of the Santa Margarita Lake and are certain that it will ultimately be transferred to the Flood Control District with the details to be worked out in the future; suggests if the Board wants to fund this study, staff needs more information; staff received a draft of the scope of work this past Thursday and feels it is a good start but it doesn’t really state the specific purpose of the effort, doesn’t address the timing of the project (believes this could take a long time as the scope is large), it doesn’t address other sources of funding and believes all this should be documented in a formal agreement between the CSLRCD and the Flood Control District.

**Board Members:** address various issues, comments and concerns regarding: the request for the study and supporting the $150,000; questions where other funding is coming from; work with the Department of Water Resources (DWR); where the $150,000 would come from if the Board wants to fund this.

**Mr. King:** responds to questions and indicates the money would come out of Reserves from the Flood Control General Budget.

**Thereafter, on motion of Supervisor Achadjian, seconded by Supervisor Bianchi and unanimously carried, the Board tentatively approves Land Based Budget Units 2730, 2800, 2085, 2900, 2245, 2920, 2141 and adds to Special District Budgets $150,000 for the Arroyo Grande Creek Study with the funding to come from the Flood Control District Reserves and said budgets are approved as recommended by the County Administrative Officer, as outlined in the Supplemental Document and as amended by this Board.**

**Mr. Gere Sibbach:** Auditor-Controller, questions whether this is a Countywide or localized benefit of County money.
Mr. King: states the study area involves more than the Arroyo Grande Creek area and explains.


Chairperson Ovitt: opens the floor to public comment on the Public Protection budget units.

Mr. Michael Kovacs: addresses the 7.5 positions to be cut from the Sheriff’s budget and questions whether these are filled or unfilled positions; questions further how these reductions in staffing will affect patrolling, etc. in the rural areas of the County; questions how Proposition 172 funding is used.

Rev. C. Hite: states those folks receiving public service assistance will be the biggest impact on Public Protection Services; suggests the need for information/brochures of services available for those with disabilities.

Mr. Steve Vaughn: County Fire staff, thanks the Board for all their past and present support for public safety.

Mr. Grant: responds to questions regarding the distribution of funding received under Proposition 172.

Mr. Pat Hedges: Sheriff-Coroner, addresses the rural patrol they instituted last year with two additional deputies and the increase in activities related to this; states that patrol activities in the rural areas will not be affected with the loss of the 7.5 positions.

Supervisor Ryan: addresses the recommendation to reduce service levels at one of the eight full time fire stations.

Mr. Grant: addresses the recommendation and suggests that in order to restore that to a full time station there would need to be $120,000 added to the budget; in order to do that he is recommending that the $120,000 come from Contingencies today, but when the State budget is finalized and if the County is fortunate enough to get some additional property tax then the Contingencies funds would be filled with this amount.

Thereafter, on motion of Supervisor Ryan, seconded by Supervisor Achadjian and unanimously carried, the Board adds $120,000 to Budget Unit 2670 - County Fire to bring the one station back to a full time status and Budget Units 2006, 2550, 2560, 2562, 2561, 2570, 2590, 2840, 2660, 2670, 2790 and 2945 are approved as recommended by the County Administrative Officer, as outlined in the Supplemental Document and as amended by this Board.

(SUPERVISOR MICHAEL P. RYAN IS NOW ABSENT.)

HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Budget Units 3000 - Public Health, 3285 - Law Enforcement Medical Care, 3286 - County Medical Services Program, 3287 - Emergency Medical Services Fund, 3288 - California Healthcare Indigents Program, 3045 - Clinical Lab Services, 3070 - Mental Health, 3075 - Drug and Alcohol Services, 4040 - Drinking Driver Programs, 3200 - Social Services Administration, 3240 - Foster Care, 3250 - CalWORKs, 3290 - General Assistance, 3330 - Veterans Services, 3280 - Medical Assistance Programs and 3281 - Suspected Abuse Response Program (SART), are presented.

Mr. Gary Ray Rogers: states he is a member of the IHSS Board and speaks on behalf of the IHSS workers and the need to increase their salaries; states he is part of a letter writing campaign to the State legislators regarding the need to increase these employees’ wages; believes if we don’t keep these folks working the patients they care for will end up in convalescent homes and increase the need for beds in the County to care for them.
Rev. C. Hite: states that “the quality of services means quality of life”; suggests the budget for health services is inadequate for what is needed.

Ms. Yvonne Ross: IHSS Worker, thanks the Board for all their concern and support and believes they need a contract for the future.

(SUPERVISOR MICHAEL P. RYAN IS NOW PRESENT.)

Ms. Toni Paradis: IHSS Worker, thanks the Board for listening and their support.

Ms. Ann Sutherland: United Domestic Workers of America, presents information on a cost analysis for IHSS workers and the need to increase their wages by at least $3 per hour; urges the Board to support a wage increase.

Ms. Rae Belle Gambs: Mental Health Advisory Board, suggests that the Growing Grounds and Transition programs aren’t the only losses, they are also losing a nurse and some of the administrative staff positions; addresses her concern to these cuts and the potential they have in increasing the homeless population.

Mr. Barry Johnson: presents a large stack of petitions in support of Mental Health services/programs and maintaining the same; thanks the Board for their support.

Ms. Jill Bolster-White: Growing Grounds, thanks the Board for their support.

Dr. Nola Veazie: Life Steps Foundation - Mill St. House, speaks to the negative impacts of closing this facility.

Ms. Alisha Colby: states she lives at the Mill St. House and that this program saves lives.

Ms. Rhonda Carper: states the Mill St. House needs to be kept open; states she lost her kids through the courts because she couldn’t get into a rehab program in the time frame the courts allotted and doesn’t want this to happen to other mothers.

Ms. Janaya Landin: states she has been “clean” for over a year now and would have lost her daughter if she hadn’t been in this program.

Ms. Julie Petrick: lost one of her two children through addiction problems and her older child was adopted out because she couldn’t get the help she needed in time.

Ms. Alisa Hasty: states she used drugs for 15 years and continued until she got into the Life Steps Mill St. House program; now she’s been “clean” for three years.

Mr. Bill McGuire: addresses the Drug and Alcohol budget and believes they are either unwilling or unable to provide services; wants this budget denied; addresses outside contracts with Drug and Alcohol; his concern to this department now providing needles for addicts instead of methadone.

Mr. Eric Greening: supports the direction he believes the Board is going with the IHSS salary increase and the Mental Health programs.

Mr. Michael Kovacs: wants a “raise in the Board’s attention” and comments on the Social Services budget and the increase in staffing over the past few years; questions when the Board will do the audit that should be done on this department.

Ms. Biz Steinberg: EOC, states that Life Steps is receiving some funding through Budget Unit 2080 that is on for tomorrow’s meeting; suggests working with this group to help them work with the State to find additional funding sources.

Mr. Grant: addresses the various changes to Budget Units 3000, 3070, 3075, 3200 and 6000 that are in the Supplemental document.

Chairperson Ovitt: questions the two Transitions programs and Growing Grounds and funding for these programs.
Mr. Grant: states there are two programs here, Growing Grounds with an overall expense of $178,000, but the County can recoup some revenue through the State in the amount of $19,200, which leaves a net of $158,800 that is needed; the next program is Transitions Social Rehabilitation Program, the expense is approximately $424,000 with corresponding revenue of about $212,000, leaving a net of about $212,000; if the Board wants to fund these two programs, staff recommends that Contingencies be reduced by $371,360; then if the State comes through staff would restore Contingencies in that amount.

Thereafter, on motion of Supervisor Bianchi, seconded by Supervisor Ryan and unanimously carried, the Board tentatively funds, from Contingencies, the following: Growing Grounds and Transitions Social Rehabilitation Program in the amount of $371,360.

Supervisor Ryan: questions the IHSS worker’s salary increase request and suggests that the increase to $8.25 per hour, with Mr. Edge expanding as to what the motion should include.

Supervisor Achadjian: questions using a percentage increase over a dollar amount and letting the IHSS Public Authority work out the details.

Thereafter, on motion of Supervisor Ryan, seconded by Supervisor Achadjian and unanimously carried, the Board tentatively approves total compensation at $8.25 per hour for IHSS workers and directs staff to determine the total compensation package for this recommendation.

Mr. Grant: indicates they will come back with the funding source for this action.

Thereafter, on motion of Supervisor Ryan, seconded by Supervisor Bianchi and unanimously carried, the Board tentatively approves Health and Human Services Budget Units 3000, 3285, 3286, 3287, 3288, 3045, 3070, 3075, 4040, 3200, 3240, 3250, 3290, 3330, 6000, 3280 and 3281 as recommended by the County Administrative Officer, as outlined in the Supplemental Document and as amended by this Board.

COMMUNITY SERVICES Budget Units 2700 - Wildlife and Grazing, 2710 - Fish and Game, 2950 - Airports, 4000 - Library, 4030 - Farm Advisor, 4125 - Parks and 4161 - Golf Courses, are presented.

Chairperson Ovitt: opens the floor to public comment on the Community Services budget units.

Mr. Dean Rollins: General Manager for SLOCEA, addresses the position in the Library that is to be cut and concerns to a reduction of services without this position; asks that the Board maintain the current allocation of employees.

Mr. Bob Mich: states he is the automation specialist for the Library who is being laid off; outlines his current duties; his position is being down graded and moved to Information Technology; addresses the Administrative position they are creating that has been vacant for two years and questions why this position is now necessary.

Rev. C. Hite: speaks to the Library and Parks budgets and her concern to maintaining public services with the cuts that are proposed as they relate to the disadvantaged and/or disabled.

Ms. Evelyn Delany: speaks to the proposal to cut hours at the Shell Beach Library; states this is a very unique library that has increased needs and usage by the public; feels that to reduce the hours is an “affront” to the community; asks that this library stay open 3 days and not be cut to 2 days.

Ms. Patty Andreen: agrees that the libraries need assistance; suggests supporting the libraries at a funding level based on what the polls say the voters will support at the November election; suggests funding them until November and see what the election outcome is.

Mr. Eric Greening: agrees with comments by the prior speakers and supports the
libraries staying open; cutting hours is very serious and impacts the public; addresses Parks and supports the funding recommended.

**Mr. Brian Reynolds:** Library Director, responds to questions regarding the cut in library hours; what they would need in full time staff to keep the libraries open; addresses the DAS position that is being eliminated and why.

**Supervisor Pinard:** questions the number of employees at the San Luis Obispo branch versus staffing at other branches; been told the reason for the high number of staffing in San Luis Obispo is because of the indigent problem and addresses her concern to this reasoning.

**Board Members:** address their concerns to cutting hours and staffing needs; keeping the libraries at the status quo until the November election.

**Chairperson Ovitt:** with the concurrence of the Board members, directs Library staff to work with the County Administrator on ways to keep the libraries functioning at their current level of service.

Thereafter, on motion of Supervisor Ryan, seconded by Supervisor Bianchi and unanimously carried, the Board tentatively approves Community Services Budget Units 2700, 2710, 2950, 4000, 4030, 4125 and 4161 as recommended by the County Administrative Officer.

(SUPERVISORS SHIRLEY BIANCHI AND MICHAEL P. RYAN ARE NOW ABSENT.)

**FISCAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE** Budget Units 2000 - Board of Supervisors, 2040 - Administrative Office, 2081 - Organizational Effectiveness, 2050 - Auditor-Controller, 2060 - Treasurer-Tax Collector, 2070 - Assessor and 2509 - Clerk-Recorder, are presented.

**Chairperson Ovitt:** opens the floor to public comment on the Fiscal and Administrative budget units.

**Rev. C. Hite:** states she is lobbying for the needs of the disabled.

(SUPERVISORS SHIRLEY BIANCHI AND MICHAEL P. RYAN ARE NOW PRESENT.)

**Mr. Michael Kovacs:** speaks to the voters setting the Board of Supervisors salaries in 2000 and that not being followed; addresses the increase in salaries for the Board and their assistants and his concern to these increases.

**Mr. Eric Greening:** speaks to the Board members declining their increase this year; suggests most of the administrators/managers working for the County make more than the Supervisors do; wants to see full Board packets in more locations than the libraries especially if library hours are going to be cut and would like to see a complete packet put in the chambers each week.

Thereafter, on motion of Supervisor Ryan, seconded by Supervisor Bianchi and unanimously carried, the Board tentatively approves Budget Units 2000, 2040, 2081, 2050, 2060, 2070 and 2509 as recommended by the County administrative Officer.

**INTERNAL SUPPORT** Budget Units 2100 - County Counsel, 2110 - Personnel, 2180 - General Services, 2270 - Reprographics, 2300 - Garage, 2250 Information Technology, 2340 - Risk Management and 2150 - Self Insurance, are presented.

No one appearing and thereafter, on motion of Supervisor Achadjian, seconded by Supervisor Ryan and unanimously carried, the Board tentatively approves Budget Units 2100, 2110, 2180, 2270, 2300, 2250, 2340 and 2150 as recommended by the County Administrative Officer and as outlined in the Supplemental Document.

FINANCING Budget Units 2001 - Non-Departmental Revenues, 2002 - Other Financing Uses, 2005 - Short-Term Financing, 2078 - Enterprise Financial System,
2083 - Countywide Automation Replacement, 2084 - General Government Building Replacement, 2835 - Public Facility Fees, 4901 - Debt Service and 4904 - Pension Obligation Bonds, are presented and no one appealing and thereafter, on motion of Supervisor Achadjian, seconded by Supervisor Bianchi and unanimously carried, the Board tentatively approves Financing Budget Units 2001, 2002, 2005, 2078, 2083, 2084, 2835, 4901 and 4904 as recommended by the County Administrative Officer.

Chairperson Ovitt: reopens the floor to public comment on the Internal Support budget units.

Rev. C. Hite: speaks against funding for these budget units and addresses her concern to actions by County Counsel’s office.

CAPITAL PROJECTS Budget Units 2207 - Capital Projects and 2208 - Maintenance Projects are presented and no one appearing and thereafter, on motion of Supervisor Ryan, seconded by Supervisor Bianchi and unanimously carried, the Board tentatively approves Budget Units 2207 and 2208 as recommended by the County Administrative Officer and as outlined in the Supplemental Document.

Thereafter, on motion of Supervisor Ryan, seconded by Supervisor Bianchi and unanimously carried, the Board continues this hearing to June 15, 2004 at 2:00 p.m..

On motion duly made and unanimously carried, the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Luis Obispo, and ex-officio the governing body of all other special assessment and taxing districts for which said Board so acts, does now adjourn to Tuesday, June 15, 2004 at 2:00 p.m. to continue with their consideration of the Proposed Budget and Supplemental Document for Fiscal Year 2004-05.

I, JULIE L. RODEWALD, County Clerk-Recorder and Ex-Officio Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Luis Obispo, and ex-officio clerk of the governing body of all other special assessment and taxing districts for which said Board so acts, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a fair statement of the proceedings of the meeting held Monday, June 14, 2004, by the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Luis Obispo, and ex-officio the governing body of all other special assessment and taxing districts for which said Board so acts.

JULIE L. RODEWALD, County Clerk-Recorder
and Ex-Officio Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

By: /s/Vicki M. Shelby,
Deputy Clerk-Recorder

DATED: June 17, 2004
vms